LIBRARY OF PRINCETON
DEC 14 1914
THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY

Division PR 83
Section .C17
v. 11

The Cambridge History of English Literature

Edited by

A. W. WARD, Litt.D., F.B.A.,
Master of Peterhouse
and
A. R. WALLER, M.A., Peterhouse

14 volumes, and two volumes supplementary to the History, 8vo. Price per volume $2.50 net. Subscriptions received for the complete work at $36.00 net, payable at the rate of $2.25 on the notification of the publication of each volume.

Vol.	I.	From the Beginnings to the Cycles of Romance.
"	II.	The End of the Middle Ages.
"	III.	Renascence and Reformation.
"	IV.	Prose and Poetry from Sir Thomas North to Michael Drayton.
"	V.	The Drama to 1642. Part I.
"	VI.	The Drama to 1642. Part II.
"	VII.	Cavalier and Puritan.
"	VIII.	The Age of Dryden.
"	IX.	From Steele and Addison to Pope and Swift.
"	X.	The Rise of the Novel: Johnson and his Circle.
"	XI.	The Period of the French Revolution.
"	XII.	The Romantic Revival.
"	XIII.	The Victorian Age. Part I.
"	XIV.	The Victorian Age. Part II.

Send for complete descriptive circular

G. P. PUTNAM'S SONS
New York **London**

THE CAMBRIDGE HISTORY OF ENGLISH LITERATURE

VOLUME XI

THE PERIOD OF THE FRENCH REVOLUTION

The Cambridge History of English Literature

LIBRARY OF PRINCETON
DEC 14 1914
THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY

Edited by
Sir A. W. Ward, Litt.D., F.B.A.
Master of Peterhouse
and
A. R. Waller, M.A.
Peterhouse

Volume XI

The Period of the French Revolution

New York: G. P. Putnam's Sons
Cambridge, England: University Press
1914

COPYRIGHT, 1914
BY
G. P. PUTNAM'S SONS

The Knickerbocker Press, New York

PREFATORY NOTE

OUR thanks are due to Mr. A. T. Bartholomew, Mr. G. A. Brown and Mr. H. V. Routh for specific assistance in respect of the bibliographies to which their several initials are appended, and to Mr. Bartholomew for bibliographical help in other directions.

The twelfth volume, dealing with the earlier years of the nineteenth century, is in the press; the concluding volumes (XIII and XIV), dealing with the Victorian age, will be published together, as in the case of the two volumes which were concerned with the Elizabethan drama.

A. W. W.
A. R. W.

18 *June*, 1914.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER I

EDMUND BURKE

By HERBERT J. C. GRIERSON, M.A., LL.D., Chalmers Professor of English Literature in the University of Aberdeen

PAGE

Early Life and Work. *A Vindication of Natural Society. The Sublime and Beautiful.* Political Career. Writings on Public Affairs. *Thoughts on the Cause of the Present Discontents.* The American Controversy. Writings on Ireland. Indian Speeches. Warren Hastings. The French Revolution. Burke's Political Philosophy. His Temperament. His Prose. The Speech on Economical Reform. *A Letter to a Noble Lord.* Burke as an Orator 1

CHAPTER II

POLITICAL WRITERS AND SPEAKERS

By C. W. PREVITÉ-ORTON, M.A., Fellow of St. John's College

Light, Short, Satiric Verse. *The Rolliad.* John Wolcot (Peter Pindar). George Canning. *The Anti-Jacobin.* George Ellis. John Hookham Frere. William Gifford. *The Baviad. The Maeviad. The Needy Knife-grinder.* Richard Payne Knight. Erasmus Darwin. *The Rovers. The New Morality.* William Godwin and Mary Wollstonecraft. *Political Justice. Caleb Williams. St. Leon. Vindication of the Rights of Woman.* Thomas Paine. *The Rights of Man. The Age of Reason.* William Cobbett. *Weekly Political Register. Rural Rides.* Orators. Charles James Fox. The Younger Pitt. Richard Brinsley Sheridan. Henry Grattan 37

CHAPTER III

BENTHAM AND THE EARLY UTILITARIANS

By W. R. SORLEY, Litt.D., F.B.A., Fellow of King's College, Knightbridge Professor of Moral Philosophy

Jeremy Bentham. His Friends. Étienne Dumont, James Mill. *The Westminster Review. A Fragment on Government.* Sir William Black-

PAGE

stone's *Commentaries*. The Principle of Utility. Beccaria's *Crimes and Punishments*. *An Introduction to the Principle of Morals and Legislation*. The Hedonic Calculus. Natural Rights. Arthur Young. *Travels in France*. Thomas Robert Malthus. *An Essay on the Principle of Population*. Dugald Stewart 63

CHAPTER IV

WILLIAM COWPER

By HAROLD CHILD, sometime Scholar of Brasenose College, Oxford

Cowper's Early Years. His Friendship with the Unwins. John Newton. *Olney Hymns*. Satires. Lady Austen. *The Task*. *John Gilpin*. *On the Receipt of my Mother's Picture*. Translations. Letters . . 86

CHAPTER V

WILLIAM WORDSWORTH

By ÉMILE LEGOUIS, Professor of English Language and Literature at the Sorbonne

The Influence of Rousseau. Wordsworth's Childhood. His Wanderings. The French Revolution. Dorothy Wordsworth. Friendship with Coleridge. *Lyrical Ballads*. Wordsworth's Marriage. *Ode to Duty*. *The Excursion*. *The White Doe of Rylstone*. *Laodamia*. *Sonnets*. Later Years. *The Ruined Cottage*. His poetry of Nature. *There was a Boy*. Wordsworth and Shelley. *Michael*. The *Lucy* poems. His Description of the Moral Emotions 103

CHAPTER VI

COLERIDGE

By C. E. VAUGHAN, M.A., Balliol College, Oxford

Early Years. The French Revolution. Coleridge's Friendship with Wordsworth. The Opium habit. *The Friend*. *Biographia Literaria*. Influence of Wordsworth. *Kubla Khan*. *The Ancient Mariner*. *Christabel*. The Poetry of Nature. *Anima Poetæ*. The Hour of Romance. Coleridge's Theory of Criticism. Coleridge as Philosopher . . . 129

CHAPTER VII

GEORGE CRABBE

By HAROLD CHILD

PAGE

Early Life. *The Library. The Village. The Newspaper. The Parish Register. Sir Eustace Grey. The Borough. Tales. Tales of the Hall.* The Change in English Poetry during Crabbe's Lifetime. Crabbe's Couplets. Summary 155

CHAPTER VIII

SOUTHEY

LESSER POETS OF THE LATER EIGHTEENTH CENTURY

By GEORGE SAINTSBURY, M.A., Merton College, Oxford, LL.D., D.Litt., F.B.A., Professor of Rhetoric and English Literature in the University of Edinburgh

SOUTHEY

Details of Southey's Life. His Politics. *Wat Tyler. Joan of Arc.* Southey's Blank Verse. *Holly Tree. My Days among the Dead are passed. Ballads. Thalaba. Madoc.* Southey as Historian and Reviewer. *Commonplace Books. The Curse of Kehama. The Life of Nelson. Roderick the last of the Goths. The Life of John Wesley.* Miscellaneous Prose. *The Lives of the Admirals. The Doctor.* Southey's Letters. Southey and Dryden.

LESSER POETS OF THE LATER EIGHTEENTH CENTURY

Anstey. *The New Bath Guide.* Hanbury Williams. John Hall Stevenson. *Crazy Tales.* Erasmus Darwin. *The Botanic Garden. The Loves of the Plants.* William Hayley. *The Triumph of Temper.* The Della Cruscans. William Lisle Bowles. Frank Sayers. Sir William Jones 169

CHAPTER IX

BLAKE

By J. P. R. WALLIS, M.A., Assistant Lecturer in English Literature in the University of Liverpool

Early Career. *Poetical Sketches. An Island in the Moon.* Beginnings of Mysticism. *Songs of Innocence* and *Thel. Tiriel.* Revolutionary writings. *The Marriage of Heaven and Hell* and the earlier "Prophecies." The later Lambeth books. *Songs of Experience. Europe* and *The Song of Los.* The *Urizen* group. The crisis in Blake's spiritual development. *The Four Zoas.* His mystical Christianity. *Milton* and *Jerusalem.* His Theory of Imagination. Lesser Verse and Prose. Blake and the Romantic Revival 200

CHAPTER X

BURNS

LESSER SCOTTISH VERSE

By T. F. HENDERSON

BURNS

PAGE

The Old School of Scottish Verse. Burns's Indebtedness to his Predecessors. The Kilmarnock volume. *The Cotter's Saturday Night.* Burns's "English" poems. His six-line stave. *Death and Doctor Hornbook. The Address to the Deil. Holy Willie's Prayer. The Auld Farmer's New Year Salutation to his Mare Maggie.* The *Christis Kirk* stave. *The Holy Fair. Halloween. The Cherrie and the Slae* stave. *The Jolly Beggars. Tam o' Shanter.* Burns at Edinburgh. His Songs and Adaptations.

LESSER SCOTTISH VERSE

Joanna Baillie. Lady Anne Lindsay of Balcarres. Susanna Blamire. Mrs. Grant of Carron. Mrs. Grant of Laggan. Elizabeth Hamilton. Mrs. John Hunter. Mrs. Maclehose ("Clarinda"). Caroline Oliphant, Lady Nairne. Dr. Blacklock. Richard Gall. John Hamilton. John Lapraik. John Lowe. Hector MacNeil. James Tytler. John Mayne. Sir Alexander Boswell. Robert Tannahill. Alexander Wilson. William Motherwell. James Hogg. *The Queen's Wake.* John Leyden. Allan Cunningham. Thomas Mounsey Cunningham. William Tennant. John Hyslop. Robert Gilfillan. William Nicholson. William Glen. William Watt. Michael Bruce and John Logan. *The Cuckoo.* James Grahame. Robert Pollok . 224

CHAPTER XI

THE PROSODY OF THE EIGHTEENTH CENTURY

By GEORGE SAINTSBURY, M.A.

Changes in the Heroic Couplet of Dryden. The Octosyllabic Couplet. The Spenserian Stanza. Blank Verse. Lyric Poetry of the Eighteenth Century. Edward Bysshe's *Art of Poetry.* Eighteenth Century Prosodists. Joshua Steele. Young. Shenstone. Gray. Johnson. John Mason. Mitford. Cowper. Summary 271

CHAPTER XII

THE GEORGIAN DRAMA

By HAROLD V. ROUTH, M.A., Peterhouse, Lecturer in English Literature at Goldsmiths' College, London

The Decay of the Drama and the Advance of the Actor. The Theatre in the Eighteenth Century and its Audiences. Richard Cumberland.

PAGE

The Brothers. The West Indian. Lesser Playwrights. Oliver Goldsmith. *She Stoops to Conquer.* Richard Brinsley Sheridan. *The Rivals. A Trip to Scarborough. The School for Scandal.* Hannah More. *Percy.* Hannah Cowley. General Burgoyne. *The Heiress.* Thomas Holcroft. *The Road to Ruin. The Deserted Daughter.* Elizabeth Inchbald. George Colman the Younger. *Inkle and Yarico.* Thomas Morton and others. Cumberland's *Jew.* Realism and the Drama . 284

CHAPTER XIII

THE GROWTH OF THE LATER NOVEL

By George Saintsbury

Thomas Amory. *John Buncle. Memoirs of Several Ladies.* William Beckford. *Vathek.* William Godwin. *Caleb Williams. St. Leon.* Thomas Holcroft. *Autobiography.* Novels. Mrs. Inchbald. *A Simple Story. Nature and Art.* Robert Bage. *Hermsprong.* Maria Edgeworth. *Belinda. The Absentee. Ormond.* Tales for the Young. Charlotte Smith. Regina Maria Roche. Eaton Stannard Barrett. Clara Reeve. Ann Radcliffe. *The Mysteries of Udolpho* and other works. Matthew Gregory Lewis. *The Monk.* Charles Robert Maturin. *Melmoth the Wanderer.* Jane and Anna Maria Porter. *Thaddeus of Warsaw. The Scottish Chiefs.* Thomas Hope. *Anastasius.* Thomas Love Peacock 315

CHAPTER XIV

BOOK PRODUCTION AND DISTRIBUTION, 1625–1800

By H. G. Aldis, M.A., Peterhouse, Secretary of the University Library

Attempts at State Control under Charles I. and the Commonwealth. The Censorship of L'Estrange. Lapse of the Licensing Laws. Copyright before 1709. The first Copyright Act. The battle for Perpetual Copyright. The relations between Author and Publisher in the Seventeenth Century. Milton, Baxter. Earnings of playwrights. Literature becomes a Profession. Increase of the Reading Classes. Patrons and Dedications. The Publisher as Patron and Employer. Leading Publishers in Commonwealth and Restoration times. The Eighteenth Century. Tonson, Lintot, Dodsley, Millar. Trade books. Society for Encouragement of Learning. Bell's *Poets* and Johnson's *Poets.* Paternoster Row Numbers. Booksellers' Clubs. Cadell, Strahan. Literary Booksellers. Curll and Grub Street. "The Trade" in London. Little Britain. Scot, Bateman, the Ballards. Other Localities. Westminster Hall. Literary Coffee-houses. Payne, Davies. Popular Literature. Practical Divinity, Chapbooks. The Retail Bookseller. Sale by auction. Printed Catalogues. James Lackington. Circulating Libraries and Book Clubs. Trade Lists of Current Publications. Trade sales. The Provincial Trade. Scotland and Ireland . . 344

CHAPTER XV

THE BLUESTOCKINGS

By Mrs. H. G. Aldis

PAGE

The term "Bluestocking." Conversation parties. Mrs. Vesey. Mrs. Montagu. Her share in Lord Lyttelton's *Dialogues of the Dead*. Her *Essay on Shakespeare*. Mrs. Montagu and Voltaire. Mrs. Elizabeth Carter. Her Translation of *Epictetus*. Hannah More. Her friendship with Horace Walpole. Mrs. Chapone 380

CHAPTER XVI

CHILDREN'S BOOKS

By F. J. Harvey Darton, sometime Scholar of St. John's College, Oxford

Schoolbooks. The Hornbook. Books of Courtesy. Hell-fire tales. "Exemplary" Compilations. Bunyan's *Divine Emblems*. Watts's *Divine Songs*. The Chapbook. Fairy Tales. Nursery Rimes. John Newbery. Dorothy Kilner. Sarah Trimmer. Mrs. Sherwood. Maria Edgeworth. Thomas Day's *Sandford and Merton*. The Moral Tale in Verse. Ann and Jane Taylor's *Original Poems*. Miss Turner's *Cautionary Stories*. Charles and Mary Lamb. Later Writings for Children 406

Bibliographies 431

Table of Principal Dates 539

Index 543

ADDENDA AND CORRIGENDA

Vol. III

p. 631. The date of Robynson's translation of More's *Utopia* was 1551 not 1561

Vol. IV

p. 626, *after* Owen Felltham's Resolves *add* (first complete edition)

Vol. VII

p. 43, l. 15, *for* 1621 *read* 1622

Vol. IX

p. 118, l. 8, and index. The correct titles are as follows: *Histoire comique de la Lune* (1678) and *Histoire comique contenant les états et empires de la Lune* (1657).

p. 462. Quite recently has been published: Kitchin, G. Sir Roger L'Estrange: a contribution to the History of the Press in the Seventeenth Century. 1913. Three appendixes to this work treat of bibliographical matter, various points in which are critically discussed in articles contributed to the New York *Nation*, 10 and 17 July, 1913.

p. 482, ll. 6–10. "Both these pamphlets have been found, and are clearly Defoe's." W. P. Trent.

p. 629. The dates of Butler's *Hudibras* are 1663–78 not 1663–8.

Vol. X

p. 45, l. 15, for *The North Briton* read *The Briton*, and the footnote reference should be to chapter XVII

p. 133, l. 12, *for* MacGaussin *read* Mlle. Gaussin

p. 311, l. 7 from foot of text, for *Recollections* read *Recollection*

p. 312, l. 24, *for* son *read* nephew

p. 349, l. 25, and index, *for* John *read* Joseph

p. 361, note, for *Edinburgh Review*, July, 1808, read *Knight's Quarterly Magazine*, November, 1824

p. 389, l. 32, *for* 1771 *read* 1772

p. 461, l. 5 from foot of text, *for* four or five *read* four out of the five

p. 465, l. 11, *for* W. H. *read* W. L.

p. 475, l. 15 from foot, *for* Vienna? *read* Brieg

p. 491, l. 5 *for* Scanderberg *read* Scanderbeg

p. 552, *add* An Eighteenth Century Correspondence, ed. Dickins, L., and Stanton, M., 1910, to bibliography of Chapter XI

p. 577, l. 7, *for* Roughead *read* Ruffhead

In index, p. 593, *add*, *before* Cumberland, Richard, *the heading* Cumberland, Richard, bishop of Peterborough, 397, *and omit* 397, 2 *lines below*

CHAPTER I

Edmund Burke

EDMUND BURKE, the greatest of English orators, if we measure greatness not by immediate effect alone but by the durability and the diffusive power of that effect, and one of the profoundest, most suggestive and most illuminating of political thinkers, if we may not call a philosopher one who did not elaborate any system and who refrained on principle from the discussion of purely theoretical issues, was an Irishman of the usual blended native and English strain, born (1729) in a family which united the two creeds that divide Ireland more profoundly and fatefully than any distinction of race. His father, a small Dublin solicitor, was a protestant, his mother a catholic. Burke himself was educated in the protestant faith, but his sister adhered to the religion of her mother, and his wife was a catholic who conformed to the Anglican church after her marriage. Burke always professed his protestantism frankly and sincerely—"We are protestants not from indifference but from zeal"—and the charges that were brought against him of having, at one time or other, been a catholic are without foundation, but his attitude towards the catholic church was at once tolerant and sympathetic. To him, she and every other church were allies in the defence of the religious conception of life which was the centre of all his thought about morals and politics, and of which atheistical Jacobinism was the antithesis. In the last years of his life, he fought for the cause of catholic emancipation in Ireland no less ardently than he opposed a "regicide" peace with France. The "directory of Ireland" which upheld protestant ascendancy at Dublin was hardly less odious to him than the Jacobin directory in Paris.

Burke's education was received at Ballitore, under a quaker,

whose son, Richard Shackleton, became the chief friend of his early manhood, and at Trinity College, Dublin. Fox believed that Burke "had not any very nice critical knowledge even of Latin, still less of Greek," but was well read in Latin authors, especially Cicero, Vergil, Ovid, Horace and Tacitus, and "that he imitated the first mentioned of these authors most particularly, as well in his turn of thinking as in his manner of expression." What survive of Burke's letters to Shackleton point to the same conclusion as Fox's observation, that Burke was a wide and curious reader rather than a minute scholar. Mathematics, logic, history were, each in turn, he tells Shackleton, in one letter, a passion, and all, for a time, yielded to poetry. The letter affords a vivid glimpse into the education of one to whom knowledge, knowledge varied and detailed, was always to be a passion, and who was seldom or never to pen a sentence that has not something in its form to arrest the attention or to give delight. But Burke was not a poet. He could do many things that were beyond the power of his less strenuous and less profound fellow student, Oliver Goldsmith, but he could never have written *The Deserted Village* or *The Vicar of Wakefield.* Nor, magnificent as Burke's prose was to be, picturesque, harmonious and full of cadence, is it ever the prose which affects us as poetry. It is always the prose of an orator, addressed to an audience and aiming at a practical effect. Beauty, as in the meditations of Browne or the oratory of Taylor, is never to Burke an end in itself.

The wide and varied reading which began at Trinity College was, apparently, the chief activity of the nine obscure years (1750–59) which Burke spent as a student of law in London, eating dinners at the Middle Temple, sojourning at country inns or rooms during the vacation with his namesake and, perhaps, kinsman William Burke, and making tentative excursions into letters with an ironical answer to Bolingbroke's posthumous writings in *A Vindication of Natural Society* (1756) and an essay in aesthetics after Addison in *A Philosophical Enquiry into the Origin of our Ideas of the Sublime and Beautiful* (1756). Fulness of mind was the quality of Burke's conversation which impressed Johnson and all who came to know him in these and later years—knowledge and the power of applying that knowledge, "diversifying the matter infinitely

in your own mind." "His stream of mind is perpetual," was Johnson's comment; "Burke is the only man whose common conversation corresponds with the general fame which he has in the world. Take up whatever topic you please, he is ready to meet you." Burke owed his success in the House of Commons and its committees not more, perhaps, to his eloquence than to this fulness of mind, to the fact that, whatever topic he handled, America, India, Ireland, finance or trade, he spoke from a copious and close knowledge of the subject.

The works which Burke composed during these years are not of great importance. *A Philosophical Enquiry* is an unequal, and, in the main, rather jejune, treatise of which the fairest criticism is probably Lessing's, that it "is uncommonly useful as a collection of all the occurrences and perceptions which the philosophers must assume as indisputable in inquiries of this kind." Burke distinguishes the sublime so sharply from the beautiful that his description of the latter includes little which goes beyond the pretty. More interesting and suggestive is the analysis of the pleasure we take in terrible and painful spectacles—whether a tragedy in the theatre or an execution in the street. But, perhaps, most interesting of all is his discussion of the aesthetic and emotional qualities, of words which he finds to depend less on the images which they evoke than their other properties of sound and association. The business of poetry and rhetoric is "to affect rather by sympathy than imitation; to display rather the effect of things on the mind of the speaker, or of others, than to present a clear idea of the things themselves." The germ of *Laocoon* is contained in these paragraphs.

A Vindication is a much more characteristic and significant document. In parodying the eloquence of Bolingbroke, Burke caught some of the first tones of his own more sonorous and varied harmonies. The conception of the essay, a defence of religion by the application to Bolingbroke's method of attack of a *reductio ad absurdum*, revealed the deep religious spirit in which all Burke's political and social speculation bottoms and roots itself. Bolingbroke had indicted revealed religions by pointing to some of the consequences which, in history, had flowed from dogmatic creeds, and Burke answers him by applying the same method to the criticism of political society.

> Shew me an absurdity in religion, and I will undertake to shew you an hundred for one in political laws and institutions. . . . If after all, you should confess all these things, yet plead the necessity of political institutions, weak and wicked as they are, I can argue with equal, perhaps superior, force concerning the necessity of artificial religion; and every step you advance in your argument, you add a strength to mine.

But, perhaps, the most interesting quality of the essay is the sidelight that it throws on Burke's temperament, the sensitive, brooding imagination which, coupled with a restless, speculative intellect, seeking ever to illuminate facts by principles, gives tone to Burke's speeches and pamphlets; for it is this temperament which imparts vividness and colour to the dry details of historical and statistical knowledge, and it is this temperament which at once directs, keeps in check and prescribes its limits to, that speculative, enquiring intellect. In the sentences in which Burke paints the lot of those who bear the burden of political society, the unhappy wretches employed in lead, tin, iron, copper and coal mines, who scarce ever see the light of the sun, the *enfans perdus* of the army of civil society; in these vivid paragraphs, and not less in his failure to draw from them any but an ironical conclusion, a *reductio ad absurdum* of Bolingbroke's paradoxes, we get an insight into one of the most radical characteristics of Burke's mind. In his later works, he did not often touch directly on the subject of the poor and their lot, though it was a theme, he says, on which he had "often reflected and never reflected without feeling from it"; but his sensibility was not more acute than his conviction was profound that legislation and political adjustment could do little or nothing to alleviate their lot. Burke's whole life was a prolonged warfare against the folly and injustice of statesmen; but there was no admixture in his nature of what the old physiologists called the sanguine temperament. His political life was inspired by no gleam of the confidence which animated a statesman like Gladstone. The connection between revealed religion and political society was, to him, a deeper one than the superficial irony of *A Vindication* might suggest. If we confine our view to this life, the lot of humanity must always seem a dubious one. Wise government may lighten the lot of men, it can never make it more than tolerable

for the great majority. The effect of this cast of mind on Burke's attitude towards the French revolution, and the interval which it creates between him and the great poets of the romantic revival, with whom he has otherwise much in common, will appear later.

In closing *Reflections on the Revolution in France* (1790), Burke declares that

> they come from one, almost the whole of whose public exertion has been a struggle for the liberty of others; from one in whose breast no anger durable or vehement has ever been kindled, but by what he considered as tyranny.

In all those struggles, he declared in 1795, when his hopes for catholic emancipation in Ireland were shattered by the dismissal of Lord Fitzwilliam, he had been unsuccessful.

> My sanguine hopes are blasted, and I must consign my feelings on that terrible disappointment to the same patience in which I have been obliged to bury the vexation I suffered on the defeat of the other great, just, and honourable causes in which I have had some share, and which have given more of dignity than of peace to a long laborious life.

A brief enumeration of these "great, just, and honourable causes" will indicate sufficiently for the purposes of this *History* the outlines of Burke's public career.

After a brief time as secretary to William Gerard Hamilton, then chief secretary for Ireland, Burke entered public life as member for Wendover (1765), to which he was presented by Lord Verney, the friend and fellow-speculator of Burke's kinsman and namesake mentioned above. At the same time, he became secretary to Lord Rockingham, then in power and engaged in repealing Grenville's unfortunate Stamp act. Thenceforth, through the life of that short administration and in the sixteen years of opposition which followed, Burke was the animating spirit of the Rockingham section of the whigs, the germ of the subsequent liberal party. The two chief causes for which he fought during these years were those of the freedom of the House of Commons against the designs of George III and the "king's friends," and of the American colonies against the claim of the home government to tax them directly. The

writings in which Burke's views in these conflicts are most fully preserved are *Observations on a late publication entitled "The Present State of the Nation"* (1769), *Thoughts on the Cause of the Present Discontents* (1770), the speech *On American Taxation* (1774), that *On moving his Resolutions for Conciliation with the Colonies* (1775) and *A Letter . . . to . . . [the] Sheriffs of . . . Bristol* (1777).[1] These, of course, are only those utterances which Burke thought fit to issue to the public. Of his innumerable speeches on these and other subjects, including the great speech against employing Indians in the war, we have only the scantiest records.

Two other topics interested Burke during these years: Ireland and India, and, as the American war drew to an end, they became his chief preoccupation. He had early reflected and written on the iniquity of the penal laws—though the draft which he prepared about 1760–5 was not issued till much later—and he supported and watched with sympathy the policy or revolution which emancipated Irish trade and secured the independence of the Irish parliament (1778–82). By reason of his support of Irish trade, he lost, in 1780, the representation of Bristol, which his opposition to the American war had gained for him in 1774; and *Two Letters . . . to Gentlemen in the City of Bristol* (1778), with the *Speech at the Guildhall, in Bristol, previous to the late Election* (1780), are the noble record of his courage, independence and wisdom in this hour of defeat. In the years following the outbreak of the French revolution, Burke advocated, with unabated ardour, the cause of catholics, his views being expressed, not in speeches, but in long letters to Sir Hercules Langrishe, Thomas Burgh, his son Richard Burke, Dr. Hussey and others.

In the government of our East Indian dominions, Burke was early interested. It is usual now to affirm dogmatically that he participated in the speculations of his brother Richard, his kinsman William and Lord Verney, in East India stock. It may be so, but is not proved; and Burke himself declared, in 1772, "I have never had any concern in the funds of the East India company, nor have taken any part whatsoever in its

[1] To these may be added the posthumously published *An Address to the King*, drawn up when a secession of the whigs from parliament was contemplated in 1777 and an *Address to the British Colonists in North America.*

affairs, except when they came before me in the course of parliamentary proceedings." During the attempts made by Lord North's government to regulate the East India company, Burke was the warm supporter and diligent adviser of the company (1766–74). It was after 1780 that he became an active member of the committees which investigated the affairs of India, and, in consequence of what was revealed, the relentless foe of Warren Hastings and of the privileges and powers of the company. In the East India bill of 1783, he flung to the winds that fear of increasing the influence of the crown which had dictated his earlier support of the company, and proposed to transfer to parliament and the crown the whole administration and patronage of India. In 1785, he entered upon the attack upon Hastings which was to occupy him for ten years. In the same year, he delivered the famous *Speech on the . . . Nabob of Arcot's Private Debts*. The articles of indictment against Hastings, with the speeches delivered by Burke, fill some six volumes of the collected works. With the speeches of 1783 and 1785, they are the record of his labours in this cause, in conducting which he exhibited at once all the vast range of his knowledge, the varied powers of his eloquence and the worst errors of taste and judgment of which his great and increasing sensibility of mind made him guilty in the years from 1780 onwards.

The last great cause in which Burke fought his usual splendid but losing battle was that of resistance to the French revolution and the philosophy and spirit of atheistical Jacobinism. Beginning with a speech on the army estimates (9 February, 1790), the crusade was continued with ever increasing indignation through the famous *Reflections on the Revolution in France* (1790), *A Letter . . . to a Member of the National Assembly* (1791), *An Appeal from the New to the Old Whigs* (1791), *Thoughts on French Affairs* (1791), *Remarks on the Policy of the Allies* (1793), *A Letter . . . to a Noble Lord* (1795) and *Letters . . . on the Proposals for Peace with the Regicide Directory of France* (1795–7). Burke died in 1797 with his last hopes for justice to Irish catholics shattered, and believing that his country was on the eve of a peace which could be no peace but only a humiliating truce while the enemy made ready to pursue their destructive crusade.

These, in outline, are the campaigns of Burke. Whatever be now our judgment on the questions of a bygone age with which he was concerned, the importance of the principles to which his mind always gravitated, his preoccupation at every juncture with the fundamental issues of wise government, and the splendour of the eloquence in which he set forth these principles, an eloquence in which the wisdom of his thought and the felicity of his language and imagery seem inseparable from one another, an eloquence that is wisdom (not "seeming wisdom" as Hobbes defined eloquence), have made his speeches and pamphlets a source of perennial freshness and interest.

The first of the pamphlets on public affairs was a brief statement of what had been achieved by the Rockingham administration to restore order and good government at home and in the colonies. The *Observations* are a more detailed defence of that administration against the attack of an anonymous pamphlet, attributed to George Grenville. Grenville, in this pamphlet, defended his own government, which was responsible for the peace of Paris and the first proposal to tax the colonies, and criticised the repeal of the Stamp act. Both the peace and the resolution to tax America were the consequence, he argued, of the charges incurred by the great wars. Burke's reply consists in showing that Grenville had underestimated the power of England and her expanding trade to support these increased charges, and especially had exaggerated the sufferings of this country when compared with those of France, the condition of whose lower classes, and the "straitness and distraction of whose finances," seemed, to Burke, at this period, to forbode "some extraordinary convulsion . . . the effect of which on France, and even on all Europe, it is difficult to conjecture." But much of the ground that is covered in this first controversial pamphlet was again traversed with a more confident step, with a wider outlook and a loftier eloquence, in the writings which followed it. Less hampered by the necessity of controverting an opponent, Burke addresses himself to the fundamental constitutional and imperial questions at issue in a spirit of elevated political wisdom.

The position which Burke adopts in *Present Discontents* (1770) is eloquent of the temper in which he ever approached questions affecting the constitution. The conflict which raged

round Wilkes and the Middlesex election was, he saw clearly, a conflict between the crown and the constituencies, "the crown acting by an instrumental house of commons." He admitted the ultimate authority of the people. "Although government certainly is an institution of divine authority, yet its forms, and the persons who administer it, all originate from the people." But he shrank from the inference that, if government were emancipating itself from the control of the people, if the crown were threatening to deprive the House of Commons of its peculiar "virtue, spirit and essence," namely, to be "the express image of the feelings of the nation," it was because the constituencies themselves had ceased to represent the people. The proposals to enlarge the number of constituents, coupled, as they were, with the expedient of triennial parliaments, he always resisted. To Burke, a constitutional state was one in which, in some degree, a balance had been secured between the various powers which, in the state, represent the complex nature of man, and, in the British constitution, as it had taken shape in history; and especially with the revolution, he saw, if not an ideal, yet, the weak and imperfect nature of man considered, a wonderful balance of powers, aristocracy (the power which springs from man's natural regard for inherited distinction and privilege) and property exerting in a healthy and not sinister fashion their natural and inevitable influence, while the popular will made itself felt directly and indirectly, by actual and by "virtual" representation, as a controlling and, at times, an inspiring influence. He would not do anything to disturb this balance. "Our constitution stands on a nice equipoise with steep precipices and deep waters upon all sides of it. In removing it from a dangerous leaning towards one side there may be a risk of oversetting it on the other." He would rather "by lessening the number add to the weight and independency of our voters."

Unable, therefore, to acquiesce in the only practical means by which the people were to recover the control of parliament, and enforce loyalty to principle and party, Burke could only indicate the chief symptom of the disease, the disintegration of party, and elaborate a philosophic defence of party-government, which, since Bolingbroke, it had become the fashion, and was now the interest, of many to decry.

Characteristically, Burke defends party as an indispensable instrument of practicable statesmanship, and as an institution which has its roots in some of the profoundest and most beautiful instincts of the heart; for utility, but utility rooted—if one may so speak—in man's moral constitution, is Burke's court of appeal in all questions of practical politics. Bolingbroke's condemnation of party as identical with faction, and his dream of a patriot king who should govern without reference to party, must have seemed to Burke the result of a view of human nature that was at once too cynical and too sanguine. Party-loyalty might degenerate into self-seeking factiousness, but, in its idea, party is "a body of men united for promoting by their joint endeavours the national interest upon some particular principle in which they are all agreed"; and the feelings which cement a party are not purely selfish, but include and "bring into the service and conduct of the common-wealth" "the dispositions that are lovely in private life." To be unable to act in loyal concert with others is to condemn ourselves to ineffectiveness, and "all virtue which is impracticable is spurious," for "public life is a situation of power and energy: he trespasses against his duty who sleeps upon his watch, as well as he that goes over to the enemy." "In the way which they call party," he declared, when, at a later juncture, he was charged with factiousness, "I worship the constitution of your fathers; and I shall never blush for my political company."

Though not one of the best, and certainly the most inconclusive, of all Burke's political writings, *Present Discontents* reveals the chief characteristics of his thought and style—the tendency to go at once to the root of the matter, to illuminate facts by principles, and to clothe these in felicitous images and phrases which seem to shed a new light, to "pour resistless day," on the moral and political constitution of man. In these things, Burke is without a rival. His aphorisms crowd upon one another and rise out of one another (as was noted by one who heard his first speech in the House of Commons) until the reader can hardly go forward, so many vistas of fresh thought are opened before him. And Burke's political aphorisms are so pregnant that they distend the mind with the same sense of fulness with which Shakespeare's lines affect the student of the passions and movements of the human heart.

But Burke's oratory was not here illumined by the vision of a large concrete issue in which the future of an empire and the fate of peoples depended on the wisdom or unwisdom of the policy chosen and pursued. That came with the American controversy. It may be clear to the student of history that the causes of that conflict, and of the ultimate separation of the colonies from the mother country, lay deeper than in the schemes of taxation by which Grenville, Townshend and North precipitated matters. It is yet equally certain that, at a great juncture, English statesmanship was found wanting in the wisdom, imagination and sympathy requisite to solve the problem of governing a growing overseas empire. It was his gifts of sympathy and imagination, combined with a wise spirit of practicable statesmanship which distinguishes Burke among all who discussed the colonial question on one side or the other, and have caused his words to bear fruit in the long run, fruitless as, at the moment, they seemed to be.

Two or three principles underlie all that Burke said or wrote on the question. The first of these is that, in practical politics, the guiding star of statesmanship is expediency, not legal or abstract right. Our arguments on political questions may often be

"conclusive as to right, but the very reverse as to policy and practice." "Politics ought to be adjusted not to human reasonings but to human nature; of which the reason is but a part and by no means the greatest part." "The opinion of my having some abstract right in my favour would not put me much at my ease in passing sentence; unless I could be sure that there were no rights which in their exercise were not the most odious of all wrongs, and the most vexatious of all injustice."

Such quotations could be multiplied. It is the principle which dictated the coupling of the Declaratory act with the repeal of the Stamp act in 1766, the assertion of a legal right which, in some conceivable emergency, it might be necessary to assert, but the general exercise of which was to be regulated by an entire regard for liberty and the spirit of the British constitution. When the word "expediency" is given its full moral significance, this principle may be said to be the foundation-stone of Burke's political philosophy.

The second position reiterated in these speeches is that, in the search for what is expedient and, therefore, right, the statesman must be guided by circumstances, of which the most important is the temper and character of the people for whom he is legislating. The statesman, like Bacon's natural philosopher, rules by obeying. The principle is obvious, but its application requires sympathy and imagination, and George III, with his entire lack of both, was a better representative of the average Englishman than either Burke or Chatham. Burke's imagination was filled with the greatness of the American people, the wild, irregular greatness of a people who had grown up to manhood nurtured by a "wise and salutary neglect." "Nothing in history is parallel to it," he declares in his earliest reply to Grenville. "All the reasonings about it that are likely to be at all solid must be drawn from its actual circumstances." And such reasoning will include the all-important consideration that these people are Englishmen with the inherited tradition of political liberty and self-government. The magnificent paragraphs, in the speech *On Conciliation*, devoted to the Americans, their numbers, their enterprise, their spirit and the sources from which it is sustained, are not a purple patch of diffuse, descriptive oratory alone. Like the similar paragraphs on the peoples and civilisation of India, in a later speech, they are an appeal to the imagination of the speaker's audience, that, realising the magnitude of the issue at stake, they may rise above a narrow legalism to the contemplation of what is greater even than America, namely an empire which shall include free peoples, and different civilisations.

But, to discover what is expedient in the complexity of circumstances, which include the tempers of people, is no easy task, and, hence, Burke's third principle, that our safest guide is experience. The past illumines the future, it may be but a few feet in advance, yet sufficiently to walk by.

Again and again and again revert to your own principles—leave America, if she has taxable matter in her, to tax herself. . . . Leave the Americans as they anciently stood, and these distinctions born of our unhappy contest will die along with it. . . . Be content to bind America by laws of trade; you have always done it. Let this

be your reason for binding their trade. Do not burthen them with taxes; you were not used to do so from the beginning. Let this be your reason for not taxing. These are arguments for states and kingdoms. Leave the rest to the schools; for there only they may be discussed with safety.

Such are the principles which guided Burke in adumbrating in these speeches the lines to be followed in solving the problem the character and complexity of which he alone seems to have grasped, the problem of governing and maintaining the great empire which Chatham's successful wars had called into existence,

of reconciling the strong presiding power that is so useful towards the conservation of a vast, disconnected, infinitely diversified empire, with that liberty and safety of the provinces, which they must enjoy (in opinion and practice at least) or they will not be provinces.

He was provided with no theoretical plan that would suit all circumstances, "the natives of Hindustan and those of Virginia alike, the Cutchery court and the grand jury of Salem." His appeal was to the wisdom of experience, the spirit of the English constitution and the magnanimity of statesmen.

Of the American speeches, the greatest, as it is the most elaborate, is, doubtless, the second, *On Conciliation;* but the first, *On American Taxation*, which has more the character of being, as, indeed, it was, the spontaneous product of debate, combines, in a wonderful manner, simplicity and directness of reasoning with ardour and splendour of eloquence. There is something of Rubens or Rembrandt in the easy, broad, bold strokes with which Burke paints the history of English policy in America; the rich, diffused, warm colouring of the whole; the concentration of the high lights and more brilliant tints on the chief episodes and figures—the upright but narrow-minded Grenville; Conway, whose face in the hour of victory was as the face of an angel; the tessellated ministry of Chatham; the passing of that great and theatrical figure, and the dazzling advent of Townshend. Such "characters" had been a feature of earlier oratory and history like that of Bolingbroke and Clarendon—both of them writers with whose work Burke was intimately acquainted—but these, again, are, in Burke's

speeches, no mere rhetorical device or literary ornament. They illustrate his conviction that politics have their roots in human character; that, to understand policies, we must study personalities, whether individuals or corporate bodies like the House of Commons and the National Assembly.

The speech *On Conciliation* is the most greatly builded of all Burke's speeches, not excepting those on India, which belong rather to forensic than deliberative oratory. Perhaps its structure is too elaborate for its immediate purpose. The sonorous parade of the parallel cases of Wales, Chester and Ireland was not likely to have much weight with the House of Commons. It is rather a great *concio ad populum et regem*, a last impassioned, elevated and conciliatory appeal to the government and the nation; and, if delivered under the conditions of a later period, when it would have been read in every household on the day following, could not but have reacted with power on both House and government. As it is, it remains some compensation to English literature for the dismemberment of the British empire. Whether we reflect on the art with which it is constructed, the skill with which the speaker winds into the heart of his subject[1] and draws from it the material of his splendid peroration on "the spirit of the English constitution" and its power to unite, invigorate and vivify the British empire in all its diverse members; or reflect on the temper, passionate and moving yet restrained and conciliatory, in which the argument is conducted; or recall simply the greater flights of picturesque eloquence, the description of American industry and enterprise, the imagery in which the speaker clothes his conception of the spirit of the English constitution and the sovereign authority of parliament—the speech takes its own place beside the greatest masterpieces of our literature, the plays of Shakespeare and the poems of Milton. It produces the same impression of supremacy in its own kind; it abounds, like these, in phrases which seem to enrich our language with a new felicity and dignity: "enjoyments which deceive the burthen of life," "a wise and salutary neglect," "I do not know the method of drawing up an indictment against a whole people," "man acts from adequate motives relative to his interest, and not on metaphysical speculations," "magna-

[1] See Boswell's *Life of Johnson* (ed. Hill, G. B., vol. II, p. 260).

nimity in politics is not seldom the truest wisdom; and a great empire and little minds go ill together."

In these speeches, Burke is the orator following consciously the ancient tradition of oratory; combining all the styles, the plain, the ornate, the impassioned, each used as the theme requires, in the manner which Cicero, in the *Orator*, describes as constituting the authentic Attic and Demosthenic eloquence. In Burke's *Letter to the Sheriffs of Bristol*, the style is more uniform and unadorned, a vigorous and straight hitting polemic. He sweeps aside with the scorn of which he was a master the cant charges which, in time of war, are levelled at those who question either the foolish policy or arbitrary tyranny of the government, and defines, more clearly than ever, what had always been his conception of the nature of the problem presented by the government of a complex and scattered empire, and the entire competence in the matter of "prudence, constituted as the god of this lower world," and prudence only.

What Burke deplored in the American policy of George III and his ministers was the entire absence of this prudence. He did not take any side in the battle of "rights," natural and legal, but stood firmly upon the basis of experience and expediency. In the cases of Ireland and India, he showed that, by a policy based on expediency he understood something very different from opportunism; that, if he disdained discussion of metaphysical rights, it was not that he did not believe in the existence of rights prior to and above all human conventions and laws, but because he deemed that their abstract definition was either an impossible or a useless labour, apt to hinder, rather than to promote, their practical realisation. But that there is an eternal law of which human law is, at its best, but declaratory is the assumption and the express affirmation underlying his attacks on the tyranny of the penal laws in Ireland and on the claim to arbitrary power in India put forward by Warren Hastings, as the vindication of his treatment of the rajah of Benares. There is a law which neither despot nor people may violate; any law in contradiction of it not only may, but must, be resisted,

> because made against the principle of a superior law, which it is not in the power of any community, or of the whole race of men to

alter—I mean the will of Him who gave us our nature, and in giving impressed an invariable law upon it. It would be hard to point out any error more truly subversive of all the wonder and beauty, of all the peace and happiness of human society, than the position—that any body of men have a right to make what laws they please, or that laws can derive any authority from their institution merely and independent of the quality of the subject-matter. No argument of policy, reason of state, or *preservation of the constitution* can be pleaded in favour of such a practice.

So he wrote between 1760 and 1765 in *Tracts relative to the Laws against Popery* in Ireland and his position is unchanged in 1788 when he denounces Warren Hastings.

> Arbitrary power is a thing which neither any man can hold nor any man can give. . . . We are all born in subjection . . . to one great, immutable, pre-existent Law, prior to all our devices, and prior to all our contrivances, paramount to all our ideas, and all our sensations, antecedent to our very existence, by which we are knit and connected in the eternal frame of the Universe, out of which we cannot stir. . . . Those who give and those who receive arbitrary power are alike criminal, and there is no man but is bound to resist it to the best of his power whenever it shall show its face in the world.

It is in view of this fundamental doctrine that we must interpret Burke's appeals to experience and expediency. In the last resort, Burke's politics are religious, and rest on the conviction that human authority and laws derive from an ultimate Divine authority and law. The bearing of this conviction on Burke's attitude to the incidents and doctrines of the French revolution will appear later. It accounts for the deeper note of passion audible in the speeches and pamphlets on Irish and Indian questions when these are compared with the more persuasive and conciliatory defence of the Americans and the cause of prudence and her great teacher experience.

Ireland, indeed, though perhaps closer to Burke's heart than any other country, fills a comparatively small part of his collected works, though, to a student of his mind and thought, not the least interesting part. He had studied Irish history, and knew from what a tissue of falsehoods the prevalent English view of the rebellion in 1641 and other episodes in that history was woven. He knew the working of the penal laws

from within, and for the ancient church whose worship and creed were barred and penalised he had an understanding and sincere respect. None of his writings is less touched with the faults of Burke's great qualities, occasional rhetorical parade, an extravagant sensibility, a tendency to factious exaggeration, than are the letters *To a Peer of Ireland on the Penal Laws* (1782), *To Sir Hercules Langrishe* (1792), and to others which Matthew Arnold collected and republished in 1881, including, with these, the *Speech at the Guildhall, in Bristol* (1780), when Burke closed his connection with that great mercantile constituency. No better and more triumphant *apologia* was ever written. Burke had his back to the wall and, in the end, declined the election. But he was fighting, also, with the consciousness that what he foretold had come true. America was lost. England had sown the wind and was reaping the whirlwind. And part of that harvest was Ireland. The refusal to grant those concessions, for supporting which Burke forfeited the confidence of his constituents (despite *Two Letters* (1778) in defence of his vote), had resulted in a practical revolution in Ireland and "a universal surrender of all that had been thought the peculiar, reserved, uncommunicable rights of England. . . . We were taught wisdom by humiliation." And from the same source had flowed the other cause of complaint in Bristol, the repeal of the penal laws. When Burke turns from the justice of the policy of repeal to vindicate its expedience, his argument is summarised in an aposiopesis, "Gentlemen, America—." He does not spare his critics nor disguise the humiliation of England any the more that he approves of the measures of justice which that humiliation has exacted from an unwilling country. And he is equally fearless in his defence of his conduct as regards the defeated bill for the relief of debtors, and the amendment of the "gross and cruel facts in our law." The only purple patch in the speech is the brief panegyric upon Howard, the reformer of prisons. Otherwise, the style is as simple and nervous as the prose of Swift, but fired with a nobler passion and illumined by a wider vision of general principles.

If Ireland were a subordinate though a very real interest to Burke, India was the centre of his activity from 1780 until the French revolution came, not to supersede India but to share

with it and Ireland his thoughts and labours. From the problem of the government of colonies peopled by Englishmen, habituated to freedom and jealous of authority, he turned to the other problem with which Chatham's wars had also embarrassed England, the problem of governing a great empire of peoples who had never known any other rule than an absolute despotism, a despotism which, through an era of anarchy, was passing, or had passed, to a trading company and its ill-controlled and ill-remunerated servants. "The proud day of Asia is passed." The relaxation and dissolution of the Mogul government had made the Indian company what the Roman law had supposed "irreconcilable to reason and property—*eundem Negotiatorem et Dominum;* the same power became the general trader, the same power became the supreme lord."

The Indian speeches are distinguished from the American not alone by the greater passion that inspires them but by partaking more of the nature of forensic and, occasionally, epideictic or panegyric, than of deliberative oratory.[1] Each of them is an indictment—that *On Mr. Fox's East-India Bill* (1783) of the East India Company and its administration; that *On the Nabob of Arcot's Debts* (1785) of Dundas's India board for its protection of the nabob's creditors; and the series of speeches with which Burke opened and closed the trial of Warren Hastings, an impeachment which, for variety and vehemence of oratory, has no parallel except in Cicero's *Verrines.* And they are not only indictments—like the speech on the employment of Indians in the American war—but legal indictments, in which proof is interwoven with narrative and exposition.

The distinction is of importance, because it explains the fact that these speeches, despite the occasional splendour of their eloquence, are of less vital interest than the American, Irish, or French revolution speeches and pamphlets; and because, in oratory of this description, the faults of Burke's judgment and temperament made themselves, at times, only too apparent. It is impossible to read the most eloquent of indictments, especially of individuals, based on alleged facts, without the wish to hear the other side. The force of the indictment, we feel, depends on the strength of the evidence

[1] Adopting Aristotle's classification in *Rhetoric.*

advanced in support of the speaker's charges, and these, in Aristotle's phrase, are ἄτεχνοι πίστεις, proofs which depend neither on the arguments nor the eloquence of the orator but on the credibility of witnesses, and the authenticity and interpretation of documents. And the more vehement, the less judicial in tone, the orator, the more insistent becomes the thoughtful reader's demand for relative evidence. But, in the Indian speeches, Burke's tone is never judicial; when Hastings is in question, it is never either temperate or fair. The Verrine orations of Cicero are not more fiercely vituperative than the speeches of Burke before the House of Lords. But, from what we know otherwise of Verres, he was all that Cicero tells us. The history of Warren Hastings's government has been the subject of careful investigation, and, whatever we may think of his faults, he was certainly no Verres. Burke's whole treatment of that great case was vitiated by his determination to find the sole motive of every crime with which Hastings was charged in a base, selfish, corrupt cupidity,—"Money is the beginning, the middle, and the end of every kind of act done by Mr. Hastings—pretendedly for the Company, but really for himself." But, of all charges, this is the least true. Hastings was not scrupulous in his choice of means, and he was responsible for acts both of extortion and cruelty, but the motives which actuated them were public not private, the service of the company and the preservation of British rule in India at a season of the utmost peril. The fury with which Burke assailed Hastings's character was, therefore, misdirected. He fledged the arrows of his eloquence with the vindictive malice of Francis, and, in so doing, obscured and weakened what is the main burden and justification of his indictment, and of all his labours in the cause of India—the distinction, which he places in the forefront of his opening addresses to the House of Lords, and recurs to in his final replies between absolute authority and arbitrary power. In so far as he meets Hastings's claim to arbitrary power by an appeal to the authority of law as formulated in the codes of the Hindoos, the Mohammedans and the Tartars, the argument is more interesting ("there never was such food for the curiosity of the human mind as is found in the manners of this people" *i.e.* the Gentûs or Hindoos) than relevant, for, at the time when

Warren Hastings was struggling with the Mahrattas and Hyder Ali, all law in India was in suspension. If, in the anarchy which prevailed, Hastings had fettered himself by the ideal prescripts of Timur or Mohammed, the British power in India would, indeed, have been Swift's "single man in his shirt" contending with eleven armed men. But, in his appeal to the eternal laws which no human power may abrogate any more than it may dispense with physical laws, Burke (as has been already indicated) was stating the fundamental principle of his political philosophy, and, at the same time, helping, almost as effectively as Hastings himself, to lay the foundation of British rule in India. In the American and Indian speeches of Burke is contained, one might say without exaggeration and making full allowance for the faults of the Indian series, the grammar of British empire—the free self-government of white communities, the just rule of peoples for whom representative government is impracticable, the qualification of absolute government by an entire regard for the welfare and the prejudices of the governed.

The great instrument of Burke's oratory in the Indian, as in the American, speeches is the philosophical imagination. The same faculty that evoked a vivid and instructive picture of the spirit and enterprise of a people "yet in the gristle" elaborates, in the speech on Fox's East India bill, a sublimer and more moving vision of the ancient civilisation of India,

> princes once of great dignity, authority, and opulence . . . an ancient and venerable priesthood, the depository of their laws, learning and history, the guides of the people while living and their consolation in death . . . millions of ingenious manufacturers and mechanics; millions of the most diligent and not the least intelligent, tillers of the earth . . . almost all the religions professed by men, the Braminical, the Mussulman, the Eastern and the Western Christian.

And, over against this picture, he places that of English rule, the rule of merchants intent only on profits and corrupt gain. The sentences seem to ring for ever in the ear, in which the orator describes the young men who ruled India, with all the avarice of age and all the impetuosity of youth, rolling in wave after wave, birds of prey and passage who leave no trace that

England has been represented in India "by anything better than the ourang-outang or the tyger," for "their prey is lodged in England; and the cries of India are given to seas and winds, to be blown about at every breaking up of the monsoon over a remote and unhearing ocean." But the most terrible and the most faithful picture of British misrule which Burke painted, and of what that misrule meant for the wretched natives, is that in the speech *On the Nabob of Arcot's Debts;* and nothing in Burke's speeches is more Miltonic in its sublimity and gloom than the description of the vengeance taken by Hyder Ali on the "abused, insulted, racked and ruined" Carnatic. Of the epideictic or panegyric oratory with which Burke occasionally illumines his tenebrous and fiery denunciations of waste and oppression, the Indian speeches afford the most sustained and elaborate example in the eulogy of Fox which closes the speech on the East India bill, "a studied panegyric; the fruit of much meditation; the result of the observation of nearly twenty years."

These words were spoken in 1783. In 1791, that friendship was formally terminated, and Burke and Fox met as strangers in the conduct of the long impeachment. It was not a private quarrel which alienated them. It was the French revolution. That great upheaval agitated Burke's sensitive and passionate imagination certainly no less than the misgovernment of India, but it did so in a way that has left a more interesting record in his work, for it quickened and intensified the activity of his speculation. In judging of events and persons, his mind was, perhaps, not less prejudiced; but, in the main, the controversy which he waged was not forensic but deliberative, a discussion not of facts and proofs but of principles and the spirit that inspires or is inspired by principles. He was at war with the philosophy and with the temper of the revolution. He was driven back on first principles; and the flame which was kindled in his imagination served to irradiate and illumine every vein and nerve in the complex and profound philosophy of human nature and political society which had underlain and directed all that, since he entered public life and earlier, he had done or written as statesman and thinker.

It is a mistake to represent Burke as by philosophical principle and temperament necessarily hostile to revolution or

rebellion. Politically, he was the child of the revolution of 1688, and an ardent champion of the principles of that revolution. He condoned and approved the revolution (for as such he regarded it) by which Ireland, in 1781, secured freedom of trade and legislative independence. He believed that the Americans had done right in resisting by arms the attempt to tax them directly. Moreover, the fundamental principle of Burke's political philosophy, his conviction that behind all human law was a divine law which human authority could never override, carried with it, as the same principle did for the Calvinists of Holland or for the puritans of England in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, the possibility that it might be a duty to rebel. Burke and Rousseau are agreed on one point, that force is not right, that no *force majeure* can justifiy a man in renouncing his liberty, or, what is the same thing, his responsibility to God. It was not a revolt against legitimate authority, it was not even any radical reconstruction of the machinery of the state (though Burke always distrusted the wisdom and, even, the possibility of radical reformation), which made him the enemy of the revolution. He admits, in his *Reflections*, that such reconstruction was required, and would have had the Assembly set to work with an eye upon their old constitution to guide them, and, where that failed them, on the British constitution. What roused Burke's passionate antagonism was the philosophy of the revolution and the spirit of the revolution, an abstract philosophy which seemed to him false to the fundamental facts of man's moral and political nature, a spirit which he detested as the relentless enemy alike of liberty and religion—of that religion which alone can teach men to subordinate power to duty, to accept the mysterious dispensation which assigns to each of us his place in society, which alone can guide us in life and console us in death. His foe was the same in this as in all his previous conflicts,—arbitrary power, not claiming legal right for its justification, as the British parliament had claimed it in the case of America, nor inherited absolute authority, as Hastings had in the case of Cheyte Sing and the begums, but asserting the indisputable authority of the people, of democracy. Compared with such a tyranny, every other seemed less deplorable.

Under a cruel prince men have the balmy consolation of mankind to assuage the smart of their wounds; they have the plaudits of the people to animate their generous constancy under suffering; but those who are subjected to wrong under multitudes are deprived of all external consolations. They seem deserted by mankind; overpowered by a conspiracy of their whole species.

Reflections on the Revolution in France (1790), is the most important manifesto of Burke's anti-revolutionary crusade. A critic has remarked, with some justice, that the writings on the revolution "are perhaps the worse written for not being speeches . . . they did not call out Burke's architectonic faculty."[1] But Burke was not less a master of disposition than of invention, and there is an art in the loosely ordered sequence of his *Reflections*. Such an elaborate architecture as that of the speech *On Conciliation* would have been out of place in dealing with what was still fluid. None of the fatal issues of the revolution had yet emerged, but, studying its principles and its temper, the trend of its shifting and agitated currents, Burke foresees them all, down to the advent of the popular general as the saviour of society. Beginning with Price's sermon, the occasion of his pamphlet, he endeavours to show that the revolution of 1688 did not involve any breach of the hereditary principle, or invalidate the inherited right of the king to govern independent of the choice of the people. He recurred at great length to this in the later *Appeal from the New to the Old Whigs*. The argument is necessarily inconclusive,[2] yet not without importance as establishing the fact that the success of the revolution was due to the skill with which its managers had succeeded in transferring unimpaired to the new government the authority of the old. This was just what the Assembly had failed to do; and, hence, the necessity for the authority of the guillotine and the sword. A brief contrast of the English revolution with the French leads, naturally, to just such a sketch of the personal factor in the Assembly—the classes from which it was drawn—as, at an earlier date, in the

[1] Oliver Elton, *A Survey of English Literature* (1912), vol. I.

[2] Burke had himself declared, in 1777, that "to the free choice therefore of the people, without either king or parliament, we owe that happy establishment, out of which both king and parliament were regenerated." *An Address to the King.* This was not published till after Burke's death.

speech *On American Taxation*, when discussing the source of colonial discontent, he had given of English statesmen and the House of Commons. Recurring to Price's eulogy of the French revolution, he is led rapidly on to what was the distinctive character of that revolution, the subject of Price's approval and Burke's condemnation. It lay in the fact that, unlike all other revolutions, the French started from no mere desire for the redress of grievances or shifting of the centre of gravity of government, but promulgated a new philosophy, a new gospel, judged by which all governments are usurpations, and that its watchword was "the rights of man."

> Against these there can be no prescription; against these no argument is binding: these admit no temperament and no compromise: anything withheld from their full demand is so much of fraud and injustice.

The paragraphs on the abstract rights of man and the inevitable tendency of such a doctrine to identify right with power leads Burke back again to Price and his exultation over the leading in triumph of the king and queen from Versailles. And, thence, he passes to an impassioned outburst on the spirit of the revolution, the temper of those in whom the religion of the "rights of man" has "vanquished all the mean superstitions of the heart," has cast out all the sentiments of loyalty and reverence which constitute "the decent drapery of life," serving "to cover the defects of our naked shivering nature, and to raise it to dignity in our own estimation." From these two sections, on "the rights of man" and the spirit of their devotees, naturally flows all that follows—the vindication of prejudice, the importance of religion in the state and defence of an established church, the review of the progress of democratic tyranny in France in the abolition of nobility and confiscation of the church and the examination of the constitution set up by the Assembly—the legislature, executive, judicature and army, their consistence with the doctrine of "the rights of man" and their probable doom.

To the charge of inconsistency which the publication of *Reflections* and his speeches in the House brought upon him, Burke replied in *An Appeal from the New to the Old Whigs* (1791), published anonymously and written in the third person.

From a general defence of the consistency of his denunciation of the French revolution with his defence of the American colonies and proposals for economic reform, Burke proceeds to elaborate his defence of the view he had put forward in *Reflections* of the revolution of 1688, as preserving, not destroying, inherited, prescriptive rights; and closes with an elaboration of his views on the prescriptive, inherited character of all the institutions and rights which constitute a state; the involuntary, inherited nature of all our most sacred ties and duties. Taken together, these two pamphlets form the most complete statement of Burke's anti-revolutionary philosophy, which his other writings on the subject serve only to amplify and adorn.

It is in his attack on the abstract and individualistic doctrine of the "rights of man" that Burke develops most fully this philosophy of society, and breaks most decisively with the mechanical and atomic political theory which, inherited from Locke, had dominated the thought of the eighteenth century. Over against the view of the state as the product of a "contract" among individuals, whose "rights" exist prior to that contract, and constitute the standard by which at every stage the just claim of society on the individual is to be tested, he develops the conception of the individual as himself the product of society, born to an inheritance of rights (which are "all the advantages" for which civil society is made) and of reciprocal duties, and, in the last resort, owing these concrete rights (actual rights which fall short in perfection of those ideal rights "whose abstract perfection is their practical defect") to convention and prescription. Society originates not in a free contract but in necessity, and the shaping factor in its institutions has not been the consideration of any code of abstract pre-existent rights ("the inherent rights of the people") but "convenience." And, of these conveniences or rights, two are supreme, government and prescription, the existence of "a power out of themselves by which the will of individuals may be controlled," and the recognition of the sacred character of prescription. In whatever way a particular society may have originated—conquest, usurpation, revolution ("there is a sacred veil to be drawn over the beginnings of all government")—in process of time, its institutions and rights come to rest upon prescription. In any ancient

community such as that of France or Britain, every constituent factor, including what we choose to call the people, is the product of convention. The privileges of every order, the rights of every individual, rest upon prescription embodied in law or established by usage. This is the "compact or agreement which gives its corporate form and capacity to a state," and, if it is once broken, the people are

> a number of vague, loose individuals and nothing more. Alas! they little know how many a weary step is to be taken before they can form themselves into a mass which has a true politic personality.[1]

There is, therefore, no right of revolution, or rebellion at will. The "civil, social man" never *may* rebel except when he *must* rebel. Revolution is always the annulment of some rights. It will be judged in the last resort by the degree in which it preserves as well as destroys, and by what it substitutes for what it takes away. At its best, revolution is "the extreme medicine of the constitution," and Burke's quarrel with the Assembly is that they have made it "its daily bread"; that, when the whole constitution of France was in their hands to preserve and to reform, they elected only to destroy.

Burke's denunciation of the spirit or temper of the revolution follows as naturally from his philosophy of the state as that from the doctrine of the revolutionists. "The rights of man" was a religion, a fanaticism expelling every other sentiment, and Burke meets it with a philosophy which is also a religion, no mere theory of the state but a passionate conviction. He and the revolutionists were at one in holding that there is a law, a principle superior to positive law, by which positive law must be tested. Had he not declared that there were positive rights which, in their exercise, were "the most odious of all wrongs, and the most vexatious of all injustice"? But, whereas they sought this law in abstract rights prior to, and independent of, the state, for Burke, the essential condition of every "right" is the state itself. There can be no right which is incompatible with the very existence of the state. Justice is not to be sought in or by the destruction of that which has given us the idea of justice, has made us the moral beings we are, for it is the privilege of "that wonderful structure Man" "to be in a great

[1] *An Appeal from the New to the Old Whigs.*

degree the creature of his own making," and "He who gave our nature to be perfected by our virtue willed also the necessary means of its perfection; He willed therefore the state." [1] The state is no mere prudential contract for material ends, security of property and life (though these are its primary ends and fundamental conditions); it is the partnership between men from which has sprung science and art and virtue—all human perfection; a partnership which links one generation to another, the living to the dead and the unborn. It is more; "each contract of each particular state is but a clause in the great primeval contract of eternal society," which is the law of God and "holds all physical and all moral natures, each in their appointed place." To the religion of the natural man, Burke thus opposes the religion of the state, of man as civilisation has made him, for "Art is man's nature." The established church is the recognition of the sacred character of the state. The prejudices and sentiments which attach us to the community are not to be abolished by the "conquering light of reason," but cherished as the very substance of the moral reason. It is this thought which underlies Burke's defence of prejudice. Following, as it does, the highly coloured threnody on the fate of the queen of France and the decay of the sentiments of loyalty and chivalry, Burke has exposed himself to the charge of identifying moral feeling with fleeting and artificial sentiments. But this is only partly just. Burke does not really confound the sentiments which adorn life with those which sustain life, the draperies of the moral life with its flesh and blood. His defence of prejudice against the claims of a fanatical abstract reason is just such a recognition of the nature of moral reason as that which turned Wordsworth from Godwin's "political justice" to the emotions and prejudices of the peasant.

[1] It must be admitted, too, that, at this stage, Burke is more disposed than when he wrote the *Tracts relative to the Laws against Popery* (see the first quotation at pp. 15, 16), or defended the American rebellion or the Irish "revolution," to identify the state with the particular constitution of a concrete state, Britain or France; to refuse to consider any claim of "right" which is incompatible with this—a position which comes near to denying any right of reform at all. It is against this view that Wordsworth protested in his early *Apology for the French Revolution*. But it is a mistake to take this rejection of reform as the cardinal article of Burke's political creed. His thought, in its whole drift and content, has a deeper significance.

To Burke, thus encountering the philosophy and fanaticism of the French revolution with a deeper philosophy and an equal zeal, war with France was a crusade; and he pressed for it passionately before Pitt's hand was forced by the invasion of Holland. The rest of Burke's life was mainly devoted to the crusade against Jacobinism at home and abroad, and it is well to understand what he understood by the term. It is not republicanism, nor even democracy, though it is, he seems to think, that to which a pure democracy inevitably tends. Burke did not believe that this country was at war with the French people, for there was no French public. "The country is composed but of two descriptions; audacious tyrants and trembling slaves." By Jacobinism, he understood the tyranny of unprincipled and irresponsible ability or talent[1]—talent divorced from religious awe and all regard for individual liberty and property, supporting itself by appealing to the passions and ignorance of the poor. This was the character of the government of France as one set of rulers succeeded another in what he calls "the tontine of infamy," and the war which it waged was a war of conquest essential to its own existence. Peace with such a power could only be made on the same conditions as it was to be made with the Saracens in the full tide of conquest. This is the burden of the impassioned and lurid *Letters on a Regicide Peace* (1797), which, like the denunciations of Warren Hastings, tend to weary us, by the reiteration of shrill vituperation, the want of coolness and balance of judgment. Burke was, in himself, "the counter-revolution," and, as in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, excess begat excess.

This is not the place for a full discussion of Burke's treatment of the French revolution. He died before any final issue was even in sight. It might be urged, with some justice, that he was so moved by the furious symptoms of the disease that he never thoroughly gauged its deeper sources or foresaw the course it must ultimately run, clearly as he did foresee its immediate issues. It might be contended that, fleeing from one abstraction, he drew near to another, and consecrated prescription, inherited right, when judged and condemned by

[1] *Letter to William Smith* (1795) and the first of the *Letters on a Regicide Peace* (1797).

that expediency which is the sanction of prescription. In a history of literature, it is more interesting to note that he had not enough faith in his own principles; for the deficiency reveals the writer's temperament. Believing, as he did, that society and the particular form which society has taken is of divine origin, that in the history of a nation was revealed the working of providence shaping the moral and spiritual being of those who composed it, he is singularly fearful of the issue. Was the British constitution which the political wisdom of generations had shaped so wanting in elasticity that it could endure no change, adapt itself to no new conditions? Could the folly of the Assembly, the madness of the Terror, the cynical corruption of the Directory undo, in a few years, the work of centuries and permanently alter the character of the French people? The France which emerged from the revolution was, in all essential respects, De Tocqueville has argued, the France of the *ancien régime*. What disappeared was already dead. In the *Code Napoléon*, which embodied the legal outcome of the revolution, law became "the expression of settled national character, not of every passionate and casual mood."

We touch here on a trait of Burke's character which is evident in his earliest pamphlet, the ironical reply to Bolingbroke, the want of any sanguine strain in his mental constitution, or, if one cares to put it so, of faith. Despite all that he had said of the wisdom latent in prejudice; despite the wonder and admiration with which, in the speech *On Conciliation*, he contemplated a people governing themselves when the machinery of government had been withdrawn; the advent of democracy inspired him with anxiety qualified neither by faith in the inherent good sense and rectitude of human nature, nor by any confidence in the durability of inherited sentiment and prejudice. Nothing, it seemed to him, but the overruling providence of God could have evolved from the weak and selfish natures of men the miracle of a free state with all its checks and balances and adjustments to the complex character and manifold wants of the physical and spiritual nature of man; and, in a moment, the work of ages might be undone, the "nice equipoise" overset, the sentiments and prejudices of ages destroyed, and "philosophy" and "Jacobinism" be among us, bringing with them anarchy and the "end of all

things." Nothing marks so clearly the interval between Burke's temperament and that of the romantic revival as it is revealed in Wordsworth. What Burke has of the deeper spirit of that movement is seen not so much in the poetic imagery of his finest prose as in the philosophical imagination which informs his conception of the state, in virtue of which he transcends the rationalism of the century. His vision of the growth of society, his sense of something mysterious and divine at work in human institutions and prejudices, of something at once sacred and beautiful in the sentiments of chivalrous loyalty and honour, in the stately edifice of the British constitution with all its orders, in the ancient civilisation of India—all these have in them more than Sir Walter Scott's love of a romantic and picturesque past. There is in them the same mood of mind as is manifest in Wordsworth's sense of something mysterious and divine in the life of nature and the emotions of simple men, which links the eternal process of the stars to the moral admonitions of the human heart. But there is a difference. The illusion or faith, call it what one will, which made lyrical the prose of Rousseau and inspired the youthful Wordsworth when he hailed the French revolution as a new era in the history of the race,

> Bliss was it in that dawn to be alive,
> But to be young was very heaven,

was a stranger to Burke's mind; nor has the stoicism with which he contemplates the successive defeat of all his undertakings anything in common with the soberer optimism, the cultivation of a steadfast hopefulness, which, in Wordsworth's mind, succeeded to disillusionment, and rested on his faith in the invincibility of the moral reason. Wordsworth the postmaster did not remain a democrat, but Wordsworth the poet derived from his early experiences of the peasantry a faith in human nature, in those who go to make the people, which Burke's experience of "the swinish multitude" at contested elections, and in Gordon riots, never permitted to his reflective mind and sensitive temperament. In his crusade against Jacobinism and a regicide peace, Burke appealed to kings and nobles and the duty of a government to guide the people; in continuing the crusade against Napoleon, Wordsworth delighted

to note that the firmest opposition came from the peasantry of Spain and the Tyrol: "In the conduct of this argument," he writes, in *The Convention of Cintra*, "I am not speaking to the humbler ranks of society: it is unnecessary: they trust in nature and are safe."

This temper of Burke's mind is reflected in his prose. In essential respects, in idiom, structure and diction, the prose of Burke is that of his period, the second half of the eighteenth century. To the direct, conversational prose of Dryden and Swift, changed social circumstances and the influence of Johnson had given a more oratorical cast, more dignity and weight, but, also, more of heaviness and conventional elegance. From the latter faults, Burke is saved by his passionate temperament, his ardent imagination and the fact that he was a speaker conscious always of his audience. Burke loves a generalisation as much as Johnson, and his generalisations are profounder, more philosophic, if, like Johnson's, they begin in common sense. But Burke never fails to illuminate his generalisations by concrete and glowing imagery. And the splendour of his imagery, the nervous vigour of his style, its pregnancy, connect his prose with that of the great sixteenth and seventeenth century writers, Hooker and Milton and Browne and Clarendon. Though he does not abuse quotation, like some of the seventeenth century writers, he employs it with great effect, weaving the quotation with consummate skill into the texture of his own prose:

> "Old religious factions," he says, speaking of the unitarians, "are volcanoes burnt out. But when a new fire breaks out . . . when men come before us, and rise up like an exhalation from the ground, they come in a questionable shape, and we must exorcise them, and try whether their intents be wicked or charitable; whether they bring airs from Heaven or blasts from Hell."

What Burke's prose has not is the lyrical note of the, not more imaginative, but more romantic, prose of Wordsworth and Coleridge, of Carlyle and Ruskin; the note, not of exaltation, which was often Burke's mood, but of exultation, a mood with which he never was acquainted.

A rapid review of the main causes which engaged Burke's oratory has necessitated the omission in their proper places of

one or two speeches and writings which deserve notice in even a short sketch. The quietest, the lightest in tone—if Burke's oratory can ever be so described—is the speech on economical reform of February, 1780. It forms a point of rest between the earlier and the later storms. In no other speech is Burke so content to be simply persuasive, at times genial and amusing; and the philosophical colour of his mind, the tendency to elevate the discussion of every point by large generalisations, the fruit of long study and deep insight, gains a new interest from the absence of the passion with which his wisdom is usually coloured or set aglow. The exordium, after stating the end of his reforms to be not merely economy but the reduction of corrupt influence, winds its way into the subject by a skilful suggestion of the odium which such proposals must excite and of the necessity which alone has induced him to incur that odium—a necessity arising at once from the dire straits in which the war has involved the nation's finances and from the imperative demand of the people. The first consideration is skilfully heightened by a reference to the reform of French finances under Louis XVI and Necker—"The French have imitated us; let us, through them, imitate ourselves; ourselves in our better and happier days." The second is used to point the difference in characteristic fashion between a timely and temperate, and a late and violent, reform. The principles which have shaped his proposals are then enunciated and the details elaborated with a knowledge of the expedients and methods of finance which justifies Burke's claim that he had made political economy an object of his studies before "it had employed the thoughts of speculative men in other parts of Europe." And, at every turn, the dry details of economy are illuminated by broad generalisations, on not the economic only, but the moral, aspects of the question—"Kings are naturally lovers of low company"—and by the colours of a rich imagination, as in the description of the last relics of feudal institutions:

> Our palaces are vast inhospitable halls. There the bleak winds, there "Boreas and Eurus and Caurus and Argestes loud," howling through the vacant lobbies, and clattering the doors of deserted guard-rooms, appal the imagination and conjure up the grim spectres of departed tyrants—the Saxon, the Norman, and the Dane; the stern Edwards and fierce Henries—who stalk from deso-

lation to desolation, through the dreary vacuity and melancholy succession of chill and comfortless chambers.

Burke's humour, when not barbed and winged with scorn, is somewhat elephantine. The paragraph on the difficulties which beset Lord Talbot's attempts to reform the Household from the fact that "the turnspit in the king's kitchen was a member of Parliament" is a good example of his over-elaborate, somewhat turgid art. The peroration, on the other hand, on the will of the people and the responsibility of the House to its constituents, with a covert reference to the corrupt influence of the court, illustrates the power of this diffuseness, this elaboration of the details of a figure, to adorn a sentiment which comes warm from the speaker's heart:

> Let us cast away from us, with a generous scorn, all the love-tokens and symbols that we have been vain and light enough to accept;—all the bracelets and snuff-boxes and miniature pictures, and hair devices, and all the other adulterous trinkets that are the pledges of our alienation, and monuments of our shame. Let us return to our legitimate home and all jars and quarrels will be lost in embraces. . . . Let us identify, let us incorporate ourselves with the people. Let us cut all the cables and snap the chains which tie us to an unfaithful shore, and enter the friendly harbour that shoots far out into the main its moles and jettees to receive us.

Fifteen years after this speech, the government of Pitt was attacked for granting a pension to Burke, and, in accepting it, he was said to have been false to the principles laid down by himself on the subject of economy. The chief critics of the pension in the House of Lords were the duke of Bedford and the earl of Lauderdale. Burke replied in *A Letter to a Noble Lord*, the finest example of his blended irony, philosophy, feeling and imagination. As a master of pure irony, Burke is surpassed by Swift, who is at once more unscrupulous and less elaborate, more inventive and venomous. Except when he had to deal with those whom he regarded as the enemies of the human race, the professors of "the cannibal philosophy of France," Burke could never have attacked anyone with the venom with which Swift assailed Wharton. It is the truth which gives such deadly force to Burke's ironical description of the duke of Bedford, this noble champion of the rights of man, as himself the creature, the

Leviathan, of royal favour and prescriptive right. Burke has but to elaborate the fact with the art of the rhetorician, and to point the contrast between the merits which earned these favours in the ancestor of the house of Russell and the services which he himself has rendered to his country and to the constitution on whose preservation depends the security of all the duke of Bedford's inherited property and privileges. The pamphlet is a masterpiece of its kind, but is not untouched with the over-elaboration of Burke's later rhetoric when the perils of Jacobinism had become something in the nature of a fixed idea.

Of the three chief means by which Cicero, following the Greeks, declares that the orator achieves his end of winning over men's minds, *docendo*, *conciliando*, *permovendo*, tradition and the evidence of his works point to Burke's having failed chiefly in the second. He could delight, astound and convince an audience. He did not easily conciliate and win them over. He lacked the first essential and index of the conciliatory speaker, *lenitas vocis;* his voice was harsh and unmusical, his gesture ungainly. The high qualities, artistic and intellectual, of his speeches are better appreciated by readers and students than by "even the most illustrious of those who watched that tall gaunt figure with its whirling arms, and listened to the Niagara of words bursting and shrieking from those impetuous lips."[1] And, even in the text of his speeches there is a strain of irony and scorn which is not well fitted to conciliate. The most persuasive of all his speeches are the American; yet, in these too, there is comparatively little effort to start from the point of view of his audience, to soothe and flatter them, to win them over by any artifice other than an appeal to the rare qualities of wisdom and magnanimity. And, when he speaks at Bristol on the eve of his rejection, the tone is the same, not egotistic or arrogant, but quite unyielding in his defence of principles, quite unsparing in his exposure of error and folly.

Of Burke's power *permovendi animos*, of the passionate quality of his eloquence, there can be no question, yet here, too, it is necessary to distinguish. We have evidence that he could do both things on which Cicero lays stress—move his audience to tears and delight them by his wit. In the famous speech on

[1] Johnson, Lionel, *Postliminium*, p. 261.

the employment of Indian auxiliaries, he did both, the first by the manner in which he told the story of the murder of a Scottish girl on the eve of her marriage, the second by his parody of Burgoyne's address to the Indians. Yet, neither pathos nor humour is Burke's *forte*. His style wants the penetrating simplicity which is requisite to the highest effects in pathos. His tendency in the Indian speeches is to over-elaboration; his sensibility carries him away. There is more of sublime pathos alike in the image, and in the simplicity of the language in which it is conveyed, in Bright's famous sentence on the Angel of Death than in all that Burke ever wrote. Of irony and scorn, again, there is abundance in Burke; of the *cavillatio*, the raillery which is diffused through the speech, there are examples in all the chief speeches; but, of pure wit, which conciliates an audience by delighting it, there is little or none in the speeches as we know them, and Johnson would never admit that, in conversation, Burke's wit was felicitous.

Burke's unique power as an orator lies in the peculiar interpenetration of thought and passion. Like the poet and the prophet, he thinks most profoundly when he thinks most passionately. When he is not deeply moved, his oratory verges towards the turgid; when he indulges feeling for its own sake, as in parts of *Letters on a Regicide Peace*, it becomes hysterical. But, in his greatest speeches and pamphlets, the passion of Burke's mind shows itself in the luminous thoughts which it emits, in the imagery which at once moves *and* teaches, throwing a flood of light not only on the point in question but on the whole neighbouring sphere of man's moral and political nature. Such oratory is not likely to be immediately effective. "One always came away from Burke with one's mind full," Wordsworth declared; but it was necessary first to have a mind. The young men who jeered at Burke and interrupted him did so because they could not understand him; and Pitt and Dundas found it unnecessary to reply to the speech *On the Nabob of Arcot's Debts*. The successful orator moves most safely among the topics familiar to his audience, trusting for success to the art with which he adapts and adorns them. But Burke combined the qualities of the orator with those of the seer, the logical architecture of western oratory with qualities which we find in the Hebrew prophets—moral exaltation, the union of

dignity with trenchancy of language, vehemence, imagery that ranges from the sublime to the degrading. As the accidents of his political career recede into the distance we perceive more and more clearly for what he stood. He is the enemy of the spirit of Macchiavelli and Hobbes, which would exempt politics from the control of morality, and, in so far, is at one with Rousseau and the revolutionists. But, he is equally opposed to the new puritanism of the revolutionists, which claimed in the eighteenth century, as the puritans claimed in the sixteenth and seventeenth, to break in pieces the state or church that they might reconstruct it after an abstract and ideal pattern. His attitude to the doctrinaires of the "rights of man" is very similar to that of Hooker towards the followers of Cartwright. Yet, the first opposition is the more fundamental of the two. He is the great champion of the control of politics, domestic and foreign, by moral considerations. Philosophy was not so much the foe of his latter days as Jacobinism; and Jacobinism was simply Macchiavellism come back to fill the void which the failure of philosophy had created. It may be that, in his defence of moral prejudices and inherited institutions, he sometimes mistook the unessential for the vital; that his too passionate sensibility rendered his conduct at times factious, unjust and unwise. He brought into politics the faults as well as the genius of a man of letters and a prophet. When all is said, his is one of the greatest minds which have concerned themselves with political topics, and, alike, the substance and the form of his works have made him the only orator whose speeches have secured for themselves a permanent place in English literature beside what is greatest in our drama, our poetry and our prose. Of his many literary and artist friends, Johnson, Goldsmith, Reynolds and others, the foremost is Johnson. They differed radically in party politics, but they were knit together by a practical philosophy rooted in common sense and religious feeling.

CHAPTER II

Political Writers and Speakers

THE growth and improvement of the daily newspaper, in itself not a strictly literary event, had a natural and marked effect on political literature. In some ways, that effect was merely temporary. The supersession of the weekly essay, of *The North Briton* type, by the effusions of the letter writers of 1760–75 in a genuine newspaper[1] was soon cancelled; for the newspapers introduced a daily essay, the leading article, and letter-writers sank into the subordinate rôle they have held ever since. But, in political verse, a more permanent effect of the new conditions is noticeable In 1760, we have still the pamphlet poem and the decadent ballad. Some twenty years later, beside these there flourishes an almost new form, that of light, short, satiric verse, altogether slighter in immediate purpose and more playfully teasing in its objects and manner than its predecessors. It has flourished in the nineteenth century and has been marked by an ever-increasing attention to form, ending in a lyric precision surpassing, in some cases, that of serious poetry. For long, however, this new kind of verse was barely aware of its own existence, and wavered tentatively in methods and in choice of models; and, as often happens, in its careless youth it possessed a virility and fire not to be found in the perfected elegance of a later day.

Its rise seems traceable to the year 1784. At that time, the whigs were smarting under their utter rout in the recent general election. The king, their enemy, was victorious: the youthful Pitt was triumphant master of parliament; and revenge, though trifling and ephemeral, was sweet. The whig lampooners, indeed, were not without a serious object. The nation had

[1] See Vol. X, Chap. XVII.

ratified the king's choice of an administration. The whigs were concerned to show that the choice was wrong; and, in default of evidence derived from the acts of Pitt's ministry, they were reduced to merely personal mockery of him and his followers. Ministers were to be discredited by whig satire, if not by their own actions. And a number of brilliant devotees of Fox formed themselves into a club, *Esto Perpetua*, with the intent to mar the king's success.

Someone hit on the happy idea of a mock review of a mock epic, and thus *Criticisms of the Rolliad* began. The successive numbers of this production appeared, from time to time, in *The Morning Herald*, and won instantaneous popularity; when collected in book-form, they ran through twenty-two editions. Each number professed to be a commentary on a new epic that had just appeared. This mythical composition, *The Rolliad*, took its name from one of the chief butts of its wit, John Rolle, M.P. for Devonshire, whose stolid toryism had latterly found vent in an attempt to cough down Burke. He was provided with an ancestor, the Norman duke Rollo, whose adventures were a burlesque version of the *Aeneid*, and who, in due course (in the sixth book), is shown by Merlin in the House of Commons amid his party friends. The contemporary House of Lords, on the other hand, is revealed to Rollo by the dying Saxon drummer whom he has mortally wounded at Hastings. With the advent of fresh matter for ridicule, fresh editions of the epic were feigned to appear, and the topical insertions its author was supposed to make were quoted in prompt reviews, till, at last, even the dying drummer is allowed to die:

> Ha! ha!—this soothes me in severest woe;
> Ho! ho!—ah! ah!—oh! oh!—ha! ah!—ho!—oh!!!

Although their vivacity and wit, very different from Churchill's solemn tirades and the steely passion of Junius, had captivated the public, the authors of *The Rolliad* were too wise to overdo a happy invention. After a while, they transferred their efforts to another style of railing. This took the form of *Political Eclogues*, where prominent ministerialists lament or strive in rime after the fashion of the outspoken, yet literary, shepherds of Vergil. The new vein, in its turn, was worked out, and was succeeded by a series of *Probationary Odes* for the

laureateship, vacant by the death of Whitehead in 1785, and filled by the appointment of Thomas Warton. The victims thus made to submit specimen odes to the lord chamberlain were by no means chosen from purely literary circles. Politicians and divines are burlesqued together with poets of lesser rank. To be a supporter of Pitt was a sufficient ground for the fathership of an ode, in which the peculiarities of "the author" were gaily ridiculed. All these compositions had to submit to some sort of plan, epic, or collection of eclogues and odes; but, naturally, were accompanied by a number of scattered *jeux d'esprit* which had no such bond of connection between them. They were afterwards republished as *Political Miscellanies*, and, never very amusing, grew duller and feebler as the zeal of *The Rolliad* clique declined.

Not many of the members of the *Esto Perpetua* club, who took part in this baiting, were of the first rank of politicians. Two of them, and two only, were ex-ministers: general Richard Fitzpatrick, man of fashion and intimate of Fox, whose "cheerful countenance" and "gay voice" are curiously apparent in his printed page, and Lord John Townshend, less jovial but quite as witty. Of higher literary eminence was the antiquary George Ellis, a harbinger, in his way, of the so-called romantic movement. Other members were journalists, of whom Joseph Richardson was the chief; while French Laurence was professor of civil law at Oxford, and Richard Tickell a librettist of repute. The names now appeal to few; the importance of *The Rolliad's* creators, in spite of their ability, was as fugitive as their verses; but, working in unison, they obtained a collective interest otherwise denied them.

Nice respects and good-nature were not to be expected and not called for in the rough and tumble of political battle; but the vindictive feelings of the ousted whigs spurred them on, sometimes, to venomous railing and, sometimes, to scurrility, and it is characteristic of *The Rolliad* that personalities and barbed gossip not only abound but form nearly the whole of its matter. One and all of its authors are irresistibly diverted from the public demerits of their quarry to his mannerisms, his oddities and his private life. Pitt's continence and the dissoluteness of Dundas, the piety of one minister, the profanity of another, anything personal, in fact, form the staple of the jokes.

Yet it is impossible not to relish the humorous satire of Ellis's critique on Pitt's style of eloquence or the similar squib by Laurence:

> crisply nice
> The muffin-toast, or bread and butter slice,
> Thin as his arguments, that mock the mind,
> Gone, ere you taste,—no relish left behind.

A whole gallery of caricatured portraits comes before us, each touched with party malice and etched with cynical knowledge. On one occasion, for instance, Richardson explored the kitchen of the parsimonious duke of Richmond:

> Whether thou go'st while summer suns prevail,
> To enjoy the freshness of thy kitchen's gale,
> Where, unpolluted by luxurious heat,
> Its large expanse affords a cool retreat.[1]

It is one of the merits of *The Rolliad* to have abandoned the tragedy airs and desperate wrath of the political satire that immediately preceded it. Severe and rasping as are its flouts, they seldom lose the tone of club-room pleasantry, and its rimed heroics recall Gay's *Eclogues* rather than the polished verse of Pope. Being so much concerned with the personal foibles of forgotten men, its lines, for the most part, fall flat on a later generation, since they lack the finish which would make them interesting. The exceptions, like Fitzpatrick's couplets on the bishops,

> Who, still obedient to their Maker's nod,
> Adore their Sov'reign, and respect their God—

are few and far between. Very seldom is any squib complete in the verse alone; they are supported by a less epigrammatic raillery in the prose comment; which, however, for humour and sly fun, not infrequently surpasses the satire it is supposed to criticise.

To nothing more, perhaps, was *The Rolliad* indebted for its success than to the high spirits of its authors. They were gay; they seem to accompany their jokes with an infectious laugh.

[1] Probably suggested by Dryden's line: "Cool were his kitchens though his brain were hot." *Absalom and Achitophel*, 1, l. 621.

In consequence, the longer we read them, the more we fall into their humour; and their thin voices seem to gather volume as one after another takes up the theme and adds his quota to the burlesque. This may be one reason why the five *Political Eclogues*, in continuous verse and isolated in subject, have lost their savour, with the exception of Fitzpatrick's immortal *Lyars*, where two of Pitt's henchmen strive for the prize of mendacity. But, in *The Probationary Odes*, all ringing changes on the same caricature, they regain audience, whether it is George Ellis scoffing:

Oh! deep unfathomable Pitt!
To thee Ierne owes her happiest days!
Wait a bit,
And all her sons shall loudly sing thy praise!
Ierne, happy, happy Maid!
Mistress of the Poplin trade!

or another of the club penning an Ossianic duan:

A song shall rise!
Every soul shall depart at the sound!!!
The wither'd thistle shall crown my head!!!
I behold thee, O King!
I behold thee sitting on mist!!!
Thy form is like a watery cloud,
Singing in the deep like an oyster!!!!

This admirable fooling was succeeded by the still more amusing drolleries of a clerical black sheep, whose real talent, allied with certain respectable qualities, is obscured by his sordid life and offensive compositions. Peter Pindar was the pseudonym of John Wolcot, a country surgeon's son, who hovered during a long life on the dubious confines of society and Bohemia. He began his career as a physician, but, while well employed in Jamaica, was ordained in the hope of a living. Later, when practising as a doctor in his native county Cornwall, he discovered the painter Opie, helped to train him and came with him to London in 1781. He was to receive half Opie's profits, and they soon quarrelled. Wolcot's good judgment in art and his skill in minor verse, however, enabled him to make an income by a series of severe squibs on the royal

academicians. Thus, he was led to satirise their patron, the king, and *The Rolliad* gave him the cue for further achievements in the same style. In 1785, he scored considerable success in his mock-heroic poem, *The Lousiad*, which now, at least, reads very tediously. He followed this up, in 1787, by his profitable *Ode upon Ode;* it had an enormous, and, in a way, deserved, vogue. The absurdities of the yearly official ode-writing and the painful vagaries, together with some real faults, of George III were well known; and Wolcot, hampered by few convictions and fewer scruples, found a ready market among indignant whigs for his small scandal. What with legal threats and negotiations for a pension, which broke down, he decided, in two or three years, to choose less potent objects of attack; but he found his profits dwindle, and returned to the king and Pitt in 1792. His powers, of no uncommon vigour at best, were, however, waning; he was worsted by the surly Gifford, both in fisticuffs and in abusive verse. His later satire and his serious rimes were not of any merit, and he subsisted on a fortunate sale of his copyrights. When blindness overtook him, he displayed a stoical good humour, which makes us regret that a musical, artistic man, of a "kind and hearty disposition," played so scurvy a literary *rôle*.

Peter Pindar's verse is not of the kind that appears in anthologies, from which the immense length of his rambling drollery tends to bar him out. Still, the nature of his talent is the chief reason for his exclusion. He lacks altogether elect phrase, musical rhythm and any charm of imagination or thought. He sins constantly in baseness and vulgarity. As an imitator of La Fontaine, whose irregular verse was his chief model, and as a precursor of *The Ingoldsby Legends*, he takes a position of hopeless inferiority. None the less, one cannot but admire his positive ability. A mixture of good sense and mischievousness transpires successfully through his elaborately roguish airs. His shrewd hits at the king's stinginess and obtuseness went home. He is, perhaps, the very best of English caricaturists in verse, reaching his highest level in his account of the royal visit to Whitbread's brewery.[1] In its kind, it was delicate work; the lines of his drawing are very little out of their natural position; but the whole forms a glaring comic exaggeration.

[1] *Instructions to a celebrated Laureat.*

Bozzy and Piozzi, the amoebean strife of the two worshippers of Dr. Johnson in rimed quotations from their books, is another masterpiece in this style. Each absurdity of his two victims is emphasised with an adroit legerdemain of words, and Wolcot, for once, suppresses his irritating snigger. The pair are left to tell their own tale. Bozzy, for instance, says:

> But to return unto my charming child—
> About our Doctor JOHNSON she was *wild;*
> And when he left off speaking, she would flutter,
> Squall for him to begin again, and sputter!
> And to be *near* him a strong wish express'd,
> Which proves he was not such a horrid beast.

As appears in this instance, Peter Pindar's strength lies in his power of realising for his reader a comic situation; polished epigram and the keener arrows of wit are not in his quiver. He loves to slip one or two sly colloquialisms into verses written in the formal eighteenth century style, and, thus, brings out the broad fun of his conceptions. But his tricky method could only secure a temporary success; and, since his humour was not many-sided and depended on one or two foibles in his subject, he lost his hold on the public, when his lucky pocket of ore was exhausted. Nor could the scolding, dull invective, to which he then resorted, restore his popularity in an age that, after 1789, became engrossed in greater matters than the tattle of the servants' hall at Windsor.

The French revolution was essentially a proselytising movement. Republicanism, liberty, equality and fraternity, became a kind of creed, which was zealously propagated by pen and sword. Thus, the opposition to it in England was, at the same time, an effort to maintain the ancient social order, with its ideals and institutions, and a struggle to preserve national independence from the universal aggressions of the new France. And the champion of both endeavours was the younger Pitt. The times seemed to grow more and more dangerous. In 1797, cash payments were suspended at the Bank of England; seamen were mutinying at the Nore; Ireland was seething with discontent; the French arms were victorious against their continental foes; while, in England itself, a violent revolutionary propaganda was being carried on, which, if

it were more potent in appearance than in real significance, might still decoy the younger generation. It was to combat this propaganda and to hearten the national resistance that George Canning, Pitt's ablest lieutenant, founded his periodical, *The Anti-Jacobin*. The new journal, in addition to the customary contents of a newspaper, was to contradict systematically the statements of the other side, to ridicule any prominent person well-disposed towards the revolution, and to hold up to honour the old ideals of English polity. These objects it fulfilled. In contrast to its trivial predecessors, *The Anti-Jacobin* breathed a proud conviction and a religious fervour which lift it above mere party polemics. It is, indeed, bigoted in tone; for was it not fighting in the cause of righteousness and human happiness? To its authors, the favourers of the revolution are miscreants whom it is necessary to pillory and deride, and thus to render harmless. They themselves are confessors of the true political faith.

The men who wrote this fiery periodical may surprise us by their mundane character. There was the many-sided, brilliant Canning, then in the heyday of his youth; George Ellis, the amiable antiquary, by this time, a fervent tory and repentant of *The Rolliad;* and John Hookham Frere, the ideal of a cultivated country gentleman, whose striking literary achievement it was to introduce the satiric Italian epic into English. The editor was a man of literary mark, William Gifford. No one, perhaps, of the tribe of poor authors has gone through a more bitter struggle than his with the obstacles and misfortunes in his way, although they were not spread over a long term of years. He was the son of a ne'er-do-well, whose main occupation was that of a glazier at Ashburton in Devonshire. After a miserable boyhood, obsessed by a passionate and seemingly hopeless desire for learning amid the handicraft work to which he was forced, he was befriended by William Cookesley, a surgeon, and sent to Oxford by subscription. While there, he came to the notice of earl Grosvenor, and was appointed travelling tutor to his son. He was able to make something of a name, in 1794 and 1795, by his mediocre satires, *The Baviad* and *The Maeviad*, directed against the ridiculous Della Cruscan school[1] of poets and the small dramatic fry of the day. Although their merit

[1] See *post*, Chap. VIII.

was not great, his ample quotations from his victims made his conquest easy. When *The Anti-Jacobin* was set on foot, his sledge-hammer style and industry made him a fit editor for it; but he was mainly concerned with its prose. He did his task well, and, when *The Quarterly Review* was started in 1809, he was selected as its editor, a post he occupied for fifteen years, in despotic fashion, even finding it in his heart to mutilate an essay by Lamb. Meanwhile, he did yeoman service to literature by his translation of Juvenal in 1802 and by some editions of older English dramatists. Sound common-sense redeems his commonplace ability, while his sour, fierce criticisms find an explanation in his early hardships and constant ill-health. He seems to have written verse because it was, then, a regular accomplishment of literary men.

Even in its own day, *The Anti-Jacobin* was chiefly notable for its poets' corner, which contained the best political satire since the age of Dryden. The greater part of these compositions developed their wit in some form or another of parody. Jacobins were supposed to write them—Jacobins, who always preferred the most blatant version of extreme opinions. As usual, the idea was not quite new. *The Rolliad* had feigned to be the work of a ministerialist, and there was an element of parody in *Political Eclogues* and in *Probationary Odes*, although the veil was exceedingly conventional. Now, in *The Anti-Jacobin*, caustic parody was the essence of the satire. Among the earliest victims was the later tory poet laureate, Southey, who was just recovering from a severe attack of revolutionary fever. His conversion did not influence Canning and Frere, if they knew of it, and to their hostility we owe the verses among which *The Needy Knife-grinder* stands chief. Southey's sentimentalism and his halting accentual sapphics and dactylics were mercilessly imitated and surpassed. It was not only parody and ridicule of a particular victim, but humorous mockery of a type of thought, and, as such, has continued to live by reason of its admirable combination of inventive power, metre, phrase and artful contrast:

> Weary Knife-grinder! Little think the proud ones,
> Who in their coaches roll along the turnpike-
> road, what hard work 'tis crying all day "Knives and
> Scissars to grind O!"

The scholarly *négligé* of the form, the whimsical plight of the unlucky knife-grinder and the comedy of his "hard work" make us indifferent to the temporary politics which inspired this immortal skit.

More body, if less *bouquet*, is to be found in two longer contributions. It was a time when the genuine muse had retired to her "interlunar cave," and massive didactic poems enjoyed a transitory reign. Two authors of note took the lead, Richard Payne Knight and Erasmus Darwin.[1] Both were *philosophes* in their opinions and broached a variety of doctrines most obnoxious to *The Anti-Jacobin*. And, however invulnerable to attack they might be in their serious work, they were mortal in their verse. Knight's *Progress of Civil Society* was pompous and humourless; Darwin's machine-turned couplets glittered with a profusion of inappropriate poetical trappings. Knight's turn came first. *The Progress of Man* traced, with mischievous assurance, the decline of the human race from the days of the blameless savage, who fed "on hips and haws."

> Man only,—rash, refined, presumptuous man,
> Starts from his rank, and mars creation's plan.
> Born the free heir of nature's wide domain,
> To art's strict limits bounds his narrow'd reign;
> Resigns his native rights for meaner things,
> For faith and fetters—laws, and priests, and kings.

Darwin's *Loves of the Plants* was taken off as *The Loves of the Triangles*. The merit of both these parodies consists, not only in their sparkling wit, but in their genuine exaggeration of the original authors' foibles. They are not a forced, ridiculous echo; only the real traits are accentuated to caricature.

Burlesque of the same high rank appears in *The Rovers*. This delicious mock-play parodies certain productions of the German drama, then only beginning to be known in England by translations. Like its fellow-satires, it derived assistance from the extravagances to be found in some of the works it derided. These extravagances differed from one another in kind as well as in degree; but Goethe, Schiller and Kotzebue seemed alike fair game to the satirist, and the result was a spirited farce,

[1] See *post*, Chap. VIII.

which has remained amusing long after the close of the literary controversy which was its occasion.

The series of parodies surpass the other poetry of *The Anti-Jacobin* in that they were perfect in their kind. None the less, in absolute merit, they fall behind its most serious piece, *The New Morality*. In 1798, *The Anti-Jacobin* had done its office of cheapening and discrediting the revolutionary propagandists, and its gall and licence of satire were in danger of alienating less fervent supporters. So it was decided to cease its publication. Canning gathered together all his power for a final, crushing blow. With but little assistance from his friends, he composed a formal satire in the manner of Churchill; and, although *The New Morality* is hardly the work of a great poet, yet its sincerity of passionate conviction, no less than its admirable rhetoric and skilful versification, raises it above the ill-formed genius of its model. Canning was not a cosmopolitan philosopher; he was full of insular patriotism and produced his best when giving full-hearted expression to it. From his sneering contempt of sympathisers with France and of half-hearted—perhaps impartial—"candid friends" of the ministry, he rises, through fierce denunciatory scorn of the French publicists, to an appeal to maintain the older England of law and right. Burke is his prophet:

> Led by thy light, and by thy wisdom wise;

he urges the claims of the native past—

> Guard we but our own hearts; with constant view
> To ancient morals, ancient manners true;
> True to the manlier virtues, such as nerv'd
> Our fathers' breasts, and this proud isle preserv'd
> For many a rugged age: and scorn the while
> Each philosophic atheist's specious guile;
> The soft seductions, the refinements nice,
> Of gay Morality, and easy Vice;
> So shall we brave the storm; our 'stablish'd pow'r
> Thy refuge, EUROPE, in some happier hour.

Thus, *The Anti-Jacobin*, at its close, bade farewell to the burlesque spirit which had guided political satire since the days of *The Rolliad*. The utmost in that style of writing—after all, not a lofty style, not an important species of literature—had been

achieved, and the exhausted wave drew back again. Canning's own subsequent political verse, scanty in quantity as it was, never attained the excellence of his contributions to his famous newspaper; and the successors to *The Anti-Jacobin*, which borrowed its title, were unable to supply verse of real merit.

One of the butts of *The Anti-Jacobin* who was treated with a tolerant good-humour which he well deserved, was "Mr. Higgins of St. Mary Axe." In real life, he was the most extreme of the English revolutionary philosophers, William Godwin. This amiable commonplace man, who, however, possessed a marvellous capacity for reasoning without regard to experience, was born in 1756, a younger son of a dissenting minister. He obtained his education, first at a Norfolk grammar school, and then at Hoxton academy in London. In 1778, he became, in his turn, a minister, but he never stayed long at one place and soon adopted the more congenial profession of authorship. Much conscientious, ephemeral work was done by him in history and literature; but he was brought into sudden prominence by a book of startling opinions, *Political Justice*, published in 1793. The influence of this book was great among the younger generation, which, indeed, Godwin was naturally able to attract and advise in private life as well as by political speculation. His kindly sympathy and almost boyish optimism were never better applied than in his friendships with young men. Bred a Calvinist, he had become a believer in materialism and necessity, passing, in 1792, to atheism, and renouncing it somewhere about 1800. He was, above all things, a system-maker; philosophy and politics were, for him, indistinguishable; and, of his views on both, he was an eager advocate in public and private, whenever he had the opportunity. Meanwhile, he was obliged to earn a living besides propagating his opinions. So, we find him writing proselytising novels, *Caleb Williams* and *St. Leon*, which he hoped would insinuate his views in the public mind. During these years, he met and married another writer of innovating beliefs. Mary Wollstonecraft, to use her maiden name, is a far more attractive person than her placid husband. She was of Irish extraction, and had the misfortune to be one of the children of a ne'er-do-well. In 1780, at the age of twenty,

Mary Wollstonecraft took up the teaching profession, as schoolmistress and governess. She was almost too successful, for, in 1788, she lost her post as governess for Lady Kingsborough, in consequence of her pupils becoming too fond of her. The next four years she passed as a publisher's hack, till, at last, her *Vindication of the Rights of Woman* made her name known in 1792. Shortly after its publication, she made the mistake of her life by accepting the "protection" of Gilbert Imlay, an American, during a residence in France. Marriage, in her eyes, was a superfluous ceremony, and it was not celebrated between her and Imlay, who, in the end, became unfaithful beyond endurance. Thus, in 1796, she began single life again in London with a daughter to support. She had written, in 1794, a successful account of the earlier period of the French revolution, and her literary reputation was increased by letters written to Imlay during a Scandinavian tour. Very quickly, she and Godwin formed an attachment, which, in accordance with their principles, only led to marriage in 1797 in order to safeguard the interests of their children. But the birth of a child, the future wife of Shelley, was fatal to the mother, in September, 1797. She had been a generous, impulsive woman, always affectionate and kind. Godwin's second choice of a wife was less fortunate and conduced to the unhappy experiences of his later years, which fill much space in the life of Shelley. Pursued by debt, borrowing, begging, yet doing his best to earn a living by a small publishing business, and by the production of children's books, novels, an impossible play and divers works in literature, history and economics, he at last obtained a small sinecure, which freed his later years from pecuniary anxiety. He died in 1836.

While both Godwin and Mary Wollstonecraft were rebels against the established order, and contemned the traditional usages of mankind, not only as obsolete and calling for improvement, but as, in themselves, of no account, Godwin was, by far, the greater visionary of the two. Mary Wollstonecraft, in spite of the pompous energy of her expressions in her *Vindication of the Rights of Woman*, was essentially an educational reformer, urging schemes all of which were, possibly, practicable, if not necessarily advisable. Girls should be educated in much the same way as boys, and the two sexes should be

taught together. Thus, she says, women would become genuine companions of men, and would be fitted to share in the rights, both civil and political, of which they were deprived. The opposition which the book aroused, however, was not only due to its definite proposals, but, also, to the slashing attack on her own sex, as she conceived it to be, and to the coarseness with which she described certain social evils. But it reveals an amiable spirit, characteristic of the writer, and its fire and somewhat shrill enthusiasm make some amends for the lack of exact reasoning and the excess of unrestrained, glittering rhetoric. As a landmark in the evolution of social ideas, and a sign of revolt against a then prevailing sexual cant, it has an importance which it cannot be said to possess in literature or, perhaps, as a statement of historical facts: there was, at the time, much more education of women, both separate and in conjunction with the male sex, than she was willing to allow. As a governess, she had had too vivid an experience of the fine lady and the conventional miss of the eighteenth century.

The visions of Godwin, however, were visions indeed. He dreamed of a new-made world, of perfect or nearly perfected beings with no government, scarcely any co-operation, no laws, no diseases, no marriage, no trade, only perfect peace secured by a vigilant, and, in truth, perpetually meddling, public opinion. This programme, in Godwin's eyes, was rendered practicable by his views on human nature. Men's actions were due to a process of reasoning founded on their opinions, which, in turn, were formed by a process of reasoning.

"When" a murderer "ultimately works up his mind to the perpetration, he is then most strongly impressed with the superior recommendations of the conduct he pursues."

Free-will, he denied: thus, if a man's reason were really convinced, no doubt remained as to his actions. The reformer, in consequence, was not to be a revolutionary; since, by means of revolution, he would only introduce measures to which he had been unable to convert his fellow-countrymen. The real way to change the world for the better was a continuance of peaceful argument, wherein truth, naturally having stronger reasons in its favour than error, would prevail. Incessant discussion would gradually alter the general opinions of men. Then,

the changes he desired would be made. The obvious counter-argument, that, by his own theory, error had won in the contest with truth up to his time and that the actual course of human politics had been a mistake, did not occur to him; and the attractiveness of his optimistic outlook combined with the rigidity of his deductive logic, much incidental shrewdness and a singular force of conviction, to gain him a numerous following. His style, too, deserved some success. He was always clear and forcible; his sentences convey his exact meaning without effort, and display a kind of composed oratorical effect. In curious contrast to Mary Wollstonecraft, who advocated what might be described as a practical, if novel, scheme of education with the enthusiasm of a revolutionary, her husband outlined the complete wreck of existing institutions, with a Utopia of the simple life to follow, in a calm philosophising manner, which ignored even the lukewarm emotions felt by himself. The passion he lacked was to be supplied, later, by his son-in-law, Shelley.

Godwin's *Political Justice* escaped suppression owing to the small number of readers whom a costly book,[1] even one which passed through several editions, could reach. He gained a larger audience for his novels, which were intended to lead to the same convictions. The only one of these which still finds readers is *The Adventures of Caleb Williams, or, Things as They Are*, published in 1794. Here, Godwin is concerned with two aspects of the same thesis; first, the oppression which a poor man could suffer under the existing institutions, and, secondly, the perversion of character in a member of the ruling class through his acceptance of the ideals of chivalry. With these ingredients, the tale, as a whole, is most bizarre. Its personages act in a very unlikely way. Falkland, the virtuous villain, who, because of a chivalric regard for his reputation, has allowed two innocent men to be executed for a murder he himself committed, shows a persistent ingenuity in harassing his attached dependent, Williams, who has guessed his secret, into accusing him; a brigand band, led by a philanthropic outlaw, establishes its headquarters close to a county town; Williams surpasses the average hero in prodigies of resource and endurance; Falkland, in the end, confesses his guilt in consequence of

[1] Its price was three guineas.

the energy with which his victim expresses the remorse he feels at making the true accusation. Yet, with all this, the story is put together with great skill. In spite of its artificial rhetoric and their own inherent improbability, there is a human quality in the characters, and Williams's helplessness in his attempt to escape from his persecutor gives us the impression, not so much of the forced situations of a novel, as of unavoidable necessity. In fact, Godwin's talent as a novelist lay in his remarkable powers of invention, which were heightened by his matter of fact way of relating improbabilities. He was partly aware of it, perhaps, and his other important novel, *St. Leon*, attempted the same feat with impossibilities. But, in spite of a temporary vogue, it is now only remembered for its portrait of Mary Wollstonecraft, and the retractation of his theoretic abolition of "the charities of private life."

From Godwin, who, in his worst days, kept round him a tattered cloak of magnanimity, it is an abrupt change to his fellow-revolutionary, the coarse-grained, shrewd Thomas Paine. Yet, the latter had virtues which were missing in his contemporary. His public spirit led him to disregard all profit from political works which had a large sale; he was not a beggar, and the rewards he was forced to ask from the American governments were the barest payments on account of admitted services to the United States. In fact, he was a born pamphleteer, never happy unless he was divulging his opinions for the welfare of the human race as he conceived it. Dogmatic and narrow-minded, he was not a man to be troubled by doubts: the meaning of history, the best form of government, right and wrong, falsehood and truth, all seemed quite plain to him, and he had no more hesitation than Godwin in making a working model of the universe, as he did of the iron bridge by him invented. It was not till he was well advanced in middle life that he obtained an opportunity of showing his great talents. He was the son of a poor Norfolk Quaker, and spent all his earlier years in the struggle to make a decent livelihood. In turn, a staymaker, a seaman, a school-usher, a tobacconist and an exciseman, he moved from place to place, until he was finally dismissed from the excise in 1774, and, in the same year, emigrated to Philadelphia. There, he almost immediately edited

The Pennsylvania Magazine, and proved at once his literary talent and the advanced character of his opinions by attacking slavery and advocating American independence. In 1776, he became famous by his pamphlet, *Common-Sense*, which he, at least, looked on as the principal instrument in consolidating American opinion in favour of war. Having gained the public ear, he continued the work of encouraging resistance to English rule by two series of effective pamphlets, called *The Crisis*, and was soon recognised as the leading writer of his new country, while, with characteristic versatility, he also served as a soldier, as secretary to the congress's foreign committee and as clerk to the Pennsylvania assembly. Peace brought him moderate rewards and a retirement which he could not endure. He returned to England to prosecute his mechanical inventions, the fruit of his leisure hours, and soon became involved anew in politics. The French revolution proved a fresh turning-point in his career. In 1791–2, he took up the cudgels against Burke in the two parts of *The Rights of Man*. The ability, and, still more, the wide circulation, of these tracts brought him in danger of arrest, and he fled to France, where he became a member of Convention, and, after all but falling a victim to the guillotine, was a founder of the new sect of theophilanthropists. Then he dropped into obscurity and, in 1802, went once more to America, only to find that his *Age of Reason*, published in 1794–5, had alienated from him almost all his friends. A thick crop of slanders grew up round him, without, apparently, any foundation save the fact that he was occasionally drunk. Still, he kept a bold front to the world, and continued to write pamphlets almost till his death in 1809.

Paine was a prince of pamphleteers and all his literary talent seems confined to that end. His general ideas were of the simplest, not to say the shallowest; but he grasped them firmly and worked them out with a clear and ready logic. His immense ignorance of history and literature was by no means ill compensated by an intimate knowledge of actual affairs; and his shrewdness made him a formidable critic even of Burke. His style was always clear, and, a little rhetoric apart, unaffected. Quite without charm as it was, his warmth and force and command of appropriate words made it more than passable.

Every now and then, he falls into sheer vulgarity which is most noticeable in his theological writings; but, more usually, he can alternate a mediocre eloquence with trenchant argumentative composition. So far as copying the written word was concerned, Paine was quite original; but, doubtless, he owed much to the debates and casual conversations in which he took part. In *The Rights of Man*, he appears as a narrow doctrinaire; he takes over the theory of the social contract as the basis for his constructive views, and justifies revolution, partly on the ground that no generation can bind its successors, and partly by the argument that the social contract must be embodied in a formal constitution: where such did not exist, a mere tyranny prevailed, which had no basis in right. He was thus, like Godwin, entirely opposed to Burke's doctrine of prescription. To criticise the faults of the existing state of things was easy and obvious; but Paine expounded, also, a radical constructive policy including parliamentary reform, old age pensions and a progressive income-tax. With these and other changes, he looked forward to a broadcloth millennium. *The Age of Reason* showed all Paine's qualities and an unusual abundance of his defects. His want of taste and the almost complete absence in him of any sense of beauty or grandeur are as conspicuous as his narrow self-complacency. But his reasoning, however limited in scope, was shrewd enough. Generally speaking, he combined a rough historical criticism of the Bible with the argument that the Jewish and Christian conceptions of the Deity were incompatible with the deism revealed to man by external nature and by his own conscience. In this way, the truculent pamphleteer seems to stand near one of the sources of modern theology.

The heir to the pamphleteering eminence of Paine was a man oddly like, and, again, oddly unlike, his predecessor. William Cobbett, too, rose by his own efforts from the poorer classes. His father was a small farmer and innkeeper in Hampshire, and he educated himself with indomitable pluck while he was serving as a soldier. Owing to his accomplishments, he rose to the rank of sergeant-major and became a kind of clerk-factotum to his regiment; but, in 1791, he suddenly obtained his discharge and attempted to convict several of his former officers of peculation. No facilities for proof were allowed him

and he did not appear at the court-martial. Instead, he went to France, and, after a short residence there, occupied in acquiring the language, he emigrated, like Paine, to Philadelphia. Still following Paine's precedent, he had not been settled long in America before he took up the pamphlet-writing trade. Under the apt pseudonym of Peter Porcupine, he conducted a pro-British and anti-French campaign, until he was ruined by libel cases and obliged to return to England, in 1800. He was well received, as was natural, in government circles, and soon started work as a tory free lance. His first venture, *The Porcupine*, failed; but his second, *Cobbett's Political Register*, a weekly newspaper with long leaders, which he began in 1802, gained the public ear. At first tory, then independent, at last strongly radical, he maintained, till his death, an influence of which no persecution and no folly could deprive him. He appealed to the farmer and small trader as no one else could. The composition of his weekly *Register* was not his only occupation. Besides other publishing ventures, including *Parliamentary Debates*, later undertaken by Hansard, and *State Trials*, he combined business and enthusiastic pleasure as a model farmer. All went well until, in 1810, he received a sentence of two years' imprisonment on account of an invective against military flogging. He could keep up writing his *Register;* but his farm went to wrack, and he came out heavily in debt. Still, however, his hold on the public increased, and, when, in 1816, he succeeded in reducing the price to twopence, the circulation of his paper rose to over 40,000 copies. A temporary retreat to America did little to impair the extent of his audience, and, all through the reign of George IV, he was a leader of political opinion. Books from his pen, egotistic in character, on farming, on politics, on the conduct of life by the young, appeared one after another, had their temporary use and still provided specimens of his character and his literary style. By 1830, his fortunes were re-established; the Reform act opened the doors of parliament to him, and he sat in the Commons till his death in 1835.

Personal ambition and public spirit had nearly equal shares in the indomitable Cobbett. Enormously and incorrigibly vain, "pragmatic, busy, bustling, bold," he loved to be, or to think himself, the centre of the stage, to lay down the law on

everything, to direct, praise or censure everybody, to point out how things ought to be done, and, best of all, to spar furiously with those who held opposite opinions. General principles were beyond the limit of his faculties; hence, he completely veered round in his politics with hardly a suspicion of the fact. His explanations of the state of things that he saw round him were hasty guesses, rapidly matured into unreasoning prejudices. It was all due to the funded debt and paper money, aggravated by progressive depopulation (in 1820!),[1] tithes and the tardy adoption of his improvements in farming. Yet, he was a shrewd and accurate observer, and an expert and fair judge of the state of agriculture and the condition of tillers of the soil. True, he had much good sense and critical faculty to apply to other political matters; but, regarding the land, he was always at his best. Peasant-bred, with a passion for farming, and a most genuine, if quite unpoetic, love of the open country and all that it could offer eye or ear, he depicted, with Dutch honesty, the rural England that he knew how to see, its fertility and beauty, the misery that had descended on many of its inhabitants, the decent prosperity remaining to others. And he was master of a style in which to express his knowledge. It is not one of those great styles which embalm their authors' memory; but it was serviceable. He is vigorous, plain and absolutely unaffected. The aptest words come to him with most perfect ease. His eloquence springs from vivid insight into the heart of his theme, and from a native fervour and energy that do not need art to blow them into flame. Apart from his plebeian virulence, he shows a natural good taste in writing. The flaccid elegance and pompous rotund verbiage then in vogue are, by him, left on one side. If he cannot frame a period, every sentence has its work to do, and every sentence tells. What mars his farmer's Odyssey, *Rural Rides*, is, perhaps, the excess of this very disregard for fine writing. They are notes of what he saw, and notes must often be brief, formless and disconnected. Imagination and the charm it gives are, indeed, absent throughout; but his sympathetic realism has an attraction of its own. He scans the look and manners of the

[1] Cobbett's determination, in spite of the census returns, to consider the population as decreasing, is a remarkable instance of the strength of his prejudices. It is true that he acknowledged the growth of the great towns.

labourers; he calculates whether they have bacon to eat; he descants on the capabilities of the soil; and he is able to impress upon his readers the strength of his interest in these things and of his enjoyment of field and woods and streams and the palatable salmon that inhabit the latter. He seems to give an unconscious demonstration how excellent a tongue English could be for a man, who saw and felt keenly, to express the facts as he saw them, and the emotions which possessed him.

The forms of political literature which have been described —verse and prose, solemn treatise, pamphlet or weekly essay— all possess one advantage over oratory. We can judge of their effectiveness from themselves, as well as from what we are told about them. Something we may miss in atmosphere which the contemporary reader enjoyed; but, in all things else, we are under the same conditions as his. In oratory, however, the case is different. We have to piece together scattered reminiscences of those who heard the speaker, and to imagine, as well as we can, the effective delivery, the charm of voice and gesture, and, still more, the momentary appropriateness of argument, phrase and manner which gave life and force to what is now dead or semi-animate matter. It is hardly possible, in fact, to do justice, long after, in cold blood, to debating points, for, unlike the hearers, unlike the speaker himself, we are not strung up, waiting for the retort to an argument or invective. The necessary medium of interest and excitement is not to be conjured up. These considerations, however, represent the least of the disadvantages we are under in estimating English oratory at the close of the eighteenth century. We do not even possess the great speeches of that day in anything like completeness. The merest fragments remain of the elder Pitt, perhaps the first among all English orators. And we do not, apparently, find lengthy reports till about the year 1800, while even these are, possibly, somewhat curtailed. Of some of the greatest triumphs in debate of Fox, of the younger Pitt and of Sheridan, we have only mangled remnants. One doubtful merit alone seems left; in contradistinction to an orator's published version of his speech, inevitably different from its spoken form and addressed to a reading audience in another mood than that of an excited assembly, they give us,

at their best, what was actually said, although in mere fragments, with the reasoning maimed and the fire extinct.

After Burke, Charles James Fox was the senior of the group of great orators in the mid reign of George III. He entered parliament in 1768 while still under age, but it was not till February, 1775, that he first showed his powers in a speech in favour of the Americans. Year by year, he grew in ability and debating skill, and Lord Rockingham's death in 1782 left him the undoubted leader of the whigs. But he was now to share his pre-eminence in oratory with a rival. William Pitt the younger entered the commons in 1781, and his maiden speech at once raised him to the front rank of speakers. Perhaps, English public speaking has never again quite reached the level of those twenty-five years, when Fox and Pitt carried on their magnificent contest. Whichever of the two spoke last, said Wilberforce, seemed to have the best of the argument. Burke, whose eloquence, in his speeches revised for publication, and even in the verbatim report of what he said, stands far higher as literature than theirs, could not compare with them in effectiveness in actual speaking, or in the favour of the House of Commons. It was admitted that their successors, Canning and Grey, belonged to an inferior class of orators. The times were peculiarly favourable. These men spoke on great affairs to a highly critical, cultivated, but not pedantic, audience, which had been accustomed to hear the very best debating and which demanded both efficaciousness of reasoning, clearness of expression and splendour of style. Thus, spurred on by sympathy and success, the two masters of debate established a dual empire over the house. Their powers of persuading those connoisseurs of oratory, whom they addressed, appear, indeed, surprisingly small, when we look at the division-lists; but, at least, they cast a triumphal robe over the progress of events.

Like all great speakers, they were improvisers, and, in this line, Fox was admitted to excel. He could come straight from gambling at Brooks's, and enter with mastery into the debate. He had an uncanny skill in traversing and reversing his opponents' arguments, and in seizing on the weak point of a position. Then, he would expose it to the House with a brilliantly witty illustration. Admirable classic as he was, no one understood

better the genius of the English language. His thoughts poured out, for the most part, in short vigorous sentences, lucid and rhythmical to a degree. Volubility, perhaps, was his fault, as was to be expected in an extemporary speaker, and there was little that was architectural in his speeches. Without any rambling, they showed but small subordination of parts; one point is made after another, great and small together. Even his speech on the Westminster scrutiny in 1784 has this defect, in spite of his cogent reasoning. As a result, he often reads thin, not from spreading out his matter, but from delaying over unimportant aspects of it. He was convinced that he could refute anything, so he refuted everything. But these blots were scarcely observable at the time. To a marvellous extent, he possessed the ability to reason clearly at the highest pressure of emotion.

He forgot himself and everything around him. He thought only of his subject. His genius warmed and kindled as he went on. He darted fire into his audience. Torrents of impetuous and irresistible eloquence swept along their feelings and conviction.[1]

On the whole, Pitt was more favoured in his delivery than his competitor. Fox's clumsy figure, negligently dressed in blue and buff, seemed unprepossessing; only his shaggy eyebrows added to the expression of his face; his voice would rise to a bark in excitement. Pitt was always dignified and composed:

> In solemn dignity and sullen state,
> This new Octavius rises to debate,

wrote George Ellis, carping, in *The Rolliad*. But his musical voice, in spite of its monotony, enchanted the house, and his manner carried authority with it. He was even more lucid than Fox; the whole course of his argument lay clear even in an unpremeditated speech. And he was far more selective in his reasoning. Only the really decisive considerations were enforced by him, and, in expounding a general policy, he was unequalled. He was architectonic by nature; each speech is a symmetrical building, proceeding from foundation to coping-stone. His

[1] Sir James Mackintosh's journal, printed in *Memoirs of the Life of the Rt. Hon. Sir James Mackintosh*, ed. by his son, Mackintosh, R. J., 1835, vol. 1, pp. 322–5.

diction, the "blaze of elocution" for which he was renowned, was copious and graceful, but, also, prolix amost beyond endurance, and too often leaves the impression that there is nothing in it, and that Pitt himself either did not intend to say anything or was concealing how little he had to say. The matter, indeed, is generally commonplace, though there is a statesmanlike good sense about it which is unlike the perverse ingenuity of Fox, adding argument to argument to obtain an unwise conclusion. None the less, if Pitt's style be antiquated and, at times, stilted, it can rise, as it does in his celebrated speech on the slave-trade in 1792, to magnificent declamation. His perorations, growing out of his preceding matter as they do, and containing definite reasoning and not mere verbal finery, show him at his best. It was in them that he displayed to the full his skill in the then much prized art of Latin quotation. Every speaker, if he could, quoted Latin verse to point his sayings; but Pitt excelled all in his felicitous selection. Long-famous passages seemed hardly quoted by him, it seemed rather that the orator's stately period itself rose into poetry.

While Fox shone especially in the witty humour of an illustration, irresistibly quaint and full of a convincing sound sense, Pitt employed a dry contumelious sarcasm, in which severe irony was the distinguishing trait. Thus, he observed of a hopelessly muddled speech that it "was not, I presume, designed for a complete and systematic view of the subject." Both orators, however, so far as mere wit was concerned, were outdone by Richard Brinsley Sheridan,[1] who almost turned their dual supremacy into a triumvirate of eloquence. But in spite of all his brilliancy, he was manifestly outweighted; unlike Pitt and Fox, he had entered the period of decline long before he quitted parliament. It is not easy, from the mere reports of his speeches, to give a satisfactory account of his comparative lack of weight and influence. He entered parliament in the same year as Pitt, and his oratorical ability, although, at first, it was somewhat clouded, soon obtained the recognition it deserved; one speech against Warren Hastings, in February, 1787, was declared by the auditors to be the best they had ever heard. But, perhaps, he was too frankly an advocate, and he was too clearly bound, by personal attach-

[1] Concerning Sheridan as a dramatist, see *post*, Chap. XII.

ment, rather than by interest, to the prince of Wales's chariot-wheels. Although his special pleading by no means surpassed that of his contemporaries, it was more obvious, and his changes of opinion, due to fresh developments of Napoleon's action, were not condoned as were those of others. In 1812, the first debater of the day was left out of parliament through the loss of the prince's favour, and his political career was closed.

Wit—brilliant, sustained and polished to the utmost—distinguished Sheridan from his competitors. Many of his impromptu speeches, alone in contemporary literature, have the true Junian ring, and, were they known by later publication or could they have been prepared beforehand, doubtless we should have been told that they were "tormented with the file." As it is, we must own that balanced antithesis and mischievous scoffing were native to him and his readiest means of expression, even if the *Letters of Junius* provided him with a favourite model. Nor did his merits end with wit. In the mere physical part of oratory, his animated gay expression and his trained musical voice exercised an "inconceivable attraction," although it may be that the absence of "violence or excess," which is also recorded, may have led to an impression that he was not in earnest. In spite of this, his gaiety could be very bitter; and, so far as the words went, his higher flights could be as impassioned as any. Yet, his merit was his defect; he is not absorbed in his subject like Fox, or delivering a ruler's oracles like Pitt; we feel, all along, that here is a celebrated author, enjoying the use of his powers, impassioned on principles of taste and arguing with the conscious pleasure of the casemaker. He bears print better than the two greater men; but, in the real test of an orator—the spoken word—he was, admittedly, their inferior.

That weight and respect which Sheridan never gained was amply enjoyed by his fellow-countryman, Henry Grattan. Perhaps, as a statesman from his youth up, whose whole energies were engrossed in politics and government, he had an inevitable advantage over the brilliant literary amateur. But the main causes lie deep, in divergences of genius and temperament. Grattan had none of Sheridan's exterior advantages; his gestures were uncouth, his enunciation difficult. He sur-

mounted these impediments, however, almost at once, both on his entry into the Irish parliament, in 1775, and on that into the parliament of the United Kingdom, in 1805. In the former case, he led the party which obtained Irish legislative independence, and inaugurated a period called by his name; in the latter, at the time of his death, he had become venerated as the last survivor of the giants of debate among a lesser generation. A certain magnanimity in Grattan corresponded to the greatness of his public career. His fiercest invective, however severe in intent and effect, had an old-world courtliness. Of persiflage he knew nothing; his wit, of which he had plenty, was dignified and almost stern. "You can scarcely answer a prophet; you can only disbelieve him," he said grimly, in 1800, of the Irish predictions of Pitt. He was always, beyond question, in earnest. The excellence of his speeches does not depend on any of the pettier artistic canons of composition. Rhythmical sentences and periods are both to seek. There is no architectural arrangement of matter; he forges straight ahead, seizing on the crucial points one by one. But he had a magnificent power of statesmanlike reasoning and of lucid exposition, and, if he had not Fox's capability of making all argument seem to tend his way, he was quite able to make opposing reasons seem of little worth. He could generalise, too, and state, in a pithy way, maxims of practical philosophy. Pithiness and expressiveness, indeed, were at the root of his oratory. His thoughts came out double-shotted and white-hot; his words are the most forcible and convincing for his meaning, rather than the most apt. It was conviction and force at which he aimed, not beauty. Yet, every now and then, he attains a literary charm, more lasting, because more deeply felt, than the considered grace of Sheridan or Pitt.

CHAPTER III

Bentham and the Early Utilitarians

JEREMY BENTHAM is famous as the leader of a school of thought and practice which is known sometimes as utilitarianism, sometimes as philosophical radicalism. Before his day, the philosophical school was not a characteristic feature of English speculation. The greater writers influenced the course of ideas without transmitting a definite body of doctrines to a definite group of followers. Bacon proclaimed a philosophical revolution; but he sought in vain for assistants and collaborators, and the details of his theory were commonly ignored. Hobbes formulated a compact system, but he had no disciples. Locke struck out a new way which many followed to conclusions often very different from his own. Berkeley never lost courage, but he could not open other eyes to his own vision, and the verdict of the day upon his speculations seems to be not unfairly represented by Hume's statement that his arguments "admit of no answer and produce no conviction." For his own sceptical results, Hume himself seemed to desire applause rather than converts. The works of these writers never led to a combination for the defence and elucidation of a creed—to any philosophical school which can be compared with peripateticism, stoicism, or Epicureanism in ancient Greece or with the Cartesian, Kantian, or Hegelian schools in modern thought. The nearest approach to such a phenomenon was of the nature of a revival—the new Platonic movement of the seventeenth century, associated with the names of Cudworth, Henry More and other Cambridge scholars.[1] In this way, the utilitarian group presents an appearance unknown before in English philosophy—a simple set of doctrines held in

[1] See *ante*, Vol. VIII, Chap. XI.

common, with various fields assigned for their application, and a band of zealous workers, labouring for the same end, and united in reverence for their master.

Jeremy Bentham was born in 1748 and died in 1832, when his fame was at its height and his party was on the eve of a great triumph.[1] He was a prodigy from his childhood; he read history and French, Latin and Greek, when other boys of his years were feeding their imaginations with fairy tales; at the tender age of thirteen, his religious sensibilities were hurt and theological doubts raised in his mind when he was required to sign the thirty-nine articles on matriculating at Queen's college, Oxford; he submitted, however, completed his course there and afterwards duly entered upon the study of law in London. His father had marked his abilities and expected them to raise him to the woolsack; he had several causes "at nurse" for him before he was called to the bar; and, when Jeremy neglected the practical for the theoretical side of his profession, the father said in his grief that the boy would never be anything more than "the obscure son of an obscure attorney." But he made life easy for his son financially, and had some compensation for the disappointment of his ambition in the reputation made by Jeremy's first book, *A Fragment on Government*, which was published anonymously in 1776, and which the public voice ascribed to one or another of several great men, including Burke and Mansfield.

Bentham spent almost his whole life in London or its neighbourhood; but, for over two years, 1785–88, he made an extended tour in the east of Europe and paid a long visit to his younger brother Samuel, who held an important industrial appointment at Kritchev, in Russia. There, he wrote his *Defence of Usury* (published 1787). There, also, from his brother's method of inspecting his work-people, he derived the plan of his "panopticon"—a scheme for prison management, which was to dispense with Botany bay. On this scheme, he laboured for five and twenty years; the government played with it and finally rejected it, giving him a large sum by way of compensation for the still larger sums which he had expended on its advocacy; but the failure of this attempt to influence

[1] He died on 6 June, the day before the royal assent was given to the Reform bill.

administration left its mark on his attitude to the English system of government.

After his return from Russia, Bentham published, in 1789, the work which, more than any other, gives him a place among philosophers—*An Introduction to the Principles of Morals and Legislation.* It had been printed nine years earlier, and only the urgency of his friends induced him to make it public. As an author, Bentham was singularly careless about publication and as to the form in which his writings appeared. He worked assiduously, in accordance with a plan which he formed early in life; he passed from point to point methodically; each day he produced a number of pages of manuscript, indicated their place in his scheme and then put them aside and never looked at them again. A doubtful proposition would lead him to turn to a new line of enquiry, which might mean a new book. According to one of the friends of his early years, he was "always running from a good scheme to a better. In the meantime life passes away and nothing is completed." This method of working had its effect upon his style. His early writings were clear and terse and pointed, though without attempt at elegance. Afterwards, he seemed to care only to avoid ambiguity, and came to imitate the formalism of a legal document. He was overfond, also, of introducing new words into the language; and few of his inventions have had the success of the term "international," which was used for the first time in the preface to his *Introduction to the Principles of Morals and Legislation.*

It was fortunate for Bentham's reputation that he soon came to be surrounded by a group of devoted friends, who were convinced of the value of his ideas and eager to help in making them known. And he was content to leave in their hands the selection, revision and publication of his more important manuscripts. His first work had brought him to the notice of Lord Shelburne (afterwards first marquis of Lansdowne), at whose house he met a number of the statesmen and political thinkers of the day. There, also, he met Étienne Dumont, who afterwards, gave literary form to the principles of legislation and administration which Bentham elaborated. Dumont was a citizen of Geneva, who had been minister of one of its churches; driven from his native town by political troubles, he settled, for

some time, in St. Petersburg, and, in 1785, came to London as tutor to Lansdowne's son; in 1788 and, again, in 1789, he visited Paris and was in close relations, literary and political, with Mirabeau. On the earlier of these visits, he was accompanied by Sir Samuel Romilly, with whom he had become intimate and who was already known to Bentham; Romilly showed him some of Bentham's manuscripts, written in French, and Dumont became an enthusiastic disciple and one of the chief agents in spreading the master's ideas. With Bentham's manuscripts and published work before him, and with opportunities for conversation with the author, he produced a series of works which made the new jurisprudence and political theory known in the world of letters. He translated, condensed and even supplied omissions, giving his style to the whole; but he did not seek to do more than put Bentham's writings into literary form, and, in Bentham's collected *Works*, published after his death, many of the most important treatises are retranslations into English from Dumont's versions. The first of Dumont's treatises appeared in 1802, the last in 1825. It is stated that, by 1830, forty thousand copies of these treatises had been sold in Paris for the South American trade alone.

Other helpers surrounded Bentham during his long life; but his acquaintance with James Mill, which began in 1808, led, for the first time, to the association of a master-mind with his own in pursuit of common objects. Mill was less of a jurist than Bentham, but more of a philosopher, and better equipped for the defence of their fundamental principles on psychological and general grounds. He was also a man of affairs, familiar with practical business and accustomed to deal with other men, and his influence counted for much in making philosophical radicalism an effective political force. Bentham was a recluse occupied with ideas and projects, infinitely patient in elaborating them on paper, and convinced that they would be carried into effect so soon as he had demonstrated their value. The men who sought him out regarded him as a sage, hung upon his lips and approved his doctrines; and he expected other men, especially political leaders, to be equally rational. During the first half of his career, he was not a radical in politics; but the failure of his scheme for a panopticon, which he regarded

as an administrative reform of the first importance, and in the advocacy of which he had incurred lavish expenditure, gave him a new—if, also, somewhat perverted—insight into the motives of party politicians, and led to a distrust of the governing classes. His mind was thus fitted to receive a powerful stimulus from James Mill, a stern and unbending democrat, whose creed, in Bentham's caustic phrase, resulted "less from love to the many than from hatred of the few."

Up to this time, the utilitarian philosophy had not met with great success as an instrument of political propagandism; it had failed adequately to influence the old political parties; an organisation of its own was needed with a programme, an organ in the press and representatives in parliament. The new party came to be known as philosophical radicals. Their organ was *The Westminster Review*, founded by Bentham in 1824; their programme laid stress on the necessity for constitutional reform before legislative and administrative improvements could be expected; and a number of eminent politicians became the spokesmen of the party in parliament. It is not possible to assign to the philosophical radicals their exact share in bringing about the changes which gradually ensued; many other influences were working in the same direction. Their power was not due to their numbers, but to the great ability of many members of the group and to the clear and definite policy which they advocated. Bentham was the head of this party; but, perhaps, it is not too much to say that James Mill was its leading spirit. Mill, also, joined with others in giving literary assistance to Bentham; he edited, with modifications of his own, *A Table of the Springs of Action* (1817); he prepared, from the author's manuscripts, an *Introductory view of the Rationale of Evidence* (printed, in part, in 1812, and published in the *Works);* and his brilliant son, John Stuart Mill, then just out of his teens, edited *The Rationale of Evidence* in five volumes[1] (1827). Another prominent assistant was John Bowring, who was the first editor of *The Westminster Review*, wrote from the author's dictation the *Deontology* (a work whose accuracy, as an expression of Bentham's mind, was impugned by the Mills) and became Bentham's biographer and editor of his collected *Works*.

[1] Reprinted in *Works*, vols. VI and VII.

Bentham's *Fragment on Government* is the first attempt to apply the principle of utility in a systematic and methodical manner to the theory of government; it takes the form of "a comment on the *Commentaries*"—a detailed criticism of the doctrine on the same subject which had been set forth in Blackstone's famous work. Sir William Blackstone[1] was born in 1723; he practised at the bar, lectured on the laws of England at Oxford, and, in 1758, was appointed to the newly-founded Vinerian professorship of law; in 1770, he was made a judge, first of the court of king's bench, afterwards of the court of common pleas; he died in 1780. He edited the Great charter and was the author of a number of *Law Tracts* (collected and republished under this title in 1762); but his fame depends upon his *Commentaries on the Laws of England*, the first volume of which appeared in 1765 and the fourth and last in 1769. It is a work of many conspicuous merits. In it, the vast mass of details which makes up the common and statute law is brought together and presented as an organic structure; the meaning of each provision is emphasised, and the relation of the parts illustrated; so that the whole body of law appears as a living thing animated by purpose and a triumph of reason. The style of the book is clear, dignified and eloquent. Bentham, who had heard Blackstone's lectures at Oxford, says that he, "first of all institutional writers, has taught jurisprudence to speak the language of the scholar and the gentleman." These merits, however, were accompanied by defects, less obvious to the general reader. The author was more prone to see similarities than differences. His analytical power has been praised; but it was inadequate to the conceptions with which he had to deal. His treatment of natural law, in the second section of the introduction, is a case in point; another instance is the discussion of society and the original contract which Bentham criticises. His emphasis on meaning and purpose adds interest to his exposition, and shows insight into the truth that law is not a haphazard collection of injunctions and prohibitions; but this conception also leads him astray; he does not distinguish clearly enough historical causes from logical grounds; his exposition takes on the character of an encomium; and he is too apt to discover, at every point of the English constitution,

[1] See *ante*, Vol. X, p. 553.

"a direction which constitutes the true line of the liberty and happiness of the community."

In the preface to his *Fragment*, Bentham offers a criticism of the *Commentaries* in general; but the body of his work is restricted to an examination of a few pages, of the nature of a digression, which set forth a theory of government. In these pages, Blackstone gave a superficial summary of the nature and grounds of authority, in which the leading conceptions of political theory were used with more than customary vagueness. Bentham finds the doctrine worse than false; for it is unmeaning. He wishes "to do something to instruct, but more to undeceive, the timid and admiring student, . . . to help him to emancipate his judgment from the shackles of authority." He insists upon a precise meaning for each statement and each term; and, while he reduces Blackstone's doctrine to ruins, he succeeds, at the same time, in conveying at least the outline of a definite and intelligible theory of government. There are two striking characteristics in the book which are significant for all Bentham's work. One of these is the constant appeal to fact and the war against fictions; the other is the standard which he employs—the principle of utility. And these two are connected in his mind: "the footing on which this principle rests every dispute, is that of matter of fact." Utility is matter of fact, at least, of "future fact—the probability of certain future contingencies." Were debate about laws and government reduced to terms of utility, men would either come to an agreement or they would "see clearly and explicitly the point on which the disagreement turned." "All else," says Bentham, "is but womanish scolding and childish altercation, which is sure to irritate, and which never can persuade."

In an interesting footnote, Bentham gives an account of the way in which he arrived at this principle. Many causes, he tells us, had combined to enlist his "infant affections on the side of despotism." When he proceeded to study law, he found an "original contract" appealed to "for reconciling the accidental necessity of resistance with the general duty of submission." But his intellect revolted at the fiction.

> "To prove fiction, indeed," said I, "there is need of fiction; but it is the characteristic of truth to need no proof but truth." . . .

Thus continued I unsatisfying, and unsatisfied, till I learnt to see that *utility* was the test and measure of all virtue; of loyalty as much as any; and that the obligation to minister to general happiness, was an obligation paramount to and inclusive of every other. Having thus got the instruction I stood in need of, I sat down to make my profit of it. I bid adieu to the original contract: and I left it to those to amuse themselves with this rattle, who could think they needed it.

It was from the third volume of Hume's *Treatise of Human Nature* that the instruction came.

"I well remember," he says, "no sooner had I read that part of the work which touches on this subject than I felt as if scales had fallen from my eyes. I then, for the first time, learnt to call the cause of the people the cause of Virtue. . . . That the foundations of all *virtue* are laid in *utility*, is there demonstrated, after a few exceptions made, with the strongest evidence: but I see not, any more than Helvetius saw, what need there was for the exceptions."

Hume's metaphysics had little meaning for Bentham, but it is interesting to note that his moral doctrine had this direct influence upon the new theory of jurisprudence and politics. Hume was content with showing that utility, or tendency to pleasure, was a mark of all the virtues; he did not go on to assert that things were good or evil according to the amounts of pleasure or pain that they entailed. This quantitative utilitarianism is adopted by Bentham from the start. In the preface to the *Fragment*, the "fundamental axiom," whose consequences are to be developed with method and precision, is stated in the words, "it is the greatest happiness of the greatest number that is the measure of right and wrong." Half a century earlier, Hutcheson had formulated this "axiom" almost in the same words; but Bentham does not seem to have been influenced directly by him. Helvétius, whom he had studied closely, comes very near the same doctrine,[1] and Priestley had preceded Bentham in using a similar standard in political reasoning. Priestley is not mentioned in this place, though the preface begins with a reference to his scientific discoveries, and Bentham has elsewhere recorded his youthful enthusiasm for his

[1] *La justice consiste . . . dans la pratique des actions utiles au plus grand nombre. De l'Esprit* (1758), Discours II, chap. 24.

writings. He even says that he had found the phrase "greatest happiness of the greatest number" in one of Priestley's pamphlets; but, in this, his memory must have deceived him, for the phrase does not seem to have been used by Priestley. So far as Bentham was concerned, its origin (as he in one place suggests) must be traced to Beccaria, the Italian jurist whose work on the penal law proceeded on the same principles as Bentham's and had a notable effect upon the latter. Beccaria's book on *Crimes and Punishments* was translated into English in 1767, and, in this translation, the principle of utility is expressed in the exact words in which, through Bentham's influence, it soon became both an ethical formula and a party watchword. Bentham himself used the word "utilitarian" as early as 1781, and he asserted that it was the only name for his creed;[1] but, in later life, he came to prefer the alternative phrase "greatest happiness principle." "The word *utility*," he said, in a note written in July, 1822,[2] "does not so clearly point to the ideas of *pleasure* and *pain* as the words *happiness* and *felicity* do: nor does it lead us to the consideration of the *number* of the interests affected." A few months after the latter date, the term "utilitarian" was revived by John Stuart Mill,[3] who seems to have been unaware that it had been previously employed and afterwards discarded by Bentham; he found the word in Galt's *Annals of the Parish*, where it is used in describing some of the revolutionary parties of the early nineties of the preceding century; and, "with a boy's fondness for a name and a banner," he adopted it as a "sectarian appellation." After this time, "utilitarian" and "utilitarianism" came into common use to designate a party and a creed.

The evidence goes to show that the "greatest happiness principle," or principle of utility, was arrived at by Bentham, in the first instance, as a criterion for legislation and administration and not for individual conduct—as a political, rather than an ethical, principle. His concern was with politics; the sections of Hume's *Treatise* which chiefly influenced him were those on justice; Beccaria wrote on the penal law; and it was expressly as a political principle that Priestley made use of

[1] *Works*, vol. x, pp. 92, 392.
[2] *Principles of Morals and Legislation*, ed. 1879, p. 1 n.
[3] *Autobiography*, pp. 79, 80; *Utilitarianism*, p. 9 n.

"the happiness of the members, that is the majority of the members, of any state," as his standard. The point is important, seeing that, from the time of Locke, the action of every individual had been commonly interpreted as determined by his own pleasure or pain. It is difficult to reconcile this interpretation (which Bentham accepted) with an ethical theory which makes the greatest happiness of all the end for each. But the same difficulty does not arise when the point of view is shifted from the individual to the state. Indeed, the analogical argument will now be open: since each is concerned with his own greatest happiness, the end for the community may be taken to be the greatest happiness of the greatest number. And, when the "greatest happiness of the greatest number" has been accepted in this way, it is easy—though it is not logical—to adopt it as not merely a political, but, also, in the strict sense, an ethical, principle.

It is to his *Introduction to the Principles of Morals and Legislation* that we must look for Bentham's fullest and clearest account of the underlying principles, psychological and ethical, of his enterprise. The interests of the individual do not always agree with the interests of the community; and this divergence sets the problem for penal law. Again, the rule of right is one question, and the causes of action is another question; and it is important not to confuse the ethical with the psychological problem. This distinction is made, and ignored, in the arresting paragraph that opens the work:

> Nature has placed mankind under the governance of two sovereign masters, *pain* and *pleasure*. It is for them alone to point out what we ought to do, as well as to determine what we shall do. On the one hand the standard of right and wrong, on the other the chain of causes and effects, are fastened to their throne. They govern us in all we do, in all we say, in all we think: every effort we can make to throw off our subjection will serve but to demonstrate and confirm it. In words a man may pretend to abjure their empire: but in reality he will remain subject to it all the while. The *principle of utility* recognises this subjection, and assumes it for the foundation of that system, the object of which is to rear the fabric of felicity by the hands of reason and of law. Systems which attempt to question it, deal in sounds instead of sense, in caprice instead of reason, in darkness instead of light.

These sentences give the gist of Bentham's simple philosophy. Everything rests upon pleasure and pain. They are in the first place, the causes of all human actions. Man is a pleasure-seeking, pain-avoiding animal. It is true, he has many different impulses, springs of action, or motives; and, of these, the author essays some account in this book; and, in *A Table of the Springs of Action*, he comprehends them all in a diagram with their sources and their corresponding interests. But the strength of each impulse or motive lies entirely in the pleasure or pain connected with it; and there are only quantitative differences among pleasures themselves, or among pains themselves; and pains can be compared with pleasures, and marked on the same scale by their distance below the indifference or zero point where there is neither pleasure nor pain. To this theory, a later writer[1] has given the name "psychological hedonism." It still counts many psychologists among its adherents, but Bentham held it in a special form which hardly admits of defence. It is not the actual pleasure or pain experienced at the moment of action which, according to him, determines action, but the estimate formed by the agent of the probable balance of pleasure that is likely to result to him from the action. The cause, as well as the standard, of human action is thus matter of "future fact" only. Had this phrase been used by Blackstone, Bentham might have pointed out that, so long as anything is future, it is not a fact, but only an expectation of a fact; it is an estimate of probabilities. Not pleasure, therefore, but an idea of pleasure, is the actual motive. Although he thinks that pleasure is man's only object, Bentham always treats him as pursuing this object in a deliberate and intelligent way under the guidance of ideas or opinions; he commits the philosopher's fallacy of substituting a reason for a cause; he overlooks the fact that man was an active being before he was a rational being, that he is a creature of impulses, inherited and acquired, that it is only gradually that these impulses come to be organised and directed by reason, and that this rationalising process is never completed.

Bentham's views on this point lend emphasis to the importance of his hedonic calculus. If men are always guided by estimates of pleasures and pains, these estimates should be

[1] Sidgwick, *Methods of Ethics*, Bk. I, chap. IV.

rendered as exact as possible. For this purpose, Bentham analyses the circumstances that have to be taken into account in estimating the "force" or "value" (notions which, for him, are identical) of pleasures and pains. A pleasure or pain, he says, taken by itself, will vary in the four circumstances of intensity, duration, certainty and propinquity.[1] If we consider its effects, we must take into account two other circumstances: its fecundity, or the chance of its being followed by other feelings of the same kind; and its purity, or the chance of its not being followed by feelings of an opposite kind. If more than one person is concerned, then account must also be taken of the number of persons, that is, the extent of the pleasure or pain. If we would estimate the benefit to a community of any particular action, then each person affected by it must be considered separately; each distinguishable pleasure caused by the action must have its value for him calculated in accordance with the six circumstances first mentioned; and each distinguishable pain must have its value calculated in the same way. When this has been done for every person affected, and the sum of all the pains subtracted from the sum of all the pleasures, then the surplus of pleasure will measure the good tendency of the act; or, if the pains exceed the pleasures in total amount, then the balance of pain will measure the evil tendency of the act.

This may seem an elaborate calculation, but it gives only a faint idea of the minute detail into which Bentham pursued an estimate of good or evil. The significant feature of his method is that it is quantitative. The same method had been suggested by Hutcheson and others before him; his contemporary Paley used it to some extent; but Bentham was the first to follow it out into all its ramifications by an exhaustive enumeration and classification of every conceivable consequence. His aim was to make morals and legislation as precise and certain as the physical sciences. For this purpose, he saw that quantitative propositions were necessary. He did not stop to enquire whether quantity was applicable at all to pleasure and pain;

[1] Sidgwick points out that, on a rational estimate, propinquity in time (apart from the greater certainty which it implies) is not an independent ground of value. Bentham follows Beccaria in introducing it; but Beccaria had a different question in view in his enquiry, namely, the actual deterrent effect of an immediate, as compared with a remote, punishment.

he assumed that it was; and, perhaps, the assumption was correct. Neither did he seek too curiously for a standard of measurement of these quantities, such as every physical science possesses for its purposes. Even in the exact observations which instruments of precision render possible in the physical sciences, allowance has to be made for the personal equation of the observer. But Bentham almost disregarded the personal equation, even in matters of feeling. He did not adequately allow for the difference of individual susceptibilities, or for the degree in which they change in a single lifetime and in the history of the race; nor did he avoid the fallacy of arguing as if one man's pleasure were always a safe guide for another. Just as he assumed that men were constantly controlled by intellectual considerations, so here, he also assumes that men are much more alike than they really are: and the two assumptions account for many of the weaknesses, and even absurdities, of his projects.

Later utilitarians have avoided some of these difficulties by laying stress on the importance, in personal and social life, of the permanent objects which are sources of pleasure, rather than upon particular pleasant experiences. Bentham himself, in another work,[1] follows similar lines in enumerating four subordinate ends in which the happiness of society consists. These are subsistence, abundance, equality and security. Subsistence and security are the most important of the four: "without security equality could not last a day; without subsistence abundance could not exist at all." With subsistence and abundance, law has little or no direct concern:

> You may order production; you may command cultivation; and you will have done nothing. But assure to the cultivator the fruits of his industry, and perhaps in that alone you will have done enough.

Bentham's treatment of equality is remarkable for certain "pathological propositions" (as he calls them) which he lays down regarding the effect of wealth upon happiness. But the chief care of law is security; and the principle of security extends to the maintenance of all those expectations which law itself has created. Security, one may say, is a necessity for social

[1] *Theory of Legislation*, trans. Hildreth, 1876, pp. 96 ff.

life and for any moderate degree of human happiness; equality is rather of the nature of a luxury, which legislation should promote when it does not interfere with security. As for liberty, it is not one of the principal objects of law, but a branch of security, and a branch which law cannot help pruning. Rights of any kind, especially rights of property, can be created or maintained only by restricting liberty; "in particular all laws creative of liberty, are, as far as they go, abrogative of liberty."

These suggestions point to a better way of estimating value than the enumeration of separate pleasures and pains. But the latter is Bentham's prevailing method; and he brings into clear light a point which, on any theory such as his, should not be obscured—the difference between the greatest happiness of an individual and the greatest happiness of the greatest number. Even Bentham hesitates, both in his earlier and in his later writings, to assert that it is each man's duty to promote the happiness of all. How, indeed, can it be so, in Bentham's view, unless there is sufficient motive to require such conduct? He says that a man is never without motives to act in this direction; he has the social motive of sympathy and the semi-social motive of love of reputation. But a man may have, and commonly has, motives which tend in a different direction and may render those insufficient or powerless. The divergence may be read between the lines of the halting sentences in which Bentham speaks of the coincidences between private ethics and legislation. There is no mental fusion between the two classes of motives (the selfish and the social); there is no natural identity between the courses of conduct to which they tend; the identification of self-interest with public interest can only be brought about artificially[1] by means of superadded pleasures and pains, especially the latter. These are the sanctions of the principle of utility, which Bentham reduces to four: the physical, the political, the popular (or moral) and the religious. The physical sanction results from natural law, and is exemplified by the headache that follows intemperance: it sanctions

[1] These terms—fusion of interests, natural identity of interests, artificial identification of interests—describe different solutions of the same problem and have been introduced by Halévy, *Formation du radicalisme philosophique*, vol. i., pp. 15 ff.

prudence, but not benevolence. The popular sanction results from the ill-will of society in any of its non-political expressions; it is often a powerful deterrent, but it is apt to be variable and inconsistent, and it has no exact correspondence with public interest. On the religious sanction, Bentham does not rely. There remains the political sanction, the rewards and punishments employed by society organised as a state. But rewards count for little. The whole weight of the doctrine that general happiness is the rule of right and wrong for individual conduct thus rests upon the penal law; it is the "duty-and-interest-junction-prescribing principle." And this principle, also, is found to be imperfect. Even when punishment is neither groundless nor needless, there are cases in which it would be inefficacious and others in which it would be unprofitable—by causing more unhappiness than it would avert. In general, it can compel probity but it cannot compel beneficence. Thus, the doctrine of sanctions fails to establish the thesis of utilitarianism that general happiness is the rule of right. And the failure is not covered by the retort: "If the thunders of the law prove impotent, the whispers of simple morality can have but little influence."

In the preface to his *Principles of Morals and Legislation*, Bentham gave a list of the works which he had in preparation or contemplation and in which his great design would be completed. According to this list, works were to follow on the principles of legislation in the following nine matters: civil law; penal law; procedure; reward; constitutional law; political tactics (that is, rules for the direction of political assemblies so that they may attain the end of their institution); international law; finance; political economy; and these were to be followed by a tenth treatise, giving a complete plan of law in all its branches, in respect of its form, including all that properly belongs to the topic of universal jurisprudence. In the course of his life, he dealt with all these subjects, as well as with many others, in separate works. In the more important and complete of his works, he depended on the literary assistance of Dumont and others. But the ideas and the method were always his own. For the exposure of the anomalies of English law, and for the elaboration of a rational and businesslike system to serve as a model for its reform, he deserves almost the sole credit.

Bentham's power was derived from the combination in his mind of two qualities—the firm grasp of a single principle, and a truly astonishing mastery of details. Every concrete situation was analysed into its elements and these followed out into all their ramifications. The method of division and subdivision was artificial; but it tended to clearness and exhaustiveness, and it could be applied to any subject. Whatever did not yield to this analysis was dismissed as "vague generality." Applying this method with infinite patience, he covered the whole field of ethics, jurisprudence and politics. Everything in human nature and in society was reduced to its elements, and then reconstructed out of these elements. And, in each element, only one feature counted, whether in respect of force or of value —its quantum of pleasure or pain. The whole system would have been upset if an independent qualitative distinction between pleasures had been allowed, such as Plato contended for, or John Stuart Mill afterwards attempted to introduce into utilitarianism. "Quantity of pleasure being equal," says Bentham, "pushpin is as good as poetry." As regards the principle itself, there was no opportunity for originality: Hume had suggested its importance to his mind; Priestley had shown its use in political reasoning; he picked up the formula from Beccaria; and in his exposition of its nature there is, perhaps, nothing that had not been stated already by Helvétius. But the relentless consistency and thoroughness with which he applied it had never been anticipated; and this made him the founder of a new and powerful school.

His method was not that most characteristic of the revolutionary thought of the period. The ideas of the revolution centred in certain abstract conceptions. Equality and freedom were held to be natural rights of which men had been robbed by governments, and the purpose of the revolutionists was to regain and realise those rights. This mode of thought was represented in England by Richard Price; through Rousseau, it came to dominate the popular consciousness; in the American Declaration of Independence of 1776, it was made the foundation of a democratic reconstruction of government. The year 1776 is of note in literary history, also. It marks the death of Hume, and the publication of *The Wealth of Nations*, of the first volume of Gibbon's *Decline and Fall* and of Ben-

tham's *Fragment on Government*. The last-named work preaches a radical reform, but without appealing to natural or abstract rights. Although he was an admirer of the American constitution, Bentham was never deceived by the crude "metapolitics" (to use Coleridge's word) of the Declaration of Independence, or by the same doctrine as it was expounded at greater length, in the "Declaration of the Rights of Man and the Citizen," decreed in the French Constituent Assembly of 1791. His *Anarchical Fallacies*, written about this time, is a masterly exposure of the crudities and confusions of the latter document. All rights, in his view, are the creation of law; "*natural rights* is simple nonsense: natural and imprescriptible rights, rhetorical nonsense,—nonsense upon stilts." Yet the difference between Bentham's theory and that of continental and American revolutionists was not immediately obvious. He was in correspondence with some of the leaders of the revolution, recommended his panopticon scheme for adoption in France, and offered himself as chief gaoler; in 1792, he was made a citizen of France. Nevertheless, his *Anarchical Fallacies* made his position clear: and it is owing to him that philosophical radicalism in England, unlike the corresponding revolutionary doctrines in other countries, was based upon an empirical utilitarianism and not upon *a priori* ideas about natural rights. A comparison of his argument in *Anarchical Fallacies* with his criticism of our "matchless constitution" in *The Book of Fallacies* (1824) shows that he was a foe to all kinds of loose thinking, whether in praise of revolutionary ideals or in the interests of the established order.

The *Constitutional Code*, which Bentham published towards the end of his life, exhibits an endeavour to give to the people concerned the fullest possible control over the acts of government. The author had become increasingly impressed by the extent to which "sinister interests," especially the personal and class interests of the rulers, interfered with public interest; and he seeks to check their operation at every turn. His work is intended "for the use of all nations and all governments professing liberal opinions." Some years earlier, he had published *Codification Proposals*, offering his services in the matter to any nation that wanted them. Portugal had already applied to him for assistance. He had negotiations of a similar, if less

official, kind, with Spain, Mexico, Venezuela, the United States, Russia, Greece and Tripoli. The world seemed to be at his feet, anxious to learn from him the arts of law and government; and he was willing to instruct all comers. But he did not disregard entirely differences of national character and historical conditions. In his essay on *The Influence of Time and Place in Matters of Legislation*, he attributes immutability to the grounds of law rather than to the laws themselves, and rebukes as "hot-headed innovators" those legislators who "only pay attention to abstract advantage."

Bentham's genius was comprehensive and tenacious rather than profound. He covered an extensive field, always following the same clue. He passed from social science to religion, and analysed its influence "upon the temporal happiness of mankind," part of his work being edited by a disciple, George Grote, and published under a pseudonym (1822). He wrote, also, a number of papers on education under the title *Chrestomathia* (1816); and he and his friends projected a chrestomathic school in which the youth of the middle and upper classes were to be trained in correct utilitarian principles. Thus, he dealt, in a way, with the deeper things of life, and yet only with the surface-aspect of these things. With forces and values that cannot be measured in terms of pleasure or pain, he had no concern; into history, art and religion he had little insight; but he was unconscious of his limitations, and he attempted to deal with these things by his own scale of values.

Certain of Bentham's occasional papers—those on *Poor Laws and Pauper Management*—appeared in Young's *Annals of Agriculture*. This periodical was started in 1784, and extended to forty-five volumes. Its editor, Arthur Young, was already known as the greatest of English writers on agriculture. At the age of seventeen, he had published a pamphlet on *The War in North America* (1758), and had afterwards written a great variety of works chiefly on English farming, including the records of a series of tours through different districts of England. He was not only an agricultural expert, but, also, a social observer and theorist, as is shown in many of his works, such as *Political Arithmetic* (1774), *Tour in Ireland* (1780) and—most famous of all—*Travels in France* (1792). He had the good

fortune to visit France shortly before the revolution, as well as after it had broken out; and his trained power of observation enabled him to see and point out the social conditions which made the continuance of the *ancien régime* impossible. Young's close observation of actual conditions and his apt reflections upon them have made his works important authorities for economists, especially on the question of the relative values of different systems of land tenure. He had also an epigrammatic gift that has made some of his phrases remembered. "The magic of property turns sand to gold" is one of his sayings which has become famous.

On the ground of his general principles, Thomas Robert Malthus may be counted among the utilitarians; but he was a follower of Tucker and Paley rather than of Bentham. He did not share Bentham's estimate of the intellectual factor in conduct, and the exaggeration of this estimate in other thinkers of the time was the indirect cause of his famous work. Hume had spoken of reason as the slave of the passions; but William Godwin wrote as if men were compact of pure intellect. He, too, was a utilitarian, in the sense that he took happiness as the end of conduct; but he was under the sway of the revolutionary idea; he put down all human ills to government, regarding it as an unnecessary evil, and thought that, with its abolition, man's reason would have free play and the race would advance rapidly towards perfection. It was the doctrine of the perfectibility of man that gave Malthus pause. His criticism of the doctrine was first thrown out in conversation with his father. The elder Malthus, a friend and executor of Rousseau, expressed approval of the idea of human perfectibility set forth, in 1793, in Godwin's *Political Justice* and in Condorcet's *Esquisse d'un tableau historique des progrès de l'esprit humain.* Robert Malthus took a more sombre view of things than his father; he had had a scientific education; and, as a clergyman, he knew something of the life of the people; above all, he was of the new generation, and the dreams of an earlier day did not blind him to existing facts. He saw an obstacle in the way of all Utopias. Even if equality and happiness were once attained, they could not last; population would soon expand beyond the means of subsistence; and the result would be

inequality and misery. The argument thus struck out in the course of debate was expanded, soon after, in *An Essay on the Principle of Population* (1798). A storm of controversy followed its publication; but its teaching made notable converts, such as Pitt among statesmen and Paley among philosophers; and it soon came to be adopted as part of the orthodox utilitarian tradition. To his critics, Malthus replied with the thoroughness of an honest enquirer; he travelled on the continent, studied social conditions and investigated the actual circumstances which had kept the numbers of the people and their food in equilibrium. The answer came in the second edition of his *Essay* (1803), which, in contents, is, practically, a new book. Even the title is modified. The first edition discusses the principle of population "as it affects the future improvement of society"; the second is "a view of its past and present effects on human happiness." The former shattered the picture of a future golden age, to be reached by the abolition of government or by any communistic device; the effect it produces on the reader is one of unrelieved depression; mankind is in the power of an impulse hostile to welfare; only vice and misery prevent the world from being over-peopled. The second edition turns from the future to the past and the present; it is informed by a fuller study of facts; it finds that the pressure of the people on the food has diminished with the advance of civilisation; not vice and misery only, but morality also, is reckoned among the checks to the increase of population. Thus, as he says in the preface, he "tried to soften some of the harshest conclusions of the first essay."

The main doctrine of Malthus was not entirely new. The question of the populousness of ancient and modern nations had been discussed by a number of writers, including Hume; there were anticipations of Malthus in Joseph Townsend's *Dissertation on the Poor Laws* (1786); and, still earlier, in 1761, Robert Wallace, in his *Various Prospects of Mankind*, had at first suggested community of goods as a solution of the social problem and then pointed out that the increase of population, which would result from communism, was a fatal flaw in his own solution. But Malthus made the subject his own, and showed by patient investigation how population, as a matter of fact, had pressed upon the means of subsistence, and by

what measures it had been kept in check. He produced a revolution in scientific opinion and powerfully affected popular sentiment, so that pure literature took up the theme:

> Slowly comes a hungry people as a lion creeping nigher,
> Glares at one that nods and winks behind a slowly dying fire.

It is hardly too much to say that the prospect weighed on the social mind of the nineteenth century like a nightmare. The mind of the twentieth century has shaken it off like a dream, but it has not answered the main thesis for which Malthus contended. It is true that his exposition is not above criticism. The terms in which he stated his thesis—that population tends to increase in a geometrical ratio and food in an arithmetical ratio—are, at best, inexact. Perhaps, also, he did not allow sufficiently for the effects of new methods and inventions in increasing the supply of food and for the possible reaction of quality upon numbers among men. The darker side of his picture of the human lot may be read in his criticism of the poor law. But he was not blind to considerations of a more favourable kind. He saw that the "struggle for existence" (the phrase is his) was the great stimulus to labour and a cause of human improvement. Thus, at a later date, Darwin and A. R. Wallace, working independently, found in his book a statement of the principle, of which they were in search, for the explanation of biological development.

The publication of *An Essay on the Principle of Population* determined the career of Malthus, which, thenceforth, was devoted to teaching and writing on economics. His *Inquiry into the Nature and Progress of Rent*, his *Principles of Political Economy* and his correspondence with Ricardo are of importance in the history of economic theory, though they were not fitted to exert any notable influence upon thought and literature in general. In all that he wrote, Malthus kept in close touch with the actual facts of social and industrial life; in this respect, his writings form a contrast in method to the works of Ricardo,[1] in whose abstract reasonings the economics of the Benthamite school attained their most characteristic expression.

[1] He will be treated in a later volume of the present work.

During the period of Bentham's supremacy, the tradition of a different type of philosophy was carried on by Dugald Stewart. Stewart was born in 1753 and died in 1828; for twenty-five years (1785–1810), he was professor of moral philosophy at Edinburgh. His lectures were the most powerful formative influence upon the principles and tastes of a famous generation of literary Scotsmen, and they attracted, besides, many hearers from England, the continent and America.

"Perhaps few men ever lived," said Sir James Mackintosh, one of his pupils, "who poured into the breasts of youth a more fervid and yet reasonable love of liberty, of truth, and of virtue. . . . Without derogation from his writings, it may be said that his disciples were among his best works."

His writings, also, were numerous. The first volume of his *Elements of the Philosophy of the Human Mind* appeared in 1792, the second in 1814, the third in 1827. His *Outlines of Moral Philosophy* was published in 1794, *Philosophical Essays* in 1810, a dissertation entitled *The Progress of Metaphysical, Ethical, and Political Philosophy since the Revival of Letters* (contributed to *The Encyclopaedia Britannica*) in 1815 and 1821, *The Philosophy of the Active and Moral Powers* in 1828; and accounts of the lives and writings of Adam Smith, Robertson and Reid were contributed to the *Transactions* of the Royal Society of Edinburgh.

Himself, in his youth, a pupil of Reid, Stewart remained his follower in philosophy. But he avoided the use of the term "common sense," which, as employed by Reid, had produced the impression that questions of philosophy could be decided by an appeal to popular judgment. He speaks, instead, of "the fundamental laws of human belief, or the primary elements of human reason"; and these he regards not as the data upon which conclusions depend, but, rather,

as the vincula which give coherence to all the particular links of the chain, or (to vary the metaphor) as component elements without which the faculty of reasoning is inconceivable and impossible.

He varied from Reid, also, in many special points, often approximating to the positions of writers of the empirical

school; but, according to Mackintosh, he "employed more skill in contriving, and more care in concealing, his very important reforms of Reid's doctrines, than others exert to maintain their claims to originality." His works often betray their origin in the lecture-room, and are full of quotations from, and criticisms of, other authors. They are written in a style which is clear and often eloquent, without ever being affected; but the exposition and criticism are devoted to those aspects of philosophical controversy which were prominent in his own day, and they have thus lost interest for a later generation. Nor did he show any such profundity of thought, or even distinction of style, as might have saved his work from comparative neglect. Among his numerous writings, there is no single work of short compass which conveys his contribution to the progress of thought.

CHAPTER IV

William Cowper

FEW rivers can be traced to a single source. Water from a hundred fields and woods and springs trickles down, to join in a score of streams, which, in their turn, join to make a river. Yet, there is always a point at which it is just to declare any particular stream to be the upper reach of any particular river. So, in the history of English poetry, no single origin can be shown for the poetry of nature and simplicity which, with Wordsworth, became a mighty river, and which is flowing still. To mention but two poets, Gray and Collins poured their tribute of clear water into the stream. But, with Cowper, we come to the upper reaches, and are able to trace thence, with unbroken continuity, the course of the main stream.

Reformers in poetry probably seldom work with a conscious aim, like social and political reformers. A poet writes in a certain manner because that is the only way in which he can write, or wishes to write, and without foreseeing or calculating the effect of his work. This is especially true of Cowper, who owed more, perhaps, than any English poet to what may be called accident, as distinguished from poetic purpose. He did not, like Milton or Tennyson, dedicate himself to poetry. He did not even write poetry primarily for the sake of writing poetry, but to ward off melancholy by keeping his mind occupied. He liked Milton better than Pope, and was careful to show this preference in his versification; but accident—the bent of his mind and the circumstances of his life—made him the forerunner of a great poetic revival. He drew poetry back to the simple truths of ordinary human nature and the English countryside, because, in the limited outlook on the world which his life allowed him, these were the things that touched

him and interested him. Being a man of fine taste, tender feelings and a plain sincerity, he opened the road of truth for the nobler poetic pageants that were to pass along it.

Born in the rectory of Great Berkhampstead, Herts, in November, 1731, and becoming poet in earnest nearly fifty years later, he had, meanwhile, fallen under the influence of thought and sentiment which were beginning to break up the old, rigid and, frequently, brutal order. His family, on the father's side, had given distinguished men to the law and the church; and, in his boyhood and youth, it seemed not wholly unlikely that he would follow in his ancestors' paths and take an active part in life. That he was affectionate and tender-hearted we know from the lines he wrote many years later, *On the receipt of my Mother's Picture out of Norfolk.* How far the bullying which he suffered at his first school may have twisted the development of his nature, it is impossible to say. He was not unhappy at Westminster, where he numbered among his schoolfellows Edward Lloyd, Charles Churchill, George Colman the elder, Warren Hastings and Elijah Impey. True, in after years, he attacked English public schools in *Tirocinium*; but it is not certain that, in this matter, his boyish feelings tallied with his riper judgment. From Westminster, he went to the office of a solicitor, to be trained for the law. Thurlow was a student in the same office; and the two young men used to spend much of their time at the house of Cowper's uncle Ashley Cowper, where the chief attraction lay in the daughters, Theodora and Harriet. So far, there is not any trace of the Cowper of later years, though there are already traces of the poet. He fell in love with his cousin Theodora, and wrote verses to her which are far above the average of young men's love-poems. The poems to Delia show, already, the directness, the sincerity and the simplicity which were to be the keynotes of his later work, together with the tenderness which has won him admirers among hundreds to whom most poetry seems unreal. In one of these poems, *On her endeavouring to conceal her Grief at Parting*, occurs the famous verse:

> Oh! then indulge thy grief, nor fear to tell
> The gentle source from whence thy sorrows flow;
> Nor think it weakness when we love to feel,
> Nor think it weakness what we feel to show.

The stanza is completely characteristic of Cowper's mind and manner. The proposed match with Theodora was forbidden by her father, on the ground of consanguinity. To Cowper, the blow, evidently, was severe. In *Absence and Bereavement*, he bewails his fate. The concluding lines of this poem:

> Why all that soothes a heart from anguish free,
> All that delights the happy, palls with me!

suggest strongly the sentiment of a later and finer poem, *The Shrubbery:*

> This glassy stream, that spreading pine,
> Those alders quivering to the breeze,
> Might soothe a soul less hurt than mine,
> And please, if anything could please.
>
> But fixed unalterable care
> Foregoes not what she feels within,
> Shows the same sadness everywhere,
> And slights the season and the scene.

The earlier poem thus seems to foreshadow the melancholy that, afterwards, was to claim the poet. Externally, it is true, there did not appear to be any immediate sign of that melancholy. Cowper bought chambers in the Temple and was called to the bar. Without attempting to practise, he lived the life of a cultivated young man about town, reading Homer and marking the differences between Homer and Pope, writing articles and verses (one or two very popular ballads were among the early works of the author of *John Gilpin*) and helping his brother John with a translation of Voltaire's *Henriade*. Yet, meanwhile, the mischief was growing. He suffered from fits of depression, which, in later life, he believed to have been of religious origin. He found what alleviation he could in the poems of George Herbert; but, when, in his thirty-second year, he was nominated by his uncle Major Cowper to a clerkship in the House of Lords, his depression and his shyness broke into mania, and he tried to kill himself. Thereafter, he was out of the race, but, on that very account, was left the more open to the influences, religious and humane, to which his gentle nature, even in active life, must have been sensible. These were the

days of Wesley and Whitefield, of widening hope and freedom in religion; they were, also, the days of Rousseau and his creed of love and brotherhood. Slaves, animals and "common wretches" were perceived to have their rights. Cowper was to become the poet of a religious sect, which, though doubtless narrow and unattractive in itself, had its share in breaking up the spiritual ice of the age. He was to sing with power in the cause of slaves, to make his pet hares and his dog famous and to find in rustics some of his best material for poetry. His sympathies were not wide; but they were on the side of kindness. In politics, he remained "an old whig"; but the French revolution was, to him, "a noble cause," though made "ridiculous" by the excesses of a "madcap" people.

Thus, though living remote from the world, he breathed into the world a spirit of love and freedom. Before that time came, however, he had much to bear. Cured of his mania by a doctor at St. Albans, whose religion was of the hopeful kind, he was settled by his brother and friends at Huntingdon; and, here, he maintained his cheerfulness and formed the friendship which proved the most important influence on his life. Morley Unwin was a retired clergyman who taught private pupils. With Unwin, his wife and his son and daughter, Cowper became so intimate that he went to live in their house. Their simple, cheerful, religious life exactly suited his needs. When Unwin was killed by a fall from his horse, Cowper and Mrs. Unwin continued to reside together. Theirs is one of the famous friendships of literary history. Henceforth, they never separated; and, in Cowper's letters, in the sonnet, *To Mrs. Unwin*, and in the poem, *To Mary*, the woman who devoted her life to Cowper received her reward. Soon after Unwin's death, the family moved from Huntingdon to Olney, in order to be near the curate in charge of that place, John Newton. The house that Newton chose for them was damp and gloomy; Olney was a poor and rather brutal place. Newton, formerly the captain of a slaver, was an evangelist of tremendous power and small tact. More than one of his parishioners (not, perhaps, very delicately organised people) had been thrown off their balance by his "enthusiasm." With the best intentions, he did the timid and sensitive Cowper much harm. He forced him to hold forth in public; he robbed him of exercise and gentle

pleasures. The result was a severe return of his melancholy. In order to dissipate it, Newton laid upon him the task of writing hymns for a hymn-book which he was compiling.

The collection entitled *Olney Hymns* was published in London in 1779. Cowper's contributions to the volume were initialled "C.," and among them occur several hymns still in use, together with three or four which are among the best known of English hymns, to whatever extent people may differ as to their morality. *Oh for a closer walk with God; There is a fountain filled with blood; Hark, my soul! it is the Lord; Jesus! where'er thy people meet; God moves in a mysterious way*—these are among the hymns by Cowper in this collection. The salient quality of them all is their sincerity and directness. The poet's actual experiences in the spiritual life are expressed with the simplicity generally characteristic of his work. Their weakness is a lack of profundity, and the absence of that suggestion of the infinite and the awful, which, as in Crashaw or Newman, sometimes informs religious poetry less carefully dogmatic than Cowper's. His mind, indeed, was too precisely made up on matters of doctrine to be fruitful either of lofty religious passion or of religious mystery; and, instead of being great sacred poetry, his hymns are a stay and comfort to souls experiencing what might be called the practical difficulties of certain phases of spiritual life. Most of them are hopeful in tone; for, though the book was not published till 1779, the hymns were written by Cowper before 1773. In that year, he had another outbreak of mania. He imagined himself not only condemned to hell, but bidden by God to make a sacrifice of his own life. Mrs. Unwin nursed him devotedly; but, more than a year passed before he began to recover. By 1776, he had resumed, in part, his correspondence with his friends. In 1779, Newton left Olney for a London living; and, the influence of his overbearing friend being withdrawn, Cowper entered upon what was probably the happiest period of his life. Carpentering, gardening, horse exercise, walking and other simple pleasures kept him cheerful; and he began again to write poetry. His kinsman Martin Madan having published a book advocating polygamy, Cowper, in 1781, printed anonymously a reply to it in the form of a fantastic tale. *Anti-Thelyphthora* is not among Cowper's best works;

but it has a pointed neatness of diction and a descriptive touch which foretell *The Task*. Mrs. Unwin, always anxious to keep him occupied and to make the best of him, set him to work on a long poem. She gave him the not very promising subject of the progress of error; and, going eagerly to work, he wrote eight satires: *Table Talk*, *The Progress of Error*, *Truth*, *Expostulation*, *Hope*, *Charity*, *Conversation* and *Retirement*.

Most of Cowper's critics have been unduly severe upon these moral satires. Doubtless, they are not so good as *The Task* or many of the shorter poems. Their weakness is obvious. A satirist, whether he be of the indignant order, like Juvenal, or the bitter, like Swift, or the genial, like Horace, must begin by knowing the world that he intends to attack; and Cowper, who had been cut off from the world, did not know it. When he attacks bishops and other clergy who were not of his own evangelical cast, or newspapers, or town life, it is difficult not to resent his easy smartness at the expense of things which his narrowness of outlook prevented him from understanding. Again, writing, as it seems, with an eye seeking for the approval of John Newton, Cowper gives too much space to good advice, and too little to the allurements which should distinguish the satirist from the preacher.

> The clear harangue, and cold as it is clear,
> Falls soporific on the listless ear

are lines from *The Progress of Error* which have been quoted against their author ever since the satires first appeared. And it may be said in general that, fine as is the famous passage on Petronius (Lord Chesterfield) in *The Progress of Error*

> Thou polished and high-finished foe to truth,
> Grey-beard corrupter of our listening youth;

Cowper's poetry is not at its best when he is attacking or scolding; and, writing primarily to distract his mind and to benefit humanity, only secondarily to produce works of polished art, he is weak in the construction and arrangement of his poems. These objections, however, cannot outweigh the many merits of Cowper's moral satires. Their diction is precise and epigrammatic, not so much because Cowper

polished his work minutely, as because his mind was exact and clear. Several of his couplets have become familiar as household words; and one of them,

> How much a dunce that has been sent to roam
> Excells a dunce that has been kept at home,

achieved the honour of quotation by Bulwer Lytton in his play *Money*. On a higher level is his criticism of Pope:

> But he (his musical finesse was such,
> So nice his ear, so delicate his touch)
> Made poetry a mere mechanic art,
> And every warbler has his tune by heart.

Cowper himself had the tune by heart, no doubt; but he did not sing it. Using the heroic couplet throughout these satires, he contrives to write quite unlike Pope. His versification is already unlike anything to be found in English literature, unless it be the verse of his former schoolfellow, Churchill, whose work he greatly admired. But Cowper's mind was so different from Churchill's that the resemblance does not go very deep. In the most successful portions of these satires—especially in the immortal picture of the statesman out of office, in *Retirement*—Cowper, both in matter and in manner, resembles Horace more than he resembles any other poet. He shows the same shrewd wisdom, the same precision and refinement, the same delicate playfulness. *Retirement*, which is the latest of these satires, is, undoubtedly, the best; and the perspicacious suggestion has been made[1] that it was written under the influence of Cowper's friend, Lady Austen, to whom we shall return. At any rate, in *Retirement*, as in *The Task*, he is talking of things which he understood and liked for their own sake; and, since his tender and genial spirit was more responsive to the stimulus of what he liked than of what he disliked, was better, in short, at loving than at hating, in the positive than in the negative, *Retirement* shows him well suited by his subject and happy in its treatment.

The volume was published in 1782 under the title *Poems by William Cowper, of the Inner Temple, Esq.* Besides the satires, it contained thirty-five shorter poems, of which three were in

[1] By Bailey, J. C., *The Poems of William Cowper*, p. xxxvi.

Latin. Those in English include one or two pieces of note: *Boadicea: an Ode*, which has well earned its place in the literature of the schoolroom and its reputation in the world as a fine example of great power and weight attained by perfectly simple means; the pretty *Invitation into the Country*, addressed to Newton; some very graceful and delicate translations from the Latin poems of Cowper's Westminster schoolmaster Vincent Bourne; the powerful *Verses supposed to be written by Alexander Selkirk;* and two poems showing Cowper's possession of a gift for writing delicate and suggestive lyric poetry—lyric poetry with the indefinable touch of magic in it—which he did not thoroughly cultivate. One is the poem entitled *The Shrubbery*, to which reference was made above; the other, the lines "addressed to a young lady" beginning

> Sweet stream, that winds through yonder glade,
> Apt emblem of a virtuous maid!

a poem which equals the best achievements of Wordsworth or Byron in the same field.

In connection with the satire *Retirement*, the name of Lady Austen was mentioned above. This charming and intelligent widow came into Cowper's life in the year 1781 and touched his spirits and his poetry to fine issues. Unlike Mrs. Unwin, she belonged to the world and had a proper appreciation of the external things of life. In suggesting to Cowper a subject for his pen, she gave him not a moral topic but a simple object—the sofa in his room. The idea was very likely thrown off without full prevision of its far-reaching effect; but, in encouraging Cowper to write about something that he knew, in checking, so far as might be, his tendency to moralise and to preach by fixing his attention on the simple facts of his daily life, she gave him an impulse which was what his own poetry, and English poetry at that moment, most needed. The result of her suggestion was *The Task*, a blank-verse poem in six books, of which *The Sofa* formed the first. Cowper starts playfully, with a touch of the gallantry that was always his. He shows his humour by dealing with the ordained subject in the style of Milton. Milton was his favourite poet; Johnson's life of Milton one of the writings he most disliked. Nevertheless,

with his gentle gaiety, he begins his work with a parody of Milton.

> No want of timber then was felt or feared
> In Albion's happy isle. The lumber stood
> Ponderous, and fixed by its own massy weight.
> But elbows still were wanting; these, some say,
> An alderman of Cripplegate contrived,
> And some ascribe the invention to a priest
> Burly and big, and studious of his ease.

Thus, for a hundred lines or so, he plays with his subject. Then, breaking away from it by an ingenious twist, he speaks for himself; and, for the first time, we have a new voice, the voice of William Cowper:

> For I have loved the rural walk through lanes
> Of grassy swarth, close cropped by nibbling sheep
> And skirted thick with intertexture firm
> Of thorny boughs; have loved the rural walk
> O'er hills, through valleys, and by rivers' brink,
> E'er since a truant boy I passed my bounds
> To enjoy a ramble on the banks of Thames;
> And still remember, nor without regret
> Of hours that sorrow since has much endeared,
> How oft, my slice of pocket store consumed,
> Still hungering, penniless and far from home,
> I fed on scarlet hips and stony haws,
> Or blushing crabs, or berries that emboss
> The bramble, black as jet, or sloes austere.

It is, perhaps, difficult to realise nowadays how new such writing as this was when *The Task* was published. Assuredly, these are not "raptures"

> conjured up
> To serve occasions of poetic pomp.

The truant boy, his pocket store, the berries he ate—there is something in these which his century might have called "low." But the berries are exactly described; we feel sure that the boy ate them. The poet who describes them was, himself, that boy; and, looking back, he sees his boyhood through the intervening sorrow which we know that he suffered. In every line, there is

actuality and personality. The diction is still a little Miltonic, for Cowper's blank verse never moved far from his master; but, all the preceding nature poetry might be searched in vain for this note of simple truth—the record of actual experience which the poet perceives to have poetic value and beauty. A little later, he addresses Mrs. Unwin in a famous passage, beginning:

> How oft upon yon eminence our pace
> Has slackened to a pause, and we have borne
> The ruffling wind, scarce conscious that it blew,
> While admiration feeding at the eye,
> And still unsated, dwelt upon the scene.

Hitherto, there had been nothing in English poetry quite like the passage that begins with the lines here quoted. The nearest parallel is, probably, Collins's *Ode to Evening*, though that lovely poem wraps its subject in a glow of romance which is absent from Cowper's description. But, when Cowper wrote *The Sofa*, he had never even heard of Collins.[1] He owed as little to Gray's *Elegy*, where the scene is far more "sentimentalised"; and nothing can deprive him of the title to originality. Here is a very commonplace English landscape, minutely described. The poet does nothing to lend it dignity or significance other than its own. But he has seen for himself its beauty, and its interest; little details, like the straightness of the furrow, the smallness of the distant ploughman, please him. And, because he has himself derived pleasure and consolation from the scene and its details, his poetry communicates that pleasure and that consolation. Familiar scenes, simple things prove, in his lines, their importance, their beauty and their healing influence on the soul of man. Nature need not any longer be "dressed up" to win a place in poetry. And, if *The Task* be the forerunner of Wordsworth, its manner of accepting facts as they are, and at their own value, contains, also, the germ of something very unlike Cowper, something that may be found in *The Woods of Westermain*.

The nature poetry in *The Task* is, doubtless, of a humbler order than that of *Tintern Abbey* or *The Excursion*, though, in many passages of simple description, the similarity between Wordsworth and Cowper is striking. Cowper would have been

[1] *Letters*, ed. Frazer, J. G., 1912, vol. I, p. 282.

unable to compose the books of *The Prelude: On Imagination and Taste, how impaired and how restored.* He would even have thought them unchristian and reprehensible. Where the great soul of Wordsworth broods over the world of sense, conscious of how it opens and affects the world of the spirit, Cowper hardly even asks how it is that these loved scenes console and enlarge the mind. He is not a philosopher, and he is not a mystic. For him, it is enough that the things he sees are beautiful and dear; he does not ask for anything more. But the nearness of his object, his familiarity with it and his fine taste in expression result in poetry which, if not, in itself, great, is wonderfully pure and sweet, and prepared the way for profounder work by others. While his simplicity and exactness in description mark him off from all preceding nature poets, even from Thomson, the spirit of his poetry differentiates him equally from Crabbe, who, though even more minute and faithful in detail, always regarded nature as a setting for the emotions of man. There are passages in *The Task* which sound a nobler music than that quoted above. One is the invocation to evening in *The Winter Evening*, beginning:

> Come, Evening, once again, season of peace;
> Return, sweet Evening, and continue long!

The earlier part of this passage is very like Collins. The whole of it, in spite of certain characteristic words—"ostentatious," "modest"—is a little too fanciful and a little too elaborate to be entirely in Cowper's peculiar manner. He is most himself when he is most closely concerned with the scenes and people that, in his restricted life, he had come to know and love. The six books of *The Task* (entitled *The Sofa*, *The Timepiece*, *The Garden*, *The Winter Evening*, *The Winter Morning Walk* and *The Winter Walk at Noon*) contain many passages of sympathetic description that have become classical. Such are the lines on the "rural sounds" and those on hay-carting in *The Sofa;* the man cutting hay from the stack, the woodman and his dog in *The Winter Morning Walk;* the postman and the waggoner in *The Winter Evening;* the fall of snow, in the same book. Each is the product of the poet's own observation; each helped to prove, in an age which needed the lesson, that simplicity and truth have their place in poetry, and that

commonplace things are fit subjects for the poet. Cowper's simplicity is not the simplicity of *Lyrical Ballads*, any more than it is the glittering artifice of Pope. He is Miltonic throughout; but he speaks with perfect sincerity, keeping "his eye on the object."

There are, no doubt, stretches of didactic verse in *The Task*. That was almost necessary to Cowper in a poem of this length. But it is more important to observe how, in this poem, one quality, that has endeared Cowper to thousands of readers and was by no means without its effect on public opinion, finds its chief expression in his works. After concluding *The Sofa* with the famous and beautiful passage beginning:

> God made the country, and man made the town;

he opens *The Time-piece* with a cry for some refuge where the news of man's oppression, deceit and cruelty might never reach him. The love of man for man, the love of man for animals, for the meanest thing that lives—this is the principal moral message of *The Task*. Doubtless, this kind of "sentimentalism" was "in the air," at the time. It belonged, to some extent, to Cowper's section of the church; it was spread far and wide by Rousseau. Yet it was inborn in Cowper's tender, joyful nature—a nature that was playfully serene when free from its tyrant melancholy; and Cowper remains the chief exponent of it in English poetry.

When originally published in 1785, *The Task* was followed in the same volume by three shorter poems, an epistle to Cowper's friend, Joseph Hill, *Tirocinium*, to which reference was made above, and *The Diverting History of John Gilpin*. In *Tirocinium*, the attack on the brutality and immorality of public schools may have been just and is certainly vigorous; but this is not the kind of poetical composition in which Cowper excelled. Of *John Gilpin*, there is little need to speak at length. Lady Austen told Cowper the story. He lay awake at night laughing over it, and made of it a ballad in a style of fun peculiarly his own, but not to be found elsewhere outside his letters. The more closely one looks into the poem, the finer seems the characterisation, and the more delicate and artful the precise simplicity of its manner. Subsequent editions included twelve

more short poems in the volume, among them *The Rose*, admired by Sainte-Beuve, and the lines *On the Receipt of my Mother's Picture out of Norfolk*. Cowper's mother had died when he was six years old. As he tells us in this poem, nearly half a century afterwards he remembered distinctly and minutely the event and his feelings, and the poem is one of the most pathetic and moving in any language. Thanks to the poet's use of detail, the woman and her little son live again before us, and the tenderness of the whole is unsurpassed. One other of the shorter poems, *The Dog and the Water-lily*, deserves mention for the light it throws on Cowper's gentle, animal-loving life; and the collection included, also, one or two fables that link him with Prior, Gay and Northcote.

In 1786, Cowper and Mrs. Unwin had moved from dreary Olney to a cheerful house and neighbourhood at Weston, not far off, and had enlarged their circle of acquaintances, thanks partly, to his cousin Harriet (the sister of Theodora), now Lady Hesketh. Cowper's life continued to be happy; and, during these pleasant years, he wrote a number of short poems, which were not published till after his death. Among them were several playful or serious personal addresses, much in the tone of the letters. Others were little narratives or expressions of everyday experience, like *The Colubriad*, an account of a viper which threatened the poet's cat and her kittens, and the epitaph on the poet's hare, "Old Tiney, surliest of his kind." The remainder included a few religious poems, several epigrams and translations, one or two tales and some poems on the slave trade, written to order and not showing Cowper at his best. Among these posthumous works four stand prominent: the stanzas *On the Loss of the Royal George*, the sonnet *To Mrs. Unwin*, the poem *To Mary* and *The Poplar Field*. The sonnet is one of Cowper's finest achievements; the poem *To Mary* is redeemed by its tenderness from a certain monotony in the form. *The Poplar Field* contains the famous and exquisite second line of the couplet

> The poplars are felled; farewell to the shade
> And the whispering sound of the cool colonnade

which shows Cowper to have had possibilities in lyric poetry never fulfilled by him. Yet, it seems almost unjust to say this

in view of *On the Loss of the Royal George.* Written to oblige Lady Austen, who wanted words set to the march in *Scipio*, this poem is one of the noblest dirges ever composed. By the directest, simplest means imaginable, Cowper attains an effect of noble grandeur. The plain statement reaches the sublime.

Cowper was not content to write short poems. In order to stave off its besetting depression, his mind needed regular occupation; and, in 1785, soon after he had finished correcting the proofs of *The Task*, he began, "merely to divert attention," turning Homer's *Iliad* into blank verse. The diversion grew into a plan to translate the whole of Homer and publish the work by subscription. Cowper came to his task well equipped. He had known his Homer from boyhood; and how well he knew and appreciated him may be learned from two letters to Lady Hesketh, written in December, 1785, and January, 1786, which are worth quoting as examples of judicious and penetrating criticism.

Except the Bible, there never was in the world a book so remarkable for that species of the sublime that owes its very existence to simplicity, as the works of Homer. He is always nervous, plain, natural . . . Homer is, on occasions that call for such a style, the easiest and most familiar of all writers . . . Homer's accuracy of description, and his exquisite judgement never, never failed him. He never, I believe, in a single instance sacrificed beauty to embellishment. He does not deal in hyperbole . . . accordingly, when he describes nature, whether in man or in animal, or whether nature inanimate, you may always trust him for the most consummate fidelity. It is his great glory that he omits no striking part of his subject, and that he never inserts a title that does not belong to it. Oh! how unlike some describers that I have met with, of modern days, who smother you with words, words, words, and then think that they have copied nature; when all the while nature was an object either not looked at, or not sufficiently.

Much of this is applicable to Cowper himself; and the writer of the passage might be held to have been peculiarly well fitted to translate Homer. Moreover, Cowper not only knew and loved Homer (though, indeed, he regretted that this "most blameless writer" was "not an enlightened man"), but he knew Pope's translation, which he had compared word for word with the

original. To him, Pope's "faults and failings" were "like so many buoys upon a dangerous coast"; and, side by side with his appreciation of Homer, there runs, in these letters to Lady Hesketh, some very penetrating examination of the difference between Homer and the "two pretty poems under Homer's titles" written by Pope. So far as criticism goes, therefore, Cowper promised well as a translator of Homer. He knew what to aim at, and what to avoid. The work was finished, well subscribed and published in 1791; and, to-day, no one need read it except those who have to write about it.

The reasons of Cowper's failure are two. In the first place though precision and truth of detail are characteristics of both poets, Cowper's tender, shrinking mind was separated by centuries and leagues from Homer's. It was not his to understand the joy of battle, the fascination of wounds, the fierce, raw passions, still largely animal, of primitive heroes and heroines, nor to surrender his convictions to the turbulent folk whom Homer regarded as gods and goddesses. In the second place, it is one thing to realise that Homer is "nervous, plain, natural," and another to achieve those qualities, in learned and sonorous blank verse. Cowper's Miltonic measures are hardly less unlike Homer than is Pope's riming jingle. The movement is completely altered. It is ample and stately; it has all the nobility which was one of the qualities demanded by Matthew Arnold in his lectures *On Translating Homer*. It is, also, faithful. Pope had perverted his original in order to find occasion for the brilliant effects of antithesis and epigram in which he excelled. Chapman, an Elizabethan brimful of ideas and curiosity and a spirit of literary adventure, had perverted his original through ebullience of sentiment and fancy. Cowper, priding himself on adhering closely to his original, adhered only in part. He knew exactly what Homer meant to say; he appreciated, in a great measure, Homer's manner of saying it; but his head was full of Milton. He believed Milton's style to resemble Homer's; and, by modelling his blank verse on Milton's, he achieves inversions, pauses and pomposities which are wholly unlike the smooth and simple rapidity of Homer. This is not to say that there are not excellent passages in Cowper's *Homer*, nor that the whole work is not a lofty achievement in scholarship and poetry. But, in

avoiding the cleverness of Pope, Cowper fell into the opposite extreme. Homer is grand and lively, Cowper's *Homer* is grand and dull. As translator of the hymns of Mme. Guyon, of certain odes and satires of Horace, of Greek songs and the Latin poems of his admired Milton, Cowper was more successful, especially in the case of Horace, with whom, despite the difference between a genial pagan and an evangelical Christian he had much in common. Perhaps the least disputable title to remembrance which Cowper's *Homer* possesses is that it kept the poet busy and happy, staving off, for a while, his persistent foe, despair.

Despair was to have him in the end. Mrs. Unwin sickened and died. The strain of attendance upon her proved too much for Cowper's mental and physical strength; and one of the saddest stories in the world is that of Cowper at and after the death of his heroic friend. Popularity, success, affection, royal favour (in the form of a pension acquired for him partly by the eager, blundering pertinacity of his friend, Hayley[1])—nothing could relieve him. His last original work was a powerful but ghastly poem called *The Castaway*. He died on 25 April, 1800.

Cowper, though not among the great poets of England, holds a unique place, partly by virtue of the personality which shines in every line of his poetry, partly by virtue of the sincerity and simplicity which, "keeping its eye on the object," saw beauty and consolation in common things, till then neglected, but eagerly seized upon by his successors and transformed into material for their profoundest and noblest art. There is another field in which he holds still a unique position—the field of letter-writing. It seems an error to speak, in connection with Cowper, of the art of letter-writing. If art implies the consideration of their effect upon the public, no letters were ever written with less art. In a letter to William Unwin, Cowper says:

It is possible I might have indulged myself in the pleasure of writing to you, without waiting for a letter from you, but for a reason which you will not easily guess. Your mother communicated to me the satisfaction you expressed in my correspondence, that you thought me entertaining and clever, and so forth:—now

[1] Coldicott, H. Rowlands S., "How Cowper got his pension," *The Cornhill Magazine*, no. 202, April, 1913, p. 493.

you must know, I love praise dearly, especially from the judicious, and those who have so much delicacy themselves as not to offend mine in giving it. But then, I found this consequence attending, or likely to attend the eulogium you bestowed;—if my friend thought me witty before, he shall think me ten times more witty hereafter;—where I joked once, I will joke five times and for one sensible remark I will send him a dozen. Now this foolish vanity would have spoiled me quite, and would have made me as disgusting a letter-writer as Pope, who seems to have thought that unless a sentence was well turned, and every period pointed with some conceit, it was not worth the carriage. Accordingly he is to me, except in very few instances, the most disagreeable maker of epistles that ever I met with. I was willing, therefore, to wait till the impression your commendation had made upon the foolish part of me was worn off, that I might scribble away as usual, and write my uppermost thoughts, and those only.

With the exception of Charles Lamb, all the other great English letter-writers—Gray, Walpole, Pope, Byron—wrote with an eye to the printed collection. Cowper wrote partly for his correspondent, chiefly for himself. His art, in his own phrase, "talking letters." He chats about anything that happens to be in his mind. If he is suffering from his mental complaint, he writes a letter unmatched for gloom, a letter that envelopes even a modern reader in a black mist of misery. A few pages later, and he is playful, gay, almost jaunty. His mind was so sweet, and his interest in the little details of life so keen, that the most trivial occurrence—a feat in carpentering, a bed of tulips, the visit of a parliamentary candidate——can interest his reader still. Acute reasoning, sound sense, fine judgment fall into their places with whimsical nonsense, hearty laughter and almost boyish affection. He will break off a criticism on Homer to bid Lady Hesketh "give me a great corking pin that I may stick your faith upon my sleeve. There—it is done." The whole of his nature, gay and gloomy, narrow in opinion and wide in sympathy, ever fixed on heavenly things and ever keenly alive to mundane things, is preserved for us in these inimitably vivid letters; and the same taste and scholarship which give point and permanence even to his least elaborated poems have won for these naïve examples of transparent self-revelation an undying value. The more they are read, the better will Cowper be understood and loved.

CHAPTER V

William Wordsworth

WORDSWORTH'S surprise and resentment would surely have been provoked had he been told that, at half a century's distance and from an European point of view, his work would seem, on the whole, though with several omissions and additions, to be a continuation of the movement initiated by Rousseau. It is, nevertheless, certain that it might be described as an English variety of Rousseauism, revised and corrected, in some parts, by the opposite influence of Edmund Burke. In Wordsworth, we find Rousseau's well-known fundamental tenets: he has the same semi-mystical faith in the goodness of nature as well as in the excellence of the child; his ideas on education are almost identical; there are apparent a similar diffidence in respect of the merely intellectual processes of the mind, and an equal trust in the good that may accrue to man from the cultivation of his senses and feelings. The differences between the two, mainly occasional and of a political nature, seem secondary by the side of these profound analogies. For this reason, Wordsworth must be placed by the general historian among the numerous "sons of Rousseau," who form the main battalion of romanticism; though, if we merely regard the ideas he expressed and propagated, his personality may, thereby, lose some of its originality and distinctness. But, resemblance does not necessarily mean repetition and imitation. Moreover, men's ideas are their least individual possessions. The manner in which a man, and, above all, a poet, becomes possessed of his creed, the stamp he puts upon it, are the things that really matter. Now, Wordsworth formed his thoughts and convictions in the light of the circumstances of his own life, where-

by they assumed a reality wanting in those of many of his contemporaries. If he thought like others, he always thought by himself. He gives us the impression that, had he lived alone on a bookless earth, he would have reached the same conclusions. His deep influence on a limited, but incomparably loyal, number of readers owes less to his beliefs than to his minute, persevering analysis of every step he made towards them. He appeals to our confidence by his constant recourse to his personal experience. He prides himself on being the least inventive of great poets. He belittles fancy. It is true that he claimed imagination as his supreme gift, but, at the same time, he bestowed on the word imagination a new meaning, almost entirely opposed to the ordinary one. He gave the name to his accurate, faithful and loving observation of nature. In his loftier moods, he used "imagination" as a synonym of "intuition," of seeing into, and even through, reality, but he never admitted a divorce between it and reality. The gift of feigning, of arbitrarily combining the features of a legend or story, which had long been held to be the first poetical prerogative, was almost entirely denied him, and he thanked God for its absence. His hold over many thoughtful and, generally, mature minds is due to his having avowedly, and often, also, practically, made truth his primary object, beauty being only second. Those who had ingenuously turned to his poems for the mere charm of verse were grateful to him inasmuch as they had received, in addition, their first lessons in philosophy. They had gone to him for pleasure and they came back with a train of reflection that followed them through the round of their daily tasks. They were taught by him a new way of looking at men and nature. Wordsworth achieved this result by dint of one-sided pressure, by tenaciousness of aim. Not that his ideas remained the same from beginning to end. Few men, on the contrary, changed more thoroughly. His mind may be represented as continuously shifting along a half circle, so that, finally, he stood at the opposite end of the diameter. The young revolutionist evolved into a grey-haired conservative, the semi-atheist and pantheist into a pattern of conformity. But, all the time, he kept true to his fixed centre, the search for the greatest good. His very contradictions point to one engrossing pursuit. His life was an

unbroken series of slow movements which brought him from one extreme to the other, though his eyes were ever bent in the same direction. Because he never ceased to have the same object in view, he was himself imperfectly conscious of the change in his position.

Wordsworth was born in 1770 at Cockermouth, in the north of the lake country, the second child of a fairly prosperous attorney-at-law and of Anne Cookson, daughter of a Penrith mercer. Seen from the outside, without the optimistic prism of *The Prelude*, his childhood does not seem to have been any more privileged, while his youth appears decidedly more vexed and troubled, than those of the common run of men. The child, surely, had pleasant hours with his brothers and sister while playing about the terrace of the family garden which overlooked the Derwent, or when bathing in the river. There were bitter hours, however, when he was taken to his mother's family at Penrith, where harsh grandparents often treated the little ones "with reproach and insult." William was particularly unruly and, in consequence, had most to bear from the Cooksons. Hence, we hear of acts of defiance and even of a childish attempt at suicide.

When he was eight years old, his mother died, and, parting from his father, who never recovered his cheerfulness after his bereavement, Wordsworth was sent to Hawkshead grammar school. A very homely one-room house in a very poor village is the place where he was taught. He lodged with one of the old village dames, who, however kind they might be to boys, could only give them coarse and scanty fare. For his companions, he chiefly had farmers' sons, destined for the church, who brought with them the rough manners of their home life. In spite of the delight he found in games, open air life and rambles about hill and lake, it must be admitted that Hawkshead was a very mixed paradise.

Then came his father's death, when the boy was thirteen. The orphan's condition was precarious. Almost all the money left by his father was in the hands of Sir James Lowther, to whom Wordsworth's father had been steward, and Sir James would never hear of paying it back so long as he lived, nor could he be compelled to reimburse. It is true that enough remained

to allow William to pursue his studies, and a boy does not take money questions much to heart. But there were wretched holidays at Penrith, in his grandparents' sullen home. Of the frequent distress of the children in that house, we have a vivid picture in the earliest letters of little Dorothy, the poet's only sister, written in the last year spent by William at Hawkshead. Dorothy, whose sweet, affectionate nature cannot be suspected of unjustified complaints, could scarcely bear the loveless constraint she had to undergo. No more could her brothers: "Many a time have William, John, Christopher and myself shed tears together of the bitterest sorrow." "We have no father to protect, no mother to guide us," and so forth.

From Hawkshead, Wordsworth went to Cambridge in October, 1787, and remained there at St. John's college till the beginning of 1791. He took little interest either in the intellectual or social life of the university. He never opened a mathematical book and thus lost all chance of obtaining a fellowship. Even his literary studies were pursued irregularly, without any attention being paid to the prescribed course. He did not feel any abhorrence of the students' life, which, at that time, consisted of alternate sloth and wildness. He first shared in it, but soon grew weary of it and lived more or less by himself. In his university years, his only deep enjoyments were the long rambles in which he indulged during vacations. Meanwhile, discussions with his uncles must, at times, have made life rather distasteful to him. He had no money in prospect. All his small patrimony had been spent on his university education; yet he showed himself vacillating and reluctant when required to make choice of a career. None was to his taste. The army, the church, the law, tutorial work, were all contemplated and discarded in turn. He showed no strong bent except for wandering and writing poetry. He was, indeed, a young man likely to make his elders anxious. In July, 1790, just at the time when he ought to have been working hard for his approaching examinations, he took it into his head to start for the Alps with a fellow student, on foot, equipped much like a pedlar—an escapade without precedent. As soon as he had taken his B.A., without distinction, he set fortune at defiance, and settled in London for a season, doing nothing in particular, "pitching a vagrant tent among the unfenced regions of society." After

this, other wanderings and abortive schemes of regular work followed for more than three years, till he threw aside all idea of a fixed career and settled down to resolute poverty. Such apparent restlessness and indolence could not but be attended by many a pang of remorse. He suffered from his growing estrangement from his relations. He was ill-satisfied with himself and uneasy about the future, and these feelings (perhaps darkened by some passages of vexed love) found an outlet in his juvenile poems, all of which are tinged with melancholy.

It seems strange that such a childhood and youth should, afterwards, have furnished him with the optimistic basis of *The Prelude*. Beyond doubt, this poem was meant to be a selection of all the circumstances in his early life that told for joy and hope. Hence, a heightening of bright colours, and a voluntary omission of more sombre hues, in the picture he made of his youth. But the contrast between the dry facts of his early life and his rapture over the same period is, also, owing to a deeper truth. The joy he celebrates in *The Prelude* springs from sources hidden from all eyes, scarcely suspected by the child himself. Whatever shadows might pass over his days, abundant strength and happiness lay beneath the surface. He was not callous to grief, but, somehow, felt all the time that grief was transient, hope permanent, in his breast. His enjoyment of nature gave him those intense delights which are usually unnoticed in the tale of a life. So did his already passionate love of verse. Thus, *The Prelude* is all true, though it does not present us with the whole truth.

Of the young man's passion for nature, his early poems, both published in 1793, furnish direct proof. They are the most minute and copious inventories of the aspects he saw, of the noises he heard, in his native lakes (*An Evening Walk*) or in his wanderings through Switzerland (*Descriptive Sketches*). Such acuteness and copiousness of observation were only possible in the case of a devotee. However contorted and knotty the verse may be, however artificial the diction, the poet's fervour is as manifest here as in the most eloquent of his subsequent effusions. Though he follows in the train of a succession of descriptive poets, he outdoes them all in abundance of precise touches.

But his practice of descriptive poetry was interrupted for

several years, at the very time when he was giving the finishing touch to these poems. The influence of the French revolution on this part of his life cannot be overrated. Characteristically, he was rather late in becoming an adept. He uttered no paean on the fall of the Bastille. To move him, it was necessary that his senses should be aroused. Now, the revolution turned her most enticing smile towards him. It so happened that he had first landed at Calais on the eve of the federation of 1790; so, the unparalleled mirth of that time seemed a festivity prepared for his welcome. The glee and hopefulness of the season turned into a charming benevolence, which he tasted with all the relish of a student on a holiday trip. Then came his prolonged stay in France, chiefly at Orleans and at Blois, from November, 1791, to December, 1792, in times already darkened by civil mistrust and violence. But, chance would have it that he should be eyewitness to heartstirring scenes, such as the enlisting of volunteers and the proclamation of the republic. Above all, he had the good fortune to make friends with one of the true heroes of the day, Captain Michel Beaupuy, whose chivalric nature and generous enthusiasm for the new order warmed the young Englishman. Exquisite is the portrait drawn of Beaupuy in *The Prelude*. The fine traits of his character are all confirmed by what has since become known of his career, with this reservation, that, through an irresistible tendency to idealise, Wordsworth may have toned down some of the features. Beaupuy was the revolutionary apostle described by the poet, but there was less of the philosopher and more of the soldier in his composition. It is clear from his letters and diaries that he was an ingenuous and soldier-like reasoner, and, also, that he could utter an oath or two when in a passion. Anyhow, he found Wordsworth a bewildered foreigner and left him a determined revolutionist, one might almost say a French republican. A spirit of revolt and indignation against all social iniquities pervaded Wordsworth for years, together with a sympathy, which never left him, for the poorer and humbler members of the community. When he came back to England, he drew near the Jacobins without becoming one of them; but he was a decided reformer. Alienated from his own country when she went to war with France, he heartily hated king, regent and ministry. His

letter to the bishop of Llandaff and his poem *Guilt and Sorrow* (or *Incidents on Salisbury Plain*) are the best testimonies of his feelings. Society appeared to him responsible for the wretchedness, and even the crimes, of individuals—his pity went to vagrants and murderers. His abhorrence of war was shown in insistent and gruesome pictures of war scenes.

When the French revolution passed into the Terror, and especially when the republic changed a defensive into an aggressive war, Wordsworth lost his trust in immediate social reform. He turned more and more to abstract meditation on man and society, chiefly under the guidance of William Godwin —a period of dry intellectualism that went against the grain. He suffered from the suppression of his feelings, from being momentarily deaf to "the language of the sense." Besides, his analysis of men's motives soon convinced him that the evils he fought against were not so much the results of social forms as of something inherent in man's nature. A man of commanding intellect may be wantonly cruel and vicious; he may use all the powers of logic for his detestable ends; reason is non-moral; the wicked "spin motives out of their own bowels." Hence, a wellnigh absolute, though transient, pessimism, which vented itself in his play *The Borderers*. If the traditional bonds of morality are relaxed, the fixed rules of our actions or the intuitive guidance of the feelings repudiated, then full scope is given to bold, intelligent, bad men; then are the well-meaning blinded and betrayed to abominable deeds. Then is the Terror possible. Scarcely any hope of betterment is left. The kind-hearted Girondin Marmaduke will be an easy prey to the villainous Montagnard Oswald.

When he wrote this tragedy, Wordsworth had already put an end to his solitary, wandering life and settled at Racedown in Dorsetshire with his sister Dorothy (autumn of 1795). There, they both lived a frugal life, on the meagre income from a legacy of £900 left to the poet by a dying friend. This settlement was the crowning of a long-cherished scheme. Brother and sister were passionately attached to each other. Dorothy's letters make their mutual love known to us and let us into depths of Wordsworth's nature, scarcely revealed by his poems. She speaks of "a vehemence of affection" in him that his readers might not suspect, so careful he usually was,

in Hazlitt's words, "to calm the throbbing pulses of his own heart by keeping his eye ever fixed on the face of nature." By this discipline, did he, in those years, slowly conquer his besetting thoughts of despondency. Wordsworth and Dorothy were equally fond of natural scenery. Their delight in each other and their daily rambles were the first agents in the young disillusionised republican's recovery. Dorothy made him turn his eyes again to the landscape and take an interest in the peasants near their home. But the poet's mind remained gloomy for a time, as is shown by his pastoral *The Ruined Cottage* (or *The Story of Margaret*), which afterwards found its place in the first book of *The Excursion*. A heart-rending narrative, if read without the comforting comments of the pedlar afterwards added to it, a perfect poem, too, such as Wordsworth never surpassed, it points out both the exceeding tenderness often met with in the hearts of the poor and the cruelty of fate aggravated by the existing social order. No doctrine, poetic or philosophical, is perceptible in this poem of simple, chastened beauty. It does not give any token of the message with which Wordsworth was soon to think himself entrusted. His sense of a message only became clear to him after he had, in the summer of 1797, removed from Racedown to Alfoxden, so as to live in daily converse with Coleridge, who was then dwelling at Nether Stowey, in Somersetshire. Till then, the two poets had only exchanged a few visits, after the end of 1795, the first results of which had merely been to encourage Wordsworth to poetical composition. He had felt raised and exhilarated by Coleridge's entire, almost extravagant, admiration for his *Salisbury Plain* and *Borderers*. But, when they had become close neighbours and intimate friends, Coleridge's innate transcendentalism began to affect Wordsworth. It is impossible to define exactly the share of each in the elaboration of those poetical and moral tenets which they seemed, for a time, to hold in common, unconscious of the deep differences between them. Yet, on the whole, one may say that Wordsworth's share consisted in his more precise observations of nature and common life. Coleridge, "with the capacious soul," influenced his friend by his metaphysical gifts, "the power he possessed of throwing out in profusion grand, central truths from which might be evolved the most comprehensive

systems." An omnivorous reader, with an inclination towards mystic doctrines, Coleridge talked eloquently to Wordsworth on Plato and the neo-Platonists, Berkeley's idealism, the pantheistic system and serene necessitarianism of Spinoza, the intuitional religion of the theosophists—a new world to one who had not yet gone beyond the rationalism of the eighteenth century and who always found his most congenial food in the associationism of Hartley. Now, Wordsworth, without binding himself to any one master, was to take hints from all in building up his own doctrine. But he was not an intellectual dilettante; all he absorbed from without had to be reconciled to his personal experience and turned to a practical aim. He would show men the way to wisdom and happiness. He would, from his country retreat, give out his views of nature, man and society. He justified this lofty ambition to himself because he was conscious, personally, of having issued out of error into truth, out of despondency into hopefulness. He thought he knew the reasons why most men in his generation had fallen into pessimism and misanthropy. He now believed in the restorative power of nature, in the essential goodness of a man's heart when unadulterated by the pride of intellect, in the greatness of the senses which could drink in infinite joys and profound lessons of wisdom. Thus did he plan his *Recluse*, as early as March, 1798, "the first great philosophical poem in existence," as Coleridge anticipated, which was to employ his highest energies for seventeen years. Though never completed, the monument exists in fragments of imposing magnitude—the first book of *The Recluse*, properly so called, written in 1800; *The Prelude*, written between 1798 and 1805, an autobiography meant as the ante-chapel to the huge gothic cathedral; and *The Excursion*, which, though it includes passages composed as early as 1797, was not finished before 1814. Such intervals of time account better than any other reason for the incompleteness of the edifice, for the poet's ideas changed so much while he was engaged upon his work that no systematic presentation of doctrine, as was first intended, could possibly be achieved. Only the initial impulse remained —the poet's sense of a duty put on him from on high, his earnest wish to benefit his fellow men morally and to make them happier. The reasons for his optimism might and did vary;

but the optimistic attitude was preserved to the end, securing the unity of the poet's career.

But, during his stay with Coleridge in Somersetshire, Wordsworth did not only lay the foundations of his *Recluse*. The same intercourse gave birth to less ambitious and more immediate verse, to the famous *Lyrical Ballads* of 1798, a small volume of short poems by Coleridge and himself. It is well known how, after some fruitless attempts at collaboration, the two friends agreed to divide the field of poetry. To the share of Coleridge fell such subjects as were supernatural, or, at any rate, romantic, which he was to inform with a human interest and a semblance of truth. Wordsworth's part was to be the events of everyday life, by preference in its humblest form; the characters and incidents of his poems "were to be such as will be found in every village and its vicinity where there is a meditative and feeling mind to seek after them, or to notice them when they present themselves." Thus did Coleridge sing *The Ancient Mariner*, while Wordsworth told the tales *Goody Blake* and *Simon Lee*. Nothing can better show Wordsworth's minute realism, how necessary it was to him to hold a little of his mother earth within his fingers. His homely ballads are so many humble practical illustrations of the philosophy he was at this very time promulgating in lofty blank verse, for instance, in his lyrical hymn of thanks to nature, *Tintern Abbey*. The ballads have "a something corporeal, a matter-of-factness," which Coleridge could not help lamenting. They are not only clad in humble garb, but, to a certain extent, are more scientific than poetic in their aim. There survived so much of Wordsworth's former rationalism that he almost gave the precedence to psychology over poetry in these experiments. The preface of the 1800 edition of the *Ballads* really looks like the programme of a man of science. He is inspired by a wish to know more, and make more known, of the human heart. He goes so far as to call poetry "the history and science of the feelings."

Perfect unity is not characteristic of this period so much as a gladsome energy exerted in several directions. "He never wrote with such glee." His new reading of nature and of man fills him with delight—together with the life he now leads between the most wonderful of friends and the most devoted

of inspired sisters. He had such superfluous joy that "he could afford to suffer with those he saw suffer," that he was "bold to look on painful things." He believed in "the deep power of joy," by means of which "we see into the life of things." He made joy the chief attribute of poetry, proclaimed poets "the happiest of men." He rejoiced in his own boldness, found vent for his surviving republicanism in a sweeping, democratic reform of poetical style—putting down the time-honoured hierarchy of words, abolishing the traditional distinction between high and low, in subjects and diction.

These trustful feelings, this spontaneous optimism, expressive of his unimpaired vitality, sustained him throughout the years from 1798 to 1805, during which period his best and most original poetry was written, whether at Alfoxden, or in Germany, where he stayed with his sister from September, 1798, to April, 1799, or in the glorious humility of Dove cottage, at Grasmere, in the lake country, where he settled with Dorothy in the last days of the century and where Coleridge was again his frequent visitant, or in his wanderings over Scotland, with both Coleridge and Dorothy, from August to October 1803. A period of "plain living and high thinking," made famous by great verse.

One may fix on 1805 as the year in, or about, which this period of Wordsworth's poetical life closes. He had now, if not published, at least written, nearly all that is supreme in his works—his only book of *The Recluse*, all *The Prelude*, the best parts of *The Excursion*, besides many of the best and boldest of his short poems, ballads and sonnets. His great *Ode on Immortality* was all but finished. Had he died then, in his thirty-sixth year, having lived as long as Byron and much longer than Shelley or Keats, he would have left a fame almost as high as he was to attain, though of a different character. His freshness of thought and style being taken together, his works would have stamped him as one of the most daring among the poets of his day. The sedate and sometimes conventional moralising which has been associated with his name comes into existence in his later productions. But it should be added that, for ten years, he was to achieve, in a new direction, some verse that "one would not willingly let die."

Outward events and the circumstances of his own life had something to do with the change that took place in him about 1805. Politically, it was caused by the beginning of the French empire, the crowning of Napoleon by the pope, "a sad reverse for all mankind"; hence, the final overthrow of Wordsworth's sympathies for the revolution, the decisive proof (so he thought) that his former ideal was false and treacherous. This led him to suspect more and more all that, in his ideas, still savoured of revolt; it caused him to rally more closely round the principles of order and repent his former wishes of social change. The grey tints of mistrust slowly overlaid the glowing enthusiasms of yore. It is true that Wordsworth's feelings were roused, chiefly by the Spanish war, to a patriotic fervour that found expression in many a vigorous sonnet and even turned him into a pamphleteer. His eloquent and ponderous *Convention of Cintra* (1809) shows the fighting spirit that was in him. But it had the inconvenience of leading him from verse to prose, from poetry to dialectics, and thus generated an oratorical habit that was to infect many parts of his *Excursion*.

Then, in his very home, there happened changes that, whether fortunate or sad, impressed on his soul new habits and tendencies. As early as 1802, he had married a Westmorland girl, Mary Hutchinson, in whom he found one of the greatest blessings of his life. The quieting influence of this meek Mary, by degrees, though not at once, was added to, or even took the place of, the more impulsive and exciting companionship of Dorothy. Mrs. Wordsworth's nature told for submission and repose. Besides, the mere fact of his being married checked gradually, though it did not suppress altogether, what might be called the guiltless Bohemianism of his youth. The duties and cares of the father of a large family grew upon him. Five children were born to the pair between 1803 and 1810, two of whom were to die almost simultaneously in 1812. As early as 1806, the increase of his family had led to a temporary, then to a definitive, abandonment of the narrow Dove cottage, to which clung many of his most poetical memories.

Before robbing him of two of his children, death had already struck Wordsworth a blow that went near his heart, one that ever after saddened his life—the loss of his brother John, a

sailor shipwrecked in February, 1805. How deeply he was affected by it is known, not only by his poems, but from the letters of the Grasmere household and the journal of Dorothy. There was another cause of grievous sorrow in the state of "the brother of his soul," Coleridge, now a prey to opium and drink, whose growing distress of body and mind was, for years, a depressing, heart-rending sight for his friend, and whose endless idle laments haunted Wordsworth's sleep as well as his waking thoughts. Whether absent or present, Coleridge had become an increasing source of anxiety to Wordsworth. Wordsworth's infinite patience and forbearance, in these circumstances, cannot be too highly praised. But nothing availed. The friends had to part in 1810, Coleridge betaking himself to London. More painful than all the rest, Coleridge, in one of his irresponsible moods, turned in anger against Wordsworth. An estrangement followed which was never wholly healed, and which left a lifelong scar in Wordsworth's heart.

Yet, the change in Wordsworth's poetry had still deeper causes than all these. Though he had little of Coleridge's self-abandonment, he could not help feeling a decay of his strictly poetical powers—of that imagination and joy on which, till then, he had erected the structure of his verse. When Coleridge had written his ode *Dejection* in 1802, Wordsworth could immediately retort with his optimistic *Leech-Gatherer*. But, now, he, also, felt the wane of his "shaping spirit of imagination." The earth no longer offered him the splendour it had for him in his youth. A glory had departed from the earth. He had, very early, felt the fading of that glory, but had long checked the onset of the unimaginative years to come by fondly dwelling on the memories of his childhood. In 1805, he had so copiously drawn from the treasure-house for his *Prelude* that the store was becoming exhausted. He understood the meaning of the depression of his vital spirits: he was travelling further away from the springs of energy, drawing nearer to old age and death. This is a sad thought to all men—it was doubly so to him who had rested all his faith on the freshness of the senses and feelings, and on their gladsome guidance.

In want of comfort, he turned to duty. Wordsworth's *Ode to Duty* (1805), produced at the turning-point of his career,

is full of import and significance. It throws a light both on the years that went before and on those that were to follow. It also reveals an aspect of the poet's nature not usually apparent. It is common to speak of him as one of the teachers of duty, and to refer to this ode (or to its title) as a proof. Now, he distinctly resigns himself to the control of duty because, at his time of life, a man can do no better. He abjures with regret the faith that, till then, had been his and in which duty had no place, the dear belief that joy and love can guide man to all good—or, rather, he does not renounce it, but still mutters a hope that better days may come when, joy and love reigning supreme, duty can be dispensed with. As for himself, he would still cling to the same creed if he preserved spirit enough to bear the shocks of change and enjoy his "unchartered freedom." He retires into the arms of duty as a weary warrior of old might end his days in the quiet shelter of a monastery. He still feels an uncertain convert: "Thee I now would serve more strictly, *if I may.*" The "stern lawgiver," at first sight, inspires him with more fear than love. He only reconciles himself with the "awful Power" when he has realised that duty wears a smile on her face, that she is beautiful, that, after all, she may be identical with love and joy:

> Flowers laugh before thee on their beds,
> And fragrance in thy footing treads;
> Thou dost preserve the Stars from wrong,
> And the most ancient Heavens through thee are fresh and strong—

a noble stanza, the loftiest of a poem signalised by the almost plaintive appeal that is heard throughout and by the longing, lingering look cast behind.

The *Ode to Duty* seems to have been written just before the death of his brother John. He expressly says that he is still "untried," and moved by "no disturbance of soul." When the trial came that darkened the world for him, Wordsworth made it his chief task to struggle against grief. He resolutely bade farewell to "the heart that lives alone, housed in a dream." He welcomed "fortitude and patient cheer." He called his former creed an illusion. His themes now, more exclusively than before, will be the sorrows and tragedies of life. But he must

find "blessed consolations in distress." He must tell of "melancholy Fear subdued by Faith." The consequence is that his exploration of human woes will, henceforth, be guarded and cautious. He now lacks the bold spirit of youth that can haunt the worst infected places without giving a thought to the danger of contagion. He is the depressed visitor of the sick, who must needs beware, and be provided with preservatives. He could no longer offer such harrowing pictures of misery as those to be found in his *Ruined Cottage* or even (in spite of the abrupt conclusion) in his admirable *Michael* (1800). His diminished vitality makes it necessary for him to ward off dejection.

Argument is the process used at wearisome length in *The Excursion*. This noble poem may be described as a long sermon against pessimism, scarcely disguised by a story. Though different speakers are introduced, their speeches are mere ventriloquism. Wordsworth, as the optimistic Pedlar, or Wanderer, assails Wordsworth as the Solitary, or the late enthusiast of the French revolution, now dispirited. He uses all his eloquence to raise this other self to his own serene mood. *The Excursion* too often reminds us of the debates between God and Satan at one time set forth in churches for the edification of the people, the rule being that Satan should have the worst of the controversy. It is the same with Wordsworth's Solitary, who is presented to us in unfavourable colours; his morals are not of the best. And, when he vents his misanthropy, he does not seem to be quite so fearless, cogent and impressive an exponent of his own views as he might have been. We cannot help thinking that, if the author of *Cain* had been entrusted with the part, he would have made it many times more telling. The worthy pedlar's triumph would not have been so easily achieved.

The other manner in which Wordsworth now fought against grief is illustrated by his *White Doe of Rylstone* (1807). In this poem, he renounced argument and called imagination to his aid. He found his subject in the romantic past, in an old tale of war and bloodshed, the tragedy of a catholic rebel killed with all his sons in a revolt against queen Elizabeth. Only one daughter survived, Emily, who, many years after pillage and ruin had passed over the paternal estate, drew comfort from the

visitings of a white doe bred by her in her happy days. The doe is a symbol of the past, the lovely phantom of buried memories. Her first apparition gives the lady "one frail shock of pain"; but the pain soon passes into a holy, mild and grateful melancholy,

> Not sunless gloom or unenlightened,
> But by tender fancies brightened.

The awful tragedy has thus been transformed by length of time and strength of habit into something both beautiful and sweet. This is as it should be with the deepest of human woes.

This graceful symbol makes the end of the poem one of the most lovely passages in Wordsworth's poetry. Yet the poem, as a whole, is languid, and even the moral impression is felt to be less convincing than it might have been. The reason is that the poet never dares courageously to cope with despair. He can paint with free energy neither the fate of the rebels, the clang of arms and shocks of death, nor even the pangs and sorrows of Emily. During the battle which is to end in the death of her father and brothers, she, represented as a protestant in a catholic family, is seen awaiting the issue without even daring to express a wish for either side. When an old man offers to secure a hiding-place for her kindred if vanquished, she declines the offer and declares herself "with her condition satisfied." Later, before she has seen the white doe, she must already have found springs of comfort, for she is strangely said to be "sustained by memory of the past." Such reticence in the picture of desolation much enfeebles the effect of the poem. How much more striking it would have been if it had begun with dark, valiant scenes of tragic fate; if Emily's despair had been made so evident that we should feel for her the want of supernatural comfort, the necessity of the coming in of the white doe!

Wordsworth, in this period, often defeats his own object by refusing to describe the power of evil or woe to the full. He stirs a protest in the reader's mind, incites him to complete the half-drawn picture of misery. Or else, the strain of his muscles in the fight against grief, his repeated assaults and his tricks to elude the grasp of the great adversary, often leave the reader

more distressed than he would be by open pessimistic outpourings. Indeed, the greatness of Wordsworth, in these years, lies in his stubborn refusal to confess himself overcome. There is pathos in his optimism, as in the sight of a strong man that will not weep though timely tears might do him good. His stoic poem *Laodamia* (1814) is a proof of this. The Olympian serenity advocated in it makes us feel—and painfully feel—the distance between the summit where gods dwell and the lower ground inhabited by men. Well for the gods to disprove "the tumult of the soul!" Well for the Elysian fields to be a place where there are

> No fears to beat away—no strife to heal—
> The past unsighed for, and the future sure!

But poor Laodamia is merely human and lives on this earth of ours. She cannot "meekly mourn" for her lost hero. She dies of a broken heart, and it seems hard that she should be punished for it as for meditated suicide.

Is this the conclusion of optimism? How hard, inhuman and, one might add, despairing! The poem is great and pathetic, because Wordsworth, all the time, sympathises with Laodamia, feels for her tender weakness, is at heart more like her than like the heroic, dishumanised Protesilaus. But it can scarcely be called a comforting poem. The same might be said of the other verse of this period in which Wordsworth insists on proclaiming both the grandeur and difficulty of hopefulness, when, for instance, he calls hope

> The paramount *duty* that Heaven lays
> For its own honour on man's suffering heart.

We perceive how lofty is the peak—and, also, how hard the climbing.

The rest of Wordsworth's career (1814–50) adds comparatively little to his best verse. No works of magnitude are to be found in it, the most considerable being collected memorials of one or other of the many tours he made either in the British Isles or on the continent, or series of sonnets, like *The River Duddon* (1820), and *Ecclesiastical Sonnets* (1822). Though

several of these sonnets or short pieces are as exquisite as any in the former volumes, these gems are now far between, and no new departure is perceptible. The days of original thought and spontaneous creation are over. Perhaps the most lyrical burst of the period is the poem entitled *Composed upon an Evening of extraordinary splendour and beauty*, in 1818, which breathes his former enthusiasm for the aspects of nature; yet it is to be noticed that an "extraordinary" magnificence is now needed to revive youthful ecstasies that used to feed on what was common in the beauty of things. The character of his later verse is other than this. Scandalised by the fame of Byron and the success of the new cynical and pessimistic poetry, Wordsworth exaggerates his own sermonising tendencies. There is now a fixed and rigid attitude, a sort of optimistic trick, in the poems which extol the minute joys of life and endeavour to tone down its sorrows. He does his best to convert himself to Anglicanism, which, however, he celebrates with more copiousness than real warmth. His *Ecclesiastical Sonnets* are the Anglican counterpart, on a much narrower basis, of Châteaubriand's *Génie du Christianisme*. In politics, his evolution has become complete to the point of appearing a recantation. He pursues against liberalism the campaign upon which, for liberal reasons, he had entered against Napoleon. He seems to find everything for the best in Europe after the French emperor's overthrow. He approves and upholds the Holy Alliance and opposes, with might and main, every attempt at reform in his own country. He protests against the too advanced instruction which the liberals desire to impart to girls in the lake district, against the spread of mechanics' institutes, against the emancipation of Irish catholics, against the abolition of slavery by parliament, against the abolition of capital punishment, against parliamentary reform, and so forth. The one change he supports is the extension of copyright, which affects his own interests as a writer. That he was sincere in all his opinions, and that he had strong arguments for his absolute conservatism, cannot be doubted. No apostasy is to be laid to his charge. The evolution of his ideas, which made his old age diametrically opposed to his youth, can be traced, step by step, accounted for by outward circumstances and earnest meditations. Yet

we cannot help feeling that, all the same, it is a progress from poetry to prose, from bold imaginings to timorousness, from hope to mistrust, from life to death.

In the meantime, his worldly prosperity and his public reputation were steadily increasing. From the gladsome frugality of the Grasmere days he passed into ease and comfort, thanks to his appointment, in 1813, as stamp distributor for Westmorland, which enabled him to remove to Rydal Mount in 1814. There, he was to live till his death, courted by members of the nobility and higher clergy, visited by a growing number of pilgrims, sincere admirers and mere tourists. His fame, which was at a low ebb at the beginning of that period, partly on account of the ridicule thrown on his poems by reviewers, partly because the public turned in preference to Scott and Byron, gradually rose after 1820, till it culminated in a triumphant reception at Oxford in 1839, a state pension bestowed on him in 1842 and the laureateship in 1843. Before the close of his life in 1850, Wordsworth could feel assured that he had become one of the great poetical influences of the age.

It is inevitable that, when retracing Wordsworth's career, one should insist on the main streams of thought which flowed through his mind. The temptation to look upon him as a prophet is great, and, thus, in any estimate of him, to give chief prominence to the more or less systematic philosophy woven by him out of experience. True, few poets blended philosophy and poetry more intimately together. Yet, the two remain distinct; they are things of a different order. They were in conflict more than once; so, our estimate of Wordsworth's poetical genius should not be reduced to an appreciation of his moral code.

He was a great poet when, in 1797, he wrote *The Ruined Cottage*—he never outdid that pastoral and, indeed, only once or twice again reached such perfection. Yet (if we set aside the words of comfort and resignation wherein, years after, it was wrapt up), in itself, the tale is most distressing and desolate. Wordsworth's usual optimism is not to be found in it. It implies a protest against the iniquity of society and the harshness of fate. It is one of Wordsworth's masterpieces, but, in a moral sense, can scarcely be called Wordsworthian.

The last of the *Lucy* poems—though written in 1799—is in even more striking contrast to Wordsworth's known teaching It is one of the most desperate sobs that ever escaped from the heart of a forlorn lover. No glimpse of hope pierces through his vision of the tomb:

> No motion has she now, no force;
> She neither hears nor sees;
> Rolled round in earth's diurnal course,
> With rocks, and stones, and trees.

Surely, Wordsworth would have condemned such a fit of blank despair in any other poetry than his own. Yet, he never wrote with more essential strength, and many of his admirers must needs regard this quatrain as, perhaps, the most condensed example of his poetical greatness.

What has been said of his moral doctrine applies, also, to his theory of poetical style. It is now agreed that Wordsworth wrote some of his most beautiful poems in entire opposition to his principles of diction. He had laid it down as a rule that the poet should use the simple language of peasants, merely freed from its errors. Yet, even when he interpreted the feelings of cottagers and made them speak in their own names, he often broke this rule in the most glaring manner. The example pointed out by Myers is so conclusive that it would be idle to look for another one. It is taken from *The Affliction of Margaret*, a pathetic monologue in which a poor widow, who used to keep a shop, laments over the disappearance of her son, and pictures to herself the dangers and sufferings to which he may have been exposed. Not a single phrase in the beautiful stanza "Perhaps some dungeon hears thee groan" but is raised to the highest pitch of lyrical force and subtlety.

Without recurring to such extreme cases, in which we have the poet at war with the systematic thinker, we must admit that, in many of his finest poems, the characteristics of his thought and doctrine are least evident—whether he gives way to a disturbing melancholy, which he usually condemns, as in *The two April Mornings* or *The Fountain*, or where he imparts to us an impression of nature on which he hangs no moral, as in *The Green Linnet* or *Yew-trees*. The four yew-trees of Borrowdale, "joined in one solemn and capacious grove,"

constitute one of his most impressive pictures. But no philosophy is tagged to the description, which is self-sufficient. There, you have Wordsworth's power laid bare, founded on his imaginative vision of natural aspects, yet not passing from this to a moral lesson. If this dark, powerful piece of painting had been handed down to us without the author's name, it is not certain that anyone would have ascribed it to Wordsworth; or, if so, it would have been on account of the Westmorland names found in it; for, the bold allegories, the strange sonorous mythology, would have made many a critic hesitate.

These instances tend to prove that his poetry is not identical with his habitual teaching, that it sometimes revolts against it, that it may here and there go beyond it. Of this conclusion, we ought not to lose sight, even when we pass on to the examination of such verses as are both beautiful in themselves and stamped as Wordsworth's manifest creations, to which no exact parallels can be found in any other poet.

His chief originality is, of course, to be sought in his poetry of nature. But it is not the mere fact of his being a poet of nature that makes him unique. There had been many poets of nature before, more were to come after, him. It is not even the minute, precise, loving observation of her aspects that gives him his pre-eminence. Certainly, he was one of the most truthful describers when his task was to describe; though, for accuracy or subtlety of outward detail, he may have been equalled, nay, surpassed, by other poets who, at the same time, were botanists or naturalists, writers as different from each other as were Crabbe and Tennyson. Of flowers, insects and birds, the latter two knew, perhaps, more than Wordsworth. His undisputed sovereignty is not there. It lies in his extraordinary faculty of giving utterance to some of the most elementary, and, at the same time, obscure, sensations of man confronted by natural phenomena. Poetical psychology is his triumph. Apart from the philosophical or moral structure which he endeavours to raise on data furnished him by his sensations, these sensations are, in themselves, beautiful and new. By new, we mean that he was the first to find words for them, for they must have been as old as mankind.

There was a Boy is one of the most striking instances of this. The "gentle shock of mild surprise" felt by the lad who did

not catch in due time the answer of the owls to his own hootings, the sudden revelation to him of the fair landscape while he hung listening, his thrill of delight at seeing "the uncertain heavens received into the bosom of the steady lake"—these were additions to man's knowledge and enjoyment of his common sensations. The absolute truth of the analysis impresses one simultaneously with its beauty. The emotion is, surely, subtle, but, at the same time universal, and we have it here expressed once and for ever. No psychologist can expect to go further than this, no poet to hit on words more apposite and more harmoniously combined so as to make this little mystery of the soul palpable. When Coleridge read the poem in a letter from his friend, he said that, if he had met with these lines in a desert of Africa, he would have cried out "Wordsworth" at once. Here, we have, without doubt, one of the essentials of Wordsworth's poetry.

The same character is to be found in *Nutting*, where we are told of "the intruding sky," that struck with remorse the boyish nut-gatherer after he had torn the boughs of a virgin bower; or, again, in *Skating-scene*, where the poet describes the strange appearance of the surrounding hills, which, to the skater who has just stopped short after gliding at full speed, still seem to wheel by "as if the earth had rolled with visible motion her diurnal round." Here we have a mere illusion of the senses, but one of the existence of which, as of its weirdness and beauty, no doubt can be entertained.

One English poet only can be compared with Wordsworth here: Shelley, whose senses were endowed with an unusual, almost a superhuman, gift of insight. He, too, was to enrich our knowledge of sensation by his verse. His sensitiveness goes into things even deeper than Wordsworth's. He can see further through the screen, even spy "the warm light of life." But few, if any, can follow him to the end, or remember having themselves experienced his wonderful ecstasies. He is alone. On the contrary, Wordsworth has no abnormal and hypertrophied sensitiveness. It was the common healthy sensibility of mankind which he found himself sharing. He merely reveals to us what everyone has felt, or may feel any day.

There may be a poetry of nature less obvious than that founded on a multitudinous notation of her detailed aspects,

less subtle than the analysis of exquisite sensations, but, perhaps, of more breadth and grandeur. Hazlitt has said that one could infer that Wordsworth's poetry "was written in a mountainous country, from its bareness, its simplicity, its loftiness and its depth." It is not, indeed, by description that the characters of nature are most deeply caught and expressed; it is by incorporation, so to say, when the image of the outward world, instead of being directly presented, is reflected in the feelings and shines through the most indifferent words; thus deeply had the scenery among which he spent his days penetrated into Wordsworth's mind and soul. If we had to praise him as the poet of mountains, we might, of course, choose the noble descriptive pages that abound in his volumes; but, rather than to these, rather than to the famous mountain scenes in his *Excursion*—which are too conscious—we should turn to a poem like *Michael*, where scenery, characters and style form a perfect harmony of lines and tints that could not have existed without a secret process of assimilation. Lofty and bare, indeed, is this pastoral; few flowers grow on the heights where old Michael meant to build his sheepfold. The land is unadorned. It has no other features than the sheer lineaments of its sweeps and pastures or its steep rocks, over which are spread by turns the naked sky and the winter mists. All this, together with the bracing air, you feel from the first to the last line, not less when the poet gives you the speech of his ancient "statesman" or a glimpse of his stern mind, than when he paints the landscape itself. Even as the scenery is composed of essentials, so is the old man's character, and so his language. In such passages there is not one word of description, and yet the "pastoral mountains" are constantly conjured up with their raw atmosphere, behind the discoursing shepherd. Every syllable he utters is their emanation.

Another summit is reached by the poet when he freely allows his creed of the refining agency of the senses to pass into a sort of waking dream, instead of asserting itself by argument as in *The Prelude*, or even, as in *Tintern Abbey*, by lyrical proclamation. Few will deny one of the very first ranks in his verse to the fourth of the *Lucy* poems, where he tells us how his beloved had been cared for by nature since her tenderest years, how nature had vowed to make her "a Lady of her own,"

imparting to her "the silence and the calm of mute insensate things," either bidding the storm "mould the maiden's form by silent sympathy," or causing "beauty born of murmuring sound to pass into her face." Here, Wordsworth joins company with the most aerial of poets. He drops to the earth, for once, all that matter-of-factness of which Coleridge complained. He sets common observation at defiance and simply ignores the objections of common sense, with which he is elsewhere only too prone to argue. Though most thoroughly himself when shaping Lucy's natural education, he gives wings, not feet, to his most cherished belief. We have, in this lyric, "the fine excess" of poetry. Whatever may be said of these country maids who, though brought up under the clouds and stars, and by the side of dancing rivulets, failed to be informed with grace and beauty, Wordsworth has used his privilege as a poet of embodying a vision made, after all, of mysterious possibilities, perhaps of truths in the making.

But nature never engrossed all his thoughts. Many were given to man, chiefly to the feelings of man. He shows the same mastery in his delineation of the hidden germs of feeling as of those of sensation. He, again, excels when describing the moral emotions in the blending of the subtle and the simple, of the strange and the essential. But the beauty of his verse seems, in this case, to come less from intuitive discovery than from long brooding. Fullness and compactness of meaning now characterise his greatest utterances. All readers catch their pathos at once; few, immediately, if ever, their entire signification. A noticeable instance is the *finale* of the plain prosaic story *Simon Lee*, a short stanza full to overflowing of his prolonged meditations on the present iniquity and harshness of society. Poets and moralists have vied in easy railings at man's ingratitude. Shakespeare, among others, is full of such denunciations. Alas! the greater cause for grief is the existence of gratitude, chiefly of excessive gratitude, which implies that there is a scarcity of fellow-feeling, a dearth of benevolence, a lack of mutual neighbourly assistance in this world. That exaggerated thanks should be offered for the merest trifle, for a deed of easy and imperative kindness, betrays daily uncharitableness and opens vistas of the insensibility of existing society; it shows "what man has made of man":

I've heard of hearts unkind, kind deeds
With coldness still returning;
Alas! the gratitude of man
Hath oftener left me mourning.

This is one of his many reflections which are more pregnant and sink deeper into the mind and heart than those of almost any other poet.

From such deep sources do many of his sonnets, chiefly of his political sonnets, draw their rare intensity of moral feeling. It is enough to remind the reader of a few familiar passages: his melancholy on hearing of the extinction of the republic of Venice; his energy of tone when he comforts poor Toussaint Louverture, the liberator of San Domingo, now thrown into a prison; the bitter restrained irony of his "high-minded Spaniard," who resents, more than the devastation of his country, Napoleon's so-called benefits, and so forth. In his more strictly English sonnets, the greatness is not due to novelty of thought. It so happens that almost every idea and emotion expressed by Wordsworth in 1802 and the years following had been more than foreshadowed by Coleridge as early as 1798 in his *Ode to France* or *Fears in Solitude*. But the truly Wordsworthian power of the sonnets is owing to the protracted sojourn of these feelings in his breast before he gave utterance to them, to his long reluctance against their admission, to his repeated inward debates. Hence, instead of Coleridge's extemporised effusions, which have been aptly compared, by Angellier, to the sea-scud which is thrown off by a storm, here we have the distilled elixir. Nearly ten years of vexed thoughts went to the making, in 1803, of the final line of the sonnet to England, where, after enumerating and condemning what he calls her many political crimes, he sighs (with a unique mixture of reproof and tenderness, of grief and repressed pride) at the thought that she, nevertheless, is the least unworthy champion of liberty left in the world:

O grief that Earth's best hopes rest all in thee!

It would be hard to match these ten monosyllables for compactness of historical allusion and complex feeling. Such condensed moral utterances are among the glories of Wordsworth's verse.

Other characteristics ought to be added, regarding his more purely artistic gifts—gifts of verse-writing and style, gifts of composition. But this would land us in endless discussions; for, in these respects, Wordsworth's mastery is surely relative and intermittent. He reaches, at times, so high a degree of excellence that the mere verbal felicity of some of his simplest lines baffles the imitation of the most refined artists:

> Perhaps the plaintive numbers flow
> For old, unhappy, far-off things,
> And battles long ago. . . .

But he frequently mixes the highest poetry with the flatness of unimpassioned, uninspired prose. He also shows himself, in many a period or stanza, devoid of ease, elegance and pliancy. He is more than once awkwardly naïve, clumsily familiar, or, on the contrary, more solemn and pompous than needs be. The talent for construction, niggardly bestowed on the romantic poets of all countries, is particularly weak in him. He could never frame and fashion a considerable poem with due equilibrium of substance and form, of thought and story. In this respect, *The Excursion* is a memorable failure. As to *The Prelude*, it owes its permanent interest partly to its admirable passages of poetry, partly to its philosophical or to its autobiographical value, which we feel, as we read, to be merits not strictly poetic. Only in compositions of moderate length, like *The Ruined Cottage*, *Michael*, *Laodamia* did he achieve perfect harmony, and in many of his lyrics and sonnets.

That he often tries to lift us and himself to the poetic mood rather than takes this mood for granted, cannot be denied. Poetry often seems to be his object rather than his possession. He made the training of man to poetry his chief office here below. He leads us warily from the inlands of prose to the shore, marking out the way with unprecedented care; but he is sometimes content with gazing on the element and leaves it to others boldly to sail upon it or plunge into it. The main body of his poems is educative and preparatory. Yet he has left sufficient of absolute verse, heart-searching and beautiful, enough for a Wordsworthian anthology that will remain among the most enduring treasures of romanticism.

CHAPTER VI

Coleridge

COLERIDGE survives for us as poet: a poet unique in inspiration, unique, also, if sadly fitful, in achievement. But he was also philosopher, critic, theologian, moralist, talker—above all, a talker. And, with the strongest will in the world, it would have been hard for one so variously endowed not to dissipate his genius. Given a will exceptionally infirm, the wonder is that he should have left so much, rather than so little, as a monument of what he was.

The strange complexity of his nature, reflected, as it is, in the whole tenor of his life, is a challenge to all who love to follow the mysterious windings of the soul. His character is an enthralling, as well as a deeply pathetic, study in itself. And it may even be that we shall find it throw some light upon his genius, as a poet.

Samuel Taylor Coleridge was born in October, 1772; two years after Wordsworth, one year after Walter Scott. He was crossing the threshold into manhood at the time when the French revolution was rousing the more active minds to revolt against the traditions of the past: a revolt which, in his case as in others, extended to things literary no less than to those social and political. He reached middle life with the reaction which followed the downfall of Napoleon. He died (1834) in the period immediately succeeding the arrest of that reaction: some dozen years later than Keats and Shelley; ten years after Byron; two years after Walter Scott. And, of all the movements connected with those names and events, there was not one, unless we except the creations of Keats and Shelley, which did not, whether by way of action or reaction, leave some trace upon his soul.

From his father he inherited a reverence for verbal niceties which went with him throughout life; a curious strain of pedantry, which crops up in the most unlikely places; above all, a dreamy nature, which always made him a stranger and pilgrim among the bustling figures and harsh incidents of daily life. To his mother, a woman of keen practical instincts, he does not seem to have owed much beyond the priceless boon of affection. And even this was largely lost to him when, on the death of his father, he was despatched, according to the practice even then too common in English households, to school (Christ's hospital) at the age of nine (1781). Henceforth, he was to see his family only at the rarest intervals; and the outlet of home affections was virtually closed.

Even as a child, he had laid hold on all the books—especially, imaginative works—that came within his reach. At school, he became a prodigy of youthful learning and philosophy: "logician, metaphysician, bard," the "inspired charity boy" of Lamb's wistful recollections. For a time, as he tells us—and it was not for the last time—the "bard" was quite driven out by the "metaphysician." And it needed what we should now consider the rather weak stimulus of Bowles's sonnets to rouse him from "this preposterous pursuit" (1789). The remedy, such as it was, proved undeniably efficacious. For the next five years, sentiment, of the kind represented by Bowles, was the most powerful factor in his growth.

In the excitement of Cambridge life (1791–3)—partly, too, under the spell of love for Mary Evans—his whole being seems to have expanded. But there was nothing to mark him off from the ordinary student of talent until, under the spur of debt or ill-starred love, or both, he suddenly bolted from the university and enlisted in a regiment of light dragoons (December, 1793). After four months of this ludicrously unsuitable employment, he was discharged, by the efforts of his friends, and readmitted, with due penalties, to his college. Some two months later (June, 1794) began that acquaintance with Southey, then an Oxford undergraduate, which was deeply to colour the next few years of his life.

Up to this time, there is nothing beyond the doubtful evidence of a school exercise to show that the revolution in France had roused any deep interest, or even attention, in his mind.

Now, under the tenser will of Southey, he became a fiery revolutionist, of a brand, however, peculiarly his own.[1] In hatred of Pitt and the war, he was, no doubt, at one with the other Jacobins of the time. But the Pantisocracy, which the two friends beat out between them, ran decisively athwart the main stream of revolutionary aspirations. It was intended only for the select few; it was no part of a general scheme of social reconstruction. Again, the "Jacobinism" of Coleridge, though not that of Southey, was always strongly charged with a mystical and religious element, which stands in the sharpest contrast with the purely secular, often atheist, temper more common among the reformers of that day. Lastly—and here, once again, he joins hands with Southey—the whole creed and being of the young convert were drowned in a flood of sentiment which woke in him, for the first time in good earnest, the need of poetic utterance, and which at once sets a barrier between him and most of the leading figures among the rebel band: Godwin, for instance, or Holcroft, or even Thelwall.

It was in pleading the cause of Pantisocracy that he first discovered—to himself, perhaps, as well as to others—his amazing powers of eloquence. His letters of that time are full of boyish delight in the discovery: "Up I arose, terrible in reasoning" is a typical sentence. And, so long as he could convince, or even vanquish, his opponents, it is clear that he did not much trouble himself to put his convictions into act. Even his breach with Southey, who soon became lukewarm in the cause, would seem to have partly sprung from an uneasy sense that he, too, had said more than he was willing or able to make good, and from the consequent impulse, very natural though not very just, to prove that some one else was yet more guilty than himself. Still more ominous, even in an age of overwrought sentiment, is the sentimentalism of his letters. "Since I quitted this room," he writes on his return from the fateful visit to Oxford, "what and how important events have been evolved! America! Southey! Miss Fricker! Yes, Southey, you are right. Even love is the creature of strong

[1] "We never were, at any period of our lives, converts to the system of French politics," he writes, in an article on Jacobinism (1802). And the lightness with which he dropped the cause of France—as may be seen from his fine, but somewhat rhetorical, *Ode* (1798)—bears witness to the fact.

motive. I certainly love her." It is small wonder that the love which began as "the creature of strong motive"—that is, Southey's *fiat*—should have ended disastrously for both. A year later (October, 1795) the marriage duly took place.

The poetry of these years (1794–6) is a mirror of the man: eloquent, loose-girt, strongly inclined to preach; in all things, the very reverse of the inspired pieces soon to follow. It is, doubtless, the sincere expression of the generous convictions and aspirations which he held in common with others. But it lacks the individuality which is the soul of poetry; and, only in one passage—some three or four lines of *The Eolian Harp*[1]—does it offer even a faint promise of the works by which he lives. It is a glorified version of sermons such as Hazlitt heard in the enchanted walls of the Shropshire chapel. It has nothing in common with *The Ancient Mariner*, or *Christabel*, or even the ode *Dejection*.

It was the genius of Wordsworth—and, with Wordsworth, we must always think of his 'exquisite sister'—that first revealed him to himself. It was in daily intercourse with a stronger spirit than his own—first at Stowey (1797–8), then, more fitfully, in the lake country (1800–3)—that all his enduring poetry was composed. The spell of Wordsworth, however, went far deeper than this. It not only awakened the younger poet to creative energies which had hitherto lain asleep. It was a transforming influence upon his whole cast of thought, upon the whole character of his soul. His whole nature was roused, for the first time, to a full consciousness of its powers; and powers of which he had hitherto given no suspicion were suddenly called to light. A sense of the beauty of outward things, as deep as Wordsworth's, but still more delicate and more subtle; a sense of the boundless mystery of life—the inner yet more than the outer life—and a power of interpreting it in terms of thought: these were the two gifts which came to him with this new birth; and, however idly he may have used them, they remained with him to the end. Well might he say that "a new earth and a new heaven" were now "given to him in dower." For he saw the world with a keener and more radiant vision than had ever been granted to him before; and

[1] I find that these lines (26–9) were first inserted in the *Errata to Sibylline Leaves* (1817). Thus, the one redeeming exception falls to the ground.

he saw into it more deeply. In the full sense of the terms, he became, for the first time, both philosopher and poet.

That his use of these magic gifts was not what it might have been, is too clear. But it is only just to remember that this applies more to his work as philosopher than as poet. Poetry "comes not with observation." And, if that be true, in a measure, of all poetry, of none is it so true as of that to which the peculiar genius of Coleridge was manifestly ordained. Is it reasonable to suppose that any poet could have gone on living for ever in an air so rarefied as that of *The Ancient Mariner*, or *Kubla Khan*, or *Christabel?* Given circumstances so happy as almost to amount to a miracle, perhaps he might. But the miracle did not happen to Coleridge; and, even if his will had been as strong as it was weak, there is no warrant that it would have happened. To condemn him on this score, however much he himself would have accepted the condemnation, seems, therefore, unwarrantably harsh. But his other gifts lay in a region more under his control. And, had he been a man of ordinary resolution—above all, had he not let himself become the slave of opium—there was nothing to prevent him from accomplishing a giant's work in philosophy and criticism. In criticism and the theory of criticism, he might have done for his own country the double work which was done for Germany by Lessing and Hegel, and something more besides. In philosophy, he might have recast and even extended the massive fabric of Kant. As it is, in neither field has he left more than a heap of disjointed, but imposing, fragments.

The opium habit, the beginnings of which go back as far as 1797, seems to have grown upon him during his time at Malta (1804–6); and, by the time he returned to England, the bondage must have been confirmed. Again and again, he strove to throw off the yoke; but only to fall back again more helplessly than before. Degraded in his own eyes, he felt life to be a burden almost too heavy to be borne; and the letters which, now and again, were wrung from him by remorse, are, perhaps, among the most terrible ever written. Two things alone saved him from total shipwreck: the unwearied tenderness of friends, old and new—Poole, Wordsworth, Mrs. Clarkson and the Morgans; and the innate rectitude, winged by a strong religious impulse, which did not cease to assert itself against reiterated

defeat. At length, after ten years of debasement, he nerved himself to seek refuge with James Gillman, a physician of Highgate (1816). And, thanks to the devoted friendship and watchfulness of this man and his wife—he remained their "inmate" till his death—he slowly tore himself loose from the bondage in which he had been held. That he never wholly gave up the drug, is tolerably clear. But he so far mastered himself as to take it in rarer and smaller doses; and, for practical purposes, the hard-fought victory was won. Thus, the last eighteen years of his life were years of inward peace and of fruitful service to others. The old weakness, no doubt, still dogged his steps and prevented the fulfilment of the task—a work on *Spiritual Philosophy* and half a dozen alternative titles—to which he was conscious of being called. But, in familiar talk, in formal lecturing and even in published writings, this was the richest period of his life; and it left a deep mark upon some of the strongest and most eager spirits of the younger generation.

The victory was won. But the long years of apparently hopeless struggle had left scars which nothing could wholly heal. The prime of his life had been largely wasted. And he had strained the patience of some of his best friends. Josiah Wedgwood had withdrawn, perhaps with undue harshness, his half of the pension that he and his brother had granted in days when nothing seemed beyond the reach of the young poet and thinker. Southey, who had gallantly shouldered the charge of the truant's wife and children, was embittered, if not estranged. Even Wordsworth, by an unguarded utterance made with the best intentions, had caused a breach which could never wholly be made up.[1] This was probably the deepest sorrow of his life; "all else," he says, "is as a flea-bite." His family life, too—though this was from causes which, in the first instance, at any rate, had little to do with opium—had been entirely broken up. And, though a formal separation was avoided, he never lived with his wife after 1810; and had, in fact, seen as little as he could of her since 1804. The real secret of the estrangement was that, by temperament, the two

[1] The details of this misunderstanding are set forth in the MS. of Robinson's diary, in the published version of which they are briefly summarised (vol. I, pp. 210–211). See, also, Coleridge's *Letters*, vol. II, pp. 577–8, 586–595.

were ill sorted with each other. But it is impossible not to feel the deepest sympathy with a woman who battled bravely with the hardships of her lot; and hard to check the suspicion that, but for opium, the difficulties might have been smoothed over. In any case, the breach was a worse thing for Coleridge than he was ever willing to acknowledge. It robbed him of the steadying influences of home life, to which he was by nature peculiarly open. And it left a sting in his conscience which he may have ignored, but which, just for that reason, was never healed.

The strangest thing is that, in the very height of the opium fever, he should have been capable of efforts which, though lamentably unequal, still gave evidence of powers which not one of his contemporaries could have rivalled. It was between 1808 and 1815 that he delivered the bulk of the critical lectures which make an era in the history of English literary criticism; that he composed *The Friend*, in its earlier and, doubtless, far inferior version (1809); and, finally, that he wrote all save a few passages of *Biographia literaria* (1815), the only one of his prose works which can be said to survive to the present day. Even in the depth of his debasement, he must have retained an amazing spring, a power of throwing off weights which would have crushed another man, of recovering something, at any rate, of the free flight to which he was born. It was this boundless power of self-retrieval that, at length, enabled him to cast off the yoke of opium. It was this, even more than his genius, which drew men to him as a magnet and never allowed him to forfeit the admiration, and even the respect, of his friends.

The work of Coleridge naturally falls under three heads: poetry, criticism and philosophy. It remains to attempt a brief estimate of each.

All that endures of Coleridge's poetry could easily be contained in fifty pages; and, with few and doubtful exceptions, it was all written during the six years when he was in constant intercourse with Wordsworth (1797–1803). The greatest of all his poems, almost the only one which stands as a rounded and finished whole, *The Ancient Mariner*, is an indirect tribute to the liberating influences which flowed in upon him from the elder poet. And the ode *Dejection*, with the lines written after hearing Wordsworth recite *The Prelude*, is a direct acknowledgment of the same debt. Yet, the powers were there before

they were set free by the wand of the enchanter. And it may well be that he had this in mind when he wrote

> O Wordsworth! we receive but what we give,

in the one poem; and

> Power streamed from thee, and thy soul received
> The light reflected, as a light bestowed,

in the other. So subtle is the action and reaction in such cases that, if this were so, it would be only just. For, after all, the spirit of Wordsworth was here met and answered by one as potent as itself. And what he did for Coleridge was not to mark out the channels along which his genius was to flow, but only to loose the springs of a fountain which, till that moment had lain half frozen beneath the earth.

A greater contrast than that between the two poets it would, indeed, be hard to imagine: the one drawing his strength from the "common things of sky and earth," to which his vision gave a meaning they had never taken before; the other building for himself a gorgeous palace in the clouds, the colours and forms of which may have been reflected from those he had known upon the earth, but which, to us as to him, come charged with a thousand hints of an unearthly, enchanted world, known only to the spirit. As both were well aware, there is a central point where the two visions meet and blend. *Biographia* and the preface to *Lyrical Ballads* speak clearly enough to that. So, to anyone who can read beneath the surface, do the *Ballads* themselves. Still, what is bound to strike us first is not the resemblance, but the difference. And, however much we may recognise the former, the more we live ourselves into the world of the two poets, the less shall we be ready to make light of the latter.

Before 1797, Coleridge had given no promise of what he was to be. "I cannot write without a body of thought," he laments in a letter to Southey (11 December, 1794). And the "thought" his poetry embodied had little to distinguish it from what we might expect in the more highly wrought forms of prose. Indignation at the social wrongs of the old order and the wickedness of its rulers, pity for the outcast and oppressed,

bitter cries to the Spirit in whom alone is the harmony which can resolve the discord—these form the staple of such poems as *Religious Musings* and *Ode to the Departing Year;* and the style, stiff with Miltonic phrases, rich in echoes of Gray and Collins, is no more original than the matter. Byron was not far wrong when, in his early satire, he mocked at the bard "to turgid ode and tumid stanza dear." But the scoff leaves the true Coleridge, the Coleridge of *Kubla Khan* and *The Ancient Mariner*, utterly untouched.

With these poems, the first-fruits of his friendship with Wordsworth, we are in a different world. It is hard to believe they can have come from the same man. The "body of thought" and the imagery which hung round it like an ill-fitting garment have both vanished. Every idea presents itself unbidden as an image; and every image suggests a world of wonder and enchantment—the world of which he holds the key as no poet has done before or since, and in which, as poet, he was, henceforth, to have his home.

In *Kubla Khan*, an enemy might say that the "body of thought" does not obtrude itself for the simple reason that there is no thought to obtrude. And it is true that, of all poems, this is the most airy and unsubstantial: a "vision," a "dream," if there ever was one; as the author himself tells us, an opium dream—the one good service the "accursed drug" ever did him. This, however, does not rob the poem either of its power or its charm. On the contrary, it is, perhaps, the secret of both. And, even if there were no other argument which forced us to confess it, this one poem would be enough to prove that, while thought alone, however inspiring is powerless to make poetry, pure imagery and pure music, even without thought (if such a thing be possible), suffice, when working in absolute harmony, to constitute what pedantry alone could deny to be a great poem. And, when a poem is so charged with suggestion, when, at each touch, it transports us into a world of the poet's making, when each shading of the colours, each modulation of the rhythm, presents that world in a new light, when our own mood finds itself forced, step by step, to follow the ever-changing mood of the poet, can we be quite sure that thought is absent? Reflection is; reasoning is; but that subtler, more impalpable, process, which plays a real part

not only in our dreams but even in our waking resolves and inferences—this, assuredly, is not. Unconscious though this may be in the process, it is conscious enough in the result. It brings about a frame of mind as distinct, as unmistakable, as any of those universally recognised to be "thought."

In the case of *The Ancient Mariner*, no such question could be raised. There, we have an ordered story which moves on unchecked, doubtless through a world of wonder, from mysterious preface to inevitable close. Each incident stands out clear-cut and vivid; each corresponding change in the soul of the mariner is registered, no less distinctly, as upon the plate of an enchanted dial. That is one side of the matter; and a side which sets the poem in the sharpest contrast with the phantasmagoria of *Kubla Khan*. On the other hand, each incident in that long succession—the sailing of the ship, the gradual disappearance of the landmarks, the southward voyage and the rest—is presented not with the shorthand brevity which suits the needs of daily life, but in the successive images, distinct and single, which struck the eye of the mariner at the moment; and this with a persistency which is clearly intentional, and which it would be hard to parallel from any other poem. It is here that the method of *Kubla Khan* repeats itself.

In one respect, indeed, *The Ancient Mariner* carries that method a step further. In *Kubla Khan*, there is a general sense of colour diffused throughout the poem. But, when we come to ask how that impression is conveyed, it is impossible to lay our finger upon anything more definite than the

> forests ancient as the hills,
> Enclosing sunny spots of greenery.

In *The Ancient Mariner*, on the other hand, we are not at loss for a moment. The ice "as green as emerald," the "copper sky" of the tropics, the moonbeams "like April hoar-frost spread upon the sultry main," the moonlight that "steeped in silentness the steady weathercock"—these are but a remnant of the lavish store of colour which brightens the whole poem. And the touches which mark the more unearthly moments of the mariner's sufferings are still to add:

The water, like a witch's oils,
Burnt green and blue and white;

The charmed water burnt alway,
A still and awful red;

not to speak of the ghastly colours which "patched the bones" of Death, in a verse which the subtle instinct of Coleridge led him subsequently to strike out. Of all the elements that blend to make an image, colour is the most potent. And, if there be any poem which drives this truth home, it is *The Ancient Mariner*.

As to the significance of this imagery—above all, in the supernatural episodes of the poem—Coleridge himself has done something to mislead later critics. Even to friendly readers, such as Lamb and, perhaps, Wordsworth, "all the miraculous parts" seem to have been things suspect. And Southey, with however ill a grace, was probably giving voice to the common verdict when he pronounced the poem to be "an attempt at the Dutch sublime." It is small wonder, therefore, that Coleridge, who was never too confident in his own genius, should have taken fright. And, in *Biographia*, he is a shade too anxious to explain that his stress lay not on the incidents themselves, but on their working upon the soul of the mariner. That there is some truth in this, is certain. But it is not the whole truth, nor anything like it. The incidents themselves—and, not least, the marvels—have a compelling power upon the imagination; the story, as a mere story, is among the most thrilling ever told. And, when we remember that this story shapes itself in a succession of images unsurpassed for poetic power and aptness, how is it possible to deny that all this counts, and counts unspeakably, in the total imaginative effect? It is, no doubt, still more surprising that, when all is said, these things should be no more than an element in a larger whole; that, side by side with these outward incidents and images, we should have to reckon, and reckon at least as largely, with their reflection in the soul of the man who saw and suffered from them; that, from beginning to end, we should see them through his eyes and feel them through his spirit. But this is the miracle of Coleridge. And it is a poor tribute to his genius if we insist upon isolating one element and asserting that it is

all he had to give. It is only by taking both elements together and giving full allowance to both that we do justice to the unique quality of this "miraculous" poem.

The first part of *Christabel* was written almost immediately after *The Ancient Mariner*, and shortly before the little band of Stowey was broken up, never again to meet under such "indulgent skies." The theme is of the same nature as in the preceding poem. It is handled with more artifice; but, just for that reason, perhaps with less of inspiration; certainly, with less of buoyant and exultant freedom. The "spring of love" that had gushed from the poet's heart, as, for the first time, he saw and felt how "excellently fair" were the "outward shows of sky and earth" and how deep the meaning that lay hidden within, could never again gush "unaware." And, when he speaks once more of the vision that had come in the first instant of his awakening, it is only to lament that it had been withdrawn almost as soon as it was given and had left nothing but yearning and self-reproach behind. In any case, the personal note, which is very strong in *The Ancient Mariner* and which some have thought has found its way too loudly into its closing verses, is deliberately banished from *Christabel;* or finds an echo only in the poignant passage about broken friendship, which he himself considered "the best and sweetest lines he ever wrote," and in the epilogue to the second part, which is partly an obvious suggestion from the "breeze-borne" elfish nature of his son, Hartley, partly a lament over the difficulty—the impossibility, as it proved—of the task which he had set himself: the solution of which, unlike the hopes and longings of the child, was always to seek and never, alas, to find.

The same elaboration is manifest, also, in the metre. Never before had the four-foot couplet been used with such variety and subtlety of effect. As the author himself points out, that effect is largely produced by a frequent use of the anapæstic movement, which had already found its way into the ballad measure of *The Ancient Mariner;* as in the lines—

> For the sky and the sea, and the sea and the sky
> Lay like a load on my weary eye,
> And the dead were at my feet.

But it is here invoked still more persistently; as, indeed, in general, there is a subtlety, not to say a *finesse*, about the rhythmical movement of this poem, which would have been quite out of place in the rushing narrative and more homely metre of the other. It is one more proof of the wide gulf by which, in spirit and in total effect, the two poems are divided. Of the subtlety which went to the creation of the metre in *Christabel* there could be no clearer illustration than the failure both of Scott and Byron—the one in the opening lines of *The Lay of the Last Minstrel*, the other in a cancelled introduction of *The Siege of Corinth*—to catch anything like the cadence of the rhythm which, avowedly, served for their model.

It has been said that "the thing attempted in *Christabel* is the most difficult in the whole field of romance: witchery by daylight." And nothing could come nearer the mark. The miraculous element, which lies on the face of *The Ancient Mariner*, is here driven beneath the surface. The incidents themselves are hardly outside the natural order. It is only by a running fire of hints and suggestions—which the unimaginative reader has been known to overlook—that we are made aware of the supernatural forces which lie in wait on every side. The lifting of the lady across the threshold, the moan of the mastiff bitch, the darting of the flame as the enchantress passes—to the heedful, all these things are full of meaning; but, to the unwary, they say nothing; they say nothing to Christabel. Yet, the whole significance of the poem is bound up with these subtle suggestions; though it is equally true that, if they were more than suggestions, its whole significance would be altered or destroyed. It would no longer be "witchery by daylight," but by moonlight; which is a very different thing.

To take a world not markedly different from that given to us in nature, and fill it with the presence, unseen but felt, of the supernatural; to tell a tale of human joys and sorrows, and make it seem "a story from the world of spirits"—this, indeed, was the aim of Coleridge. But no one was more keenly aware than he what were the obstacles to its achievement. "I have, as I always had," he said about a year before his death, "the whole plan entire from beginning to end in my mind"—it may be suspected that this is one of many similar delusions—"but I fear I could not [now] carry on with equal success the execu-

tion of the idea, an extremely subtle and delicate one." So subtle and delicate, in truth, that it is doubtful whether even a man of stronger will and more mastery of self could ever have ended the poem in the same tone in which it was begun. Even of the fragment, as it now stands, it can hardly be said that the second part carries out the design so perfectly as the first. The localisation of the scene in a familiar country may, as has sometimes been said, have something to do with this comparative failure. But it is due much more to other causes: to an almost inevitable inability on the poet's part to maintain himself indefinitely in the doubly distilled imaginings which were the essence of his undertaking. Even in the earlier part, it would seem that the right note had not always come to him at the first effort. For, if we are to believe a contemporary reviewer—it may have been Hazlitt—in *The Examiner* (2 June, 1816), the original version of

A sight to dream of, not to tell!

was

Hideous, deformed, and pale of hue;

and there are other instances of the same kind. The reviewer scornfully remarks that the rejected reading was "the keystone to the whole poem," and that it was rejected by the author for that very reason. In his heart, he must have known better. It is of the essence of the poem not to feed the mind with facts—still less, with gruesome facts—but to spur the imagination by a sense of mystery. It is manifest that the original reading renounces the latter purpose for the former. And, if this be the case, it is clear that Coleridge would have ruined his poem by retaining it.

The sketch of the projected continuation, which Gillman gives on the authority of the poet, reads poorly enough. But it is impossible to say what it, or any other raw material, might have become under the transforming breath of inspiration. Still, temperament and opium between them had so clouded the sense of fact in Coleridge that it would be rash to pronounce whether this was really the plan which he had in his mind from the beginning, or nothing more than the improvisation of the moment.

How did Coleridge stand towards outward nature? and what was his place in the poetic movement of his time? It is impossible to leave his work, as poet, without a few words on each of these crucial, but widely different, matters.

This was the golden age of "the poetry of nature"; and Coleridge may claim his place in it with the best. It is a place entirely to himself; and it depends upon two qualities. The first is a faculty of minute and subtle observation, which he may have learned, in the first instance, from Wordsworth, but which he fostered to a degree of delicacy to which neither Wordsworth himself, nor, perhaps, any other "worshipper of nature," Keats excepted, ever quite attained. The "creaking of the rook's wing" and the branchless ash,

> Unsunned and damp, whose few poor yellow leaves
> Ne'er tremble in the gale, yet tremble still,
> Fanned by the waterfall,

in *This lime-tree bower, my prison*, and that "peculiar tint of yellow-green" which marks the sunset sky in the ode *Dejection*, together with whole poems such as *The Nightingale*, bear witness to this extraordinary power. And, if more were wanted, it is supplied in abundance, though rather as raw material than as poetic creation, by the notes written when he was once more in constant communion with Wordsworth (1803), and published in the book which, of all others, throws most light upon the secret springs of his genius, *Anima Poetæ* (pp. 34–52). Nor does this command of minute detail in any sense bar the way to an equal mastery of broad, general effects. What picture was ever painted with broader brush than that of the ice-fields or the tropical ocean in *The Ancient Mariner?* What general effect was ever caught more precisely than that of the moonlight "steeping in silentness the steady weathercock" of the same poem, or of April as the month "of dark brown gardens and of peeping flowers" in the ode *Dejection?* It may be doubted whether full justice has even yet been done to this side of the poet's genius.

Yet, even this quality, great though it be, would have availed little, if it had not gone hand in hand with one of a very different order. With such a store of observed images at his

command, there must have been a constant temptation to lavish it at every turn. Nothing is more surprising than the reserve, the sleepless sense of poetic fitness, with which it is employed by Coleridge. Even this, indeed, does not give us the whole truth. It is not only that such images recur seldom; but that, when they do, they are lifted into a rarer atmosphere, a more remote region, than that of mere outward vision. In all his greater poems—*The Ancient Mariner*, perhaps, alone excepted—we are made to feel, and we should hardly have entered into their spirit unless we did feel, that the outer world is presented to us not directly, but through a veil of mystery, which softens all that is harsh in outline or colour; by a kind of second sight, which rather recalls objects, once familiar, to the memory, than offers their actual image to the eye. "Sir George Beaumont," he notes in *Anima Poetæ*, "found great advantage in learning to draw from nature through gauze spectacles." And, with a success which we may be very sure Sir George never approached, he seems to have applied a like process to the forms of nature, as reflected in his poetry. Not that his eye was ever shut even to the crudest effects of the "inanimate cold world." The very chemists' jars of "blue and green vitriol," as reflected in the stagnant reaches of a London canal, win an entry in his note-book.[1] But when they appear in his poetry, it is—or, did dates allow, it would be—as the "witch's oils" that "burnt green and blue and white" around the waterlogged vessel in the "charmed water" of the tropical sea. Nothing, in short, that he found in the outer world attained its rightful value for him until, "by sublimation strange," it had passed into the "realm of shadows" which Schiller conceived to be the true region both of poetry and of action.[2]

Of his place in the poetic movement of his time there is no need to speak at length. It was the hour of romance. And, of all that is purest and most ethereal in the romantic spirit, his poetry is the most finished, the supreme, embodiment. No doubt, some of the strands which went to make up the intricate web of the romantic tissue appear but faintly, if at all,

[1] *Anima Poetæ*, p. 28.

[2] See *Das Ideal und das Leben*, of which the original title was *Das Reich der Schatten*.

in the poetry of Coleridge. Medievalism, which plays a large part in the work of Scott and others, is to Coleridge commonly no more than a vague atmosphere, such as would give the needful sense of remoteness and supply the fit setting for the marvellous which it is his purpose to hint at or openly display. Once only does he go palpably beyond this: in the shadowy picture of

> The chamber carved so curiously,
> Carved with figures strange and sweet,
> For a lady's chamber meet.

But, even this touch of medievalism is studiously vague; nor are the allusions to trial by combat which follow in the second part of *Christabel* any more precise. Contrast these with the description of Madeline's chamber in *The Eve of Saint Agnes* or of the feudal castle and the moss-troopers in *The Lay of the Last Minstrel*; and we have the measure of the gulf which parts Coleridge from other romantic poets in this matter.

Of the historic instinct, strong both in Scott and Byron, Coleridge, in truth, was defiantly destitute.

"Of all the men I ever knew, Wordsworth himself not excepted," he writes, "I have the faintest pleasure in things contingent and transitory. . . . Nay, it goes to a disease with me. As I was gazing at a wall in Carnarvon Castle, I wished the guide fifty miles off that was telling me, In this chamber the Black Prince was born—or whoever it was,"

he adds, as well he might. It is true that, when the first cantos of *Childe Harold* appeared, he had the courage to assert: "It is exactly on the plan that I myself had not only conceived six years ago, but have the whole scheme drawn out in one of my old memorandum books."[1] But this was a pure delusion, of the same kind as that which led him to declare he had conceived a poem, with Michael Scott for hero, much superior to Goethe's *Faust*;[2] with this difference, that, whereas *Faust* lay within his field of vision, *Childe Harold*, or any other poem that should make appeal to "the sense of a former world," after the manner of Byron, assuredly did not.

[1] *Letters*, vol. II, p. 583.

[2] See *Table-Talk*, vol. II, pp. 108–113.

It was in the subtler, more spiritual, regions of romance that Coleridge found his home. As to his treatment of the marvellous, ever "the main region of his song," little need be added to what has been said already. In one form or another, the theme never ceased to haunt his mind during the brief flowering time of his genius; and *The Ancient Mariner*, *Christabel* and *The Three Graves* stand for three quite distinct modes of approaching it. In *The Ancient Mariner*, the poet openly proclaims his marvels, and exults in them. In *Christabel*, they are thrown into the background, and conveyed to our mind rather by subtle suggestion than direct assertion. Finally, in *The Three Graves*, neither incidents nor persons have, in themselves, anything of the marvellous; it appears solely in the withering blight brought by a mother's curse upon three innocent lives. It is here that Coleridge most nearly approaches the field and method of Wordsworth; whose *Peter Bell*—in another way, perhaps, *The Thorn*—offers a curious analogy with this powerful but, as usual, unfinished poem. In the homelier region, he was, manifestly, less at ease than among the marvels and subtleties of the two other poems; and it is rather there that the secret of his unique genius must be sought.

Two things, in particular, may be noted. The indirectness by which the elusive touches of *Christabel* are made to work their cumulative effect may be contrasted with the directness of the method employed by Keats in his treatment of a like theme, the transformation of a serpent into the guise of a woman, in *Lamia*. But it is more important to bear in mind that, if Coleridge is haunted by the marvellous, it is less for its own sake than as a symbol of the abiding mystery which he, like Wordsworth, found everywhere in life, within man and around him; a sign of the spiritual presence which, in his faith, bound "man and bird and beast" in one mystical body and fellowship; a token of the love which is the life of all creation, and which is revealed to us in "the blue sky bent over all." It is this faith which gives a deeper meaning to these fairy creations than they bear upon the surface, and which raises the closing verses of *The Ancient Mariner* from the mere irrelevant appendage they have seemed to some critics, to an expression of the thought that lies at the core of the whole poem. And, if this be true, his well-known retort to Mrs. Barbauld—"Madam,

the fault of the poem is that it has too much moral"—would take a wider significance than has commonly been supposed. Only, the self-depreciation of the poet must not be taken more seriously than it deserves.

In treating of Coleridge as literary critic, there is no alternative but to speak either very briefly or at considerable length. The latter is here impossible. All that can be done, therefore, is to indicate the main avenues which his criticism opened out.

The only written monument of his critical work is that contained in *Biographia Literaria* (1815–17), and in a short series of articles contributed to Farley's *Bristol Journal* a year or two earlier (1814).[1] All else has to be gleaned from the very imperfect reports of his lectures, recorded by Collier, Crabb Robinson and others. These lectures, of which there were, in all, some dozen courses, were delivered, partly in London partly at Bristol, between the years 1808 and 1819. Their avowed subjects, apart from a course on the history of philosophy (1818–19), were, mainly, the drama in general, or Shakespeare and Milton. But Coleridge was never the man to be bound down by a syllabus; and his audience had, on occasion, to bear, as best they could, a defence of school-flogging, an attack on "the Lancastrian system of education" and other such irrelevancies, when they had come to hear a discourse on *Romeo and Juliet.* Yet, in spite of these glaring faults, the lectures were not seldom worthy both of their subject and of their author. And, with the written pieces, they form a body of work such as makes an epoch in the history of English—it would hardly be too much to say, of European—criticism.

Coleridge concerns himself not only with the practice of criticism, but, also—perhaps, by preference—with its theory. On both sides, he offers the sharpest contrast with the critics of the century, and, not least, of the generation, preceding. The Wartons and Hurd, no doubt, stand apart from the men of their day. In sentiment, they rebel against the canons of the Augustans; and, so far, they are at one with Coleridge. But

[1] They are reprinted in the appendix to Cottle's *Early Recollections.* To the works mentioned above should be added a pregnant section of *A Preliminary Treatise on Method,* prefixed to *Encyclopædia Metropolitana.* It was written in 1817 and published in 1818.

they were content to defend their instinctive judgments on purely literary grounds, and made no attempt to justify them on more general principles. Indeed, they seem never to have suspected that their revolt against the established taste in poetry carried with it a revolt against the established system in philosophy. Coleridge, on the other hand, was philosopher just as much as poet. He lived in the full tide of a philosophical, no less than a poetic, revival. He was himself among the leading figures in both. He had, therefore, on both sides, a far richer store of material to draw from than had been open to the earlier rebels. And it was the first instinct of his nature to weave, or force, every side of his experience into a consistent whole.

At the first step, he rules out the assumption, which, from Horace onwards, had wrought such havoc in criticism, that the object of poetry is to instruct; or, as a less extreme form of the heresy had asserted, to make men morally better. That this may be an effect of poetry—of much that is noblest in poetry—he is not in the least concerned to deny. That, however, is no more than an incidental result. And the true end, or function, of poetry is to give immediate pleasure: pleasure, he explains in a somewhat disconcerting addition, "through the medium of beauty."[1]

This may not carry us very far. But, at least, it serves to warn us off from the wrong road, and to set our feet at the beginning of the right one. More than this: by further additions and modifications, Coleridge so expands his original doctrine as to bring us considerably further on the path. In the first place, the assertion that the pleasure which imaginative art aims at giving is wrought "through the medium of beauty," however much it may check the logical flow of the argument, at least serves to enforce the truth, already laid down by Aristotle, that imaginative pleasure differs in kind from all other forms of pleasure: nay, that one form of imaginative pleasure differs in kind from all other forms of imaginative pleasure: that given by poetry, for instance, from that given by sculpture or painting; that given by the drama from that given by lyric or by epic. In the second place, his own analysis of that which constitutes "beauty" is so illuminating, his own

[1] Farley's *B. J.* art. 1.

exposition of the conditions necessary to poetic pleasure is so subtle, as to bring us a great deal further on the road than, at the first moment, we may have been aware. The former throws a flood of light upon the points in which the various arts differ from each other, as well as upon those they have in common. The latter—enforced, as it is, by a criticism of Shakespeare's early poetic work, and reinforced by an equally delicate criticism of the charm attaching to the consummate presentment of "common form" in poetry, particularly by the Italian poets of the later renascence—is one of the most satisfying things ever written in this kind. In applying the principles which he had already laid down in theory, the author succeeds both in defining them more closely and in extending them more widely; in the very statement of his theory, he contrives to offer a model of the method which critics should aim at following in practice.

Of the rest of his work in practical criticism, no account can be offered. It must suffice to mention his criticism of Wordsworth in *Biographia*, and that of Shakespeare, as dramatist, in various courses of his lectures. The former, in itself, is a fine and discriminating piece of work. But it is more than doubtful whether Coleridge was the man to have undertaken it. He was aware that the slightly astringent touch, which he felt justice demanded, would give offence to his brother poet. And, considering the relation between the two men—a relation once of the warmest friendship, now of strained forbearance—it would have been more gracious to keep silence. Indeed, so far as the criticism deals with Wordsworth's theory of "poetic diction," it cannot but strike the reader as carping; not to mention the appearance of treachery involved in attacking a theory for which he himself was commonly held, and, probably, with some justice, to be, in part, responsible. As critic of Shakespeare's dramatic genius, his part is less ambiguous, though even this is complicated by questions of unacknowledged debts to Schlegel. He was the first English writer to insist that every work of art—in this instance, every play—is, by its very nature, an organic whole; and that, if this is harder to discern in the complicated structure of Shakespearean and much other modern drama, it is because, at least in the nobler examples, such plays are not less, but more, vitally articulated; not less,

but more, spontaneous and organic. Structure, scenic effect, poetry, character—all are shown to spring from the same common root in the spirit of the poet; each to enhance the imaginative effect which, instinctively, he had in view. And he enforces this, not as a mere abstract doctrine—though it lies at the core of his theory of beauty—but by an exposition of individual masterpieces which, for subtlety and suggestiveness, had certainly, if we except Goethe's masterly criticism of *Hamlet*, never been approached. It remains true that, having done so much, he might justly have been expected to do even more; and that nothing but his own nervelessness, at once the cause and effect of the opium habit, could have prevented him from doing it.

If, in literary criticism, there has sometimes been a disposition to exaggerate the value of the work actually accomplished by Coleridge, in philosophy, the tendency has almost always been to give him less than his due; certainly, as to what he achieved in the way of writing; too often, even as to his intrinsic capacity. Yet, his importance in the history of English philosophy is not to be denied. It is neither more nor less than to have stood against the current which, for the last century, had swept everything before it; to have assailed the mechanical philosophy which, from the time of Locke, had firmly entrenched itself in this country and in France; and, however much he may have been overborne by the prejudices of the moment, at least to have paved the way for their ultimate exposure and defeat. Even at the moment, in the high tide of Bentham's influence, his labours were by no means in vain. As writer—still more, in his talk and in his personal influence—he served for a rallying point to all who felt, if they could not explain to themselves, the inadequacy of the prevailing system: the one man who was capable of laying bare its fallacies, the one man who was able to give a reasoned account of the larger faith after which they were blindly groping. The evidence of this is to be found in the lives of such men as Arnold and Maurice; or, more compactly, in the generous essay of Mill and the brilliant, but not too generous, chapter devoted to the subject in Carlyle's *Life of Sterling*.

In philosophy, as he himself would have been the first to acknowledge, he was building on the foundations laid by Kant

and, to a less degree, by Fichte and Schelling. At what time he became acquainted with the writings of Kant, is a disputed point. He himself seems to place it in 1800;[1] and, though he was constitutionally inaccurate about all matters of fact, it is hard to see why this date, the period immediately following his return from Germany, should not be accepted. The question is hardly one of supreme importance. For, despite some unlucky borrowings from Schelling (alas! unacknowledged), he was in no sense a mere adapter, still less a mere copyist, from the Germans.[2] He worked, as all philosophers must work, on hints furnished by his predecessors; and that is all.

His aim was to show the necessity of replacing the mechanical interpretation of life and nature, which he found in possession of the field, by one consistently spiritual, indeed religious. And he carries out this purpose over the whole field of experience: in metaphysics and philosophy; in ethics and politics; not to mention his application of the same principle to imaginative creation, as briefly indicated in the preceding section. In metaphysics, his work is probably less satisfactory than in any other branch of his vast subject. And that, partly because he is here more ready than elsewhere to follow the hazardous guidance of Schelling; partly because the temptation to press speculative truth into the service of a particular religious creed was more than he was able wholly to resist. Hence, with all his subtlety, he does not succeed in driving home the essentially creative action of the mind in the process of knowledge —and that, after all, is the main point at issue—at all as clearly as Kant had done before him. And, by his use of the distinction between the "reason" and the "understanding"—a distinction originally due to Kant—for the purpose of bolstering up opinions originally derived from a wholly different source, he opens the door to all kinds of fallacies and perversions. With Kant, the distinction between the reason and the understanding has a purely restrictive purpose. Its effect is to deny to the former anything more than a "regulative" or suggestive

[1] *Biographia*, chap. IX.

[2] The passage in *Biographia*, chap. XII (pp. 124–7), which forms the introduction to Coleridge's metaphysical system, is an unblushing translation (with a misquotation from Horace faithfully reproduced) of Schelling's *System des transzendentalen Idealismus*, §§ 1, 2. The rest of the chapter is largely an adaptation from the same work.

function in the ordering of knowledge; and to claim from the latter, which, from its nature, must always go hand in hand with a sensible intuition, the sole title to the discovery of truth. In other words, it is a distinction which leads straight to what have since come to be known as agnostic conclusions. To Coleridge, it serves a purpose exactly the reverse. So far from separating the spheres of the two faculties, he sweeps away all barriers between them. He allows to the one an apparently unlimited power of re-affirming what the other had found it necessary to deny; and thus exposes himself to Carlyle's sarcasm that he had discovered "the sublime secret of believing by the reason what the understanding had been obliged to fling out as incredible." It would be grossly unfair to say that this exhausts the teaching of Coleridge in the region of metaphysics. His criticism of the mechanical system —and, in particular, of the theory of association, as elaborated by Hume and Hartley—would, in itself, suffice to overthrow any such assertion.[1] But it can hardly be denied that this is the side of his doctrine on which he himself laid the heaviest stress; nor, again, that it is the side upon which he is most open to attack.

In the kindred field of psychology, his results are both sounder in themselves and more absolutely his own. His records of the working of the mind, especially under abnormal or morbid conditions, are extraordinarily minute and subtle. It would hardly be too much to say that he is the founder of what has since become a distinct, and most fruitful branch of philosophy: the study of experimental psychology. And this, which is fully known only to those who are familiar with *Anima Poetæ*, is, perhaps, his most original contribution to philosophy.

In ethics, he is more upon the beaten track. But it was a track almost unknown to Englishmen of his day. And it is his lasting service, at the moment when the utilitarian scheme of things swept all before it, to have proclaimed the utter insufficiency of any doctrine which did not start from the postulate of duty. Here, once more, he bases his teaching upon that of Kant. But he enters a just protest, as Schiller had done before him, against the hard saying that the highest goodness

[1] *Biographia*, chaps. v–viii.

is that which tramples upon the natural instincts of the heart. And, throughout his exposition, as given in *Aids to Reflection*, he shows (as, from his personal experience, he well might) a sense of human frailty—a sense, that is, of one of the two main elements of the problem—which the noble stoicism of Kant had been too apt to treat as matter for nothing but shame and contempt.

Few, probably, now think of Coleridge in connection with political philosophy. Yet, there is no subject to which, throughout life, he gave more time and thought; from the days of *Conciones ad populum* and *The Watchman* (1795–6) to those of *The Friend* (1809–10; 1818), or of *The Constitution of Church and State* (1830). Coleridge habitually spoke of himself as the heir of Burke. And that constitutes at once the strength and the weakness of his position as political philosopher. More systematic, but with far less of imaginative and historic insight than his master, he inherited, in fact, both the loves and the hates of *Reflections* and *Letters on a Regicide Peace.*

On the negative side, he is the fiery foe of the rights of man, of Jacobinism, of the sovereignty of the people. And he makes no effort to disentangle the truth which—under a crude form, no doubt—found expression in watchwords which, in his early manhood, had shaken Europe to her depths and had in no sense lost their power when he died. To the end, he was unable to see that no state, which does not draw its will from the whole body of its members, can be regarded as fully organised or developed; and that this was the ideal which the French revolution, perhaps before the time was ripe, certainly through many crimes and blunders, was striving to make good. Against this ideal, he had nothing to propose but that of a government, based upon the will of the propertied classes only, and imposing itself upon the rest of the community from above. The result is that, at the present day, his theory seems ludicrously out of date: far more so than that of the Jacobins, or of *Le Contrat social*, which he does his best to cover with ridicule and contempt. So childish, indeed, was his fear of Jacobinism, so keen his scent for the faintest breath of its approach that, when Erskine brought in a bill, the first of its kind, for the prevention of cruelty to animals (1809), he denounced it, in his largest capitals, as "the strongest instance of legislative

Jacobinism."[1] It was bad enough that rights should be demanded for men; to concede them to animals was iniquitous and absurd. In spite of these follies, it is right to acknowledge that his criticism of Jacobinism and of *Le Contrat social*, however little we may agree with it, reveals powers beyond the reach of any man living in England at the time; probably, if we except Hegel, beyond the reach of any man in Europe.[2]

Yet, as with all thinkers worthy of the name, it is in expounding the positive side of his doctrine that his powers are seen at their brightest and most convincing. The core of his creed, as of Burke's, lay in the conviction that the civic life of man is the offspring not of deliberate calculation, "the cautious balancing of comparative advantages," but of instincts, often working unknown to himself, which are rooted in the deepest fibres of his nature. He is assured that the state, so far from being a cunning piece of mechanism, put together at the will of individuals and to be taken to pieces at their pleasure, is something larger and more enduring than the individuals who compose it. He knows that, in a very real sense, it has a life of its own: a life which, at countless points, controls, no less than it is controlled by, theirs. He believes that the moral, as well as the material, existence of men is largely determined by the civic order into which they are born. And he infers that, if this order be roughly shaken, the moral, as well as the material, well-being of those who belong to it is grievously imperilled. These are the vital principles which lie behind all that he wrote on political matters, and which find their best expression, characteristically barbed by a bitter attack on Hume, in an eloquent passage of one of his *Lay Sermons*.[3]

[1] *Letters*, vol. II, p. 635.

[2] *The Friend*, ed. 1837, vol. I, pp. 240–266; vol. II, pp. 28–30. *Essays on his own Times*, pp. 543–550.

[3] *The Statesman's Manual*, 1816.

CHAPTER VII

George Crabbe

GEORGE CRABBE was born at Aldeburgh, on the coast of Suffolk, on 24 December, 1754. His father, a collector of salt-duties at the harbour, was a man of both high tastes and low. Rather disreputable in his later years, he had, as a young man, kept school, and used to read Milton, Young and other poets aloud to his family. Destined for the profession of medicine, George was apprenticed to a medical practitioner in Wickhambrook, near Bury St. Edmunds, from whose surgery, three years later, he passed into that of a doctor at Woodbridge. Here he remained from 1771 to 1775, and became acquainted with Sarah Elmy, who, though ten years were to pass before they were married, exercised from the first a softening and brightening influence on the rather grim nature of the unformed youth. Just about the time of their meeting, Crabbe made his first known appearance in print as a poet. In "the poets' corner" of a ladies' magazine in 1772 appeared several pieces of verse, some signed "G. Ebbare" and one "G. Ebbaac," which are held to be by Crabbe.[1] One of these, consisting of two very pretty stanzas, called *The Wish*, celebrates the poet's "Mira," which was the poetical name given by Crabbe to Sarah Elmy.

In 1775, just before the close of his apprenticeship at Woodbridge, Crabbe put his powers to a severe test, by publishing with an Ipswich bookseller a poem, in three parts, entitled *Inebriety*. From the description of the cottage library in part I of *The Parish Register*[2] and other references in Crabbe's

[1] *George Crabbe*, ed. Ward, A. W., Cambridge English Classics, vol. I, pp. v–viii.
[2] ll. 95–126. See, also, *The Borough*, letter xx, *Ellen Orford*, ll. 11–119.

works, we know that, in boyhood, his favourite reading had been romantic; but, by the time he wrote *Inebriety*, he must have made a close study of the poetic dictator of the day, Pope. Much of *Inebriety* is composed of frank imitation, or parody, of *An Essay on Man* and *The Dunciad*; while, here and there, Crabbe proves his knowledge of Gray. Echoes of these poets, being mingled with language drawn by the doctor's apprentice from his art, and presented in rimed heroic verse, at once laboured and slipshod, leave *Inebriety* one of the rawest poems ever written. Yet, if there is plenty of affectation about the youthful satirist, it is not sentimental affectation. Crabbe shows signs already of that revolt against idealisation which was to inspire his mature work. To him, inebriety is an evil, and he describes with vigour and point its evil effects in all classes of life.

His apprenticeship over, Crabbe returned home to Aldeburgh, without any prospects and with very little knowledge of the science of healing. Owing to his mother's illness and his father's intemperance and violent nature, his home was unhappy. During these years, the iron must have entered into his soul. He tried to practise his profession at Aldeburgh, and was appointed parish doctor. Meanwhile, however, he was studying nature, and especially botany, with results which, if of no service to him as doctor, were to be of great value to his poetry. He continued to read much and to think much, and he found his mind turning definitely to faith and piety. Sarah Elmy was his consolation and hope (many years later, in one of the *Tales* called *The Lover's Journey*, he wrote a famous description of a visit to her); and he went on writing poetry, a little of which has survived. To the years 1775–9 belong several religious poems, an impressive little piece on Mira,[1] which tells how she drew the author from the relief of "false pleasures" to "loftier notions," and a blank verse work entitled *Midnight*, which, if very gloomy, ends on a note of sane and sturdy courage.

At length, he could not endure life at Aldeburgh any longer. Towards the end of 1779, he made up his mind to stake his all on literary work in London and, in April, 1780, with assistance from Dudley North, a relative of the prime minister, he set

[1] Ward, *u.s.*, vol. I, p. 38.

sail from Slaughden quay. In London, he took a lodging close to the Royal Exchange, near some friends of Miss Elmy who lived in Cornhill, and set to work revising a couple of plays and some prose essays which he had brought with him, studying botany and entomology in the country round London, and keeping a journal addressed to Mira. The year was to him one of privation and disappointment. Among the poems that, without success, he attempted to publish were an epistle, in his favourite couplets, to prince William (afterwards William IV), a satirical *Epistle from the Devil* (apparently a revised version of an earlier poem, *The Foes of Mankind*) and an *Epistle to Mira*, in both of which he uses anapaests. No publisher would accept these poems, in spite of a biting introduction by their author, under the pseudonym "Martinus Scriblerus." Lords North, Shelburne and Thurlow, one after another, turned a deaf ear to the author, though his compliment in verse to Shelburne deserved some reward. And when, probably in August, 1780,[1] he found a printer willing to print two hundred and fifty copies of another poem, it did not bring him in anything but one or two slighting reviews. Crabbe, who, in several works of this period, describes his own feelings and condition, hereupon addressed to "the Authors of the *Monthly Review*" a letter in verse, in which he practically asks them to advise him whether he should persevere in poetry or not, bestowing on himself, by the way, some satirical advice on the methods that lead to success. There is nothing remarkable about the poem except the amazing simplicity of the idea.

The kindness of the wigmaker with whom he lodged, occasional help from Sarah Elmy's family and the pawning of his possessions just sufficed to save Crabbe from destitution; but his condition was very bad indeed when, in something like despair, he wrote, probably in February or March, 1781, a letter to Edmund Burke. This letter, which is still extant,[2] he left, with some specimens of his poetry in manuscript, at Burke's house in Charles street, St. James's. Burke granted an interview, found Crabbe to have "the mind and feelings of a gentleman," gave him money for his immediate needs and became his patron. Among the poems then submitted by Crabbe to

[1] Huchon, R., *Un Poète Réaliste Anglais*, p. 139, n. 1.

[2] It is reproduced in facsimile by Huchon, *u.s.*

Burke was *The Library*; and this was the poem which Burke recommended for publication. First, however, it must be revised; the thoughts were often better than the verses. The revision was carried out under Burke's eye. *The Library* was published by Dodsley, July 24, 1781. It did not bear any author's name, and there is not anything in the poem itself to declare it Crabbe's. It smacks throughout of Pope and of the poetical commonplace of the day. The author imagines himself in a library and utters his glib reflections upon the provinces of theology, history and so forth, and upon the relief from care afforded by reading. Any other of the poets of the day might have written it, and it did not advance Crabbe's reputation.

With the next publication, the case was different. The packet left with Burke had contained portions of a poem which attempted to contrast village life, as the writer knew it, with the Arcadian life described by authors of pastorals. When completed, the poem was published as *The Village*. Before, however, its appearance turned the fortunes of Crabbe as poet, his fortunes as a man had already been turned through the influence of Burke. Burke invited him to stay at Beaconsfield, introduced him to his powerful friends, Fox, Reynolds, Thurlow (who presented him with £100 and forgave him an old insult), and then, finding the bent of his mind to be towards holy orders, recommended him to the bishop of Norwich, who ordained him, December, 1781, when he was all but twenty-seven years old, to the curacy of Aldeburgh. At Aldeburgh, Crabbe, as usual, was not happy. His father was proud of him; but the neighbours regarded him as an upstart. Change from one awkward situation to another came with the offer of the post of private chaplain to the duke of Rutland at Belvoir, whither Crabbe went in 1782. In spite of "the mind and feelings of a gentleman," which Burke had found in him, there seems to have been a kind of bluntness, perhaps merely that of a strong and sincere mind (Thurlow once said that he was "as like Parson Adams as twelve to a dozen"), which unfitted him for a ducal chaplaincy; and, though the portrait of "my lord," in *The Patron*, is not drawn from the duke of Rutland, who treated Crabbe with kindness and consideration, some of John's difficulties there set out were, doubtless, borrowed from the poet's own experience. However, he was now free from

anxiety, constantly meeting people of learning and taste and blessed with plenty of leisure for his poetic work.

Crabbe went to Belvoir in or about August, 1782. In May, 1783, the publication of *The Village* revealed his peculiar qualities as a poet. The poem had been completed and revised under Burke's guidance, and submitted by Reynolds to Johnson, who declared it "original, vigorous, and elegant," and made an alteration which cannot be wholly approved.[1] The originality of the poem won it immediate success.[2] Such a work may, almost, be said to have been needed. The taste for pastorals, running down from Elizabethan imitations of Theocritus and Mantuan to Ambrose Philips, Allan Ramsay and Thomson, had worn itself out. Gay's *Shepherd's Week*, with its parody of Philips, had helped to kill it; and Crabbe, certainly, owed something to the form and tone of Gay's poem. Yet, the impulse had continued in another form. Goldsmith, in *The Deserted Village*, and Gray, in *An Elegy Written in a Country Churchyard*, though completely free from pastoral affectation, had, at any rate in Crabbe's opinion, idealised the life and character of the villager. Crabbe, who, perhaps from early youth, had contrasted his knowledge of life round Aldeburgh with the "smooth alternate verse" read aloud to him by his father, where

> fond Corydons complain,
> And shepherds' boys their amorous pains reveal,
> The only pains, alas! they never feel,[3]

conceived the idea of telling the truth about country folk as he saw it. For this task, he was peculiarly well equipped. He knew the life of the country poor by personal experience; and his studies in botany and other branches of natural science—possibly, even the mental shortsight which, all his life, kept his

[1] *The Village*, I, ll. 15–20. Crabbe's original lines may be seen in *Works* (1834), 114, n. 4.

[2] The daring novelty of Crabbe's poetic treatment of the poor may be gauged by a curious parallelism between *The Borough*, letter XVIII, *The Poor and their Dwellings*, ll. 354 *sqq.*, and the lines recited by "the poet" in letter XIV of Goldsmith's *The Citizen of the World*. Goldsmith's lines were written as burlesque; Crabbe's, copied in all seriousness. The present writer is indebted to Canon A. C. Deane of Great Malvern for pointing out this loan.

[3] *The Village*, I. ll. 12–14.

vision very close to its object—enabled him to substitute for the graceful vagueness of pastoral poets a background drawn with minute exactness. In seven consecutive lines of *The Village*, thistles, poppies, bugloss, mallow and charlock are mentioned by name, each in a manner which proves it to have been closely observed; and it is said that Aldeburgh, Great Parham and the country around Belvoir are all recognisable in the several descriptions of scenery. As with his background, so with his persons. The desire to tell the truth as he saw it was the intellectual passion which governed Crabbe in all his mature poetry. The side of truth which he saw was, however, nearly always the gloomy side. "Nature's sternest painter, yet her best" Byron said of him, in a well-known line, of which the first part probably remains true, while the second seems to overlook the fact that even village life has a bright side. This may be found in *The Cotter's Saturday Night*. An unhappy youth spent in a rough home may have tinged Crabbe's mind; but his sturdy dislike of sentimentalism was an enduring characteristic. So he becomes linked with the "realists" of later times. Man is not to be served by iridescent visions of what he is not, but by pity awakened by the knowledge of what he is.

In spite of this revolt against sentimentalism, *The Village*, like Crabbe's later poems, shows substantial fairness. Its picture is not all gloom. If we contrast his clergyman with the parson of *The Deserted Village*, the poem is entirely free from the note, to be described, perhaps, as petulant, which occurs more than once in Cowper's satires, which had been published, with not much immediate success, a few months before *The Village*.

The workmanship of *The Village* reaches a point which Crabbe never passed. The poem had the advantage, as we have seen, of revision by Burke and Johnson, and the heroic couplets, which were always Crabbe's favourite metre, lack the fluency of *The Library*, and the rugged carelessness of his later poetry. They are sufficiently polished, without losing any of his peculiar sharpness; and his love of epigram and of antithesis, that amounts almost to punning, is kept in check. The "originality and vigour," if not the "elegance," of the poem, were immediately recognised. Burke put extracts from

it into *The Annual Register* for 1783, where Scott read the description of the workhouse so earnestly that he could repeat it more than ten years later. As Horace Walpole wrote to Mason, Crabbe "writes lines that one can remember."

To *The Annual Register* for 1783, Crabbe contributed an obituary notice of his patron's brother, Lord Robert Manners, whose death in a sea fight, while in command of *The Resolution*, he had sung in some fine lines feebly tacked on to the end of *The Village;* but he did not publish any more poetry for nearly two years. And, then, he did not give the public anything worthy of him. It is difficult to believe that *The Newspaper*, a satire published March, 1785, was not an early work, written, perhaps, just after Burke had given his approval to *The Library* which it closely resembles. In fact, after *The Village*, Crabbe did not publish any important poetry for more than twenty-two years. During most of these years he was writing verse and destroying it; during some of them, no doubt, he was living it rather than writing it, for, on 15 December, 1783, he was married to Sarah Elmy. During the years that followed, Crabbe wrote three prose romances and on his wife's advice, destroyed them; withdrew, before publication, on the advice of a friend, a projected volume of poems; and worked hard at various branches of science and at reading in several languages.

At length, in October, 1807, at the age of nearly fifty-three, he published another volume, which contained, besides reprints of *The Library*, *The Village* and *The Newspaper*, some new poems. Of these, the longest and most important, *The Parish Register*, develops the theme of *The Village* and first brings Crabbe into prominence as a teller of stories. A country clergyman (such is the scheme of the poem) is looking through his registers, and utters the reflections and memories stirred in him, in turn, by the entries of births, marriages and deaths. Crabbe's desire to be just is evident from his inclusion of certain happy scenes (suggested, probably, rather by his own parishes than by his recollections of Aldeburgh) and of fortunate people; but the bent of his mind is equally evident in his manner of turning away from the description of the charming cottage, with its pictures, its books and its garden,

> To this infected row we term our street.

The Parish Register contains some of the best and the best-known passages in Crabbe's poems, notably the story of Phoebe Dawson, which touched the heart of Fox during his lingering death in the autumn before its publication. Meeting Crabbe at Dudley North's house, Fox urged him to publish more poetry, and offered to read and revise his manuscript. *The Parish Register*, then, had the benefit of Fox's advice, as *The Village* had enjoyed that of Burke and Johnson; and Crabbe, as he tells us in his preface to the volume, had followed it scrupulously—doubtless to the advantage of the couplets. In subject and treatment, the poem was sufficiently novel to create some stir. It has been pointed out[1] that the impulse given to English fiction by the Roger de Coverly papers in *The Spectator* was exhausted. With the exception of Miss Edgeworth, there was not any novelist then telling stories that approached the truth about humble and ordinary folk; and, in *The Parish Register*, Crabbe revived an impulse that passed on, in course of time, to George Eliot and, after her, to living writers.[2]

As in all his poetry, the moral purpose is made very clear. Most of the unhappiness related is ascribed to the ungoverned passions or the weaknesses of the characters, to the lack of that prudence, moderation and self-control which he consistently advocated, in matters temporal and spiritual. He desires to warn all who might find themselves in like circumstances, and, at the same time, to rouse pity in the minds of his readers for sinning and suffering humanity. The first requisite for a poet with these aims is a sympathetic understanding; and Crabbe, later, was to show, even more clearly than he shows in *The Parish Register*, his mastery of what novelists know as psychology.

Of the other poems in the 1807 volume, *The Hall of Justice* is a strong and horrible narrative, in stanzas, of the life of a gipsy woman; while *The Birth of Flattery* is a pompous allegory showing how flattery is the fortunate child of poverty and cunning. More remarkable is *Sir Eustace Grey*, a poem very different from Crabbe's usual pedestrian and minutely "na-

[1] *E.g.* by Ainger, *Crabbe* (English Men of Letters), p. 103.

[2] For Crabbe's attitude towards romantic tales in general, see, especially, *The Borough*, letter XX, *Ellen Orford*, ll, 11–119.

tural" work. In or about 1790, Crabbe had been recommended by his doctor to take opium for severe indigestion; and opium-taking became a habit. It was suggested by Edward Fitz-Gerald that opium influenced Crabbe's dreams, and, through them, *Sir Eustace Grey* and *The World of Dreams*, a poem of somewhat the same nature, which was first printed after his death. The scene of *Sir Eustace Grey* is a madhouse, where a patient, once rich and happy, relates to his physician and a visitor his downfall and the visions of his madness. Parallels have been found between some of these imaginings and those recorded by De Quincey in *The Confessions of an Opium-Eater*. The poem, which is written in eight-line stanzas with linked rimes, is wild and forcible in a very high degree; but Crabbe, with fine art, allows it to sink gradually to rest with Sir Eustace's account of his conversion by what the poet admitted to be a "methodistic call," his singing of a hymn and the reflections of the physician.

Crabbe's next publication was *The Borough*, a poem in twenty-four parts or "letters," published in April, 1810. Like *The Village* and *The Parish Register*, it describes life and character as the poet had seen them in Aldeburgh. Yet, not in Aldeburgh only; for this borough might, to some extent, stand for any country town of moderate size. In a series of letters to a correspondent, the author gives an account of the town, the church, the religious bodies, the politics, professions, amusements, the workhouse, the poor, the prisons, the schools and many other features of the town's life. As the work is much longer than its predecessors, so it shows an increase in Crabbe's scope and power. There was no one now to revise his writings; and *The Borough* remains a very uneven work, both in matter and in versification; yet, Crabbe, who had spent eight years upon the poem, was not then so indifferent to craftsmanship as he became later. Parts of *The Borough* are very dull; excess of detail makes other parts tedious; and there is much clumsiness and flatness of expression. Nevertheless, *The Borough* contains some of Crabbe's finest work, and shows an advance in his power of divining motive and depicting character. The portraits of the clergy and the ministers, and of the inhabitants of the almshouses, show rare penetration and vigour in description; and, if Crabbe found himself unable to construct

in verse, or in prose, a novel in which the characters should act and react upon each other, he remains a master of the individual portrait. For poignancy and poetic beauty, nothing in all his work, perhaps, equals the description of the condemned felon's dream of his youth at home.[1]

Little more than two years elapsed before Crabbe published another volume of poetry, in some ways his best. *Tales*, issued in September, 1812, shows an advance on *The Borough* in the art of revealing character by narrative. Many of the twenty-one stories are constructed on the same plan—initial happiness converted gradually into misery by intellectual pride or ill-regulated passion; but the variety of the treatment and of the characters prevents monotony. And, if any one were tempted to accuse Crabbe of a lack of humour, *Tales* should avert such a charge. In this set of stories, more than in any other, he exhibits a humour, bitter, no doubt, but profound, searching and woven into the very stuff of the tale. *The Gentleman Farmer*, with its exposition of the daring free-thinker enslaved in three different kinds of bondage—to a woman, a quack doctor and an ostler turned preacher; *The Patron*, with its picture of the noble family's reception of their poet-*protégé's* death; the masterly comedy of the wooing of a worldling and a puritan in *The Frank Courtship*—these and several others show Crabbe in complete control of his material, and exercising upon it more of the poet's (or, rather, perhaps, of the novelist's) intellectual and emotional labour than he usually bestowed upon the fruits of his observation. Two of the tales have extraneous interests. Tennyson knew and admired Crabbe's poems, and may have made use in *Enoch Arden* of his recollections of *The Parting Hour;* and Charles Lamb founded on *The Confidant* a comedy called *The Wife's Trial*,[2] which, in turn, gave Maria Edgeworth an idea for *Helen*.

After *Tales*, Crabbe did not publish anything more for seven years. He was now a poet of wide reputation, and was welcomed by Rogers, Campbell and others on the visits to London which his wife's death in 1813 set him free to pay. In the spring of 1814, he was appointed to the cure of Trowbridge in Wiltshire, where he was within reach of William Lisle Bowles,

[1] *The Borough*, letter XXIII, *Prisons*, ll. 289–329.

[2] Printed in *Blackwood's Magazine*, December, 1828.

of Lord Bath and of the interesting people who lived in Bath or came there to take the waters. He appears to have worked meanwhile, with the regularity of an Anthony Trollope, at his poetry; and the results of this manner of work may be detected in his next volume, *Tales of the Hall*, published in July, 1819. He had always been a careless or a wilful workman. Left to himself, and more careless than ever, now that his fame was established and his age advanced, he indulged more freely than before in unnecessary detail, in sentences distorted for the sake of a rime, in flatness approaching doggerel, in verbosity and antithesis. Some of his critics, among them Jeffrey, had complained of the lack of connection between the stories in his earlier volumes. The objection seems trivial; and, in *Tales of the Hall*, Crabbe's device of making brothers who are scarcely acquainted with one another exchange stories seems futile, when all these stories clearly bear the impress of a single mind. As usual, Crabbe took most of his material from people and events he had observed, or from true stories related to him; and one very interesting passage in *Tales of the Hall*[1] appears to be a portrait of himself. The time had gone by when Crabbe could justly be accused, as he had been by Jeffrey, of "disgusting representations." *Smugglers and Poachers* in *Tales of the Hall* is a terrible story; but, in most of these poems, as in *Tales*, Crabbe is dealing with people of a higher social grade than his early models. Though most of the stories are sad, there is less scope for brutality, and more for minute and sympathetic study of the finer shades of thought and temper. *The Widow* is a fine piece of high comedy; the twice-widowed lady's letter to her third suitor[2] is shrewdly ironical; while a passage in *Delay has Danger*[3], describing a peevish wife, is, perhaps, the best example that could be chosen of the sharp and vivid effect to which Crabbe could attain by his epigrammatic, antithetic manner.

Tales of the Hall was the last volume of poems by Crabbe published in his lifetime. At Trowbridge, he lived in comfort, winning, by degrees, the esteem of his parishioners (a tribute which, in other cures, he had not wholly gained), working hard at poetry and paying visits to his friends. At the house of the

[1] Book XIV; *The Natural Death of Love*, ll. 3–42.
[2] Book XVII, ll. 407–445.
[3] Book XIII, ll. 733–744.

Hoares in Hampstead, he met Wordsworth, Southey, Rogers, Joanna Baillie and others; and he paid a memorable visit to Scott in Edinburgh. He died at Trowbridge, in February, 1832. At his death, many volumes of poetry in manuscript were found in his house, and selections from these were printed in the collected edition of his works, edited by his son, George Crabbe, which was published in 1834. They include one delightful tale, *Silford Hall; or, The Happy Day*, which describes the visit of a poor boy to a great house over which he is shown by the housekeeper; and one shrewd piece of comedy, *The Equal Marriage*, in which a male and a female coquette marry to their joint discomfort. *The Farewell and Return* is a series of short poems describing the fortunes of a man's acquaintances before and after his long absence from his native town. They contain some admirable work, such as the poem called *The Ancient Mansion*, which tells how the local great house had been bought and spoiled by a newcomer. But, in reading these posthumous tales, it is just to remember that they had not been finally passed for the press by the author, whose reputation they do little to enhance. The lyric was not his best means of expression, and he used it rarely; but the quatrain, *His Mother's Wedding-Ring*, shows a beautifully turned thought, and the short poem on his dead wife, *Parham Revisited*, is simple and passionate. The unpublished poems by Crabbe, collected from manuscripts in the possession of the university of Cambridge and printed in the Cambridge English Classics edition of his works, include other examples of his work in lyric poetry.

Between the publication of Crabbe's first work and of his last, a revolution had come over English poetry. He began to write in a barren time, when the power of Pope was waning, and nothing new had yet arisen to take its place. Almost contemporaneously with *The Village*, his first characteristic poem, appeared the first volume of Cowper. During Crabbe's long silence, the influence of Cowper was to spread; and, by the time of Crabbe's death, Coleridge, Wordsworth, Scott, Campbell, Byron, Shelley and Keats had done their work for English poetry. It says much for one who, though an innovator in subject, belonged to the previous age in execution, that he held his own throughout life and for some time afterward. He told the plain truth about peasants; yet he called them "swains,"

as if *Lyrical Ballads* had never been published. Poetry took on a hundred new or revived forms; yet he clung, with very few remissions, to his couplets. In spite of all, his work was read and admired by the very men who were trying to set poetry free from the shackles in which he continued to labour. Almost alone among the voices of the new school, Hazlitt's was raised against him; and Hazlitt's well-known attack[1] can best be explained by a moment of spleen. The admiration of Wordsworth for Crabbe's work was warm. *Lyrical Ballads* had not done anything to affect Crabbe's style, and the two poets, both starting from the same point, a recognition of sympathetic interest in common life, had followed widely different paths; but, like Tennyson, at a later date, Wordsworth valued highly the independence and truth of Crabbe's sturdy, old-fashioned poetry, and saw in it, what Hazlitt failed to see, the beauty born of poetic passion.

Though Crabbe has paid the penalty of neglect, exacted from all poets who are careless of form, he was undoubtedly wise to keep almost exclusively to his couplets. No metre could be better suited to his close sketches of character or to the level development of his tales. When at its worst, his work is very bad, and an easy prey to clever parodists like the authors of *Rejected Addresses*, who, in a few trenchant lines, brought all its faults into the light. When at its best, it is more than good narrative verse. In certain passages, particularly in passages of description, it rises to an intense and passionate beauty, all the minute details which Crabbe liked to record being caught up into the dramatic mood of the moment in a manner which, it is sometimes supposed, was unknown before *Maud*. A notable example of this dramatic propriety may be found in *The Patron* the fifth of the *Tales* (ll. 426–433), where the presumptuous *protégé's* too happy summer in his patron's country house is at an end, and his doom is approaching. Save for the word "melancholy," the passage consists of description which might be termed bald. Crabbe does not make any attempt, as a "pastoral" poet would have done, to explain to his readers the mood inspired by the scene; but the intensity of his observation and his choice of the most effective among the details bring the scene itself vividly to the mind's

[1] *The Spirit of the Age.* Waller and Glover's *Hazlitt*, vol. IV, pp. 348 ff.

eye. A parallel passage, which contains also a touch of poetic magic, is that in *Delay has Danger*, the thirteenth book of *Tales of the Hall* (ll. 703–724), where the half-hearted betrothed, already wishing himself free, looks out of his window. Such economy, and the resulting intensity, are rather the exception than the rule with Crabbe. Too often, as in the early part of *Amusements*, the ninth letter of *The Borough*, he spoils the effect of beautiful passages of sympathetic description, like that of the boat leaving the ship, by dwelling too long on the "species of the medusa (sea-nettle)," or the "marine vermes," or other such things, that interested the man of science rather than the poet. In spite of this excess, he gave the poetry of nature new worlds to conquer (rather than conquered them himself) by showing that the world of plain fact and common detail may be material for poetry; just as, in dealing with the characters of men and women, he enlarged the scope of both poetry and fiction. He was not, like Wordsworth, a lofty and passionate dreamer; so far is he from possessing the engaging tenderness of Cowper, that often, even at his finest moments, he repels by his ruthless insistence upon the truth as he sees it. On the other hand, his keen, if rugged, sympathy widely separates his "realism" from the dreary chronicle of a Zola; and his not infrequent doggerel comes from his saying too much, not from saying anything beside the mark. He has left some vivid and beautiful passages of descriptive poetry, some admirably told tales and a long gallery of profound and lively portraits; and, by the intensity of his vision, the force of his mind and his sturdy sincerity, he ploughed for future workers wide tracts which, before him, poetry had allowed to lie fallow.

CHAPTER VIII

Southey

Lesser Poets of the Later Eighteenth Century

THERE are few English writers who have been the subject of more controversy in different kinds than Robert Southey. Estimates of his positive worth as a poet have varied from the certainly rather excessive notions of it entertained by himself and by Landor, to the mere impertinence of Emerson's "Who is Southey?" Very few persons have endeavoured to give full value to that singular combination of proficiency and performance in the two harmonies wherein he has, perhaps, only one rival in English literature. The absence—an absence which, perhaps, is the chief instance of a scandal that too often affects English, as compared with foreign, literature —of even an attempt at a complete edition of at least his bookwork, has complicated the difficulty of dealing with him. Even though the old *odia*—political, theological and other—have, to some extent (by no means wholly), settled down, he is—it may be admitted partly by his own fault—apt to rouse them in single cases and passages after a disturbing fashion. And there is one pervading condition of a dangerous kind attending his work, from which he was almost the first, if by no means the last, to suffer.

This condition was the difficulty—which his prudence and self-denial reduced to some extent, but which weighed on him all his life and finally killed or helped to kill him—of adjusting the *vita* to the *vivendi causae*. If Southey had had a private fortune or a lightly burdened office or benefice of any kind; if he had had the gift of bachelorhood and the further gift of a

college fellowship; if he had been able to draw profit from professional work which left time for writing; if several other "ifs and ands" had transformed themselves in the practical fashion of the saying—not merely would he, probably, have died in perfect mental health, but he would have left us work (if he had left any at all, which is an important proviso) including more definite masterpieces than he actually achieved. But fate would not have it so. He had no fortune; and, more than once, he rather stood in the way of his own luck. He was a born "family man"; and, what is more, a most hospitable, charitable and generous person.[1] He not only refused, after some efforts, all professional work, but was, probably, in a measure, incapable of any. He would not have been able to live his own life anywhere except in the depths of the country; but he could only live that life there by spending what would have been now enormous, and must, even then, have been considerable, sums upon a vast library. To supply these necessities, there was only one way—hackwork for the press. He began this at a very unfavourable time, when, as he has somewhere said, a whole day's work would bring him in some ten shillings, and, though he lived into a more golden age, he never, as had even Coleridge at one time, had that regular work for daily and weekly periodicals which alone really makes an income. Even so, there might have been difficulties; for he did not like being "edited"; he would not, as he says himself, "regard pen-and-inkmanship as a trade"; and the consequence was that, while he was perpetually interrupting his more ambitious work to "boil the pot," these interruptions merely performed that office and seriously interfered with the other.

Thus, being not a mere gutter journalist but a man of letters of the higher, if not highest, rank, he was ill content with this hackwork. He wanted to do, and he did, great work in

[1] Literary coincidences are sometimes amusing. It so happens that, as Grosvenor Bedford, the father, was a frequent agent of Horace Walpole's charities, so was Grosvenor Bedford, the son, of Southey's and we have numerous letters, from principal to agent, on the subject, in both cases. Horace was by no means stingy in this way; but it is rather curious to compare his scale of benefaction and Southey's, remembering that the one was a richly endowed sinecurist and bachelor, the other a man with a rather large family, who lived almost wholly by ill-paid exertions of his own.

prose and verse; and, with such work, after a, perhaps, treacherously prosperous beginning, he had scarcely any luck—perhaps because, as Scott thought, he mismanaged his affairs with his publishers. As for the pensions which were constantly thrown in his face by his political decriers, the facts are simply these. He had—and, for some time, could hardly have lived without it—an allowance of £160 a year from his rich schoolfellow Charles Wynn; he gave this up when he received a government pension rather less than more than it in value (it was nominally £200, but was largely reduced by fees and taxes); the laureateship added less than £100 (the whole of which, and a little more, he at once devoted to life insurance), and, very late in his life, Sir Robert Peel gave him £300 more. In 1816, he had declined offers from Lord Liverpool which, though apparently somewhat vague, would certainly have tempted most men, at a time when he was actually pressed for money. A little later, he refused the editorship of *The Times* with, it is said £2000 a year attached. It may be taken as certain that, if his gains, including these pensions, during a lifetime of almost unbroken work, resulting, occasionally, in first-rate literature, were summed up and divided yearly, the average income would be found to be not half of that of some places since created for persons of no merit who perform services of no value.

Southey's life was what is called uneventful; but its circumstances were too intimately connected with the character of his work to permit complete neglect of them. He was born (1774) in Bristol, of a Somerset family, old, entitled to bear arms, in one of its branches possessed of some fortune, but not of any historical distinction, and, so far as his own immediate connections were concerned, obscure and unfortunate. His father, who was a linen draper, failed in business, and died early; but Southey received unusual, if, on one side, fitful, assistance from his mother's relations. His uncle, a clergyman named Thomas Hill, was almost a father to him; and his half-aunt, Miss Tyler, made him free of her house till his own eccentricities, and her wrath at his marriage, drove him out. From his very earliest childhood, he seems to have been a devourer of books, especially in English literature, and more especially in poetry. His uncle sent him to Westminster, where he made valuable friends.

But the "strong contagion" of the French revolution caught him there; and he was expelled for his concern in a school magazine the principles of which are sufficiently indicated by its title, *The Flagellant.* He was thus cut off from proceeding, as usual, to Christ church, but he went to Balliol (1792), where he stayed for a year and a half "working," in the strict sense, not at all, but reading immensely, advancing in Jacobinism, making the acquaintance of Coleridge and, with him and others, starting the famous scheme of "pantisocracy" or "aspheterism," a miniature socialist republic to be carried out anywhere or nowhere. The vicissitudes of this association are not for us; but they ended, so far as Southey was concerned, in his relinquishing the scheme and marrying (1795) Edith Fricker, but starting from the church door, and alone, for Portugal, to comply with the demands of his uncle, who was chaplain at Lisbon.

How he there laid the foundation of that knowledge of the peninsular literatures which formed one of the special studies of his life and supplied the subjects of more than one of his chief works; how he returned, lived with his wife at Bristol or London and elsewhere, dutifully tried the law, but found it as hopelessly uncongenial as he had previously, in his hotter Jacobin time, found the church and medicine; how he paid a second visit (1800) to Lisbon, this time with his wife, and how, after trying various abodes and giving himself up to the press and various employments, including a private secretaryship to the chancellor of the Irish exchequer Corry, he settled, where Coleridge had already established himself (and, at first, with him), at Greta hall, Keswick, thus becoming "a Lake poet," would take long to tell. But, rolling stone as he had been for some thirty years, he here found his resting-place (though that was hardly the term for a home of Southey) for life. He never left it again, save for short holiday absences; he became, after being, in a way, Coleridge's guest or, at least, his house partner, the host and, for a time, the supporter of Coleridge's family; he collected the great library already mentioned; he begat sons and daughters, and was passionately fond of them, suffering intensely from the deaths of some of them, especially those of his eldest son, Herbert, and his youngest daughter, Isabel. At last in 1834, his wife's mind gave way, and she soon died. The

shock completed what, if it had not altogether caused inordinate brainwork[1] had, beyond all doubt, helped a mental breakdown in his own case. He found a second wife, or rather, a nurse, in the poetess Caroline Bowles; but she could only attend upon his decline, and he died of softening of the brain in 1843.

It is impossible wholly to pass over that question of political tergiversation which plays a large part in Southey's actual history, owing, partly, to the time at which he lived, and, partly to the rather unscrupulous ability of some of his enemies; but, partly, also, it must be confessed, to that rather unlucky touch of self-righteousness which was almost the only fault in his otherwise blameless character. The present writer has never seen the question of the character and duration of Southey's political and religious unorthodoxy examined at length; and there is not room for such an examination here; but there are ample and final materials for it in his *Letters*. It was, undoubtedly, brought on by that "prince of the air," a momentary epidemic of popular opinion, and by the common though not universal, opposition of clever boys to the powers that be; it was hardened by the unwise severity of William Vincent at Westminster; it was shaken so early as the execution of Marie-Antoinette and the downfall of the Girondists; and, by 1796, the patient had got to writing: "as for pigs, they are too like the multitude." All was safe after that; though a few minor relapses follow for a short time. It may be allowed, even by the most sympathetic judgment, that Southey had not a political head; in fact, he admitted it himself when choosing his subjects for *The Quarterly*. His account of the matter in his famous reply to William Smith as to the resuscitation of *Wat Tyler*—one of the finest things of the kind, for matter and style, ever written—to the effect that he had "always had an ardent desire for the melioration of mankind," but that "as he grew older his ideas as to the best means of that melioration changed," is adequate, accurate and final. But the position which it indicates is, obviously, an incomplete one. As

[1] The manner, as well as the amount, contributed. As he says himself (*Letters* vol. III, p. 64), "I am given to works of supererogation, and could do nothing to my own satisfaction if I did not take twice as much labour as any other person would bestow upon it."

Coleridge had too much logic, Southey had too little; and he was always laying himself open to reproaches of actual inconsistency, which is important, as well as of retrospective inconsistency, which is futile. He never had been a thorough Jacobin, and he never became a thorough tory. To the end of his life, he had odd semi-socialist ideas; he never could see Pitt's greatness, not because he detected that statesman's real faults, but because the old "nervous impression" of dislike remained; and he never forgave the *Anti-Jacobin* attacks on himself. Not at any period of his life, for fear or favour, was it possible for Southey to acquiesce in what he did not think right; but what he thought right generally depended, not on any coherent theory, not on any sound historical observation, but on a congeries of personal likings, dislikings, experiences and impressions generally. This is really the conclusion of the whole matter respecting his politics, and no more need be said about it.

As is probably the case with all great readers and most copious writers, Southey began both processes, in more than the school sense of reading and writing, very early. He seems to have had almost congenital affinity for poetry and romance, and this, or mere accident, sent him, when almost a child, from Tasso (in translation, of course) to Ariosto, and from Ariosto to Spenser, in a way which the most critical pedagogue could not have improved. As a child, also, he filled quires, if not reams, with verse; and, though he had too much sense to preserve, or, at least, to print, any of these *plusquam juvenilia*, it is probable that we should not have found in them anything like the striking difference from his future work which is discernible in those of Milton, of Coleridge, of Shelley and of Tennyson. His early letters, too, contain specimens of the half-doggerel anapaests, which Anstey[1] had made popular a generation earlier and which continued, for at least another, to be written with a familiar and current pen by persons of good, as well as of indifferent, wits. But (speaking under correction) the earliest thing that he regularly published and acknowledged—the *Ode to Horror*, dated 1791, when the author was seventeen—is a somewhat better than Della Cruscan (*v. inf.*) effort to follow Collins very far off. Some other pieces (of the same kind,

[1] Cf. p. 191, *post.*

mostly, but including a terribly flat monodrama on, of all subjects, Sappho) date from the next year or two; and, then, we come to the notorious *Wat Tyler*, "written in three days at Oxford" during the year 1794, and surreptitiously and invidiously published from a stolen copy twenty-three years later. Southey failed in recourse to the law owing, perhaps, to one of the most extraordinary "quillets" of a legal mind[1] ever recorded. Therefore he himself included it in his works and very sensibly made not the slightest correction, merely explaining the date and circumstances of its composition. *Wat Tyler* remains most cheerful reading. It is a short drama in verse of three acts only, and of, perhaps, some eight or nine hundred lines. If its actual authorship and circumstances were not known, a good critic might take it for a deliberate and very happy parody of the cruder and more innocent utterances of sentimental republicanism. Wat and his fellows clothe these utterances in the well-known theatrical lingo of the time; and arrange them in unexceptionable, if slightly uninspired, blank verse. For an intelligent and educated audience, the thing might still make a most laughable "curtain-raiser" or afterpiece, more particularly as its fustian fallacies are of a kind constantly revived. But, as a serious composition, it is not, and could not be, of the very slightest value. It remained, however, as has been said, unknown for all but a quarter of a century; but, at the same time, and, indeed, earlier, the author had been busy on an epic, *Joan of Arc*, which appeared in 1795, was received with something like enthusiasm and, by actually passing through five editions, showed the nascent taste which was to grow to the advantage of Scott and Byron. Southey altered it a good deal, and, little as he was disposed to undervalue his own work, always acknowledged its "great and numerous faults." It is doubtful, however, whether he ever saw, or would have acknowledged if it had been pointed out to him, the most fatal fault of all—a fault shared by most—fortunately not by all—of his longer poems that followed. That fault is the adoption of blank verse for a long narrative poem, a proceeding which nobody, save Milton and Tennyson, has ever carried out

[1] Lord Eldon held that, as it was a mischievous work and contrary to the public welfare, there could not be any property in it—and, consequently, no means of stopping the mischief and the public danger.

successfully, while Tennyson himself, and others who have come near success, have usually broken up the single narrative into a cluster of shorter pieces.

For, to achieve such success, the verse must have qualities of its own, like those of Milton or Tennyson, which are almost independent of the subject, and which reinforce its interest to such an extent that the reader never thinks of saying "A good story; but it would have been better in prose." Some readers certainly, do say this, not merely in reference to *Joan*, but to *Madoc* and *Roderick*. Southey's blank verse is, indeed, never bad; but it also never, or in the rarest possible instances, has this intrinsic character; and it is a remarkable instance of the almost invariable soundness of his general critical principles, however the *de te fabula* may have sometimes escaped him, that he expressly recognised[1] "the great difficulties of the measure, and its disadvantages in always exposing the weak parts" of a long poem.

During the time when he was loyally endeavouring to repay his uncle's kindness by adopting some profession, he partly suspended his "long-poem" writing. But, in the last years of the century, he produced many smaller pieces, generally good, sometimes all but consummate and really important to history. There is still rubbish: many of those poems on the slave trade which have gone some way towards avenging the poor African by the boredom if not anguish which they have inflicted on the white brethren of his oppressors; *Botany Bay Eclogues* (but, indeed, these were earlier and contemporary with *Wat Tyler*), the much ridiculed, and, no doubt, wrongly constructed, sapphics and dactylics, which reflect the same temper. But, especially during his sojourn at Westbury, near Bristol, he also wrote lyrics and ballads of very much greater value. Here, in 1789, was composed that admirable *Holly-Tree* which softened even Hazlitt, and which with *My days among the Dead are passed*, twenty years later, shows Southey at his very best both as a poet and as a man.

But the most important productions of this time, if not the best, were the *Ballads*. Most of the best of these were written between 1796 and 1798; and, although none of them possesses anything like the poetical power of *The Ancient Mariner*, it is

[1] *Letters*, vol. II, p. 354.

nearly certain that Southey preceded Coleridge in his appreciation and practice of the ballad principle of anapaestic equivalence in mainly iambic measures, though he may have followed others, from Anstey down to Lewis, in adopting the pure anapaest. From another point of view, he deserves the credit of blending the spirit of the then popular terror-novel with touches of humour, so as to produce the effect for which there is, perhaps, no single word except the French *macabre*. This, which was afterwards pushed still further by Hood, Praed and Barham, has provided English with a sort of hybrid style, capable of easy degeneration in various ways, but, at its best, almost peculiar and quite delectable. Southey himself was sometimes content with the mere singsong of the eighteenth century ballad, and sometimes overstepped the treacherous line which keeps ghastly humour from bad taste. But, in divers instances, such as *The Cross Roads*, *Bishop Hatto* and the famous *Old Woman of Berkeley*, he has hit the white; while, in less mixed modes, *The Well of St. Keyne*, *The Inchcape Rock*, the almost famous *Battle of Blenheim* and, perhaps, *Queen Orraca* should be added to his tale of complete successes. From the point of view of form, they had a most powerful influence in loosening the bonds of eighteenth century metre; and, from that of combined form and matter, they exercised the same influence more widely. It ought never to be forgotten, though it too often is, that Southey was particularly influential in the days when better poets of his own age were still forming themselves and when other better poets, younger as well as better, had not produced anything.

Yet, all this was itself the work of a very young man; in the earlier cases, of a mere boy; and, when Southey returned to the long poem with *Thalaba* (1801, but very long in hand), he was only six- or seven-and-twenty. But this was not only by far the most ambitious, it was, also, though less important and much less well inspired than the *Ballads*, the most audaciously experimental of the work he had yet tried. Rimeless metres outside the regular blank verse were, of course, not absolutely novel in English. Campion had tried them and gone near to beauty two centuries earlier; Collins had tried them in the last generation and gone nearer; just before Southey himself and Frank Sayers (*v. inf.*) had used them on a larger scale. But

nobody had adventured a really long poem in them. Southey did, and with the same remarkable appreciation of metrical theory as well as practice which he had shown in the ballad case. The great danger of unrimed verse in English is that (from that natural tendency of the language which showed itself as early as Chaucer's prose) it will fall into more or less complete and continuous iambic decasyllables, unless it is arranged, either into somewhat un-English line-moulds as it had been by Campion, or into very definitely marked and identical stanzas, as it had been by Collins—with the result, in both cases, of a monotony which would be intolerable in a long poem. Sayers had notoriously fallen into the trap, as have, since, Matthew Arnold and W. E. Henley. Southey, with his eyes open to it, determined that he would avoid it, and he did. *Thalaba*, though not quickly admired, was much liked by good wits of his own generation, and not without reason. The story is by no means uninteresting and, if not exactly the characters, the situations are good. There are far finer passages in it than in *Joan of Arc*; indeed, some of the incidents, and more of the descriptions, are really poetical. But the unfamiliarity and aloofness of the whole thing are not carried off by the *diable au corps of Vathek* or the sheer story interest of *The Arabian Nights* themselves; and the unrimed versification perpetually harasses and hampers the reader as something, perhaps, admirable, but, somehow, not enjoyable—in other words, as a disappointment and a mistake.

Besides *Joan of Arc* and the *Minor Poems* written before and during the Westbury sojourn, Southey, in 1794, had collaborated with Coleridge in the worthless *Fall of Robespierre*, and with his other brother-in-law, Lovell, in a small collection of lesser verse. He had also issued the first of his many volumes of prose as *Letters from Spain and Portugal* (1797). This, without *Wat Tyler*, then unpublished, but with *Thalaba*, made more than half a dozen volumes in hardly more than as many years. But a longer gap occurred—one, indeed, of four years—till, though he did not quite know it, he had settled down at Keswick, and started on the career which was only to close with his death, and to leave plentiful matter for posthumous publication. In 1805, however, he reappeared with two volumes of verse—*Metrical Tales* and *Madoc*. The former contained

not a little of the nondescript, but acceptable, work above described; the latter, which had been many years on the stocks, was introduced with a flourish ("Come, for ye know me! I am he who sung"), warranted by classical precedents rather than in accordance with the modesty expected from English poets. Although, like *Thalaba*, it sold very slowly and disappointed the hopes which the reception of the far inferior *Joan of Arc* had raised in its author, it was very much admired by no common judges; and there are, I believe, one or two among the now infrequent readers of Southey who rank it highly. To others, the peculiar curse referred to above seems to rest on it. The adventures of the son of Owen Gwyneth in his own land and in Mexico are neither uninteresting nor ill-told. But some rebellious minds cannot away with the vehicle of telling—

> This is the day when in a foreign grave
> King Owen's relics shall be laid to rest—

and are wholly unable to perceive anything in it to be desired above "This is the day when King Owen's relics shall be laid to rest in a foreign grave."

There can, however, be no doubt that *Madoc* greatly raised Southey's position as a poet; for Scott was only beginning, the world would not have anything of Wordsworth's, Coleridge was silent and the greater, younger poets had not begun. In the next seven or eight years before his appointment to the laureateship in 1813, he produced his very best works, in verse and prose respectively, *The Curse of Kehama* and *The Life of Nelson;* he joined (1809) *The Quarterly Review*, which was almost his main source of income for the rest of his life (though, for a very few years, he drew considerable sums from Ballantyne's *Annual Register*); he began the mightiest of all his works, *The History of Brazil* (1810–19), originally planned as merely a part of a still huger *History of Portugal*, and (besides revising the old translations of *Amadis* and *Palmerin* and executing the charming one of *The Chronicle of the Cid*) he wrote two popular miscellanies, as they may be termed, *The Letters of Espriella* (1807) and *Omniana* (1812).

As a historian and reviewer, Southey may be considered here generally; some remarks on the two lighter books may

follow; but *Kehama* and the *Nelson* cannot be left without separate notice.

If almost the widest possible reading, a keen curiosity and interest in the things both of life[1] and literature, common-sense tempered by humour, unwearying application, a disposition, if with some foibles and prejudices, on the whole singularly equable and amiable and an altogether admirable style, could make a good historian and a good reviewer, Southey ought to have been one of the very best of both classes. It would, perhaps, be too much to say that he actually was. In history, he was apt to attack too large subjects, and to exhibit, in dealing with them, a certain absence of that indefinable grasp of his subject which the historian requires in order to grasp his reader. Episodes, as in the later *Expedition of Orsua* (1821), or short statements, as in *Nelson* itself, he could manage admirably; and, for this reason, his reviews are much better than his histories, though it is not easy to judge the former exhaustively, since they have never been collected and are believed to be, in some cases, impossible of identification. But the magisterial style which the early *Reviews* affected (though he himself sometimes protested against it) was rather a snare to Southey, and it cannot be said that his best work is there.

The two productions of a lighter character mentioned above deserve a place on that shelf or in that case of books for occasional reading with which the wise man should always provide himself. Southey's earlier *Letters from Spain and Portugal* were written before he had thoroughly mastered his own inimitable style: but those, two years later, "from England," assigned to an imaginary young Spaniard Don Manuel Alvarez Espriella, are much better. They belong to a well-known class, and, no doubt, cannot compete with the work of such masters in that class as Montesquieu or Goldsmith. But they contain, perhaps, a more accurate picture of English ways in the very beginning of the nineteenth century than exists anywhere else, as well as some curiosities, such as the accounts of Brothers and Joanna Southcott. *Omniana* has interest of a different kind or kinds. It is not (as it has been sometimes pronounced

[1] His observed knowledge of human nature was extraordinary. The wonderful and should-be famous letter about Hartley Coleridge as a child is the master document of this; but there are hundreds of others.

to be) a mere commonplace-book: it is a commonplace-book made original. The enormous store of reading which supplied the posthumous *Commonplace Books* of the author, and which was more substantively utilised in *The Doctor*, does, indeed, supply the texts; but, for the most part, if not always, these are retold or, at least, commented on in that author's own words. An additional piquancy undoubtedly lies in the fact that Coleridge undertook to be, and, to a small extent, was, a contributor; though, as usual, he defaulted save to that small extent. To anyone who reads the book for a first time, or even for a second or a third, at an interval long enough to allow him to forget the exact whereabouts or subjects of Coleridge's contributions, it is no small amusement to stumble on the Estesian "proofs." No prose can be pleasanter to read or more suitable to its wide range of subjects than Southey's; but, when you come to such a sentence as "A bull consists in a mental juxtaposition of incongruous ideas with the sensation but without the sense of connection" you know that another than Southey has been there.

It might not be a bad question from the point of view of the arrest of hasty criticism: "What rank would you have accorded to Southey as a poet, if he had left no long poem but the best parts of *Thalaba* and *The Curse of Kehama*, and no short ones but the half-dozen ballads and lyrics noticed above?" It is difficult to see how even the positive verdict could have been anything but a very high estimate indeed; while nine critics out of ten would probably have added that "If Southey had been permitted or had cared to pursue poetry further, there is no knowing, etc." In almost all respects but one, *Kehama* is invulnerable. The verse stanzas of the *Thalaba* kind, but longer, more varied and rimed, are extremely effective. The story, in itself, is interesting and well managed; the conclusion is positively dramatic; the characters have at least epic, if not dramatic, sufficiency. As for pure poetry of execution, anybody who denies this to the curse itself, to Landor's favourite picture of the "gem-lighted city" and to a dozen other passages, is either blind by nature or has made himself so by prejudice. But the one excepted point remains—the injudicious choice of subject and the attempt to make it more acceptable by a mass of quasi-learned notes. It is said by Englishmen who have

taught orientals that, to them, if you can elicit their genuine feeling, western romance, especially of the supernatural kind, appears simply absurd—the most passionate passages evoking shouts of laughter. It is certain that, except in the rarest cases and under the most skilful treatment, Hindu romance, especially of the supernatural kind, has, to western readers, an element not so much of absurdity as of extravagance and boredom which it is possible for very few to get over. That, and that only, is the weak point of *The Curse of Kehama*.

It is not easy to say anything new about *The Life of Nelson*; in fact, it would be impossible to do so without availing oneself of mere rhetoric or mere paradox epigram, both of which are absolutely foreign to the book itself. The *Life* established itself if not immediately, very soon, as, perhaps, the best short biography of a plain and straightforward kind in the English language; it has held that position almost unchallenged till a very recent period; and it may be said, without offence, that the charges since brought against it have certainly not weakened, if they have not even positively strengthened, its position. For, all that anyone has been able to make good against Southey is that he was not in possession of all the documents on the subject; that he was not a professional seaman or strategist; and that, on some disputed points of fact or opinion, it is possible to hold views different from his. What has not been shown and, it may be said without fear, cannot be shown, is that the most abundant technical knowledge of naval, or the most recondite study of military, affairs could have bettered such a book as this; that the points of disputed opinion cannot possibly be accepted as Southey accepts them; or that material advantage could have been obtained for such a book as this from the documents that could not be consulted. The specification of it might be put, after Aristotelian fashion, thus: "A short, clear, well written narrative displaying Nelson's acts and showing forth his character, with all necessary accuracy of fact, with sympathy not too partial or indiscriminate, in such a manner as to make the thing for ever a record of heroism and patriotism in the past, and a stimulus to them in the future." The great majority of competent judges, some of them by no means inclining to Southey's way of thought in political or other senses, has unhesitatingly declared the material part of

this specification to be amply achieved. As for the formal or literary part, there never has been even one such judgment which has failed to pronounce *The Life of Nelson* such a model of the more modern "middle style," with capacities of rising to something grander, as hardly exists elsewhere. The scale saved the writer from his own fatal fancy for quartos, and from the opportunities of prolixity and divagation which quartos bring with them; his own patriotism, in which he was the equal of Chatham or of Nelson himself, gave the necessary inspiration; his unwearied industry made him master of details even to the extent of avoiding any serious technical blunders; and those quaint flashes of the old Jacobinism which have been noticed occur just often enough to prevent the book from having the air of a mere partisan pamphlet. These things, with Southey's own sauce of style, were enough to give us a somewhat larger and more important *Agricola;* and we have it here.

From the time of the publication of *Nelson*, which was also that of Southey's laureation, he had thirty years of life allowed him, and at least five-and-twenty of life in full possession of his faculties. During the whole of this last-named period, he worked in the portentous fashion more than once described in his letters, practically taking up the whole of his time from waking to sleeping, except that allotted to meals (but often encroached upon) and to a little exercise. This work was by no means, as it has been absurdly described, "compiling and translating from the Spanish," but its results cannot be very fully commented on here. His *Quarterly* reviewing was, fortunately (for it provided his main income), continuous: and, after a time, was very well paid, the regular "ten guineas a sheet" passing into comfortable lump sums of fifties and hundreds. But he never fully reconciled himself to it; and there were unpleasant misunderstandings about the editorship in the interregnum between Gifford's and Lockhart's. The task-work of the laureateship (of which, in accepting it, he had thought himself relieved, but which continued for, at any rate, some years) he hated still more, but discharged with almost too great conscientiousness, the chief results being the unluckily named *Lay of the Laureate* on princess Charlotte's wedding, and the unluckily composed *Vision of Judgment* on George III's death. As to the latter, it is enough to caution the unwary

against concluding from the undoubted cleverness of Byron's parody-attack, that Southey's original is worthless. The English hexameters may be a mistake, but they are about the best of their special pattern of that probably hopeless form; and the substance, though displaying, occasionally, the want of tact which now and then beset the author, is, sometimes, very far from contemptible. But the occasions when Pegasus has shown his true form in official harness are, as is too well known, of the rarest; and Southey's work does not furnish one of the exceptions.

To complete the notice of his poetry: in 1814, he had published another long poem which, as was usual with him, had been on the stocks for a great while, had been much altered and more than once renamed. It appeared, finally, as *Roderick the last of the Goths* and is probably the best of his blank verse epics, but does not quite escape the curse above mentioned. *The Poet's Pilgrimage to Waterloo* is not in blank verse; but here, also, especially after reading his pleasant letters on the journey and the home-coming, the old question may be asked. He was, even at this time, beginning two other pieces of some length —*A tale of Paraguay*, which appeared ten years later, in 1825, and which is of good quality, and *Oliver Newman*, which was only posthumously published, and adds little to his fame. Had he, in fact, produced much great poetry in the hardly existing intervals of his task-work in prose, he would have been unlike any poet of whom time leaves record. But a few of his smaller pieces, especially that admirable one noticed above and written (1818) in his library, are poetry still. The last independent volume of verse which he issued was *All for Love* (1829); but he collected the whole of his poems published earlier, in ten volumes (1837–8), almost at the close of his working life.

The prose itself gave frequent nourishing and invigorating crops, if nothing of the rarest fruit. *The Life of John Wesley* (1821) is not much inferior to that of *Nelson:* the differences are chiefly that it has a less interesting subject and is longer. *The History of the Peninsular War* (1823–32)—second of the big histories on which he spent and, indeed, wasted much time—failed of success, as was common with him, partly by his own fault, but much more by his ill-luck. It was his fault that he set himself against the duke of Wellington's wishes with that

supererogatory conscientiousness which was one of his main failings, and thus lost an almost indispensable support; it was his misfortune that, owing to the pressure of bread-winning work, it was not finished till after the appearance of Napier's much more brilliant and professional, though, perhaps, not altogether trustworthy, book. But it is much to be regretted that, in place of this, we have not a *Life* of George Fox and one of Warren Hastings, on which according to his wont, he wasted much time in preparation, and which would almost certainly have been very good.

The same mixture of fault and fate from the first beset some more original productions of the same period—*The Book of the Church* (1822), *Vindiciae Ecclesiae Anglicanae* (1826), *Colloquies* (1828), rather unfairly described in Macaulay's essay, and *Essays Moral and Political* (1834), part of which was Rickman's work. All were quite admirably written, as, indeed, Macaulay himself confesses, *Colloquies* especially containing passages of almost consummate execution; and the caution above given as to Byron may be repeated in reference to their matter. But Southey's defects as a political writer have been frankly acknowledged already, and he suffered from the same defects, or others like them, in matters ecclesiastical. He had entirely got over his early unorthodoxy, here, also, on important points; but, even in his orthodoxy, there was a good deal of private misjudgment; and he carried the disapproval of Roman catholicism, and of all forms of protestant dissent, which, when held and expressed moderately, is logically incumbent on an Anglican, to fantastic and extravagant lengths. Fortunately, these things were succeeded in his last decade, while it was yet time—not merely by an edition of Cowper, which, though prevented by insuperable obstacles from being quite complete, is, in the circumstances, a most remarkable example of combined industry and judgment, but, also, by two original works: one, *The Lives of the Admirals*, which has been almost universally admitted to contain delightful matter, admirably told, and another, almost an *opus maximum*, which has not been so fortunate.

Few books, indeed, have been the subjects of more different judgments than Southey's last, unfinished and, indeed, unfinishable work *The Doctor*, in seven volumes (1837–47), part

being posthumous. It has been pronounced by some to be actually delightful and by others to be intolerably dull. An impartial, experienced and acute thirdsman, even without knowing the book, would, in such a case, perceive easily enough that there must be something in it which appeals strongly to one taste or set of tastes and does not appeal to, or actually revolts, another. Yet, inasmuch as the tastes and appreciations to which *The Doctor* appeals are positive, and those to which it does not appeal are negative, it seems that the admirers have the most to say for themselves. The book has been called "a novel," which it certainly is not; "a commonplace-book" pure and simple, which it, as certainly, is not; and "a miscellany," which it, as certainly, is. But the last description is, perhaps, as inadequate as the two former are incorrect. To speak with critical accuracy, materials of the most apparently heterogeneous sort, derived from the author's vast reading, are in it digested into a series, as it were, of articles, the succession of which is not without a certain contiguity of subject between each pair or batch, while the whole is loosely strung on a thread, now thicker now thinner, of personal narrative. This last history, of Dr. Daniel Dove of Doncaster and his horse Nobs, seems, originally, to have been a sprout of Coleridge's brain; but, if it ever had, as such, any beginning, middle or end, they are certainly not recorded or retained in any regular fashion here. The extraction, early and later homes, marriage, horse-ownership and other circumstances of the titular hero serve as starting-points for enormous, though often very ingeniously connected, divagations which display the author's varied interests, his quaint humour and his unparalleled reading. To a person who wants a recognisable specimen of a recognised department of literature; to one, who, if not averse from humour, altogether abhors that nonsense-humour which Southey loved, and which his enemy Hazlitt valiantly championed as specially English; to anyone who does not take any interest in literary *quodlibeta*, *The Doctor* must be a dull book, and may be a disgusting one. To readers differently disposed and equipped, it cannot but be delightful. Attempts have sometimes been made at compromise, by excepting from condemnation, not merely the famous *Story of the Three Bears*, but the beautiful descriptions of the Yorkshire dales, the history of

the cats of Greta hall and other things. But the fact is that, to anybody really qualified to appreciate it, there is hardly a page of *The Doctor* which is not delightful.

To understand, not merely this his last book, but Southey himself, it is expedient and almost necessary that the immense mass of his letters (even as it is, but partially published) should be perused; and any reader who is not daunted by mere bulk may be assured of agreeable, as well as profitable, reading. Neither his son's collection, in six volumes, nor his son-in-law's, in four, (somewhat more fully and freely given) is very judiciously edited, and there is, in the latter especially, considerable duplication; but those to his second wife were more fortunate, and, from the three collections, with very little trouble, the man, and a very different man from some conceptions of him, becomes cl ar.[1] Coleridge's ingeniously epigrammatic and rather ill-naturedly humble remark "I think too much to be a poet: he [Southey] too little to be a great poet" has a certain truth, though one might retort that thinking too much neither prevented *The Ancient Mariner* and *Kubla Khan* or *Christabel* from being great poetry nor, indeed, makes any particular appearance in them.[2] Except in the moral line, Southey was not a philosopher: but neither was he the commonplace Philistine that he is often thought to have been. Like some other men, he obtained the desires of his heart—family life and a life of letters—only to find that the gods seldom fail to condition their gifts, if not exactly with curses, with taxes and fees like those over which he groaned in reference to his earthly pensions. There are evidences in his letters not merely of deep sentiment but even of a tendency to imaginative speculation; but neither was "in the day's work," and so he choked the former down with stoicism, the second with common sense. In such an unbroken debauch of labour as that to which he subjected himself, it is marvellous that he should have done such things as he did. And most marvellous of all is his style,

[1] It is unfortunate that only scraps, though very amusing and acute scraps, from the letters of his principal correspondent, Grosvenor Bedford, have been published. Those of another remarkable friend, Rickman, have been very recently drawn upon for publication.

[2] It is fair to Coleridge to say that his acknowledgment of Southey's superiority as a prose-writer was unqualified.

which—not, as has been said, quite attained at first—was very soon reached, and which, in all but fifty years of incessant and exorbitant practice, never became slipshod or threadbare or wanting in vitality.

Therefore, whatever may be his shortcomings, or, to put it more exactly, his want of supremacy, it must be a strangely limited history of English literature in which a high position is not allowed to Southey. For, in the first place, as must be once more repeated, he has actual supremacy in one particular department and period of English prose style. It is difficult to imagine any future time, at which his best and most characteristic, though least mannered, achievements in this way can ever become obsolete—precisely because of their lack of mannerism. And this must be credited to him as a pure gift of individual genius, though he stands in the race and lineage of a perhaps still greater writer of his own class, as to whom more presently. For this extraordinary combination of clearness and ease will not come by observation, or even by reading the fourteen thousand books which constituted Southey's library. Such a polyhistor, for variety, for excellence of matter and for excellence of form, it may be doubted whether any other language possesses.

If not quite such high praise can be given to his verse, it is not in regard to form that he fails. On the contrary, there are strong reasons for assigning to him the first clear perception of the secret of that prosodic language which almost everybody was to practise in Southey's own time and ever since. Whether, in actual date, his early ballads preceded *The Ancient Mariner* and the first part of *Christabel* in the use of substitution, it may be difficult to decide absolutely; though, even here, the precedence seems to be his. But, what is absolutely certain is that his formulation of the principle in a letter to Wynn is twenty years earlier in time than Coleridge's in the preface to the published *Christabel* and very much more accurate in statement. There are many other references to *res metrica* in his work, and it is a curious addition to the losses which the subject suffered by the non-completion of Jonson's and Dryden's promised treatises, that Guest's *English Rhythms*, which was actually sent to him for review, reached him too late for the treatment which he, also, designed. And, in general criticism,

though his estimate of individual work was sometimes (not often) coloured by prejudice, he was very often extraordinarily original and sound. For a special instance, his singling out of Blake's "Mad Song" may serve; for a general, the fact that, as early as 1801, he called attention[1] to the fact that

> there exists no tale of romance that does not betray gross and unpardonable ignorance of the habits of feeling and thought prevalent at the time and in the scene,

thereby hitting the very blot which spoils nearly all the novel-writing of the time, and which was first avoided by Scott, much later.

To those who have been able to acquire something of what has been called "a horizontal view" of literature—a thing even better, perhaps, than the more famous "Pisgah sight," inasmuch as the slightly deceptive perspective of distance is removed, and the things pass in procession or panorama before the eye—there are, with, of course, some striking differences, more striking resemblances in the literary character and the literary fates of Southey and Dryden. The comparison may, at first sight, be exclaimed against, and some of its most obvious features—such as the charges of tergiversation brought against both—are not worth dwelling on. But there are others which will come out and remain out, all the more clearly the longer they are studied. The polyhistoric or professional man-of-letters character of both, though equally obvious, is not equally trivial. Both had a singularly interchangeable command of the two harmonies of verse and prose; and, in the case of no third writer is it so difficult to attach any "ticket" to the peculiar qualities which have placed the prose style of each among the most perfect in the plain kind that is known to English. Their verse, when compared with that of the greater poets of their own time—Milton in the one case, half a dozen from Coleridge to Keats in the other—has been accused, and can hardly be cleared, of a certain want of poetical quintessence. Dryden, indeed, was as much Southey's superior intellectually as, perhaps, he was morally his inferior: and, neither as poet nor as prose writer, has the later of the pair any single productions to put forward as rivals to *An Essay of Dramatick Poesie, All*

[1] *Letters*, vol. I, p. 173.

for Love, the great satires, the best parts of the *Prefaces*, and the best *Fables*. He will, therefore, perhaps, never recover, as Dryden, to a great extent, has recovered, from the neglect which lay upon him from about 1830 to about 1880. In regard to Southey, this attitude was begun, not by Byron or Hazlitt or his other contemporary detractors—who really held him very high as a writer, though they might dislike him in other ways—but by the more extreme romantics of a younger generation, and by persons like Emerson. That it will be wholly removed, or removed to the same extent as the neglect of Dryden has been, would, perhaps, be too much to expect. But there is still much that should and can be done in the way of altering or lessening it; and a sign or two of willingness to help in the work, has, perhaps, recently[1] been noticeable.

Lesser Poets of the Later Eighteenth Century

It has been thought proper to group, round or under Southey, like gunboats under the wings of a "mother" frigate, certain lesser poets of the mid- and later eighteenth century, notice of whom may continue that given to others of their kidney in previous volumes. It would, indeed, be possible, without very extravagant fancifulness, or wire-drawing, to make out more than an accidental or arbitrary connection between him and at least some of them. For, beyond all doubt, he was much indebted to Anstey for patterns of light anapaestic verse, and more so to Sayers for an example of rimelessness. Long before he knew Coleridge, he, also, felt that curious influence of Bowles's *Sonnets* which supplies one main historical vindication and reason for existence to minor poetry. Hayley was his friend and Merry his acquaintance. His connection with Hanbury Williams is, indeed, a sort of "back-handed" one; for he tells us that he had refused, twenty years before its actual appearance, to edit the existing collection of Williams's *Poems*, disapproving of their contents; and this disapproval would certainly have extended, perhaps in a stronger form, to

[1] It is, however, a rather unfortunate revenge of the whirligig of time that, while Southey's, detractors, in his own day, usually made him out to be a very bad man of genius, some of his rehabilitators seem to see in him a very good man of no genius at all.

Hall Stevenson. But these are points which need no labouring. Moreover, which is strictly to the purpose, he was himself all his life distinguished by a catholic and kindly taste which he showed not only to minorities of his own time from Kirke White downwards, but in collecting three agreeable volumes,[1] of seventeenth and eighteenth century writers to follow Ellis's *Specimens*. These volumes may still, in no unpleasant fashion, revive half-forgotten memories of Amhurst and Boyse and Croxall, of Fawkes and Woty and William Thompson, while they may suggest once more, if, perhaps, in vain, the removal of more absolute forgetfulness if not original ignorance, in the cases of Constantia Grierson and Mary Leapor, of Moses Mendez and Samuel Bellamy.

For such as these last, however, only a chronicle planned on the scale of *L'Histoire Littéraire de la France* and destined to be finished, if ever, in a millennium, could well find room. We may notice here Anstey, Hanbury Williams and Hall Stevenson among writers distinctly earlier than Southey; Darwin, Hayley, the Della Cruscans, Bowles, Sayers and one or two more among his actual contemporaries, older and younger.

The three lighter members of the group, Anstey, Stevenson and Hanbury Williams, were by far the eldest: if Williams had not died prematurely, he would have been a man of over sixty at Southey's birth, and, though Anstey lived to the year of *Madoc*, he was fifty when Southey was born. All three, in a manner, were survivals of the school of sarcastic and social verse which had been founded by Prior and Swift, and taken up by Gay. Nor did Anstey, though his verse is somewhat "freer" than taste has permitted for nearly a century, exceed limits quite ordinary in his own day. He is remarkable as being, in poetry, a "single-speech" writer, that is to say as having, like Hamilton himself, by no means confined himself to a single utterance, but as having never achieved any other that was of even the slightest value. An Etonian and a Cambridge man of some scholarship; a squire, a sportsman and a member of parliament, Anstey, in 1766, produced the famous *New Bath Guide*, a series of verse letters, mainly in anapaests

[1] To himself, they gave a good deal of trouble—as usual, because he had thought to spare himself some by devolving part of the work on Grosvenor Bedford. He never did it again.

of the Prior type, which at once became popular, and which still stands pre-eminent, not merely among the abundant literature which Bath has produced or instigated, for good humour, vivid painting of manners, facile and well-adapted versification, and fun which need not be too broad for any but a very narrow mind. Anstey lived, chiefly in the city of which he had made himself the laureate, for forty years, and wrote much, but, as has been said, produced nothing of worth after this history of "The Bl[u]nd[e]rh[ea]d Family" and their adventures.

A charitable epigrammatist has divided "loose" writers of any merit at all into those who sometimes follow the amusing across the border of the indecent and those who, in the quest of the indecent, sometimes hit upon the amusing. If Anstey deserves the indulgence of the former class, Hanbury Williams and Hall Stevenson must, it is feared, be condemned to, and by, the latter. It is true that, in Williams's case, some doubt has been thrown on the authorship of the grossest pieces attributed to him, and that most other things recorded of him—except a suspected showing of the white feather—are rather favourable. He appears, both in Horace Walpole's letters and in Chesterfield's, as a man extremely good-natured and unwearied in serving his friends. It is certain, however, that the suicide which terminated his life was preceded, and probably caused, by a succession of attacks of mental disease; and, in some of the coarsest work assigned to him in the singularly uncritical hodgepodge of his *Works*, a little critical kindness may trace that purely morbid fondness for foulness which mental disease often, if not always, brings with it. On the whole, however, Williams's asperity and his indecency have both been exaggerated. He took part ardently on the side of Sir Robert in the "great Walpolian battle" and was never weary of lampooning Pulteney. But his most famous "skits"—those on Isabella, duchess of Manchester, and her way of spending her morning and her subsequent marriage to the Irishman Hussey—are neither very virulent nor very "improper." The fault of Williams's political and social verse is a want of concentration and finish. In these points, the notes which his editor (Lord Holland?) gathered from Horace Walpole in prose are frequently far superior to the verse they illustrate.

But the verse itself is full of flashes and phrases, some of which have slipped into general use, and many of which are far superior to their context. Compared with the brilliant political verse, first on the whig, then on the tory, side, of the last twenty years of the century, Sir Charles is pointless and dull; but, in himself, to anyone with a fair knowledge of the politics and persons of the time, he is far from unamusing. Sometimes, also, he could (if the *Ballad in Imitation of Martial*, "Dear Betty come give me sweet kisses," written on Lord and Lady Ilchester, be his) be quite good-natured, quite clean and almost as graceful as Prior or Martial himself.

The notorious John Hall Stevenson, Sterne's Eugenius, master of "Crazy Castle" and author of *Crazy Tales*, had, beyond all doubt, greater intellectual ability than Williams; and, though eccentric in some ways, was neither open to the charge, nor entitled to plead the excuse, of insanity. He wrote a good deal of verse—much of it extremely slovenly in form, though, every now and then—as in the lines on Zachary Moore, the description of the Cleveland deserts at the back of his house and of the house itself and some others—showing a definite poetical power, which was far above Sir Charles. But the bulk of his work consists either of political squibs largely devoted to abuse of Bute (*Fables for Grown Gentlemen*, *Makarony Tales*, etc.) or of the "Crazy" compositions above referred to. The former, for a man of such well-authenticated wit as Stevenson, are singularly verbose, desultory and dull. If anyone has derived his ideas of what political satire ought to be, say, from Dryden in an earlier, and Canning in a later, age, he will be woefully disappointed with *A Pastoral Cordial* and *A Pastoral Puke*, which, between them, fill eighty or ninety mortal pages, and contain hardly a line that could cheer a friend or gall an enemy. A very few purely miscellaneous pieces like the lines to "the Pumproom Naiad," Polly Lawrence of Bath, show, once more, that, if Stevenson had chosen to be good-natured and clean, he might have been a very pleasant poet. As for *Crazy Tales*, some of them are actual French *fabliaux* of the coarser kind translated or adapted, and the rest are imitations of the same style. It would be unfair to bring up La Fontaine against them; but anyone who knows,

say, the nearly contemporary *gauloiseries* of Chamfort—himself neither the most amiable, nor the cleanest minded, nor the most poetical of men — will find English at a painful disadvantage in the prosaic brutality of too much of Stevenson's work. He, sometimes, succeeds even here in being amusing; but, much more often, he only succeeds in proving that, if the use of proper words will not by itself produce wisdom, the use of improper ones will still less by itself produce wit.

Who now reads Erasmus Darwin? Yet he pleased both Horace Walpole and William Cowper, his verses were called by the latter "strong, learned and sweet," and by the former "sublime," "charming," "enchanting," "gorgeous," "beautiful" and "most poetic." It is idle to assign Darwin's poetic extinction to Canning's parody, admirable as that is, for, if there is one critical axiom universally endorsed by good critics of all ages, schools and principles, it is that parody cannot kill—that it cannot even harm—anything that has not the seeds of death and decay in itself. The fact is that Darwin, with a fatal, and, as if metaphysically aided, certainty, evolved from the eighteenth century couplet poetry all its worst features, and set them in so glaring a light that only those still under the actual spell could fail to perceive their deformity. Unsuitableness of subject; rhetorical extravagance and, at the same time, convention of phrase; otiose and padding epithet; monotonously cadenced verse; every fault of the mere imitators of Pope in poetry, Darwin mustered in *The Botanic Garden*, and especially in its constituent *The Loves of the Plants*. It is true, but it is also vain, to say that the subject, in itself, is interesting and positively valuable; that the rhetoric, the phraseology, the effort, are all very craftsmanlike examples of crafts bad in themselves. The very merits of the effort are faults as and where they are; and it has none of the faults which, in true poetry, are not seldom merits. Although one would not lose *The Loves of the Triangles* for anything, it is superfluous as a mere parody. *The Loves of the Plants* is a parody in itself and of itself, as well as of the whole school of verse which it crowned and crushed. Time is not likely to destroy, and may rather increase, the credit due to Darwin's scientific pioneership:

its whirligig is never likely to restore the faintest genuine taste for his pseudo-poetry.

For Darwin's *opus*, however, one cannot, though it may, at first sight, seem inconsistent to say so, feel actual contempt. It is simply a huge, and, from one point of view, a ludicrous, but still a respectable, and, from another point of view, almost lamentable, mistake. The works of Hayley, the other great idol of the decadence of eighteenth century poetry, are contemptible. *The Loves of the Plants* is not exactly silly. *The Triumph of Temper* is. That puerility and anility which were presently to find, for the time, final expression in the Della Cruscan school, displayed themselves in Hayley with less extravagance, with less sentimentality and with less hopelessly bad taste than the revolutionary school were to impart, but still unmistakably. Hayley himself, as his conduct to Cowper and to Blake shows, was a man of kindly feelings; indeed, everybody seems to have liked him. He was something of a scholar, or, at the worst, a fairly well-read man. His interests were various and respectable. But, as a poet, he is impossible. Southey, in deprecating one of Coleridge's innumerable projects—a general criticism of contemporaries (which would certainly, if we may judge from the well-known review of Maturin's *Bertram* in *Biographia*, have been a field of garments rolled in blood)—specified Hayley as a certain, but half-innocent victim, urging that "there is nothing bad about the man except his poetry." Unfortunately, on the present occasion, nothing about the man concerns us except his poetry; and the badness, or, at least, the nullity, of that it is impossible to exaggerate. A fair line may be found here and there; a fair stanza or passage hardly ever; a good, or even a fair poem, never.

For the nadir of the art, however—which, as if to justify divers sayings, was reached just before the close of the eighteenth century, and just before those ascents to the zenith which illustrated its actual end, and the early nineteenth—one must go beyond Darwin, beyond even Hayley, to Robert Merry and those about him—to the school commonly called the Della Cruscans, from the famous Florentine academy to which Merry actually belonged, and the title of which he took as signature.

Darwin, as has been said, is a pattern of mistaken elaborateness, and Hayley one of well-intentioned nullity. But Darwin was not imbecile; and Hayley was not, or not very, pretentious. The school just referred to was preceded in its characteristics by some earlier work, such as that of Helen Maria Williams and Sir James Bland Burges (later Sir James Lamb). But, in itself, it united pretentiousness and imbecility after a fashion not easy to parallel elsewhere; and was, inadequately, rather than excessively, chastised in the satires of Gifford and Mathias. It does not appear that all its members were, personally, absolute fools. Merry himself is credited by Southey and others with a sort of irregular touch of genius: and "Anna Matilda"—Mrs. Cowley, the author of *The Belle's Stratagem*—certainly had wits. But they, and still more their followers, "Laura," "Arley," "Benedict," "Cesario," "The Bard," etc. (some of whom can be identified, while others, fortunately for themselves cannot) drank themselves drunk at the heady tap of German *Sturm-und-Drang* romanticism, blending it with French sentimentality and Italian trifling, so as to produce almost inconceivable balderdash. Even the widest reading of English verse could hardly enable anyone to collect from the accumulated poetry of the last three centuries an anthology of folly and bad taste surpassing the two volumes of *The British Album*, the crop of a very few years and the labour of some half-a-dozen or half-a-score pens.

Of the last constituents of the group under present review, it is, fortunately, possible to treat Bowles and Sayers, both of them possessing, as has been said, some special connection with Southey, in a different fashion. Neither, so far as poetic inspiration goes, was even a second-class poet; but both exercise very great influence over poets greater than themselves, and, therefore, have made good their place in literary history. William Lisle Bowles, slightly the elder, and very much the more long-lived, of the two, has left (as in that life of many years he might easily do without neglecting his duties as a country clergyman) a very considerable amount of verse, which it is not necessary for anyone save the conscientious historian or the unwearied explorer of English poetry to read, but which can be read without any extraordinary difficulty or disgust.

Bowles, indeed, never deserves the severer epithets of condemnation which have been applied in the last page or two. His theories of poetry (of which more presently) were sound and his practice was never offensively foolish, or in bad taste, or even dull. He lacks distinction and intensity. But he lives, in varying degrees of vitality, by two things only that he did, one at the very outset of his career, the other at a later stage of it. His first claim, and by far his highest, is to be found in *Fourteen Sonnets* (afterwards reinforced in number), which originally appeared in 1789, and which passed through nearly half-a-score of editions in hardly more than as many years. Grudging critics have observed that they were lucky in coming before the great outburst of 1798–1824, and in being contrasted with such rubbish as that which we have been reviewing. It would be uncritical as well as ungenerous not to add that, actually, they did much to start the movement that eclipsed them; and that, whatever their faults may be, these are merely negative—are, in fact, almost positive virtues—when compared with the defects of Darwin and Hayley and the Della Cruscans. Although Bowles was not the first to revive the sonnet, he was the first, for more than a century, to perceive its double fitness for introspection and for outlook; to combine description with sentiment in the new poetical way. It is no wonder that schoolboys like Coleridge and Southey, gluttons alike of general reading and of poetry, should have fastened on the book at once; no wonder that Coleridge, unable to afford more printed examples, should have copied his own again and again in manuscript for his friends. And it is one of the feathers in the cap of that historic estimate which has been sometimes decried that nothing else could enable the reader to see the real beauty of Bowles's humble attempts, undazzled and unblinded by the splendour of his followers' success. *Tynemouth* and *Bamborough Castle*, *Hope* and *The Influence of Time on Grief* are not very strong meat, not very "mantling wine"; but they are the first course, or the *hors d'œuvre*, of the abounding banquet which followed.

Bowles's second appearance of importance was rather critical than poetical, or, perhaps, let us say, had more to do with the theory, than with the practice, of poetry. Editing Pope, he, not unnaturally, revived the old question of the value of Pope's

poetry: and a mildly furious controversy followed, in which classically-minded poets of the calibre of Byron and Campbell took part, which produced numerous pamphlets, rather fluttered Bowles's Wiltshire dovecote, but developed in him the fighting power of birds much more formidable than doves. As usual, it was rather a case of the gold and silver shield; but Bowles's general contention that, in poetry, the source of subject and decoration alike should be rather nature than art, and Byron's incidental insistence (very inconsistently maintained) that execution is the great secret, were somewhat valuable by-products of a generally unprofitable dispute.

Frank Sayers, a member of the almost famous Norwich literary group of which William Taylor was a sort of coryphaeus, contributed less to the actual body of English verse than Bowles. His life was much shorter; he was, at any rate for a time, a practising physician, and had a considerable number of other avocations and interests besides poetry. But he touches the subject, in theory and practice both, at one point, in a fashion which was to prove decidedly important, if not in actual production, yet influentially and historically. Whether Sayers was originally attracted to unrimed verse, not blank in the ordinary restricted sense, by the Germans, or by his own fancy, or by the reading which, after his own practice, he showed to a rather remarkable extent in a dissertation-defence on the subject—does not seem to be quite clear. The dissertation itself, which was published in 1793, shows the remarkable extension of knowledge of English poetry, which was doing much to prepare the great romantic outburst that followed. Collins's *Evening*, and the now deservedly forgotten choruses of Glover's *Medea* would have been known to anyone at the time, and, perhaps, Watts's *Sapphics* (Cowper's were not published). Most men must have known, though, perhaps, few would have brought into the argument, Milton's "Pyrrha" version. But Sidney's practice in *Arcadia*, *The Mourning Muse of Thestylis*, which was still thought Spenser's, and Peele's *Complaint of Oenone* would have been present to the minds of very few.

But whether he had known all these before he wrote, as Southey almost certainly did, or whether it was learning got

up to support practice, Sayers's own earlier *Dramatic Sketches* had supplied the most ambitious and abundant experiments in unrimed verse since Sidney himself, or, at least, since Campion. He does not entirely abjure rime; but, in *Moina*, *Starno* and his version of the Euripidean *Cyclops*, he tried the unrimed Pindaric; and (in a rather naïve, or more than rather unwisely ambitious, manner) he actually supplemented Collins's ode with one *To Night*, on the same model. Elsewhere, it is perfectly plain, not merely from his rimelessness but from his titles and his diction, that the influence of Ossian had a great deal to do with the matter. He adopts, however, in all cases, regular verse-stanzas instead of rimed prose. Sayers's poetical powers—wildly exalted by some in that day of smallest poetical things and of darkness before dawn—are very feeble: but he intends greatly, and does not sin in either of the three directions of evil which, as we have seen, Darwin and Hayley and the Della Cruscans respectively represent. But the most interesting thing about him is the way in which, like nearly everybody who has made similar attempts except Southey (*v. sup.*), he succumbs, despite almost demonstrable efforts to prevent it, to the danger of chopped decasyllables, which unite themselves in the reading and so upset the intended rhythm. Such things as the parallel openings of *Thalaba* and of *Queen Mab* he was incapable of reaching; but, if he had reached them, their inherent poetry might have carried off the almost inevitable defect of the scheme. As it is, that effect is patent and glaring.

Sir William Jones, who, in a life which did not reach the half century, accumulated a singular amount of learning and of well-deserved distinction, was more of an orientalist and of a jurist than of a poet. But he managed to write two pieces—the *Ode in imitation of Alcaeus*, "What constitutes a state?" and the beautiful epigram *From the Persian*, "On parent knees a naked new-born child"—which have fixed themselves in literary history, and, what is better, in memories really literary. If there is in these at least as much of the scholar as of the poet, it can only be wished that we had more examples of the combination of such scholarship with such poetry.

CHAPTER IX

Blake

WILLIAM BLAKE, born 28 November, 1757, was the son of a London hosier, who is said to have had leanings towards Swedenborgianism. This may explain Blake's acquaintance with writings that exercised a marked influence upon his later doctrines and symbolism, though he always held that the Swedish mystic failed "by endeavouring to explain to the reason what it could not understand." The boy never went to school, on account, it is said, of a difficult temper. He "picked up his education as well as he could." According to one authority,[1] Shakespeare's *Venus and Adonis*, *Lucrece* and *Sonnets*, with Jonson's *Underwoods* and *Miscellanies*, were the favourite studies of his early days. To these must be added Shakespeare's plays, Milton, Chatterton and the Bible, "a work ever at his hand, and which he often assiduously consulted in several languages"; for he acquired, at different times, some knowledge of Latin, Greek, French, Italian and Hebrew. Ossian and Gesnerian prose were less fortunate influences.

At the age of fourteen, he was apprenticed to James Basire, the engraver, who sent him to make drawings of monuments in Westminster abbey and other ancient churches in and about London. Thus, he came under the direct influence of Gothic art, which increased its hold upon his imagination, till it finally appeared to him the supreme expression of all truth, while classicism was the embodiment of all error. After leaving

[1] Benjamin Heath Malkin, author of *A Father's Memoir of his Child* (1806), the dedicatory epistle to which contains a valuable note on Blake.

Basire, he studied for a time in the antique school of the Royal Academy, and then began work as an engraver on his own account. Shortly after his marriage in 1782, Flaxman introduced him to Mrs. Mathew, a famous blue-stocking. The outcome of this was the printing of *Poetical Sketches* (1783) at the expense of these two friends. In the *Advertisement*, by another hand than Blake's, the contents of this slight volume are said to have been written between the ages of twelve and twenty; while Malkin, apparently quoting Blake, asserts that the song "How sweet I roam'd from field to field" was composed before his fourteenth year. But his earliest writings seem to have been in the distinctly rhythmical prose of the fragment known as *The Passions*, which, like similar pieces included in *Poetical Sketches*, is a juvenile essay in the inflated style and overstrained pathos that gave popularity to Gesner's *Death of Abel.*

But Blake's early verse stands in quite another class. Much of it, indeed, is more directly imitative than his later work; yet this is due less to slavish copying than to an unconscious recognition of the community between his own romantic spirit and that of our older poetry. Spenserian stanza, early Shakespearean and Miltonic blank verse, ballad form, octosyllabics and lyric metres, all are tried, with least success in the blank verse, but often with consummate mastery in the lighter measures. One who met Blake in these years says that he occasionally sang his poems to melodies of his own composing, and that "these were sometimes most singularly beautiful." It is, therefore, not improbable that these lyrics were composed to music, like the songs of Burns or of the Elizabethans.

His genuine delight in the older verse preserved him from the complacency with which his age regarded its own versification. Like Keats, but with more justice, he laments, in his lines *To the Muses*, the feeble, artificial and meagre achievement of the time. His notes are neither languid nor forced, but remarkably varied and spontaneous. Even in his less perfect work, there is not any abatement of fresh enthusiasm, but, rather, an overtasking of powers not yet fully equipped for high flights. So, in the midst of *Fair Elenor*, a tale of terror and wonder, and

sorry stuff in the main, occur passages like the stanza beginning

> My lord was like a flower upon the brows
> Of lusty May! Ah life as frail as flower!

while there is something more than promise in the youth who could capture the sense of twilight and evening star so completely as Blake in the lines

> Let thy west wind sleep on
> The lake: speak silence with thy glimmering eyes
> And wash the dusk with silver.

The six songs, which include almost all Blake's love-poetry, illustrate the versatility of his early genius. "How sweet I roam'd" anticipates, in a remarkable way, the spirit and imagery of *La Belle Dame*, though, perhaps, it has less of romantic strangeness and the glamour of faerie than of sheer joy, the Elizabethan wantonness of love, so wonderfully re-embodied in *My silks and fine array*. The remaining four pieces are in a homelier vein, and more closely personal in tone. Like his poems on the seasons, they reveal, in spite of a slight conventionality in expression, a sincere delight in nature, quickening rural sights and sounds into sympathy with his own mood. Yet, he was so far of his age that he shrank from the idea of solitude in nature; knowing only the closely cultivated districts of Middlesex and Surrey, he held that "where man is not, Nature is barren." But, apart from their freer, if still limited, appreciation of natural beauty, these songs are noteworthy by reason of their revelation of a new spirit in love. Burns was to sing on this theme out of pure exuberance of physical vitality; in Blake, love awes passion to adoration in the simple soul.

The wide range of poetic power in Blake is proved by the distance between the gentleness of these pieces and the tense emotion of *Mad Song*. Saintsbury has dealt at length with its prosodic excellence: particularly, in the first stanza, the sudden change in metre carries a vivid suggestion of frenzy breaking down, at its height, into dull despair. Stricken passion seems bared to the nerves; each beat of the verse is like a sharp cry, rising to the haunted terror of the closing lines.

The incomplete chronicle-play *King Edward the Third* is chiefly of interest as indicating Blake's juvenile sympathies and

the limitations of his genius. He had little of the dramatic instinct, as his "prophetic" writings prove, while his vehement denial of the validity of temporal existence cut him off from the ordinary themes of tragedy and comedy. And, even in this early work, he is chiefly occupied, not with any development of the plot, but with the consideration of abstract moral questions. His characters are all projections of his own personality, and the action halts while they discourse on points of private and civic virtue. Yet, the spirit behind the work is generous, and occasional passages come nearer to Shakespeare than most of the more pretentious efforts of the time. So, too, *A War Song to Englishmen*, though over-rhetorical in parts, is a stirring thing in an age that produced little patriotic verse.

The incomplete manuscript known as *An Island in the Moon* has been described as "a somewhat incoherent and pointless precursor of the *Headlong Hall* type of novel." Intended to satirise the members of Mrs. Mathew's learned coterie, its offence against decency would be inexpiable were it not almost certain that no eye but Blake's ever saw it in his lifetime. As literature, the work has little value, except that it contains drafts of three of the *Songs of Innocence*, as well as the quaint little *Song of Phebe and Jellicoe*. The satirical verse is generally coarse and noisy, and but rarely effectual, though the piece *When old corruption first begun* is powerful in an unpleasant way. The prose has the faults of the verse, being too high-pitched and too uncontrolled to give penetrative power to the caricature of a learned circle such as Blake had known at Mrs. Mathew's. It contains, however, an interesting, though, unfortunately, incomplete, account of the process adopted later for producing the engraved books. There are also indications of antipathies which were afterwards developed in the "prophetic" books, notably a contempt for experimental science and "rational philosophy."

A comparison of *Songs of Innocence* (1789) with *Poetical Sketches* shows that the promise of Blake's earlier poetry has, indeed, been fulfilled, but in a somewhat unexpected way. Naturally, the maturer work is free from the juvenile habit of imitation; it is, however, of interest to note in passing the suggestion that the hint of the composition of these *Songs* may have come from a passage in Dr. Watts's preface to his

Divine and Moral Songs for Children.[1] Moreover, the baneful Ossianic influence is suspended for a space. But the vital difference is that here, for the first time, Blake gives clear indication of the mystical habit of thought, which, though at first an integral part of his peculiar lyrical greatness, ultimately turned to his undoing. In *Poetical Sketches*, his vision of life is direct and naïve: he delights in the physical attributes of nature, its breadth and its wonders of light and motion, of form and melody. But, in *Songs of Innocence*, his interest is primarily ethical. The essence of all being, as set forth in the piece called *The Divine Image*, is the spirit of "Mercy, Pity, Peace and Love"; and as, later, he uses the terms "poetic genius" and "imagination" to express his conception of this fundamental principle, so, here, the "Divine Image" is his vision of that spirit which is at once universal and particular, God and Man. Under the inspiration of this belief, the world of experience fades away: there is nothing of death, pain or cruelty, except in the opening couplet of *The Chimney Sweeper*, and, even then, the idea of suffering is almost lost in the clear sense of a sustaining presence of love in the rest of the poem. Every other instance shows sorrow and difficulty to be but occasions for the immediate manifestation of sympathy. God, as the tender Father, the angels, the shepherd, the mother, the nurse, or even the humbler forms of insect and flower, as in *The Blossom*, or *A Dream*,—all are expressions of the same universal ethic of love. But, perhaps, the most remarkable illustration of this belief, particularly when contrasted with Blake's later criticism of public charity, is *Holy Thursday*. Clearly, in the world of these *Songs* there is not any suspicion of motives, no envy or jealousy. To use a later phrase by Blake, it is a "lower Paradise," very near to the perfect time wherein the lion shall lie down with the lamb: as in the poem *Night*, the angels of love are always by, to restrain violence or to bring solace to its victims.

[1] John Sampson makes the conjecture in the general preface to his edition of Blake's *Poetical Works:* "In the preface to that popular work Watts modestly refers to his songs as 'a slight specimen, such as I could wish some happy and condescending genius would undertake for the use of children and perform much better'; and it is likely enough that Blake may have rightly felt himself to be this destined genius."

The theological reference in this simple ethic is slight. God and Jesus are but visions of the love that animates all forms of being. Hence, at this period, Blake's position is distinct from that of mystical poets like Henry Vaughan, in whom a more dogmatic faith tends to overshadow the appeal of the natural universe. So, too, Blake's poetry has more of the instinct of human joy. Mercy, pity, peace and love, the elements of the Divine Image, are "virtues of delight," and nothing is clearer in these *Songs* than his quick intuition and unerring expression of the light and gladness in common things. In this, he returns to poems in *Poetical Sketches* like *I love the jocund dance*, rather than to the more formal pieces of nature-poetry. His delight in the sun, the hills, the streams, the flowers and buds, in the innocence of the child and of the lamb, comes not from sustained contemplation but as an immediate impulse. There is not as yet any sign of his later attitude towards the physical world as a "shadow of the world of eternity." His pleasure in the consciousness of this unifying spirit in the universe was still too fresh to give pause for theorising; and, perhaps for this reason, such pieces as *Laughing Song*, *Spring*, *The Echoing Green*, *The Blossom* and *Night*, sung in pure joy of heart, convey more perfectly than all his later attempts at exposition the nature of his visionary faith. In Blake's later writings, there is a wide gulf between the symbol and the reality it conveys; so, the reader must first grapple with a stubborn mass of symbolism. But, in *Songs of Innocence*, this faculty of "spiritual sensation" transfigures rather than transforms. Thus, in *The Lamb*, pleasure in the natural image persists, but is carried further and exalted by the implication of a higher significance. It is the manifest spontaneity of this mystical insight that carries Blake safely over dangerous places. A little faltering in the vision or straining after effect would have sunk him, by reason of the simplicity of theme, diction and metre, now the sources of peculiar pleasure, into unthinkable depths of feebleness. Contrast with the strength of these seemingly fragile lines the more consciously didactic pieces like *The Chimney Sweeper* and *The Little Black Boy*. These, indeed, have the pleasant qualities of an unpretentious and sincere spirit; but their burden of instruction brings them too near to the well-meant but somewhat pedagogic verse that

writers like Nathaniel Cotton and Isaac Watts thought most suitable for the young. Blake regarded children more humanly as the charming "Introduction" to these *Songs* bears witness, or the poem *Infant Joy*, a perfect expression of the appeal of infancy. And, in *The Cradle Song*, almost certainly suggested by Watts's lines beginning "Hush! my dear, lie still and slumber," Blake's deeper humanity lifts him far above the commonplace moralisings of his model.

The Book of Thel was engraved in the same year (1789), though its final section is almost certainly later in date. The regularity of its unrimed fourteeners, the idyllic gentleness of its imagery and the not unpleasant blending of simplicity and formalism in the diction, proclaim the mood of *Songs of Innocence*. It treats of the same all-pervading spirit of mutual love and self-sacrifice. In response to the "gentle lamentations" of the virgin Thel, to whom life seems vain, and death utter annihilation, the lily of the valley, the cloud, the worm and the clod, rise up to testify to the interdependence of all forms of being under the law of the Divine Image, and to show that death is not final extinction, but the supreme manifestation of this impulse to "willing sacrifice of self." Blake's original conclusion to this argument is lost, for the last section has not any perceptible connection in its context. In it, the whole conception of life is changed. This world is a dark prison, and the physical senses are narrow windows darkening the infinite soul of man by excluding "the wisdom and joy of eternity," the condition of which is freedom. The source of this degradation is the tyranny of abstract moral law, the "mind-forged manacles" upon natural and, therefore, innocent desires; its symbols are the silver rod of authority and the golden bowl of a restrictive ethic that would mete out the immeasurable spirit of love. Here, Blake is clearly enough in the grip of the formal antinomianism that produced the later "prophecies."

The undated manuscript *Tiriel* apparently belongs to this period. It is written in the measure of *Thel*, but is less regular, and the Ossianic influence is strong in its overwrought imagery and violent phrase. Blake's purpose in writing this history of the tyrant Tiriel and his rebellious children is not clear; perhaps, he was already drawing towards the revolutionary position of the later books. The final section, which appears to

be a later addition, repeats with greater vehemence the substance of the last part of *Thel*.

But this early spirit of revolt is most notably expressed in *The Marriage of Heaven and Hell* (1790), the only considerable prose work engraved by Blake. It is a well-sustained piece of iconoclastic writing, full of *verve* and abounding in quite successful paradox. Critically regarded, Blake's position as the devil's disciple, maintaining the "great half-truth Liberty" against "the great half-truth Law," is not unassailable; yet the abiding impression is one of exuberant satirical power, of youthful freshness and buoyancy and of unflagging energy. Blake shows himself the master of firmly-knit, straight-hitting phrase, entirely without artifice, and he displays a wonderful fertility of apt illustration, in aphorism, in ironic apologue and in skilful reinterpretations of familiar episodes, chiefly biblical. The vivid scene wherein Blake and the angel contemplate their "eternal lots" is in the spirit of Swift's early work, though its imagery has greater breadth and shows an artist's sense of colour.

Of the tangled strands of opinion in this work, the two chief would seem to be Blake's theory of reality and his denial of authority. Here, as before, he lays stress on the identity of the universal and the particular spirit, the oneness of God and man; though now, and in the contemporary *No Natural Religion* plates, he calls this prime essence the "Poetic Genius," or the soul, of which latter, body is but a partial and modified percept, due to narrowed physical senses. From this, it follows, first, that there cannot be any valid law external to man, and, secondly, that the phenomenon of absolute matter is an illusion, due to empirical reasoning. For, since all forms of being are coextensive with the "Universal Poetic Genius," it must be that all knowledge is intuitive. So, it comes to pass that Blake runs tilt against all civil, moral and religious codes and all exercise of reason, while, on the positive side, he affirms the sufficiency and sanctity of natural impulse and desire, of "firm persuasions" and "the voice of honest indignation." Energy is exalted; to attempt to limit or divert it is to threaten man's spiritual integrity. The strong man resists such tyranny, the weak succumb; yet, unable wholly to repress natural instincts, they veil their inevitable gratification under legal sanction, by their hypocrisy generating all forms of moral, spiritual and physical

corruption. By cunning, the weak come to power in this world, and, setting up their slave-moralities as the measure of truth, call themselves the righteous, the elect, the angels and heirs of heaven, while those whose clearer vision refuses obedience are cast out as of the devil's party:[1] they are the rebels in Hell. Angels repress joy as sin; devils hold it to be the justification of all action.

The original purpose of *The Marriage* was to expose Swedenborg's inconsistency, in that, while pretending to expose the fallacy of the normal religious acceptance of moral distinctions, he was himself infected with the same error. But, this particular intention is soon absorbed in the general onslaught upon the legalist positions, though the earlier purpose is recalled from time to time, particularly in the remarkably virile satire of *Memorable Fancies*, written in mockery of the Swedish mystic's *Memorable Relations*.

It is strange that, having thus proved his power as a writer of clean-limbed muscular prose, he should have returned almost immediately to the fourteener, and developed therein what is too often the windy rhetoric of the "prophetic" books. He seems to have aimed at creating a body of *quasi*-epic poetry, dealing with the origin, progress and ultimate purpose of mortality. To this end, he invented his mythology, wherein the passions and aspirations of man, and the influences that made for or against vision, appear in human form, but magnified to daemonic proportions. It is clear that he was largely influenced by Milton, whom he regarded as the great heresiarch, and whose theological opinions he felt himself called upon to confute. This is explicit in *The Marriage* and in the book called *Milton*, as well as in recorded passages of Blake's conversation, while much of his imagery, and occasionally his rhythm and diction, are reminiscent of the older poet. But there are also evidences of Biblical, Ossianic and Swedenborgian influences in works written between the years 1793 and 1800, the period of his residence in Lambeth.

[1] The present account of the doctrines of Blake's "prophetic" books must, necessarily, from considerations of space, be brief and, in a measure, dogmatic. It may, however, be stated that the interpretation here given is based upon a long and detailed study of these works, undertaken by the present writer, in conjunction with Duncan J. Sloss.

A brief examination of the Lambeth books will show how the freight of ideas gradually broke down the frail semblance of form with which they started. The first, the recently rediscovered *French Revolution* (1791), is in almost regular fourteeners, and its style, though distorted and over-emphatic, is comparatively intelligible. Only the first of seven books appears to have been printed; it opens the series of what may be called visionary histories, and embodies Blake's interpretation of events in Paris and Versailles between 5 May and 16 July, 1789, though it does not describe the actual attack upon the Bastille (14 July). Its literary interest is slight: what is, perhaps, the most striking passage describes the various towers and the prisoners in the famous fortress, when premonitions of its impending fate are in the air. Otherwise, the work is only of value for its indications of ideas developed later. For Blake, the stand made by the *tiers état* marks the first step towards universal emancipation from the thraldom of authority. Yet, his portrayal of Louis XVI has none of his later violence towards kings, for the French monarch is seen as one overborne by circumstances and the influence of his nobles. But, Blake's lifelong feud against priestcraft utters itself in an attack upon clericalism in the person of the archbishop of Paris.

The French Revolution was printed by Johnson, and it may have been about this time that Blake became one of the circle—of which Paine, Godwin, Holcroft and Mary Wollstonecraft were also members—that used to meet at the publisher's table. It is, therefore, natural to conclude that this society, to a considerable extent, was responsible for the extreme revolutionary spirit of the Lambeth books, and it is likely that those which deal with the rebellions in France and America may have owed something, in the way of suggestion or information, to Paine. *The French Revolution* was followed by *A Song of Liberty*[1] and *America* (1793). The former, being, substantially a *précis* of the latter, is remarkable only because of its form, being cast into short numbered paragraphs like the verses in the Bible. But *America*, one of the most beautifully engraved of these books, marks a considerable advance in the use of

[1] This work, from the fact that it is sometimes bound up with *The Marriage of Heaven and Hell*, has generally been ascribed to the year 1790. But its symbolism would seem to put it later than *The French Revolution* (1791).

symbolism. Here, the conflict between England and her colonies is interpreted as presaging the imminent annihilation of authority and the re-establishment of the Blakean ideal of a condition of complete licence. On the side of law stands Urizen, the aged source of all restrictive codes; his ministers are the king, councillors and priests of England. On the opposite side stands Orc, the fiery daemon of living passion and desire, the archrebel, "Antichrist, hater of Dignities, Lover of wild rebellion and transgressor of God's Law," and, therefore, the liberator of man from the power of law: he inspires the colonial leaders, Washington and the rest. But Blake handles history much more freely here than in *The French Revolution*, for the fact that he wrote after the successful issue of the revolt made it possible for him to claim it as a vindication of his own anarchic theory. Ever after, in his symbolism, the western quarter, either America or the sunken continent of Atlantis, stands for the visionary ideal of perfect liberty, from which fallen man, in Europe and Asia, is cut off by the floods of moral fallacies, the "Atlantic deep." This concept appears in *Visions of the Daughters of Albion* (1793), which, in its vigorous enthusiasm and comparative buoyancy, most nearly resembles *America*. Like that work, too, it is easily intelligible, but deals with the physical and moral, rather than with the political, tyranny of legal codes. The myth tells how the virgin Oothoon, "the soft soul of America," the spirit of delight, plucks the flower of instant and complete gratification of desire; further, she is ravished by a violent daemon, Bromion. On both these accounts, she is condemned and mourned over by the spirit of prudential morality, and the major part of the book is a vehement vindication of physical appetite. The whole argument, of course, is very unreal; yet the force of Blake's conviction gives his statement of the case a certain vitality, and keeps it unfalteringly above the low places of thought.

Up to this point, Blake's writings preserve the spontaneity and confident strength that mark *The Marriage:* his faith in the immediate efficacy of passion to free itself by revolt gives energy and freshness to the measure and language. But, from this time, his outlook becomes increasingly overcast. He comes to see that the will to freedom is not all-powerful, but must

endure, for a time, the limitations of temporal experience. Salvation is still to come through passionate revolt, and, in an indefinite way, this is associated with the French revolution; but Blake now emphasises the strength of the moral heresy, and the impetuous enthusiasm of *America* and *Visions* is, to a considerable degree, checked. The simplest indications of this change occur in *Songs of Experience* (1794) and those poems in the Rossetti MS. belonging to the same period. The contrast between these and *Songs of Innocence* is not merely formal, but is the direct expression of the change already referred to. In the early collection, there are no shadows: to Blake's unaccustomed eyes, the first glimpse of the world of vision was pure light. But, in the intervening years, experience had brought a fuller sense of the power of evil, and of the difficulty and loneliness of his lot who would set himself against the current of this world. So he writes of himself

> The Angel that presided o'er my birth
> Said, "Little creature, formed of Joy and Mirth,
> Go, love without the help of anything on Earth."

The title-page for the combined *Songs of Innocence and of Experience* describes them as "Shewing the Contrary States of the Human Soul" while, in the motto, he writes, in a spirit of disenchantment,

> The Good are attracted by Men's perceptions
> And think not for themselves;
> Till Experience teaches them to catch
> And to cage the Fairies and Elves,

the catching of the fairies and elves, apparently, signifying the deliberate searching after the hidden mystical meaning of things, in place of a docile acceptance of other men's faith.

Signs of the change lie on every hand. If the introduction in *Songs of Experience* be compared with its earlier counterpart, the piper is seen to have become the more portentous bard, the laughing child upon a cloud gives place to "the lapsèd Soul weeping in the evening dew." And there is, also, apparent, at times, the vague consciousness of "some blind hand" crushing the life of man, as man crushes the fly. This, however,

is not quite constant, though something of the same mystery lies behind the question in *The Tiger*,

Did he who made the Lamb make thee?

More commonly, Blake lays stress upon the fallacy of law, and this, chiefly, in its relation to love. Thus, in *The Clod and the Pebble*, his own ethic of the love that "seeketh not itself to please," is set against the concept of love governed by moral duty, and, therefore, cold and interested. Similarly, in *Holy Thursday*, there is white passion beneath the simplicity and restraint of his picture of the little victims of a niggard charity; perhaps, nothing gives so complete an impression of the change in Blake as the comparison of the earlier and later poems under this title. Moreover, he always opposed any interference with the natural development of the individual genius. "There is no use in education," he told Crabb Robinson, "I hold it wrong. It is the great Sin." This text he develops in *The Schoolboy* and in the two versions, manuscript and engraved, of *Infant Sorrow*. Something of the kind appears in *A Little Boy Lost*, though there is also a return to the baiting of the Philistine with paradox, as in *The Marriage*. For here, as before, churches and priests represent the extreme forms of obscurantism and repression, and the exaltation of the letter of a rigid law above the spirit of love that transcends mere obligation. But by far the greater bulk of the engraved and manuscript verse of this period repeats the theme of *Visions*, the infallibility of the human instinct towards gratification of appetite, and the iniquity of all that interferes with it. Hence, modesty, continence and asceticism become glosing terms, hiding the deformity and corruption that arise from the covert satisfaction of desire; they are the fair-seeming fruit of the poison-tree, the tree of moral virtue.

Such is a summary of the main ideas embodied in these *Songs*. There are, indeed, moments when this passion of disputation tells heavily against the verse, prosodically perfect though it is; only the unfaltering sincerity and directness of Blake's spirit bears him safely through. Indeed, he never surpassed the best work of this period. Notably in *The Tiger*, his imagination shakes off the encumbrances of doctrine, and

beats out new rhythm and new imagery for a more exalted vision of life. The poem proceeds entirely by suggestion; its succession of broken exclamations, scarcely coherent in their rising intensity, gives a vivid impression of a vast creative spirit labouring at elemental furnace and anvil to mould a mortal form adequate to the passion and fierce beauty of the wrath of God, the "wild furies" of the human spirit: it is as though the whole mighty process had been revealed to him in vivid gleams out of great darkness. Of a lower flight, but still unequalled before Keats, are poems in the "romantic" mood of human sorrow, in harmony with the more desolate aspects of nature. Such are the *Introduction* and *Earth's Answer*, the lovely first stanza of *The Sunflower* or the manuscript quatrain, almost perfect in its music, beginning "I laid me down upon a bank." Yet, Blake could ruin the effect of such lines by adding an atrocious verse in crude three-foot anapaests on the iniquity of moral law. He gives his own version of this obsession in another manuscript poem:

> Thou hast a lap full of seed
> And this is a fine country.
> Why dost thou not cast thy seed,
> And live in it merrily?
>
> Shall I cast it on the sand
> And turn it into fruitful land?
> For on no other ground
> Can I sow my seed,
> Without tearing up
> Some stinking weed.

Yet, some seed of song fell into the sandy wastes of Blake's ethical disputations, and sprang up and blossomed in spite of the tearing up of noxious moral heresies in their neighbourhood. Such are the delicate minor melody of *The Wild Flower's Song*, the lines *I told my love, To My Myrtle*—a notable instance, by the way, of Blake's rigorous use of the file in his lyrics—and *Cradle Song*. He still has his old delight in natural beauty, though his perverse antipathies often stood in the way of its expression; and his utterance is almost always singularly clear, concise and unforced.

But, in the remaining Lambeth writings, Blake is no longer controlled by the exigencies of lyrical form, and the first freshness of his revolutionary enthusiasm is past; hence, his energy turns to exposition or affirmation, not so much of his own faith as of the errors of the opposite party. To this end, he invented the mystical mythology which is chiefly contained in *The Book of Urizen* (1794), with its complements *The Book of Ahania* and *The Book of Los* (1795). These trace the fallacies of the moral law to their premundane source. *Europe* (1794) and *The Song of Los* (1795), though they have the same mythological basis, come rather nearer in tone to *America*. The *Urizen* series, too, is written in a shorter and very irregular measure, generally containing three or four stresses. The other two works combine the fourteener and the shorter line.

Blake's antagonism to Milton's theodicy led him to reinterpret the story of the fall, affirming that it was not Satan, but the God of this world, the author of the moral codes, or, in Blake's mythology, Urizen, who fell. Hence, *The Book of Urizen* contains obvious inversions of Miltonic episodes. But here, as elsewhere in Blake, the root-idea is that existence is made up of two great bodies of contraries: on the one side, the eternals, the expression of the ideal ethic; on the other, Urizen. This latter daemon plots to impose his will upon the eternals, but fails, and is cast out into chaos, wherein is ultimately developed the world of time and space. This process of evolution is not directed to any discernible end, except that it gives extension and duration to the unreal forms begotten of Urizen's perverted moral and intellectual sense, which become apparent as the phenomena of a physical universe, wherein man forgets "the wisdom and joy of eternity" and shrinks, spiritually and bodily, to mortal stature. But, since Urizen is the negation of all creative activity, Blake is constrained to introduce a formative agent in Los, the eternal prophet—though, as yet, there seems little to justify this title. Labouring at his furnaces and anvils, he gives permanence to the successive modifications of the Urizenic substance of which this new world is made, binding them in the chains of time. From him, also, derive two important developments, the "separation" of the first female, the manifestation of Los's pity for the sterile universe, and the birth of Orc. But, apparently because *The Book of*

Urizen is incomplete, nothing comes of these episodes, and the work concludes with the enslavement of all mortality beneath Urizen's net of religion. In this myth, Blake's main purpose is to demonstrate, by reference to their origins, the falsity of the ethical spirit and the unreality of the material universe. In *The Book of Ahania*, he further identifies Urizen, as the author of the Mosaic code, with Jehovah. He also emphasises, in new symbols, the antagonism of morality, first to "masculine" or positive energy, and, secondly, to physical desire, imaged in the female Ahania. In the remaining member of this trilogy, *The Book of Los*, the strangeness of the symbolism makes interpretation too much a matter of conjecture to warrant any conclusion as to its place in the development of Blake's ideas.

In *Europe* and *The Song of Los*, Blake turns from universal history to consider the present portents of immediate emancipation through the French revolution. This change is reflected in the greater prominence given to Los and Enitharmon, who, as regents of this world, act as the ministers of Urizen to transmit to men his systems of religion and philosophy, from that of "Brama" to the Newtonian "Philosophy of the Five Senses." But the most important point is that Blake here utters his plainest criticism of Christianity. According to his own statement in *Africa*, the first section of *The Song of Los*, the asceticism of Jesus' gospel would have depopulated the earth, had not Mohammedanism, with its "loose Bible," that is, apparently, its laxer moral code, been set to counteract it. And, in *Europe*, the Christian era is the period of the "Female dream," the false ideal that makes passivity a virtue and the gratification of innate desire a sin. Thus, Enitharmon is the typical female, at once the source and the symbol of repressive morality.

The next work, the manuscript originally called *Vala*, belongs to two distinct periods of Blake's development. The earlier portion, dated 1797, extends and elaborates the symbolism of *The Book of Urizen*, with certain modifications, of which the most important is that man is conceived, ideally, as a harmony of four spiritual powers, Urizen, Luvah, Urthona —apparent in time as Los—and Tharmas. It may be that these, later known as the Zoas, have a psychological significance as the symbols of reason, emotion, energy and instinct or desire; but the indications are too vague and contradictory to admit

of assured interpretation. Further difficulties arise with the four females joined with the male quaternion. But this elaborate symbolism, like most of Blake's attempts in this kind, soon falls through, and may safely be ignored. As before, the real basis is a dualism of liberty and law. The first "Nights" of *Vala* repeat, under a bewildering variety of imagery, the now familiar criticism of the ethical spirit as a disruptive force, destructive of the ideal unity in man, and the cause of the difficulty and darkness of mortality, through the illusions of materialism and morality. The remaining sections develop the antithesis of authority and anarchy in Urizen and Orc, and, though the former triumphs at first, its manifold tyrannies are ultimately consumed beneath the cleansing fires of Orc's rebel spirit of passion, so that, after the final "harvest and vintage of the Nations," man reascends to his primal unity in a state of perfect liberty.

The arid symbolism and uncouth style of the later Lambeth books mark a zeal that has overridden inspiration, till the creative spirit flags beneath the continual stimulus of whip and spur, and almost founders in barren wastes of mere storm and splutter; and though, by sheer strength, Blake occasionally compels his stubborn matter into striking forms, the general effect is repellent in the extreme. Then came his visit to Felpham, at the invitation of William Hayley, and the three years (1800–1803) passed there influenced him most deeply, as his letters and later "prophecies" clearly show. Perhaps the shock of transition from the cramped London life to the comparative freedom of his new surroundings awakened him to consciousness of the extent of his divergence from the sounder and more human faith of his early manhood. But, whatever the cause, his old attitude changed, coming nearer to that of *Songs of Innocence*, as he himself writes to captain Butts:

> And now let me finish with assuring you that, though I have been very unhappy, I am so no longer. I am again emerged into the light of day; I still and shall to eternity embrace Christianity, and adore Him who is the express image of God.[1]

In this spirit he took up *Vala* and, renaming it *The Four Zoas*,

[1] Letter to captain Butts, 22 November, 1802.

attempted to bring it into harmony with his new vision by grafting additions, and rewriting the whole or considerable parts of various "Nights." But the basis of *Vala*, like that of the other Lambeth books, is purely necessitarian: the eternals stand apart from mundane life, having neither sympathy with it, nor foreknowledge of its end. Mortal existence is totally evil, and is not in any way connected with man's regeneration, which is conceived as coming through mere rebellion, and consisting in a return to anarchy. It was to this crude stock that Blake sought to join an unusually vivid faith in a divine providence, apparent, to visionary sight, either as God or Jesus, in whom the eternals were united in a divine family watching over the life of man, to lead it to ultimate salvation through the mediation of such spiritual agencies as the daughters of Beulah, or Los and Enitharmon. These latter, as time and space, embody Blake's new valuation of mortal life. The former criticism of the phenomenon of absolute physical reality, as being a delusion due to reason and sense-perception, is still maintained; but Blake now finds an ulterior significance in mundane forms, as the symbols of spiritual ideas revealed to the inspired man by divine mercy. This higher revelation is mediate through Los and Enitharmon, who give it expression fitted to the enfeebled powers of man. They are also associated with a corresponding change in the estimate of the mortal body. As Blake states the matter, spirits at the fall become "spectres," "insane, brutish, deformed," "ravening devouring lust"; but Los and Enitharmon create for them "forms" or "counterparts," "inspir'd, divinely human," and apparently indicating an endowment of visionary inspiration. Thus equipped, man passes through this world, subject to the temptations of metaphysical and moral error in the forms of Satan, or the feminine powers, Rahab, Tirzah, or Vala.

But, in his mortal pilgrimage, he is, also, sustained by spiritual influxes transmitted by "angels of providence," such as the daughters of Beulah, through natural objects, trees, flowers, birds and insects. The supreme revelation, however, comes through the incarnation and crucifixion of Jesus, wherein the whole mystical faith is manifested to corporeal understanding, becoming subject to the conditions of mortality in order ultimately to reveal their falsity and annihilate them. But,

though all this has a metaphysical reference, Blake lays most stress upon its ethical significance. In the Lambeth books, he attacks conventional morality on the ground of its inhibition of physical desire; but now, though this criticism is not entirely retracted, the emphasis shifts to the false concept of love as a religious obligation towards an extrinsic deity, whose law is essentially penal, "rewarding with hate the loving soul" by insistence upon repentance and vicarious sacrifice. Such is the religion of Satan, symbolised by the false females, Rahab and Tirzah, or by Babylon, the harlot of *Revelation*. This is clearly a development of the concept of Enitharmon noticed in *Europe*. Against this, Blake sets the gospel of brotherhood and unconditional forgiveness, revealed to man in the incarnation of Jesus. Here, there is a reversion to the ethic of *Songs of Innocence*.

It was, apparently, the impossibility of fusing the old and new elements in *The Four Zoas* that led to its abandonment. Judged as literature, it suffers by reason of its formlessness and incoherence; yet, though it is often little better than mere clamour and outrageous imagery, there are scattered passages of much cogency and imaginative power. But it is chiefly of interest as a document in the history of Blake's development. In 1804, he began to engrave *Milton* and *Jerusalem*. The former work describes the nature of his new inspiration, and also, as it would seem, the manner of its transmission. It tells how Milton redescended from his place in eternity—for, as Blake told Crabb Robinson, the author of *Paradise Lost*, in his old age, turned back to the God he had abandoned in childhood—in order to annihilate the error to which he had given currency in his great epic. To achieve this end, he entered into Blake at Felpham. Thus inspired, Blake becomes the prophet of the new ethic and proclaims the necessity to subdue the unregenerate self, the spectre which is in every man. And, in a variety of mythical episodes, he assails the fallacy of retributive morality, the natural religion of Satan, god of this world, and preaches the gospel of Jesus, the law of continual self-sacrifice and mutual forgiveness. But the main points of his later creed are comprehended in his theory of imagination, the most complete and intelligible statement of which is contained in the prose note in the Rossetti MS. on the design for

A Vision of the Last Judgment. The following quotation shows how Blake returned to and elaborated his earlier doctrines of the Divine Image and the Poetic Genius.

> The world of imagination is the world of eternity. It is the divine bosom into which we shall all go after the death of the vegetated [*i.e.* mortal] body. This world of imagination is infinite and eternal, whereas the world of generation is finite and temporal. There exist in that eternal world the eternal realities of everything which we see reflected in this vegetable glass of nature. All things are comprehended in the divine body of the Saviour, the true vine of eternity, the Human Imagination, who appeared to me coming to judgment . . . and throwing off the temporal that the eternal might be established.

For Blake saw all things under the human form: "all are men in eternity." And, to Crabb Robinson, he said "we are all coexistent with God; members of the Divine body, and partakers of the Divine nature"; or, again, concerning the divinity of Christ, "He is the only God . . . . And so am I and so are you." From this follows the insistence on vision, the immediate perception of the "infinite and eternal" in everything; literally, "To see a World in a grain of Sand." In such a theory of knowledge, reason and sense-perception cannot have place; they, with the phenomenon of a corporeal universe, are part of the error of natural religion, the fallacies of moral valuation and of penal codes completing it. Even Wordsworth's attitude to nature is condemned as atheism. Thus "all life consists of these two, throwing off error . . . and receiving truth." In the former case, the conflict is against the unregenerate influences within and without; man must "cleanse the face of his spirit" by self-examination, casting off the accretions of merely mundane experience, till the identity of the individual with the universal is established in what Blake calls the Last Judgment. The positive aspect of visionary activity in mortality is a constant seeking after the revealed truths of imagination, which are comprehended in Jesus.

> "I know of no other Christianity" he writes "than the liberty both of body and mind to exercise the Divine Arts of Imagination . . . The Apostles knew of no other Gospel. What were all

their spiritual gifts? What is the Divine Spirit? Is the Holy Ghost any other than an Intellectual Fountain? . . . What are the Treasures of Heaven which we are to lay up for ourselves? Are they any other than Mental [*i.e.* Imaginative] Studies and Performances? What are the Gifts of the Gospel? are they not all Mental Gifts?"

What Blake states thus impressively in his prose, is stated under a bewildering variety of apparently unconnected symbolic episodes, in *Jerusalem*. Man, or Albion, is the battle-ground wherein the forces of imagination contend against the forces of natural religion: Jesus against Satan: Los against his spectre: Vala or Babylon against Jerusalem, till error is consumed and Albion reascends into the bosom of the Saviour. Yet, in spite of formlessness and incoherence in statement, the underlying body of doctrine is remarkably consistent. In the later Lambeth books, Blake seems to have written under a jaded inspiration. Here, however, the very intensity of his conviction and the fecundity of his imagination, militated against lucidity and order. Moreover, he deliberately adopted the symbolic medium as translating his visions with less of the distracting associations of ordinary experience than must have beset normal speech. And, if his visions were unintelligible, the fault lay in the reader, who had neglected to cultivate his imaginative faculty; in Blake's sweeping condemnation, they were "fools" and "weak men," not worth his care. Aesthetically, *Jerusalem* suffers much from this perversity, though the poet in Blake at times masters the stubborn mass of his symbolism, turning it for a brief space to forms of beauty or power. And there always remains the high nobility of the gospel which he proclaimed, and according to which he lived.

The theme and dramatic form of *The Ghost of Abel* (1822) were suggsted by Byron's *Cain*, wherein, as Blake believed, the scriptural account of the punishment of Cain is misinterpreted in conformity with the heresy of the churches, which declare Jehovah to have been the author of the curse. Blake, however, attributes it to Satan, "God of this World," the "Elohim of the Heathen"; for the gospel of Jehovah is "Peace, Brotherhood and Love." Then, in the *Laocoon* aphorisms, he turns, for the last time, to his doctrine of imagination, and gives it

final form by identifying Christianity and art. Jesus and his apostles were artists, and who would be Christians must practise some form of art, for, as Crabb Robinson reports him, inspiration is art, and the visionary faculty, equally with every other, is innate in all, though most neglect to cultivate it.

Such, in brief, seems to have been the course of Blake's development. It still remains to notice the more formal verse and the prose of this latest period. The first, which, during Blake's lifetime, remained in the Rossetti and Pickering MSS., is, though slight in bulk, of remarkable quality. It includes such lovely lyrics as *Morning*, *The Land of Dreams*, or the penultimate stanza of *The Grey Monk*. But the most singular are the abstruse symbolic poems *The Smile*, *The Golden Net* and *The Crystal Cabinet*, which seem to embody the visionary's consciousness of the unholy beauty and seductiveness of the natural world. Unfamiliar as is their language, they make a real, though illusive, appeal, which may ultimately lie in the romantic cast and spontaneity of the imagery, as well as in their perfection of lyrical form. The other symbolic poems, such as *The Mental Traveller* and *My Spectre around me*, lacking this directness and unity of expression, fall short of a like effectiveness. But all these poems stand aloof from purely human feeling. Except *The Birds*, a most un-Blakean idyllic duologue, they rarely touch the common lyric chords. They are primarily spiritual documents. *Mary*, *William Bond* and *Auguries of Innocence* illustrate this. The last-mentioned poem, though it has passages of real force and beauty, depends, for its adequate understanding, upon the doctrine underlying it, the identity of all forms of being in the divine humanity: "all are Men in Eternity." The recognition of this principle gives cogency and deep truth to what must otherwise appear exaggerated emphasis of statement. But, the reserve of poetic power in Blake is most clearly revealed in *The Everlasting Gospel*. Metrically, it is based upon the same octosyllabic scheme as *Christabel*, though it is handled so as to produce quite different effects. In spirit, it comes nearest to *The Marriage*, developing, with wonderful fertility of illustration, the theme of Jesus as the archrebel. Yet, its value as a statement of Blake's position is subordinate to its poetic excellences, its virile diction and its sturdy, yet supple, metre, following, with consummate

ease, the rapid transitions from spirited declamation to satire or paradox.

Blake's prose has the directness and simplicity that distinguish his poetry. Except for the *Descriptive Catalogue*, for the engraved pieces, such as the introductions to the "books" of *Jerusalem*, and for the letters, it lies scattered in the Rossetti MS. and in marginalia to Reynolds's *Discourses* and other works. Yet, in spite of its casual character, it is a quite efficient instrument, whether for lofty declaration of faith, as in the addresses *To the Deists* or *To the Christians* or for critical appreciation, as in the famous note on *The Canterbury Tales* admired by Lamb. It also served as a vigorous, if sometimes acrimonious, medium, for expressing Blake's objections to those whose opinions or artistic practice ran counter to his own. But, it is almost always perfectly sound, though without conscious seeking after style. His letters have the same virtues, but their chief interest would seem to lie in the insight which they give into his character and the light they throw upon the symbolism of the prophetic books.

Blake's peculiar method of reproducing his writings, and the comparative seclusion in which he lived, prevented his works from exercising any influence on their age, though Wordsworth, Coleridge, Southey and Lamb knew and admired portions of them. Yet, few responded so directly and in so many ways to the quickening impulse of the romantic revival. It is true that his early years coincided with an awakened interest in our older literature, which was already exercising a limited influence on contemporary work; and, moreover, as has been seen, his juvenile reading was in this field. But the root of the matter seems to have lain deeper. The whole temper of his genius was essentially opposed to the classical tradition, with its close regard to intellectual appeal and its distrust of enthusiasm. In the *Laocoon* sentences and in the engraved notes *On Homer's Poetry* and *On Virgil*, he identifies it with the devastating errors of materialism and morality, and, in the *Public Address*, he is vehement in denouncing Dryden's presumption in "improving" Milton, and Pope's "niggling" formalism: as he puts it, the practitioners of this school "knew enough of artifice, but little of art." Such a judgment, though not wholly just to classicism at its best, was the fighting creed of the romantics, and Blake maintained it more uncompromisingly than most. His mystical

faith freed him from the barren materialism of his age, and opened to him in vision the world lying beyond the range of the physical senses. Hence, the greater warmth of his ethical creed; and his preoccupation with the supernatural, which he never consciously shaped to literary ends, is yet the source of the peculiar imaginative quality of his work. It also looks forward to the use of the supernatural in such works as *The Ancient Mariner* and *Christabel.* Though he probably intended it otherwise, the effective and complete revelation of the new spirit within him is made, not in his definitely dogmatic writing, but in his verse, which he seems to have rated below his other work; he scarcely ever speaks of it as he does of his art or his mystical writings. Yet, his lyric poetry, at its best, displays the characteristics of the new spirit some years before it appeared elsewhere. His first volume of poems contained songs such as had not been sung for more than a century; the nearest parallel in time is Burns. While Wordsworth was still a schoolboy, Blake had found, and was using with consummate art, a diction almost perfect in its simplicity, aptness and beauty. His earlier attitude to nature, as has already been noticed, has none of the complacency that distinguishes his age: to him, it was the revelation of a universal spirit of love and delight, the Divine Image, less austere than Wordsworth's "overseeing power." It has also been seen that he had the romantic sympathy with quaint or terrible imaginings, such as appeared later in Keats and Shelley. His passion for freedom was, also, akin to that which moved Wordsworth, Coleridge and Southey in their earlier years, though, in its later form, it came nearer to Shelley's revolt against convention. There is, indeed, an unusual degree of fellowship between these two: the imagery and symbolism, as well as the underlying spirit, of *The Revolt of Islam, Alastor* and *Prometheus Unbound* find their nearest parallel in Blake's prophetic books. Both had visions of a world regenerated by a gospel of universal brotherhood, transcending law; though, perhaps, the firmer spirit of Blake brought his faith in imagination nearer to life than Shelley's philosophic dream of intellectual beauty. For the final note of Blake's career is not one of tragedy: his own works and the record of others show that he had subdued the world to his own spirit; he died singing.

CHAPTER X

Burns

Lesser Scottish Verse

In the annals of English literature, Burns is a kind of anomaly. He defies classification. He stands apart in isolated individuality. If he is something of a prodigy, his accidental singularity helps to convey this impression. The preceding English poetry of the eighteenth century did not give any prognostication of the possibility of anyone resembling him. His most characteristic verse is outside its scope, and is quite dissimilar from it in tone, temper and tendency. He was influenced by this English verse only in a superficial and extraneous manner. However much he may have tried, he found it impossible to become a poet after the prevailing English fashion of his time. Not from the brilliant generations of English bards can he claim poetic descent. So far as concerned general literary repute, his chief poetic ancestors were, if not lowly, obscure and forgotten. Whatever their intrinsic merits, they were almost unknown until curiosity about them was awakened by his arrival.

The old school of Scottish verse did not, however, deserve its fate. As may be gathered from previous chapters, it was by no means an undistinguished one. It included one poet, Dunbar, of an outstanding genius closely akin to that of Burns and, if not possessed of so full an inspiration or so wide and deep a sympathy, vying with him in imaginative vividness, in satiric mirth, in wild and rollicking humour and in mastery of expression, while more than his equal as a polished metrist. Other names famous in their generation were Henryson, Douglas, Kennedy, Scott, Montgomerie and David Lyndsay.

In addition to these were unknown authors of various pieces of high merit, and, besides them, what Burns himself terms the "glorious old Bards," of "the Ancient Fragments" and of various old songs of tradition: bards, whose "very names are," as he says, "buried amongst the wreck of things that were." This school of Scottish poetry perished, or all but perished, in its prime. Its line of succession was cut short by the reformation, which had been followed by an almost complete literary blank of a century and a half. During this interval, the spoken dialect of Scotland had been undergoing processes of change, and the language of the old verse, by the time of Burns, had become partly a dead language. The forms and methods of its metre had also become largely antiquated, and were not akin to modern English usage. Moreover, the bulk of the old poetry that had escaped destruction was still wrapped in oblivion. It lay *perdu* in manuscripts, though more than a glimpse of what was best of it was obtainable from the selections that had appeared in Ramsay's *Evergreen* and other publications. But, while it could thus be known to Burns in only a fragmentary fashion, he was largely indebted to it directly or indirectly. Like many Scots of past generations, he was familiar with much of the verse of "Davie Lyndsay"; as perused by him in the modernised version of Blind Harry's poem by Hamilton of Gilbertfield, "the story of Wallace," he tells us, had "poured a Scottish prejudice" into his veins; he had dipped, if little more, into Gawin Douglas; in addition to *The Evergreen*, he knew Watson's *Choice Collection* (1706–11); and, before the publication of the Kilmarnock volume, he may have read Lord Hailes's *Ancient Scottish Poems* (1771) and Herd's *Ancient and Modern Scottish Songs* (1769 and 1776). At the same time, he did not know the old "makaris" as they are now known; of the individualities of some of the principal of them he had no very definite idea; and even the poetic greatness of Dunbar had not dawned upon him. Again, though he had an acquaintance with the older poets, similar to that possessed by Ramsay, Fergusson and others, from the very fact that they had preceded him, he did not come so immediately under the influence of the older writers. Later writers had already formed a kind of new poetic school, and it was more immediately on them that he sought to model himself: their achievements, rather than those

of the older writers, were what he sought to emulate or surpass. His special aim, as stated in the preface to the Kilmarnock volume, was to "sing the sentiments and manners he felt and saw in himself and his rustic compeers around him, in his and their native language." As a lyric poet, his commission was rather more comprehensive; and, here, he could benefit but little by the example either of Ramsay—great as had been his vogue as a song writer—or even Fergusson. Other contemporaries had done as good lyric work as they; but, here, the best, and, also, the chief, exemplars of Burns were "the glorious old Bards," of "the Ancient Fragments." The greatness of his lyric career was, however, only faintly foreshadowed in the Kilmarnock volume (1786) or in the Edinburgh edition of the following year. The former contained only three songs, the best of which, *Corn Rigs*, was suggested by one of Ramsay's; and, in the latter, only seven additional songs were included, the best being *Green grow the Rashes o'*, related to an old improper song, and *The Gloomy Night*, which is less a song than a personal lament. The others are not in the same rank with these, and one, *No Churchman am I*, in the strain of the bottle songs of the collections, is hardly better than its models.

It is vain to enquire whether, without the example of Ramsay, Fergusson and their contemporaries, Burns would have succeeded so well as he has in his special aim; but he could hardly have succeeded so soon, nor could he have done so in quite the same fashion. In his preface to the Kilmarnock volume, he says that he had "these two justly admired Scotch poets" often in his "eye in the following pieces though rather with a view to kindle at their flame than for servile imitation." A critical study of Burns and these two predecessors will fully corroborate both statements. Another statement is in quite a different category. While scouting servile imitation, he yet disowns pretensions "to the genius of a Ramsay or the glorious dawnings of the poor unfortunate Fergusson." On the part of one so greatly gifted, this was a strange declaration enough, whether it expressed his real convictions—as he took care to protest it did—or not. But Burns was always excessively generous in his appreciation of other poets, and his own case was, also, a very exceptional one. Both his social experiences and his knowledge of literature were, at this period of his life,

rather circumscribed; and though, as he says, looking "upon himself as possest of some poetic abilities," he might hesitate to suppose that he had much scope for the display of genius in singing "the sentiment and manners" of himself and "his rustic compeers." But, however that may be, his glowing tribute to these two predecessors must be taken as evidence of the immense stimulus he had received from them, and the important part they had had in aiding and shaping his poetic ambitions.

The pieces included in the Kilmarnock volume were written when Burns had, though a considerable, still a comparatively limited, acquaintance with English poetry or prose. Exceptionally intelligent and well-informed as was his peasant father, he could not provide his sons with very many books, and these were mainly of a grave and strictly instructive character. One of Burns's school books, Masson's *Collection of Prose and Verse*, contained, however, Gray's *Elegy*, and excerpts from Shakespeare, Addison, Dryden, Thomson and Shenstone. Before 1786, he had, also, in addition to Ramsay, Fergusson and other Scottish versifiers, made acquaintance with several plays of Shakespeare, a portion of Milton, Ossian and the works of Pope, Thomson, Shenstone and Goldsmith. Among prose works, his "bosom favourites" were *Tristram Shandy* and *The Man of Feeling;* and the influence of both occasionally manifests itself in his verse. *The Lark*, a collection of Scottish and English songs, "was," he says, his "*vade mecum*," and he was also a voluminous reader of "those Excellent New Songs that are hawked about the country in baskets, or exposed in stalls in the streets."

The influence of his study of *The Lark* and of the "New Songs" was shown in various tentative efforts which he did not publish in the Kilmarnock volume—and some of which he did not publish at all—as *Handsome Nell, O Tibbie I hae seen the Day, The Ruined Farmer, The Lass of Cessnock Banks, Here's to the Health* and *My Father was a Farmer.* The roistering songs in *The Jolly Beggars* are also modelled on the songs of the *Collections*, or of Ramsay's *Tea-Table Miscellany*, including even the bard's song, though there is an older model for it; and neither in language nor in poetic form are they so purely Scottish as the graphic vernacular recitativos. Such experi-

ments, again, as *A Tragic Fragment* and *Remorse*—neither of which he published—are inspired by the eighteenth century English poets. In the Kilmarnock volume, these poets, supplemented by the metrical Davidic *Psalms*, are responsible for such pieces as *The Lament, Despondency, Man was made to Mourn, A Prayer in the Prospect of Death* and *To Ruin*, all purely English. Then, *The Cotter's Saturday Night*, in the Spenserian stanza—which Burns got from Beattie, not from Spenser, but which is of purely English descent and had not been used by any Scottish vernacular poet—is a kind of hybrid. Though partly suggested by Fergusson's *Farmer's Ingle*, and professedly descriptive of a lowly Scottish interior and of "the sentiments and manners" of the Scottish peasants in their more hallowed relations, it is not, like Fergusson's poem, written "in their native language," but, substantially, in modern English, with, here and there, a sparse sprinkling of Scottish, or Scoto-English, terms. Much of its tone, many of its sentiments and portions of its phraseology are reminiscent of those of the English poets whom he knew—Milton, Gray, Pope, Thomson and Goldsmith. It is a kind of medley of ideas and phrases partly borrowed from them, mingled with reflections of his own and descriptions partly in their manner but derived from his own experience, and may almost be termed a splendidly specious adaptation rather than a quite original composition. On the whole, the artistic genius and the afflatus of the poet prevail, but in a somewhat shackled, mannered, and restrained form, as becomes manifest enough when we compare it with the spontaneous brilliancy of the best of his more vernacular verses in old traditional staves.

In other important pieces in the Scots staves, such as *The Vision* and *The Epistle to Davie*, where the sentiment is mainly of a grave and lofty character, and especially when he abandons his "native language" for pure English, we have occasional echoes from English poets, though he is sometimes charged with having borrowed from poets he had never read, and with having appropriated from certain English poets sentiments and reflections which were really current coin to be found anywhere. In occasional stanzas of other poems, we also meet with traces of his English reading, but, in the case of the thoroughly vernacular poems, they are so rare and so slight as to be neglig-

ible. These poems are Scottish to the core; and it is here that we have the best, the truest and fullest, revelation of his mind and heart. The sentiments, thoughts and moods they express are of a very varied, not always consistent, and sometimes not quite reputable, character; but they are entirely his own, and, such as they are, they are set forth with peculiar freedom and honesty and with rare felicity and vigour, while, in the presentation of manners, scenes and occurrences, he manifests a vivid picturesqueness not surpassed, and seldom excelled, by other writers of verse.

At a later period of his life, Burns—it may be partly at the suggestion of Dr. Moore, that he "should abandon the Scottish stanza and dialect and adopt the measure and dialect of modern English poets"—began to consider the possibility of escaping from his vernacular bonds, and made somewhat elaborate experiments in English after the manner of eighteenth century poets. But, though the mentors of Burns might be excused for giving him this advice, it could not be carried out. It was too late for him to transform himself into a purely English poet; and, in the end, this was perceived by him. In Scots verse, as he wrote to George Thomson, he always found himself at home, but it was quite otherwise when he sought to model himself on English predecessors or contemporaries. He had a quite different poetic mission from theirs; his training, his mode of life, his social circumstances especially fitted one of his temperament and genius to excel as a rustic Scottish bard, and, in this capacity, he compassed achievements which, apart from their intrinsic merit, possess a special value due to their uniqueness. When, on the other hand, he essays purely English verse, English in method and form as well as language, his strong individuality fails to disclose itself; his artistic sensibilities cease to serve him; his genius remains unkindled; he is merely imitative and badly imitative. *From Esopus to Maria* and the *Epistle to Graham of Fintry* are very indifferent Pope. *Lines on the Fall of Fyers* and *Written with a Pencil at Taymouth* are only inferior Thomson. Such pieces as *Birthday Ode for 31st December 1787*, *Ode Sacred to the Memory of Mrs. Oswald*, *Ode to the Departed Regency Bill*, *Inscribed to the Hon. C. J. Fox* and *Ode to General Washington's Birthday* are all, more or less, strained and bombastic. The ability

they display is not so remarkable as its misapplication, and they are, mainly, striking illustrations of the ineffectiveness of a too monotonous and unmeasured indulgence in high-flown imagery and bitter vituperation. With certain qualifications and with outstanding exceptions, these remarks apply to his epigrams and epitaphs, but less to those in the vernacular, some of which, even when not quite good-natured, are exceedingly amusing, as, for example: *In Lamington Kirk*, *On Captain Grose*, *On Tam the Chapman*, *On Holy Willie*, *On a Wag in Mauchline*, *On John Dove*, *Innkeeper* and *On Grizzel Grimme*. *The Bard's Epitaph* is unique as a pathetic anticipation of the sad results of the poet's own temperamental infirmities; and, though in a quite opposite vein, the elegies *On the Death of Robert Ruisseaux* and *On Willie Nicol's Mare* are evidently written *con amore;* but those *On the Death of Sir James Hunter Blair* and *On the Death of Lord President Dundas*, and even that *On the Late Miss Burnet of Monboddo* are, as he candidly confesses of one of them, "quite mediocre." They are too elaborately artificial to stir the feelings with mourning and regret; indeed, their inveterately ornate expression of grief seems almost as purely formal and official as that represented in the trappings of funeral mutes. There is more true pathos in the admirable, though mostly humorous, vernacular *Ode to The Departed Year*, 1788; but his elegiac masterpieces are all in the traditional stave in *rime couée*.

The main benefit, as a poet, gained by Burns from what was, evidently, a close and repeated perusal of certain English poets, was an indirect one. It stimulated his thought, it quickened his sensibilities, it widened his mental outlook, it refined his tastes, it increased his facility in the apt use even of his own "native language." In this last respect, he seems to have been specially indebted to Pope. His style is admirable, pellucidly clear and brilliantly concise, and, in his best pieces, the same "finishing polish" manifests itself. He greatly underrated his own accomplishments, even in 1786, when he modestly declared that he was "unacquainted with the necessary requisites for commencing Poet by rule"; and Carlyle displays a strange obliviousness or misapplication of facts in affirming that he had merely "the rhymes of a Fergusson or a Ramsay as his standard of beauty." To accept this view, while

rather slighting at least Fergusson, would ignore the relations of both to the older classics, would fail to take into account what Burns knew of the classics and of the Scottish lyrists of past generations and would disregard the minute study of certain English poets with which he started, and which, later, was not only augmented by a fairly comprehensive course of English reading, but supplemented by a perusal of the chief French poets. He had undergone some intellectual discipline, even if it were a little unsystematic and haphazard. Strikingly exceptional as was his poetic career, it was not inexplicably miraculous. It is quite the reverse of truth to state that he had "no furtherance but such knowledge as dwells in a poor man's hut"; and, so far as he was concerned, to talk of "the fogs and darkness of that obscure region," only tends "to darken counsel by words without knowledge." His alleviations and his physical and mental calibre being such as to prevent him succumbing too early to the evils of his lot, he even found himself in a position which specially fitted him to become the great poet of rustic life and the representative Scottish poet that he was.

The character of his environment in itself gave Burns, as a vernacular Scottish poet, a certain advantage over both Ramsay and Fergusson. Though, in the eighteenth century, the vernacular was in fuller, and more general, use in conversation, even by the educated classes in Scotland, than it is now, both these poets made literary use of it with a certain air of condescension, and as the specially appropriate medium of lowly themes. Burns employed it more variously, and often with a more serious and higher intent, than they. He was also in closer and more perpetual contact with humble life than was either of them; the vernacular, as he says, was his "native language," the usual medium of the thought and expression of himself and his "compeers"; and, in his verse, he seems to revel in the appropriation of its direct and graphic phraseology. While, also, as a poet of rustic life, more favourably placed than any of his later Scottish predecessors, he had a special superiority over those poets, Scottish or English, who, as he says, "with all the advantages of learned art, and perhaps amid the elegances and idlenesses of upper life, looked down on a rural theme." In the case of a rural theme, he is entirely in his

element. Here, he exhibits neither affectation, nor condescension, nor ignorant idealisation, nor cursory and superficial observation; everywhere, there is complete comprehension and living reality. He was himself largely his own rural theme, and he is unstintedly generous in his self-revelations. Apart, also, from his lyrical successes, he attains to the highest triumphs of his art in depicting the manners and circumstances of himself and his fellow-peasants; in exhibiting their idiosyncrasies, good and bad, and those of other personalities, generally, but not always, quite obscure and, sometimes, disreputable with whom he held intercourse, or who, otherwise, came within the range of his observation; in handling passing incidents and events mainly of local interest; and in dealing with rustic beliefs, superstitions, customs, scenes and occasions. He did not need to set himself to search for themes. He was encompassed by them; they almost forced themselves on his attention; and he wrote as the spirit moved him. His topics and his training being such as they were, his rare endowments are manifested in the manner of his treatment. It betokens an exceptionally penetrating insight, a peculiarly deep sympathy, yet great capacity for scorn, an abounding and comprehensive humour, a strong vitalising vision and a specially delicate artistic sense; and, thus, his opportunities being so close and abundant, he has revealed to us the antique rural life within the limits of his experience and observation with copious minuteness, and with superb vividness and fidelity. But, of course, he has, therefore—though some would fain think otherwise—his peculiar limitations. His treatment of his themes was so admirable as to secure for them almost a world-wide interest; but, ordinarily, his themes do not afford scope for the higher possibilities of poetry. He could not display his exceptional powers to such advantage as he might have done, had he been allowed a wider stage and higher opportunities; nor, in fact, were they trained and developed as they might have been, had he been sufficiently favoured of fortune.

For his vernacular verse, Burns had recourse mainly to the staves already popularised by Ramsay, Fergusson and other poets of the revival. As with them, the most common medium of his verse was the favourite six-line stave in *rime couée*, used by Sempill in *Habbie Simson*. Following their and Sempill's

example, he usually adopted it for his vernacular elegies, of which we may here mention those on *Poor Mailie*, *Tam Samson* and *Captain Matthew Henderson*. The first, an early production, is more in the vein of *Habbie* than the other two, and its opening stanza is almost a parody of that of Sempill's poem. In it and *Tam Samson*, he also adopts throughout the Sempill refrain ending in "dead"; but, in the more serious elegy *Captain Matthew Henderson* he has recourse to it in but one verse, and that accidentally. The *Samson* elegy, like those of Ramsay, is in a humorous, rather than in a pathetic, vein—a fact accounted for by the sequel—but the humour is strikingly superior to that of Ramsay in delicacy, in humaneness, in copious splendour, while the poem is, also, specially noteworthy for the compactness and polish of its phrasing. A marked feature of *Tam Samson*, but, more especially, of the *Henderson* elegy, is the exquisite felicity of the allusions to nature. This last, the best of the three, is pitched in a different key from the others; pathos prevails over humour, and the closing stanzas reach a strain of lofty and moving eloquence.

Following the example of Ramsay and Hamilton of Gilbertfield, Burns also employed the six-line stave for most of his vernacular epistles. In their tone and allusions, they are also partly modelled upon those of his two predecessors, and, occasionally, they parody lines and even verses, which he had by heart; but they never do this without greatly bettering the originals. Most of them are almost *extempore* effusions, but, on that very account, they possess a charming naturalness of their own. Special mention may be made of those to *John Lapraik*, *James Smith* and *Willie Simpson*. Here, we have the poet, as it were, in undress, captivating us by the frankness of his sentiments and self-revelations, by homely allusions to current cares and occupations, by plain and pithy comments on men and things and by light colloquial outbreaks of wit and humour, varied, occasionally, by enchanting, though, apparently, quite unstudied, descriptions of the aspects of nature.

One or two of his epistles, as those *To John Rankine*, and *Reply to a Trimming Epistle received from a Taylor*, are in a coarser vein; but, even so, they are equally representative of himself and of the peasant Scotland of his time. They are occupied with a theme concerning which the jocosity of the

peasant was inveterate. They are not to be judged by our modern notions of decorum; and Burns, it may be added, is never so merely squalid as is Ramsay. In the epistolary form and in the same stave is *A Poet's Welcome to his Love-Begotten Daughter*, in which generous human feeling is blended with sarcastic defiance of the conventions. The attitude of the peasant towards such casualties had been previously set forth in various chapbooks of the period, both in prose and verse.

In the same stave as the epistles are *Scotch Drink* and *The Author's Earnest Cry and Prayer*, which mirror the strong social sentiments of the Scottish rustic, and the close association in farming communities—an association still surviving—of strong drink with good fellowship.

This stave is, further, employed by Burns with superb effect in the satiric narrative of *Death and Doctor Hornbook*, containing the eerie midnight interview of the "canty" bard with the awful "Something," whose name, it said, was death, and its grimly jocose discourse on the medical skill of "the bauld apothecary," a village schoolmaster, who sought to eke out his small salary by the sale of drugs; but, on the whole, the masterpieces in the stave are *The Address to the Deil*, *Holy Willie's Prayer* and *The Auld Farmer's New Year Salutation to his Mare Maggie*. They differ greatly in their tone and the character of their theme, but each, after its own fashion, is inimitable. The first two have an ecclesiastical or theological *motif*. Of these, *The Address to the Deil* is a boldly humorous sketch of the doings of the evil personality, who figured prominently in the "Auld Licht" pulpit oratory of the poet's time and of the preceding centuries, and became transformed into the "Auld Hornie," "Nickie Ben" and "Clootie" of peasant conversation and superstition. It is preceded by a motto of two lines from Milton's *Paradise Lost*, "O Prince," etc., which piquantly contrast in tone and tenor with the opening verse of the poem itself, the first two lines—a kind of parody of a couplet in Pope's *Dunciad*—being

> O thou! whatever title suit thee,
> Auld Hornie, Satan, Nick or Clootie.

The tone of comic humour is maintained throughout, and, in the last stanza, as in the second, comicality and pathos are

delicately blended in suggesting scepticism of the diabolic personality's existence:

> I am wae to think upo' yon den
> Ev'n for your sake.

Apart from its weird comedy, the poem is remarkable for the graphic and condensed vividness of its descriptions, as, to quote only a few lines and phrases:

> Whyles on the strong-winged tempest flyin,
> Tirlin' the kirks
>
> Or where auld ruined castles grey
> Nod to the moon
>
> Aft yont the dyke she heard you bummin
> Wi' eerie drone
>
> Awa ye squattered, like a drake,
> On whistling wings

Holy Willie's Prayer, again, is wholly satirical in tone, a mere metrical chain of brilliantly relentless mockery. This mockery is made to serve both a general and a special purpose. While, by a skilful series of burlesque parodies, it exposes, with deadly effect, the hypocritical self-righteousness of an ignorantly opinionated ruling elder in Mauchline, who had a prominent part in an unsuccessful prosecution of the poet's friend and landlord, Gavin Hamilton, it, also, lampoons the narrow puritanic Calvinism of the "Auld Licht" party in the kirk, towards whom Burns, being what he was, was bound to cherish an almost unmeasured antipathy. The antipathy, only indirectly and in glimpses revealed in *The Address to the Deil*, is, in *The Twa Herds*, in portions of *The Holy Fair*, in *The Ordination* and in *The Kirk's Alarm*, manifested in the form of uproarious derision. Though, in his later years, something of a social democrat, and, even from early manhood, cherishing a certain jealousy of those above him in station, and easily offended by airs of condescension towards him, his antipathy to the "Auld Licht" clergy, the favourites of the people, made him a strong opponent of the anti-patronage movement, which he contemptuously scouted as an attempt to "get the brutes themselves the power

to choose their herds." The proposal is, incidentally, ridiculed with great gusto in *The Twa Herds*—in the six-line stave—but more at length and more directly in *The Ordination*, while the jingling *Kirk's Alarm* deals very unceremoniously with the characters and qualifications of the principal clerical prosecutors in a heresy case; but these three pieces, though admirably fitted to arouse the derision of the multitude, are a little too boisterous and violent. For us, at least, they would have been more effective had they been less lacking in restraint; and their method cannot compare with the mock seriousness, the polished innuendo, the withering irony, the placid scorn of *Holy Willie's Prayer*.

But, vastly and variously entertaining as are his ecclesiastical diatribes, these controversial topics have now lost much of their savour even for Scotsmen; and it is a relief to turn from such bitter and mocking satires, and the old ecclesiastical disputes they embalm, to the scene of rustic concord, content and happiness conjured up in *The Auld Farmer's Salutation*. Here, the poet's rustic heartstrings are touched, and his tenderer and more genial feelings have full, uninterrupted play. He is at peace with the world and himself, and his appeal is primarily to our benevolent sympathies. In language more thoroughly and curiously vernacular than that of most of his verse and with an air of artless and frank simplicity, just as if the words had come from the lips of the hearty old farmer, it supplies a realistic biographic sketch of the lifelong partnership between him and his favourite mare Maggie—their mingled toils and pleasures and their joint achievements from the time when, in the bringing home of his "bonnie Bride," the mare outran all the other steeds of the company, until he and she had "come to crazy years together"; and all is so delicately true to nature as to entitle the poem to rank as a kind of unique masterpiece.

The Auld Farmer's Salutation is partly, but only imperfectly, paralleled in *Poor Mailie*, *The Death and Dying Words of Poor Mailie* and in portions of *The Twa Dogs;* but, in these, it is more the animals themselves than their owner and his relations with them that are portrayed; his connection with them is only indirectly hinted. Again, *To a Mouse*, delicately fine as are its descriptive stanzas, and strikingly as it appeals

to the sense of the hard case of a large part of the animal creation in their relations to one another and to man, hardly expresses the sentiments of the average ploughman or farmer and, it may be, not altogether those of Burns. Here, and in *To a Mountain Daisy*, he partly assumes the "sensibility" pose; and English influence is also specially visible in the character of the reflection in the concluding stanzas. In striking contrast with both is the broad rustic humour of *To a Louse*. While all three—in the same six-line stave—are but sparsely sprinkled with the pure vernacular, it is in the last employed here and there with graphic drollery. But, in this stave more particularly, Burns could write occasional stanzas in pure English to splendid purpose, as witness the nobly serious poem *The Vision*, though, in the opening stanzas depicting the poet's rustic situation and surroundings, he, with admirable discretion, has recourse mainly to the vernacular.

Next to the six-line stave in *rime couée*, the favourite stave of Ramsay, Fergusson and other poets of the revival was what may be termed the *Christis Kirk* stave, which, though probably the invention of the author of that poem and of *Peblis to the Play*, is also, the metre of what—from a reference of Sir David Lyndsay—must be regarded as a very old poem, *Sym and his Brudir*, and is used by Alexander Scott in his *Justing and Debait*. It is formed by the addition of a bobwheel to the old ballad octave in rollicking metre as represented in, for example, *The Hunting of the Cheviots*, and Henryson's *Robene and Makyne*. Burns, like Ramsay and Fergusson, contracted the bobwheel into a refrain of one line; but, unlike Ramsay, he did not vary the ending of the refrain. He uses the stave for five pieces: *The Holy Fair*, *Halloween*, *The Ordination*, *A Dream* and *The Mauchline Wedding* and for a recitativo in *The Jolly Beggars*. In *Halloween* and in *The Jolly Beggars* recitativo, the final word of the refrain is "night"; in the others, it is "day." In *A Dream*, *The Ordination* and the recitativo, he, like Ramsay, adheres to the ancient two-rime form of the octave; but, in *The Holy Fair*, *Halloween* and *The Mauchline Wedding*, he follows Fergusson in breaking up the octave and making use of four and, occasionally, three, rimes. *A Dream* is really a series of advices, mostly couched in semi-satirical or jocular terms, but, notwithstanding some clever epigrams, it must, on

the whole, be reckoned of that order of merit to which most of his political, or semi-political pieces belong. *The Ordination* has been already referred to. Like it, the other three—as in the case of *Christis Kirk* and other old poems, as well as those of the revival—are humorously descriptive narratives. *The Mauchline Wedding* is unfinished; *The Holy Fair* and *Halloween*, as presentations of scenes and episodes in humble life, rank, almost, with *The Jolly Beggars* and *Tam o' Shanter*, though they lack the full inspiration and irresistible *verve* of both.

The Holy Fair, in its general form, is modelled on Fergusson's *Leith Races* and his *Hallow Fair*. Like them, it is the narrative of a day's diversion and, like them, it concludes with a hint that the result of the day's pleasuring may, in some cases, be not altogether edifying or pleasant. In intent, it differs somewhat from them. Unlike them, it has a definite satirical purpose, and there runs throughout a prevailing strain of ridicule, though not so much of his fellow-peasants—whose idiosyncrasies and doings are portrayed with a certain humorous toleration—as of the occasion itself, and of the oratorical flights, especially of the "Auld Licht" clergy, whom Burns makes the subjects of his unsparing wit. The first six stanzas are a kind of parody of the first five of Fergusson's *Leith Races*, but, however excellent, in their way, are Fergusson's verses, the parody by Burns, in picturesque vivacity and in glowing realism, quite surpasses the original. It has further been pointed out that certain stanzas resemble rather closely, in their tenor, portions of a pamphlet published in 1759, *A Letter from a Blacksmith to the Ministers and Elders of the Church of Scotland*. Burns probably knew the pamphlet. It may have partly helped to suggest the writing of the poem; and, having a very retentive memory, he may have got a phrase or two from it; but, throughout the whole poem, it is evident enough that he is describing the details of an actual "sacramental occasion" in Mauchline, from his own direct knowledge; and, whatever small hints he may have got from the pamphlet, his matchless sketch of the humours of the old-world scene of mingled piety, superstition and rude rustic joviality owes its rare merit to his own penetrating observation and vivifying genius.

But, *Halloween* is the finer poem of the two—mainly, because mere satire is absent and mirthful humour prevails.

It conjures up a quite different rustic scene, one where ecclesiasticism, either to good or bad purpose, does not intrude; and all is pure fun and merriment. He had a suggestion for the poem in Mayne's *Halloween*, and faint reflections of it, as well as of lines in Montgomerie, Ramsay, Fergusson, Thomson and Pope, are discernible in some of the stanzas, just as similar faint reminiscences of their predecessors or contemporaries are discernible in the work of most poets of eminence; but they do not affect in the slightest the main texture of the poem, which, throughout, is, characteristically, his own. In the fine opening stanza, he adds to the descriptive effect by introducing internal rimes:

Upon that night when fairies light,

and he has also partial recourse to this device in some other stanzas. Near the close of the poem, he suspends, for a moment, his mirthful narrative of the Halloween adventures and misadventures to surprise and enchant us by his consummate picture of the meanderings of a woodland stream:

Whyles owre a linn the burnie plays.

But this is a mere casual interlude. It is with the exploits and ludicrous mishaps of the "merry, friendly country folks" that the poem is chiefly concerned.

Another important stave of Burns is that used by Montgomerie in *The Cherrie and the Slae*. In this stave, Thomas Howell also wrote *A Dreame*, published in his *Devises*, 1581;[1] but *The Cherrie and The Slae* was, probably, written before Howell's poem; and, in any case, there is proof of the use of the stave in Scotland before Howell's volume appeared, and of its earliest use by a Scottish poet having been by Montgomerie: *Ane Ballat of ye Captane of the Castell* (1571), is described as "maid to the tone of *The Bankis of Helicon*," of which Montgomerie was the author. The peculiarity of the stave is the final wheel of four—properly six—lines, borrowed from a stave of the old Latin hymns, and affixed to a ten-line stave, common from an early period in English verse.

Though revived by Ramsay for *The Vision* and other poems,

[1] See *ante*, Vol. III, p. 211.

there are not any examples of it in Fergusson. With Burns, however, *The Cherrie and The Slae*, which he had doubtless seen in Watson's *Choice Collection*, was a special favourite, and he refers to *The Epistle to Davie* as in the metre of that poem. Besides *The Epistle to Davie*, he had recourse to it for *To the Guidwife of Wauchope House*, and for the purely English *Despondency*, *To Ruin*, *Inscribed on a Work of Hannah More's* and *The Farewell*. All these, more or less, are gravely reflective or didactic in tone, as, indeed, is also *The Cherrie and The Slae;* but, in the two opening, and the final, recitativos of the boisterous *Jolly Beggars*, he made use of it for humorous descriptive purposes with a picturesque felicity not surpassed in verse.

For the other descriptive recitativos of this unique cantata, he employed the ballad octave of two rimes, of which there is also an example in his *Man was made to Mourn;* the French octave or ballad royal (which, though not found in Ramsay or Fergusson, was used by Alexander Pennecuick for his semi-vernacular *Truth's Travels*, but which Burns—who, later, used it for the *Lament* and the *Address to Edinburgh*—probably got from *The Evergreen*), very properly recommended by James VI for "heich and grave subjects," but, on that very account, all the more effective where gravity is burlesqued; the octosyllabic couplet, used, also, in *The Twa Dogs* and *Tam o' Shanter;* the six-line stave in *rime couée;* the common ballad stave of four rimes, of which there are various examples in Ramsay, and to which Burns had recourse for *An Address to the Unco Guid* and *Epistle to a Young Friend;* and the *Christis Kirk* stave. The cantata thus samples all his principal Scots staves, though omitting the *Sir Thopas* stave of *The Epistle to Lord Daer* and *Fintry My Stay*, the modified *Killychrankie* form of the ballad stave, as exemplified in *Guildford Good* and the heroic couplet of the partly English and partly Scots *Brigs of Ayr*. Compact and short as are the recitativos of *The Jolly Beggars*, Burns never employed their staves to more brilliant purpose. The songs, again, with which they are interspersed, are, as already stated, modelled after those to be found in the *Choice Song-Books* or in Herd's *Collection;* and very similar songs, though ruder in their form and coarser in their expression may actually have been sung by different members of the ragged

fraternity, in the course of the carousal of which Burns was a witness.

Burns was unacquainted with the bulk of old English plays, treatises and songs, dealing with the fortunes of beggars, vagabonds and outlaws; but he had probably read Gay's *Beggars' Opera;* he knew, of course, the clever Scottish ballads *The Gaberlunzie Man* and *The Jolly Beggar;* and he evidently got faint hints from *The Happy Beggars*—an excerpt from Charles Coffey's ballad opera, *The Beggar's Wedding*—and *The Merry Beggars* of Ramsay's *Tea-Table Miscellany* and the song-books. The poem is, also, modelled on the burlesque odes and cantatas of the period; but the wonder is that, such being the case, the curious metrical medley should be such a captivating masterpiece. True, it has a certain advantage, even in its complete singularity, as an assortment of old Scottish staves, interlaced with songs characteristically Scots or Anglo-Scots in their style and manner. All this aids the vivid picturesqueness of the presentation; but only the fact that the subject appealed, in a very special way, to peculiarities of the poet's temperament and genius can account for the striking character of his artistic triumph.

Carlyle was the first to claim for *The Jolly Beggars* a superiority over *Tam o' Shanter.* Few, perhaps, will admit so complete a superiority as he asserts, but the value of the criticism, so far as regards the praise of *The Jolly Beggars,* originally, in many quarters, only faintly tolerated, is now generally admitted. Here, we have a more varied and more intimate and vital presentation of certain types of human nature than in *Tam o' Shanter;* and the detailed record of the vagabonds' high festival affords wider scope for picturesque effects than the comparatively conventional and respectable carousal in the village alehouse. On the other hand, it seems a strange belittlement or misjudgment of *Tam o' Shanter* to describe it as less a poem than "a piece of sparkling rhetoric," and a still more questionable statement that it "might have been written all but quite as well by a man who, in place of genius, had only possessed talent." Most other critics are still convinced that here, as in *The Jolly Beggars,* we have a superbly characteristic example of the rare genius of Burns, as developed by his special environment and his peculiarly mingled poetic training. Scott

says: "I verily believe *Tam o' Shanter* to be inimitable, both in the serious and ludicrous parts, as well as in the happy combination of both." As to the relative merits of the two poems, *Tam o' Shanter* is the more studied and mature production: when he wrote it, Burns was a more fully experienced, a better-read and a more highly trained, artist, than when, in a fit of fine inspiration, he dashed off *The Jolly Beggars*; and he himself says of it that it "shewed a finishing polish," which he "despaired of ever excelling." The felicity and terse compactness and vividness of its phrasing—notwithstanding an occasional looseness, as was customary with him, in riming—are unsurpassable; and, as for the alehouse fellowship of Tam and Souter Johnie, and the skelping ride of the primed farmer through the eerie region in the wild night, genius could hardly better these; while the thunder and lightning storm, and the witches' hornpipes and reels at haunted Alloway, with Auld Nick himself as musician, are certainly more strictly poetical and more thrilling than the presentation of squalid revelry in the low Mauchline lodging-house. But the poems are really so dissimilar in theme and method that a comparison of their respective merits is somewhat difficult and, more or less, futile. In both, Burns affords us a more splendid glimpse than elsewhere of his poetic possibilities, had fortune favoured their full development.

But the dilemma of Burns was that the very circumstances which favoured him in making him become the unique peasant poet that he was, tended, also, to preclude the adequate fulfilment of his poetic aspirations; and there were, also, certain peculiarities in his case which made the adverse circumstances in the end all-powerful. Thus, apart from songs, *Tam o' Shanter* and *Captain Matthew Henderson* are the only poems of any special importance produced by him after 1787; though various election pieces, if not particularly excellent specimens of wit, cleverly reproduce the manner and style of the old ballads. Except as a song-writer, the really fruitful period of his genius is confined to the year or two, when, together with other members of the family, he occupied Mossgiel, in the stable-loft bothy of which—where, for lack of room in the farmhouse, he took up his quarters with the farm-servant —he, in the evening, elaborated the verses he had been conning over

during his daily avocations. Hard and toilsome as was his daily round of labour, and dreary and disappointing as were his immediate prospects as a farmer, the horizon of his future had not yet been definitely circumscribed and hope was still strong within him. While his misfortunes as a farmer overset, as he says, his wisdom, made him careless of worldly success and caused him to seek consolation in social diversions not always of a quite harmless character, they augmented, rather than diminished, his poetic ambitions; and when, after the enthusiastic reception in Ayrshire of the Kilmarnock volume, he left the plough to seek his fortune in Edinburgh, it was probably with high hopes of a possible future essentially different from his bleak and toilsome past.

To pass immediately from his lowly toil and from the rustic scenes and company of Mossgiel and Mauchline to the fashionable society of the capital and the learned and cultured converse of its lawyers, professors and doctors might well seem a rather adventurous experiment; but, what might have proved, even to most persons of ability in his position, a very trying ordeal, was, to him, a highly interesting and entertaining experience; and, as regards his main errand, he was successful quite beyond his highest expectations. Through the introduction of Dalrymple of Orangefield, the earl of Glencairn and the famous advocate Henry Erskine, brother of the eccentric earl of Buchan took him, as he says, "under their wing"; and, at the instance of Glencairn, William Creech, the chief Edinburgh publisher of the time, whose levees were frequented by all the distinguished dignitaries and *literati* of the city, condescended to undertake the publication of the proposed volume of his verse.

Meantime, the social popularity of the "illiterate ploughman of Ayrshire," so "Jupiter" Carlyle terms him, was quite extraordinary. In the houses of the gentry he was warmly welcomed as a kind of rustic wonder; and he charmed everyone by his perfect, yet modest, self-possession, and the easy felicity of his conversation. His "address to females"—as recorded by Scott from the testimony of the duchess of Gordon —while extremely deferential, had always "a turn to the humorous or the pathetic which engaged their attention particularly"; and the duchess affirms that she never "saw a man in company with his superiors in station and information

more perfectly free from either the reality or the affectation of embarrassment." The fact was that, whatever his deficiencies in certain kinds of information, and his ignorance of the current interests of the higher Edinburgh circles, he had a remarkable ease in estimating the character and mental calibre of those with whom he held intercourse. He, therefore, soon recognised that, at least in natural gifts, he was the inferior of none with whom he mingled; and, even in the more learned companies, he did not hesitate to express his own opinions, sometimes with greater emphasis than was customary in polite society, but, says Hugh Walker, "though somewhat authoritative, it was in a way that gave little offence." Dugald Stewart further tells us that Burns charmed him "still more by his private conversation than he had ever done in company." But, in the society of the middle-class burghers, in taverns where memories still lingered of Ramsay, and Fergusson, and, more especially, in the company of the jovial and outspoken wits of the Crochallan club, he was more entirely at his ease, and, doubtless, shone more brilliantly than in the somewhat grave and constrained circles frequented by Dugald Stewart.

What, however, we have more especially to note, is his supreme popularity everywhere, and the effect of his social success on the subscriptions to his forthcoming volume. No fewer than three thousand copies were printed—a remarkable number for a book of rustic verse, and twice as many as were contemplated when the book was sent to press—for one thousand five hundred subscribers, Creech himself subscribing for five hundred copies, and purchasing for one hundred pounds the copyright of any subsequent editions. Burns, in the end, gained five hundred pounds by his Edinburgh venture, as compared with twenty pounds for the six hundred copies of the Kilmarnock volume. He was now completely relieved from the stress of poverty which had been his sore affliction from childhood. Petted and *fêted* by Edinburgh grandees, he might almost have fancied that he had passed into another world than that of his sordid past. With his greatly widened fame as a poet, and with many influential friends to further his interests, he might surely count on a future comparatively free from the old worldly anxieties by which he had, hitherto, been greatly hampered, and latterly almost overwhelmed, so that

he had been meditating escape from them, by becoming, as he states, "a poor negro-driver," in Jamaica. Soon, however, he discovered that his patrons, greatly as they were charmed by his rustic personality, and much as they admired his rustic muse, had but lowly notions of the sphere of activity that was suitable for him. All that, apart from subscriptions to his volume, he ever obtained through his patrons—and he obtained even this with difficulty—was a nomination for the excise. Only one of his new friends, Mrs. Dunlop, manifested any deep concern about his future well-being. She advised him to become a candidate for the then discussed chair of agriculture in Edinburgh university; and, likewise, mentioned to him the possibility of his becoming a salt officer, the duties of which would be both pleasanter and less engrossing than those of the excise. But, neither of these, or other, suggestions made by her bore fruit. Dugald Stewart affirms that, from the conversation of Burns, he "should have pronounced him to be fitted to excel in whatever walk of ambition he had chosen to exert his abilities"; and his aptitudes, doubtless, were great and various; but, then, his circumstances were exceptional and he had the defects of his qualities. Had he been less entangled with his obscure and somewhat tumultuous past, and had he practically known more than he did of "prudent, cautious self-control," he might well have been able to have secured for himself a fair amount of worldly success as an Edinburgh citizen. But, even his flirtations with Mrs. Maclehose, to say nothing of other amatory adventures in the capital, would have rendered his settlement there a rather unwise experiment; and, besides, having, at last, as a man of some means, and, even, of great repute, found favour in the eyes of the parents of his rustic sweetheart, Jean Armour, and having come to the conclusion that "humanity, generosity, honest pride of character and justice to" his "happiness in after life" necessitated his acknowledging her as his wife, he resolved to banish from his thoughts whatever brighter day dreams he might have cherished and to venture what, after a loan to his brother, remained of his small capital, in the lease of the farm of Ellisland, Dumfriesshire.

While this was, perhaps, the best resolve that, in the circumstances, he could have taken, it was rather with chastened

and placid resignation than with perfect content that he decided to return to the old occupation associated from his childhood with years of hopeless drudgery. In a letter to his special friend, William Dunbar, he refers to his Edinburgh sojourn as "my late hare-brained ramble into life"; and, from various expressions in his other letters, it is clear that, great as was both the social and material success of his Edinburgh venture, he had cherished certain anticipations about it which were only in part fulfilled. He had set out to the capital, apparently with some hope that he might escape from his past and begin a new life. In this, he was disappointed, and Edinburgh was, ever afterwards, very sour grapes to him. In one letter, he remarks that, in his "scene of domestic comfort the bustle of Edinburgh will soon be a business of sickening disgust"; but we seem to have a better insight into the real state of his feelings, when, in reference to the friendships he had formed there, he writes to Dunbar: "from my uncouthness when out of my native sphere and my obscurity in that sphere, I am obliged to give most of them up in despair of a mutual return." Partly, it may be, from his own faults, but, mainly, owing to his previous circumstances, he felt himself a kind of alien in the sphere of life which best accorded with his aspirations; and, though the "obscurity" of his position is always referred to by him in a manly and independent fashion, his rooted discontent manifested itself more and more as time went on.

> The heart of man and the fancy of the poet [he wrote to Mrs. Dunlop] are the two grand considerations for which I live; if miry ridges and dirty dunghills are to express the best part of the functions of my soul immortal, I had better have been a rook or a magpie at once.

The support of his wife and family was always his first care, but the only thing that made his social "obscurity" tolerable to him was the hope that, as a farmer, he might enjoy sufficient leisure and sufficient freedom from care to enable him, as he put it, "to pay court to the tuneful sisters." To Lady Elizabeth Cunningham he wrote: "I had the most ardent enthusiasm for the muses when nobody knew me but myself, and that ardour is by no means cooled now that My Lord Glencairn's goodness has introduced me to all the world." To bishop

Geddes, brother of the poet, he intimated his determination "to try if the ripening and corrections of years" could enable him "to produce something worth preserving," and he proposed to communicate to him when he saw him in Edinburgh, "some large poetic plans that are floating," so he writes, "in my head, or partly put in execution." Of these plans, he makes more definite mention in a letter to Lady Elizabeth Cunningham. He was, he said, not "in haste for the press," and he continues:

> I am aware that though I were to give performances to the world superior to my former works, still if they were of the same kind with those, the comparative reception they would meet with would mortify me. For this reason I am determined if possible to secure my great friend Novelty on my side by the kind of my performances;

and he further went on to say that he had "thoughts on the drama":

> not the stately busk of the Tragic Muse, but considering the favourite things of the day, the two or three act Pieces of O'Keefe, Mrs. Inchbald etc.—does not your Ladyship think that an Edin. Theatre would be more amused with the affectation, folly and whim of true Scottish growth, than manners which by far the greatest part of the audience can only know at second hand?

Later, with a view to some such purpose, he set himself to collect the works of English and French dramatic authors.

Doubtless, in cherishing such intentions, as in his occasional experiments in purely English verse, Burns was partly influenced by the comparatively low esteem in which Scots vernacular verse was then held by the more cultured of his countrymen. Some have also expressed the opinion that, in contemplating becoming a dramatist of any kind, he was mistaking his true vocation as much as he did in aspiring to become an accomplished English poet. Necessarily, he was lacking in stagecraft; but, then, he had a marvellous genius for comedy, and anything he wrote was certain to be at least delightfully amusing reading. Even at the worst, he might have considerably eclipsed Ramsay's *Gentle Shepherd;* indeed, when we consider that *The Jolly Beggars* was the random product of his early and untutored years, it is difficult to say what he might not have

accomplished as a writer of, at least, a certain type of comedy-opera libretto.

Then, in the *Tam o' Shanter* of his more mature and more fully disciplined genius, he did actually achieve a splendid success in a species of verse quite different from any of his earlier pieces; and, given the leisure that assists inclination, he might well have delighted the world with a series of similar tales. But the melancholy fact is, that, apart from songs, it remains almost the one solitary sign that he had it in him to fulfil the promise of his Mossgiel productions by the execution of more mature and finished work. Notwithstanding his repeatedly expressed resolve "to produce something worth preserving," he never did seriously set himself to carry out his meditated plans; no trace was found among his papers of even abortive attempts to do so. The last nine years of his life—the period when his powers might be supposed to be at their best—were, apart from songs, almost a poetic blank. He may have been partly led astray by a passing ambition to excel in English verse; but the chief explanation seems to be that, as he well might, he partly succumbed, doubtless at first, reluctantly, but, in the end, apathetically, to his circumstances. The mere return to his old farming tasks, implying, as it did, the definite dissipation of his more sanguine day-dreams, was, however brave a face he might put on it, a very disheartening experience; and, when, to the old gin-horse round of toil and care was conjoined the old impossibility of making farming pay, his highest poetic intentions were bound to remain unfulfilled. By obtaining an excise commission for his own rural district with a salary of fifty pounds, he was able to save himself from bankruptcy; but this supplement to his income did little more; and, all things considered, he concluded that his only chance of bettering himself in life was through the excise. Having, therefore, at a break in the lease, relinquished his farm, he removed to Dumfries at a salary of seventy pounds, which, in September, 1792, when he was appointed port officer, was raised to ninety pounds; but this was the extent of his promotion, for his outspoken approbation of the French revolutionaries, both in conversation and in occasional verse, brought him into bad odour with his official superiors and even endangered the retention of his office. This greatly embittered and disheartened

him; towards his closing years, he partly lost hope; and his higher poetic ambitions remained in suspense until fate conclusively decided against them by the long painful illness which, 21 July, 1796, terminated in his death.

Happily, however, he all along found some encouragement and opportunity for the exercise of his gifts as a song writer. While in Edinburgh, he made the acquaintance of James Johnson, an engraver and music-seller, who was then preparing the first volume of his *Scots Musical Museum.* To the first volume, he contributed two songs; and, from the autumn of 1787 almost until his death, he was largely both literary and musical editor of the work. He wrote the prefaces probably of volume II and certainly of volumes III and IV; volume V did not appear until shortly after his death, but it includes some of his best songs and adaptations, among them *A Red, Red Rose, Auld Lang Syne* and *It was a' for our Richtfu' King;* while volume VI, though not published until 1803—doubtless largely due to the lack of his supervising help—was in course of preparation before his death, and contains some twenty of his contributions. All that he did for the publication was, with him, a mere labour of love. He received no remuneration for it, nor would he have accepted any. In his efforts on its behalf, he was influenced partly by the desire to help "a good, worthy, honest fellow" in a patriotic undertaking, the lucrative character of which was very doubtful, and which, without his guidance and help, seemed almost certain to collapse. But to assist in it was, besides, a pure delight: he confided to the poet Skinner that he had "been absolutely crazed about the project," and was "collecting stanzas and every information respecting their origin, authors, etc." Most of this did not involve any protracted mental effort. He could amend songs with easy facility, and he could even partly compose others during his labours on the farm, or in the course of his excise excursions, which, also, supplied him with opportunities for obtaining old songs and airs from tradition.

While Burns was still busy assisting Johnson, George Thomson—a government clerk in Edinburgh and an amateur musician—invited him, in September, 1792, to contribute songs to his *Scottish Airs with Poetry,* to which Pleyel had promised accompaniments; and, without remitting his diligence in

assisting Johnson, he could not resist immediately informing Thomson how delighted he was with his proposal, which, he said, "will positively add to my enjoyment in complying with it." But, though Thomson, also, mentioned that he would pay him any reasonable price he might demand for his contributions, Burns replied: "As to remuneration, you may think my songs either *above or below* price, for they shall absolutely be the one or the other." In his difficult worldly circumstances, it was a noble, though almost Quixotic, resolve; but, apart from the fact that he was not receiving any remuneration from Johnson, he was determined to be influenced by no other considerations than love of his art, and to be perfectly free and independent in the exercise of it. He did not object to change lines and word when he thought that, while satisfying his own judgment, he might better meet the wishes of Thomson; he did not resent even Thomson's most absurd suggestions; but he was adamant when convinced that any alterations would be for the worse, though he told Thomson repeatedly, and evidently with perfect candour, that he would not be in any degree offended by his rejection of any songs that did not please him.

The prosecution of his art, even in this circumscribed fashion, became, to Burns, the sheet-anchor of his life, and his main solace during the troubles and frustrations of his later years. On the whole, the best of his work was that which he did for Johnson. He began it when hope was still high within him, and here he was, besides, his own editor. Moreover, although, in his first letter to Thomson, he had written: "*Apropos*, if you are for *English* verses there is an end of the matter," he was ultimately induced, entirely against his better judgment, to oblige Thomson by not unfrequently breaking his resolution. "Whether in the simplicity," so he had written, "of the Ballad or the pathos of the Song, I can only hope to please myself in being allowed at least a sprinkling of my native tongue"; and the justness of his preference is abundantly proved by his performances.

If lyric verse did not afford Burns adequate scope for the exercise of his best poetic powers, it quite accorded with a certain strain of his complex personality. He found an entirely congenial medium for the expression of poetic emotion and sympathetic humour, and the exercise of his rare artistic

sensibilities, in writing new songs to old airs, in giving a new, and an artistically improved, expression to some of the freer songs of tradition, in inimitable amendments of other old songs—sometimes merely by the substitution, here and there, of a new word, or phrase, or line, or the partial reconstruction of a stanza; often by a combined process of omission, condensation and addition, so that a merely halting and vulgar, if, in some respects, clever, doggerel ditty, becomes transformed into a noble and finished masterpiece; or, again, by utilising merely the burden or chorus of an old song, or a mere fragment of verse preserved in floating tradition, so as, while preserving the spirit and essence of the sentiment, to inspire it with higher emotional efficacy and provide it with the artistic setting necessary for its full lyrical expression. Unlike many song writers, he, also, even when the words were entirely his own, wrote his songs for particular airs, and most of them for old traditional airs, some of which he himself collected. His inspiration was thus, in part, derived from the old national music.

> Until [so he wrote to Thomson] I am a complete master of a tune in my own singing (such as it is) I never can compose for it. My way is: I consider the poetic sentiment corresponding to my idea of the musical expression; then choose my theme.

Again, even of the advantage of having only the old title, when the song has been lost, and "composing the rest of the verses to suit that line," he says:

> This has always a finer effect than composing English words, or words with an idea foreign to the spirit of the old title. When old titles of songs convey any idea at all, they will generally be found to be quite in the spirit of the air.

But, apart from the burden, or the fragments, or the title, or the air, much of his direct lyrical inspiration was derived from, or modified by, the past. Here, it was not Ramsay or Fergusson, or any other bards of the revival that he strove to emulate, but "the glorious old bards" of an earlier period. The special character of his success, even when the theme was entirely his own, was largely due to his comprehensive know-

ledge of old minstrelsy; he was pervaded by its spirit, and, besides fashioning his verses for its music, moulded them in the manner of its expression. It was, also, mainly because of the large and various inheritance of old verse, which he was free to manipulate and reshape, that he was able to supply the world with so rich an assortment of popular songs, and, more especially, to appeal in them, so fully and irresistibly as he does, to Scottish sentiment and emotion. The best of his lyrics—both those entirely or mainly his own and those which he partly refashioned or almost re-created—differ entirely in their manner and spirit from those of the principal English poets. Much of their special virtue derives from their antique ingenuousness and simplicity, and the marvellous art of Burns is manifested in the manner in which, while preserving the antique charm, he has enriched each song with his own individual vitality. Only an exceptional poetic artist could have so finely utilised Burns's opportunities, but his opportunities were, themselves, exceptional. His peasant origin and environment specially aided him in preserving the primitive simplicity of the old songs; and his achievements as lyrist indicate, also, extraordinary gifts of sympathy, humour, sentiment and emotion combined with a great mastery of expression and a singularly delicate artistic sense; but they could never have been so great, varied and unique as they are, except for his partial partnership with older bards.

To give a few illustrations. The lyric by which he is best known throughout the world is *Auld Lang Syne:* its universal and immortal popularity depends on the fine fervour and simplicity of its appeal to old memories of social fellowship; but it is not wholly Burns's own: he got its burden and the essence of its sentiment, however defectively it was expressed, from an old anonymous song, itself derived from an ancient and lost original. Again, of *MacPherson's Farewell* and specially of the chorus, Carlyle remarks: "Who, except Burns, could have given words to such a soul?" This is true enough, but Carlyle did not know that the chorus of Burns is merely a masterly modification of that of a broadside, contemporary with MacPherson's execution, from which, moreover, the whole outlaw sentiment of the song—matchless though its expression of the sentiment is—is borrowed. A much less striking but, so

far as the theme would permit, equally complete, example of the deftness of Burns in utilising the burden and sentiment of an old song is *Up in the Morning Early*. "The chorus of this," he himself tells us, "is old; the two stanzas are mine"; but, had he not got the chorus, he would not have written the stanzas, nor could he have written anything at all resembling them. Those three lyrics differ widely in their sentiment and manner, but this, mainly, because in each case, Burns borrowed the sentiment and the manner of different old songs.

Of another, and quite dissimilar, method of utilisation we have an example in the piquantly humourous sketch of rustic courtship in *Duncan Davison*. The song was suggested by, and borrowed something from, an old song of the same name in *The Merry Muses;* but its last stanza is, as regards the first half, a mere assortment of lines borrowed from old ballads and songs, while the second half was snatched almost verbally from the Herd MS. As illustrating his art of re-creation, in which a matchless process of revision is combined with condensation, omissions and slight additions, it may suffice to mention *How Lang and Drearie is the Night, Charlie he's my Darling, A Red, Red Rose* and *It was a' for our Richtfu' King*. The two last rank with the very finest specimens of lyric verse; and many would rank them above any of Burns's songs of which the *motif* was entirely his own. True, most Scots probably agree with Carlyle that *Scots Wha hae* is the best war ode "ever written by any pen"; but, here, there is a possibility of patriotic bias. There are some, again, who think that Burns reached the height of his achievement in *Is there for Honest Poverty*, which, though a kind of parody of an older song, or older songs, is, like *Scots Wha hae*, Burns to the core, and, though not faultless as regards the temper of its philosophy, offers, on the whole, a splendidly glowing forecast of the final triumph of human worth over all artificial restrictions; but the piece is apt to be overestimated or underestimated according to the predilections of the reader.

Of the more purely lyrical pieces which he claims as his own, though they are suggested by older songs, characteristic examples are *John Anderson My Jo, O Merry Hae I been, What Can a Young Lassie, Wha is that at My Bower Door, O Leeze me on my Spinnin Wheel* and *Comin Thro' the Rye*. On the other

hand, while the majority of his lyrics were not expressive of sentiments due to his actual experience, and, though some of this sort—especially the artificial kind produced for Thomson by putting himself "in the regimen of adoring a fine woman"—are but mediocre, they also include such varied and excellent specimens of his art as *The Rantin Dog the Daddie O't*, *Of a' the Airts*, *The Banks o' Doon*, *Ye Banks and Braes and Streams Around*, *Yestreen I had a pint o' Wine*, *Willie Brew'd a Peck o' Maut*, *The Blue-eyed Lassie*, *Mary Morison* and *O Wert thou in the Cauld blast.*

As regards his purely English songs, it may suffice to quote two of his own remarks to Thomson: "You must not, my dear Sir, expect your English songs to have superlative merit, 'tis enough if they are passable"; and: "These English songs gravel me to death. I have not the command of the language that I have of my native tongue. In fact I think my ideas are more barren in English than in Scottish." Some, even of his Scottish songs or adaptations, are not of "superlative merit"; the character of the theme or sentiment does not always permit of this; but there are few that do not, in their tone or expression exhibit traces of his felicitous art; and, taken altogether, his achievement as a lyrist—partly on account of its peculiar relations to the older bards—is, for comprehensiveness and variety, unmatched by any other poet. For the same reason, it is, in its character, in some respects, unique; and, while the general level of its excellence is very high, it often, notwithstanding a pervading rustic homeliness, exercises the complete captivating charm which is the highest triumph of lyric verse.

Thus, while, in other respects, the poetical aims of Burns were largely frustrated, he was, as a lyrist, even, in some respects, peculiarly favoured by fate. Here, he fulfilled, and even more than fulfilled, the promise of his earlier years; and if, as seemed to Carlyle, all the writings he has left us are "no more than a poor mutilated fraction of what was in him," his very peasant circumstances—which, in some ways, greatly hampered and narrowed his endeavours—were, also, the means of enabling him to bequeath a poetic legacy more essentially Scottish than, probably, it could otherwise have been, and, at the same time, of such vital worth as to secure him a high place among the greater poets of Britain.

Lesser Scottish Verse

The Scottish literary revival inaugurated by Ramsay was associated with a widespread interest among educated and fashionable ladies in the old national airs and songs, and it is not therefore surprising that several of the most talented of them essayed song writing. Lady Grizel Baillie, Lady Wardlaw, Mrs. Cockburn and Jane Elliot have been already mentioned.[1] These and other ladies, besides songs that have been published, wrote various others which were circulated only privately among their friends; and the fashion continued into the nineteenth century. Here, however, our chronicle of poetesses begins with Joanna Baillie, who was more of a professional authoress than most of the others. In 1790, she published a volume of *Fugitive Pieces;* and, while she devoted her main efforts, occasionally with marked literary success, to playwriting, it is probably mainly by her songs that she will be remembered. In 1823 appeared *Metrical Legends*, and her poems were published in one volume in 1841. Burns considered her *Saw Ye Johnie Comin*, which appeared anonymously in volume I of Johnson's *Museum*, unparalleled for "genuine humour in the verses and lively originality in the air." Among her happier contributions to Thomson's *Scottish Airs* are a version of *Woo'd and Married and a'*, beginning "My Bride she is winsome and bonnie," and *Poverty parts Good Company*, both in the old Scottish manner; and the same sprightly humour manifests itself in some of her ballad tales, as *It was on a morn* and *Tam o' the Lin*, a parody of the *Tomy Lin* ballad in Ritson's *Northern Chorister*, which is related to a very old rime.

The very popular sentimental song *Auld Robin Gray*, which first appeared in a very imperfect form in Herbert Croft's novel *Love and Madness* (1780), and, afterwards, in volume III of Johnson's *Museum*, was written by Lady Anne Lindsay of Balcarres (afterwards Lady Anne Barnard) as words to the air of an old song *The Bridegroom Greets* [weeps] *When the Sun gae's doon*, sung by a much older lady at Balcarres, who "did not," says Lady Anne, "object to its having improper words." A version revised by Lady Anne, with a continuation,

[1] See *ante*, Vol. IX.

was, in 1829, edited for the Bannatyne club by Sir Walter Scott, who was also entrusted with other poems and songs by Lady Anne and other members of the Lindsay family for publication; but the permission to publish was, afterwards, withdrawn. The only other piece known to be by Lady Anne is a short poem in *The Scots Magazine* for May, 1805, *Why Tarries My Love.* Susanna Blamire, the "muse of Cumberland," though of English descent and birth, spent much time in Scotland, owing to her elder sister's marriage to colonel Graham, of Gartmore, and became specially interested in old Scottish songs and airs. To Johnson's *Museum*, she contributed two songs, somewhat in the Scottish style: *What ails this heart of Mine*, and the better known *And Ye shall walk in Silk Attire;* and her *Nabob* is a kind of parody of *Auld Lang Syne.* Mrs. Grant of Carron (afterwards Mrs. Murray of Bath) is the authoress of the sprightly *Roy's Wife of Aldivalloch*, admirably suited to the air *The Ruffians Rant*, to which it is set. It appeared in volume III of Johnson's *Museum* (1792); and, some time after its publication there, Burns, in his long critical letter to Thomson, of September, 1793, thus refers to it:

> I have the original, set as well as written by the Lady who composed it [it was probably sent to the editor of Johnson's *Museum* after the publication of the song there], and it is superior to anything the public has yet seen;

but this version of the song has disappeared. Mrs. Grant of Laggan, authoress of *Letters from the Mountains*, 1806, published, in 1803, a volume of *Poems*, and, in 1814, *Eighteen hundred and Thirteen a Poem;* but only her song, *O Where tell me Where*, has escaped oblivion. Elizabeth Hamilton, authoress of the Scottish tale *The Cottagers of Glenburnie* and other works, is known as the writer of only one song, the simple and homely, but very happily expressed, *My Ain Fireside.* Mrs. John Hunter, wife of the famous anatomical professor, published a volume of *Poems* in 1802. Her song, *Adieu Ye Streams that Swiftly Glide*, appeared in *The Lark*, in 1765, as a proposed setting to the old air *The Flowers of the Forest*, and it is the third set to that tune in volume I of Johnson's *Museum;* but, of course, it is quite overshadowed by the first two versions,

by Mrs. Cockburn and Jane Elliot respectively; and she is now mainly remembered by her *My Mother bids me bind my hair*, which was set to music by Haydn. Burns sent to Johnson's *Museum* two songs by Mrs. Maclehose ("Clarinda"), *Talk not of Love* and *To a Black-bird*. They are quite as good as most of the sentimental English lyrics of the period; but it was mere flattery on his part to assert of the former that the latter half of its first stanza "would have been worthy of Sappho."

Caroline Oliphant, Lady Nairne, who began to write as the career of Burns was prematurely drawing to a close, outvies all other songstresses of Scotland in the average excellence and variety of her songs. Early though she began to write, most of her best-known songs were first published—under the signature B.B.—in *The Scottish Minstrel*.[1] Though she was largely inspired by the example of Burns, and, like him, wrote many new versions of old songs, she has been likened to him rather inaptly; for the feminine strain is even more marked in most of her songs than it is in several of the songs of the women already mentioned. Such a strain in a woman writer is, of course, rather an excellence than a defect, just as the strong manliness of Burns lends a special compelling charm to his verse. At the same time, Lady Nairne's love songs, such as *The Lass of Gowrie* and *Hunting Tower*, somewhat lack afflatus, and are rather hackneyed and conventional in their sentiment. On the other hand, pathetic feeling is finely expressed in such songs as *The Auld Hoose*, *Here's to Them that are Gane*, *The Rowan Tree* and *The Land of the Leal*, though the last has not been improved by the traditional substitution of "Jean" for "John" as the person addressed—a change perpetuated, partly, because of the quite mistaken supposition that the song was meant to express the dying words of Burns—for the sentiment of the song is essentially that of a woman. *Caller Herrin*, a kind of blend of humour and pathos, is, as set to the air by Niel Gow, a very realistic representation of the cries of picturesque Newhaven fishwives in Edinburgh streets, mingled with the peal of bells in St. Andrew's church, George street. *John Tod* and *The Laird of Cockpen*—the latter suggested by an older song—are wittily humorous portraits of antique eccentrics; and *The Hundred Pipers* is quite irresistible in its combination

[1] Edited by Smith, R. A., in six volumes (1821–4).

of Jacobite defiance and comical mirth. Though written when Jacobitism had become little more than a pious opinion or a romantic memory, Lady Nairne's Jacobite songs are inspired by a fervent Jacobite ardour, derived from old family predilections. Among the best known are *Wha'll be King but Charlie, Will Ye no come back again?, He's O'er the Hills that I lo'e weel* and *Charlie is My Darling*, a more Jacobite, but very inferior, reading of the Burns adaptation in Johnson's *Museum.*

Among the more voluminous contributors to Johnson's *Museum* was Burns's friend, the blind poet, Dr. Blacklock; but the character of his lyrics is sufficiently indicated in the words of Burns, so far as they apply to his friend. "He," he says, in his tactfully and modestly polite fashion, "as well as I, often gave Johnson verses, trifling enough perhaps, but they served as a vehicle for the music." Blacklock's contributions, all in pure English, are, in fact, quite commonplace and characterless. There is, however, some poetic feeling in the contributions, mainly in English, of Richard Gall, an Edinburgh printer, whose *Poems and Songs* were published posthumously at Edinburgh in 1819; but, neither his *Farewell to Ayrshire*, sent by him to Johnson's *Museum* with the name of Burns attached to it, nor his *Now Bank and Brae*, wrongly ascribed to Burns by Cromek, is of greater merit than the more indifferent lyrics of Burns. John Hamilton, a music-seller in Edinburgh—mainly remembered for the additions to *Of a' the Airts*, which he ventured to make as he was accustomed to do to other songs which he sold with the music in sheets—contributed several songs to Johnson's *Museum;* but none of them call for mention here. Burns sent to the *Museum* two songs by the John Lapraik of his poetic *Epistles: When I upon thy Bosom Lean*, the "song that pleased me best" of the *Epistle*, and *Jenny was Frail and Unkind.* Because of a somewhat different version of the former song having appeared in *Ruddiman's Magazine* in 1773, Lapraik's authorship of it has been questioned; but he included it in his published *Poems* (1788). John Lowe, an episcopal clergyman of Kirkcudbrightshire, is represented in volume I of Johnson's *Museum* by the tragic song, in pure English, *Mary's Dream*, of which a forged vernacular version, doubtless by Allan Cunningham, appeared in

Cromek's *Remains* as the original. *Pompey's Ghost*, also, is attributed to Lowe by Burns; but it appeared in *The Blackbird* in 1764, when Lowe was only fourteen years old.

Hector MacNeil, though the senior of Burns by thirteen years, did not publish his ballad legend *The Harp* until 1789. His poetic tales, *Scotland's Scaith or the History of Will and Jean* (1795), and the sequel, *The Waes of War or the Upshot of the History of Will and Jean* (1796), were meant to expose the evils of the convivial habits of the period. The stories, rather trite in their general tenor, are tersely rimed; and their homely commonplace and moral wisdom secured them a wide circulation among the people; but neither these nor other tales by him in prose and verse, also of didactic intent, are any longer read; and his memory is kept green mainly by various excellent contributions to Johnson's *Museum*. The ballad *Donald and Flora*, in that publication, though well expressed, is rather mannered and artificial; but, in the vernacular *Mary of Castle Cary*, *My Boy Tammy* (founded on an old song of which at least one broadside copy still exists), *Come Under my Plaidie* and *Dinna think Bonnie Lassie*, homeliness of sentiment is blended to very good purpose with quiet or lively humour.

A considerable contributor to the *Museum* was James Tytler —known as "Balloon Tytler," from his construction of a balloon in which he made the first ascent in Scotland—latterly an Edinburgh hackwriter (until, owing to his revolutionary principles, he emigrated to America, where he became somewhat more prosperous), but of good education and of accomplishments ranging from science to theology. He was editor, and largely compiler, of the second and third editions of *The Encyclopaedia Britannica*, at, according to Burns, the remarkable salary of half a guinea a week, though, it is said, with an advance in the case of the third edition. Burns describes him as "an unknown drunken mortal," who "drudges about Edinburgh as a common printer, with leaky shoes, a skylighted hat and knee-breeches as unlike George by the Grace of God as Solomon the Son of David." Of the songs which he contributed to the *Museum*, the best known are two in the vernacular: *The Bonnie Brucket Lassie*, which preserves two lines of an old free song of that name, and *I hae laid a Herring in Saut*, an adaptation from a song in the Herd MS. related to

a very old wooing song, containing the line "I canna come every day to Woo."

John Mayne, born in Dumfries the same year as Burns, contributed to *The Dumfries Journal*, in the office of which he was a printer, twelve stanzas of *The Siller Gun*, published, in 1779, in an expanded form in two cantos. Written in the six-line stave in *rime couée*, it gives a spirited vernacular account of the annual shooting-match at Dumfries for the silver gun presented by James VI. From his *Halloween*, published in *Ruddiman's Magazine*, in 1780, Burns got some hints for his poem of that name. In 1787, Mayne became editor of *The London Star*, where, in 1789, appeared his version of *Logan Water*—founded on an older song—which, in popular esteem, has justly superseded the semi-political version by Burns, composed, he tells Thomson, "in my elbow chair, in three quarters of an hour's lucubrations."

Sir Alexander Boswell, of Auchinleck, the eldest son of Johnson's biographer, inherited his father's love of literature. As an Ayrshire man, he was specially interested in the career of Burns, in honour of whom he initiated the movement for the erection of a monument on the banks of Doon. Boswell's pastoral dialogue *Ah! Mary, sweetest maid, Farewell*, first published as a sheet song, appeared in the sixth volume of Johnson's *Museum;* and he contributed songs to George Thomson's *Welsh Airs*, his *Irish Airs* and his *Scottish Airs* and to Campbell's *Albyn's Anthology*. In 1803, he published, anonymously, *Songs Chiefly in the Scottish Dialect;* in 1812, he wrote *Sir Albyn*, a burlesque of Sir Walter Scott's poetic methods; and, at his private printing press at Auchinleck, he published various short poems written by himself, as well as reprints of some old works. His squib, *The New Whig Song* in *The Glasgow Sentinel*, led to a challenge from James Stuart, of Dunearn, and, in the duel which followed, 26 March, 1822, Boswell was fatally wounded. His *Taste Life's Glad Moments* and *Paddy O'Rafferty* are still well known; but his most characteristic pieces are his humorous vernacular sketches and songs, such as *Skeldon Haughs or the Sow flitted, Jenny's Bawbee* and *Jenny Dang the Weaver*, and the singularly realistic domestic quarrel and reconciliation detailed in *The East Neuk of Fife*.

In striking contrast with the songs of Boswell are the love

lyrics of the Paisley weaver and chief of many Paisley poets, Robert Tannahill, who published a volume of *Poems and Songs* in 1817. The rather monotonous amorousness of Tannahill's songs is relieved by the felicity of his references to nature: he conveys the impression that he is quite as much enamoured by nature's charms, as by those of the imaginary sweethearts he elects to bear him company in his saunterings. The truth is that, having been at an early period of life disappointed in a very serious love affair, he was, henceforth, a lover merely in a poetical or a reminiscent sense. He first won general fame by his *Jessie the Flower of Dunblane* (an imaginary personage), which was set to music by his fellow townsman, R. A. Smith, afterwards of Edinburgh; and, among other songs still popular are *The Lass of Arrinteenie* (not in Paisley, but on the banks of loch Long!), *Gloomy Winter's noo Awa'*, *The Bonnie Wood of Craigielea*, *Loudon's bonnie Woods and Braes* and *The Braes o' Balquither*. He is, also, the author of a clever humorous song *Rob Roryson's Bonnet*. Another Paisley poet, who began life as a weaver, and then blossomed into a travelling packman, was Alexander Wilson, who, in 1790, got a volume of his poems printed, which he sold on his itineraries. Later, he resided in Edinburgh and became a poetic contributor to *The Bee;* but, on account of republican sentiments inspired by the French revolution, he emigrated to America, where he won lasting fame as an ornithologist by his work on American birds. Wilson's lengthy and rather homespun and squalid ballad *Watty and Meg*, published anonymously in 1792, was hawked through Dumfries by one Andrew Hislop, as a new ballad by Robert Burns; upon which Burns is stated to have said to him: "That's a lee Andrew, but I would make your plack a bawbee if it were mine": a dark saying, which could hardly be meant, as is often supposed, as a compliment to the merits of the ballad. Of higher social station and literary pretension than either Tannahill or Wilson was William Motherwell, who, though a native of Glasgow, where he was born in 1797, was brought up in Paisley, under the care of his uncle, and, after some years spent in the sheriff-clerk's office there, became editor of *The Paisley Advertiser* and, later, of *The Glasgow Courier*. In 1817, he also began *The Harp of Renfrewshire*, to which he contributed various songs as well as an essay on the poets of Ren-

frewshire. In 1827, he published his *Minstrelsy Ancient and Modern*, which included various ballad versions collected and, probably, somewhat "improved" by himself. His *Poems Narrative and Lyrical* appeared in 1832; and, together with James Hogg, he brought out, in 1834–5, an edition of the *Works* of Burns. He was a facile versifier, with small poetic inspiration; he wrote some ballads in an affectedly antique style, but is best known by his vernacular songs, which, however, have little individuality; *Jeanie Morrison* is a little too cloying in its sentimentality.

Next to Burns, by far the most considerable poet of humble birth was James Hogg, the Ettrick shepherd; and, though, in richness of natural endowments, he is not to be compared to Burns, his poetic career was, in some respects, more astonishing. His record, in his autobiography, of how he became the poet that he was, is a plain and simple statement of unexaggerated fact; but it reads almost like a sheerly impossible romance. In all, he was not more than six months at school, and, when he left, at the age of seven, he had only "advanced so far as to get into the class that read the Bible"; and, in writing, he was able only to scrawl the letters, "nearly an inch in length." In his early years, his poetic tendencies did not receive any instruction or fostering influence except that derived from his peasant mother's imperfect recital of ballads and fairy tales. From his eighth year, his hours from daybreak to sunset were spent in the fields as a herdboy and, later, as a shepherd. Until his eighteenth year, the only verses that he had seen in print were the metrical *Psalms* of David, and, when he obtained access to *The Life and Adventures of Sir William Wallace* and *The Gentle Shepherd*, he could make very slow progress in reading them: "The little reading that I had learned," he says, "I had nearly lost, and the Scottish dialect quite confounded me." While a shepherd with Laidlaw, of Blackhouse, he was, however, supplied by him with a number of books, which, he says, he "began to read with considerable attention"; and, "no sooner," he relates, "did I begin to read so as to understand them, than, rather prematurely" (he was, however, twenty-six years of age) "I began to write." His first compositions "were songs and ballads made up for the lassies to sing in chorus." "I had no more difficulty," he naïvely tells us, "in composing them

than I have at present, and I was equally well pleased with them." His main difficulty was in writing them out after he had composed and corrected them in his mind; he had "no method of learning to write save by following the Italian alphabet"; and, with laborious toil, he could not do more than "four or six lines at a sitting." So isolated was he in his southern solitudes, that, he says, "the first time I heard of Burns was in 1797, the year after he died," when a half-daft man came to him on the hill and surprised and entranced him by repeating to him *Tam o' Shanter*. This "formed," so he writes, "a new epoch of my life. Every day I pondered on the genius and fate of Burns. I wept and always thought with myself what is to hinder me from succeeding Burns?"

The ambition of Hogg—recorded by him with characteristically ingenuous vanity—may well seem rather extravagant. His career as a poet, remarkable though it was, cannot be said to entitle him to rank as a second Burns. Save that, like Burns, he was a Scottish peasant, he has very little in common with him. He lacks his predecessor's marked intellectuality as well as his strongly passionate temperament. Emotion, imagination, a good musical ear, a faculty for riming, a strong sympathy with nature, created by years of solitary converse with her, were his principal gifts. He had an excellent eye for scenery, and his descriptions are remarkably fine and truthful; but he is somewhat superficial; the vigour and penetration of Burns are beyond him. As he possessed, however, a peculiarly lightsome and joyful disposition, his hardships, disappointments and misfortunes did not, as in the case of Burns, give him any very deep concern.

> One may think [he writes], on reading over this memoir, that I have worn out a life of misery and wretchedness; but the case has been quite the reverse. I never knew either man or woman who has been so universally happy as I have been; which has been partly owing to a good constitution, and partly from the conviction that a heavenly gift, conferring the powers of immortal song, was inherent in my soul.

The wide difference in the individualities of Burns and Hogg is shown in their relations with Edinburgh. Lacking the

personal *prestance* of Burns, Hogg could not attain there to the great personal success commanded by Burns; his rustic simplicity, combined with his vanity and certain eccentricities of manner, partly created by his early circumstances, even made him a kind of butt in the higher literary circles of which he was proud to be reckoned a member; and, to many, he is now best known by the unfair caricature of him as the irrepressible "Shepherd," in *Noctes Ambrosianae*. But, unlike Burns, he made a definite attempt, and, considering his antecedents, with quite marvellous success, to establish himself as a *littérateur* in Edinburgh. Having lost, in farming, the money gained by the publication of *The Mountain Bard*, he, as late as 1810—when he was forty years of age—set out to the capital on his adventurous quest. "I tost," he writes, "my plaid about my shoulders, and marched away to Edinburgh, determined, since no better could be, to push my fortunes as a literary man." He even set up, as he puts it, for "a connoisseur in manners, taste and genius," by founding a weekly critical journal *The Spy;* and, fresh from wielding his shepherd's crook in the wilds of Ettrick, essayed to supply literary guidance and direction to the enlightened denizens of the metropolis. This paper—a literary curiosity of which, unhappily, no copy is now known to survive—written three-fourths by himself, was carried on for more than a year; and, largely for his own mental discipline, he set on foot a debating society, the Forum, where his speeches must have been sufficiently amusing. But, by his publication of *The Queen's Wake*, he more than surprised even his warmest admirers. "'Od," said one of his vernacular acquaintances, "wha wad hae thought there was as muckle in that sheep's head o' yours?" It firmly established his reputation as a poet; but, owing to the failure of his publishers, his fortunes were yet to seek, when the duke of Buccleuch bestowed on him the farm of Altrive in Yarrow, at a nominal rent. Here, until his death in 1835—with occasional visits to Edinburgh and the lakes—he continued to spend a life in which farming and sports were, not in a pecuniary sense very successfully, but, otherwise, happily enough, combined with literary labours, his conviction of his supreme success in which made him blissfully content with his, from a worldly point of view, comparatively humble lot: "Yes," so he wrote in his old age:

Yes—I hae fought and won the day;
Come weel, come woe, I care na by;
I am a King! My regal sway
Stretches o'er Scotia's mountains high
And o'er the fairy vales that lie
Beneath the glimpses of the moon,
Or round the ledges of the Sky
In twilight's everlasting noon.

The poetry of Hogg is more akin to that of Scott than that of Burns. Properly, he does not belong to the Scottish poetic school of the revival. His poetic powers were first nourished by, and received their special bent from, old border tales and ballads. He was nearly thirty years of age before he had even heard of Burns; and if, latterly, he was well read in Scottish vernacular verse, he, while employing a kind of Scots in certain of his pieces, did not make any use of the old traditional Scottish staves. Long before he had studied the vernacular bards, he had become acquainted with the works of various English poets. Thus, unlike Burns, he never had, in a literary sense, any strong vernacular bias; and, since a great period of poetic revival had now begun, both in Scotland and England, he, necessarily, received from it much stimulus and guidance; in fact, it was with these later poets he loved to be classed, and he reckoned himself by no means the least of the brilliant galaxy. While, therefore, his verse, like himself, displays, now and again, a certain naïve rusticity, and is occasionally marred by superficial solecisms, it is not only distinguished by the native charm derived from his early nurture on adventurous ballad tales and fairy lore, and from his mode of life as a solitary shepherd in a beautiful pastoral region, but, also, bears tokens of cultured refinement. Unlike Burns, he wrote English verse with perfect facility. His excessive fluency, his *extempore* voluminousness, his inability to condense—due, partly, to his insufficient mental discipline in early life—is, in truth, the occasion of his chief literary sins as a writer both of prose and verse; his larger poems as well as his ballads are, generally, too long drawn out. Yet, he has his passages of high inspiration. The concluding portion of *The Witch of Fife* in *The Queen's Wake* is a quaintly unique specimen of fantastic eeriness, touched

with humour, *e.g.* the flight of the bewitched old man from Carlisle:

> His armis war spred and his heid was hiche,
> And his feite stack out behynde;
> And the laibis of the auld manis cote
> War wauffing in the wynde.
> And aye he nicherit, and aye he flew,
> For he thochte the ploy sa raire;
> It was like the voice of the gainder blue,
> Quhan he flees throw the ayr.

Bonny Kilmeny—which most critics unite to praise—in the same poem, is in a quite different vein. Though it has certain superficial faults, he here succeeds with delicate imaginative art in invoking to admirable purpose the old mystic fairy spells, faintly preserved in what remains of the old ballad stories of tradition. Many, also, of the ballad imitations in the same poem, though lacking in conciseness, have much spirit; the eleventh bard's song, *The Fate of Macgregor* ("Macgregor, Macgregor remember our Foemen"), is, also, a splendidly vivid and impressive recital, and the poem abounds in finely descriptive passages, somewhat after the manner of Scott, with others more airily mystical. In *Mador of the Moor*, he employs the Spenserian stanza with perfect success: he tells us, in characteristic fashion, that he "had the vanity to believe," that he was "going to give the world a new specimen of this stanza in its proper harmony"; and, if the story is badly constructed, the narrative flows on with perfect ease and smoothness. He is, also, pretty near the truth when he remarks, with his usual self-satisfaction, "There is no doubt whatever that my highest and most fortunate efforts in rhyme are contained in some of the descriptions of nature in that poem"; and the remark applies more particularly to the delineation of the hunting episodes, the mountain and river scenery and the weather effects in canto I. In the rather fantastic *Pilgrims of the Sun*, he attempts more daring imaginative flights, but not always quite happily; and, in the long historic poem *Queen Hynde*, he still more mistook his powers, notwithstanding his firm opinion that it "was the best epic poem that ever had been produced in Scotland."

The reputation of Hogg now rests, mainly, on *The Queen's*

Wake, and several of his shorter pieces. In 1810, he published *The Forest Minstrel*, two-thirds of which were written by himself, and the rest by his acquaintances, including the pathetic *Lucy's Flittin* by William Laidlaw, Scott's steward. Of the songs in this volume, Hogg himself frankly says: "In general they are not good, but the worst of them are all mine, for I inserted every ranting rhyme that I had made in my youth, to please the circles about the firesides in the evening." Such was the shepherd's own opinion of what were, in present day slang, uncommon good "folk songs"; and, on the whole, his opinion of them is correct. They are, most of them, merely "ranting rhymes," much better versified and written and cleverer than the average example of their genus, but, on the whole, best fitted for the appreciation of those for whom they were primarily intended. On the other hand, there is admirable spirit and fire in such later war odes and Jacobite songs as *M'Kinnon*, *Rise Rise Lowland and Highland Man*, *Lock the Door Lauriston*, *Cam Ye by Athol* and *The Gathering of the Clans;* his grotesque sketch of the wicked village of "Balmquhapple," in Fife, is quite worthy of Burns; and, while his love songs, for the most part, are a little cold and commonplace, *O Weel Befa'* (in *The Haunted Glen:* not the longer version of the song) and *When the Kye comes Hame* are charmingly fine pastorals; though the most perfect of his lyrics and of his shorter pieces is *The Skylark*, itself sufficient to justify his proud conviction that he possessed in his soul the gift of immortal song.

John Leyden, like Hogg, the son of a shepherd, was associated with him in supplying Scott with ballad versions for *The Minstrelsy of the Scottish Border;* and he also contributed to it two imitation ballads, *Lord Soulis* and *The Cout of Keeldar*, an *Ode to Scottish Music*, and *The Mermaid;* and he wrote a few lyrics for *The Scots Magazine*, which he edited for some months in 1802. Before proceeding, in 1803, as a surgeon to India—where he afterwards held the chair of Hindustani in Bengal and distinguished himself by his linguistic and ethnological researches—he wrote, as a sort of farewell, a long reminiscent poem *Scenes of Infancy*, somewhat after the manner of Thomson, which, though tastefully written, can hardly be termed poetical. *The Mermaid* is his only poem which displays true poetic glamour.

Allan Cunningham, a native of Dumfriesshire—who, though of middle-class descent, became a stonemason, but, later, was secretary to the sculptor Chantrey, and combined with his secretarial duties miscellaneous literary work for the magazines and publishers—supplied Robert Hartley Cromek with most of the pieces and information contained in his *Remains of Nithsdale and Galloway Song* (1810); its poetic contents being mainly fabricated by him, though, in some cases, he merely modified traditional versions of old songs. In 1820, he published a drama, *Sir Marmaduke*, which, though praised by Scott as poetry, did not find acceptance on the stage; and, in 1833, *The Maid of Elvar*, a rustic epic in twelve parts. His *Songs of Scotland Ancient and Modern* (four volumes, 1825), include some of his own compositions. In his imitations of the older minstrelsy, Cunningham showed varied dexterity, his attempts including traditional ballads, love lyrics, Jacobite songs and plaintively pious covenanting effusions, though their fictitious character becomes evident enough on a careful perusal. *The Young Maxwell*, for example, is too much a mere echo of ballads in general; *Hame, Hame, Hame* is too prettily sentimental for an original Jacobite song; *She's Gane to dwell in Heaven* is far too elaborately refined in expression to express the sentiments of the average pious peasant; and the heroine of *Bonie Lady Anne*, evidently, never had any existence in Nithsdale or elsewhere. Several, however, both of his acknowledged and unacknowledged pieces, enjoy a wide popularity—among them the humorous *John Grumlie*, a condensed revision of *The Wyfe of Auchtirmwychty;* the funnily vituperative, if not very witty, *Wee, Wee German Lairdie; My Nannie O*, a kind of modified version of the song by Burns and quite as good as the original, and the classic sea-song *A Wet Sheet and a Flowing Sea.*

Thomas Mounsey Cunningham, an elder brother of Allan, is now best known by his *Hills o' Gallowa*, which, when it appeared anonymously, was attributed to Burns, but only echoes some of his mannerisms. In 1797, Cunningham's *Hairst Kirn* (harvest home) appeared in Brash and Reid's *Poetry Ancient and Select*, and he contributed to Hogg's *Forest Minstrel, The Scots Magazine* and *The Edinburgh Magazine.*

William Tennant, a native of Anstruther, who, in 1834, be-

came professor of oriental languages in St. Andrews university, published, in 1812, while a schoolmaster at Denino, in Fife, his *Anster Fair*, a kind of mock heroic description, in English verse, of that now discontinued rural gathering, not lacking in cleverly humorous or even in poetic touches. His *The Dingin doon O The Cathedral*—descriptive of the destruction of St. Andrews cathedral by the reformation mob—and his *Tangier's Giant* are good specimens of graphic vernacular; but his *Thane of Fife*, and his two dramas *Cardinal Bethune* and *John Baliol*, all in English, are now quite forgotten.

Of the songs and other pieces of the still less important versifiers of the later period which have escaped oblivion, it may suffice to mention the rapturous and rather finely imaginative *Cameronian's Dream* of James Hyslop; Robert Gilfillan's plaintive emigrant song *O Why Left I my Hame;* the weird *Brownie of Blednock* by William Nicholson, known as "the Galloway poet"; William Glen's *Wae's me for Prince Charlie;* and the grotesque masterpiece *Kate Dalrymple*, at one time claimed for professor Tennant, but now known to be by William Watt, a Lothian poet, who also wrote the picturesque *Tinkler's Waddin.*

By the side of the purely secular verse of the revival there also flourished intermittently a kind of school of sacred verse of which the earliest and most elaborate specimen is Blair's *Grave*, noted elsewhere.[1] A chronic controversy still prevails in Scotland as to the authorship of several of the metrical paraphrases of Scripture adopted by the general assembly of the church of Scotland in 1781. Two students of humble birth, Michael Bruce and John Logan, studied together at Edinburgh university. Bruce died in 1767, at the age of twenty-one; and, in 1770, Logan published, from papers supplied by the family, *Poems on Several Occasions by Michael Bruce*, with the information that "with a view to make up a miscellany some poems wrote by different authors are inserted." In 1781, Logan, now minister of South Leith parish, published a volume of poems containing an improved version of *The Cuckoo*, which had appeared in Bruce's volume, and a number of the paraphrases adopted by the church of Scotland. *The Cuckoo* and the paraphrases have been claimed for Bruce; but Logan's *Braes of Yarrow* and other poems in the volume show as great poetic aptitude as any

[1] See *ante*, Vol. IX, p. 187.

pieces by Bruce. In 1783, Logan's tragedy *Runnamede* was accepted for Covent garden theatre, but was condemned by the censor on account of its political allusions. Among Bruce's poems is one on loch Leven, after the manner of Thomson, and an *Elegy on Spring*, a pious farewell to nature in view of his approaching death from consumption. James Grahame, a native of Glasgow, who, finally, became curate at Sedgefield, Durham, published various volumes of verse, including the dramatic poem *Mary Queen of Scots* (1801), and *The Birds of Scotland* (1806), but is best known by his meditative poem *The Sabbath* (1804), in blank verse, in which commonplace musing and pattern sentiments are conjoined with elegant and tasteful, if rather tedious, description.

Our record closes with Robert Pollok's *Course of Time*, published in 1827, a long elaborate dissertation in blank verse, modelled upon Milton, on human destiny, which professor Wilson considered, though not a poem, "to overflow with poetry," and which, at one time, enjoyed much popularity in more serious circles, but which has now ceased to be read.

CHAPTER XI

The Prosody of the Eighteenth Century

IN dealing with the subject of the present chapter, the procedure of our last chapter on that subject[1] has to be directly reversed. We had, there, to give account of complicated and largely changing practice, with hardly any contemporary theory to accompany it—with almost no theory in a developed and extant form. In the present case, a very short survey of the practice will suffice. But we shall have to take into consideration a body of prosodic study, no member of which is of very great interest in itself, but which practically founded that study in English literature.

Yet, if the space allotted to metrical practice at the time is small, it is not because that practice is negligible. On the contrary, the sentence in our earlier chapter that "it established in the English ear a sense of rhythm that is truly rhythmical" deserves repetition and emphasis. So strongly was this establishment based, buttressed and built upon, that it practically survived all the apparent innovations in practice of the nineteenth century itself, and has only been attacked in very recent years and, as yet, with no real success. But it was, almost, of the nature of this process that the prosodic exercises of the eighteenth century should be comparatively few and positively simple. With the exception of the rhythmical prose-verse or verse-prose of Ossian, which, with its partial derivative, that of Blake, may be left to separate treatment later, and of the recovery of substitution by Chatterton, which may also be postponed, almost the entire practical prosody of the period confines itself to two main, and a very few subordinate, forms,

[1] *Ante*, Vol. VIII, Chap. IX.

all of which are governed by one general prosodic principle. This principle directs the restriction of every line—with the fewest and most jealously guarded licences—to a fixed number of syllables, the accentual or quantitative order of which varies as little as possible. Over the decasyllabic couplet, the sovereign of the prosodic seas at this time, over its attendant frigate the octosyllabic, over the not very numerous lyrical fly-boats that complete the squadron, this flag of syllabic and accentual regularity floats—only one or two privateer or picaroon small craft daring to disregard it.

The heroic couplet of Dryden, already sufficiently discussed, underwent, in the earliest years after Dryden's death, changes which, considering the natural tendencies of humanity, may be called inevitable. By his own almost inimitable combination of skill and strength, and by the mechanical devices of triplet and Alexandrine, Dryden himself had kept off the monotony which the regular stopped couplet invites. But the invitation was sure to be accepted by others; indeed, they might plead that they were only realising the ideal of the form. As Waller and others before Dryden, wittingly or unwittingly, had hit upon the other devices of sententious balance and a split in the individual lines, and of pendulum repetition in the couplets: so, after Dryden, first Garth and then Pope, no doubt with their eyes open, rediscovered these; and the extraordinary craftsmanship of Pope carried the form to its highest possible perfection. If—and it is difficult to see how the assertion can be denied—the doctrine expressed in various ways but best formulated by De Quincey that "nothing can go wrong by conforming to its own ideal" be true, the couplet of Pope, in and by itself, is invulnerable and imperishable.

But it very soon appeared that a third adjective of the same class, which indicates almost a necessary quality of the highest poetic forms, could not be applied to it. It was not inimitable. The admitted difficulty, if not impossibility, of deciding, on internal evidence, as to the authorship of the books of *The Odyssey* translated by Pope himself, as compared with those done by Fenton and Broome, showed the danger; and the work of the rest of the century emphasised it. Men like Savage, Churchill and Cowper went back to Dryden, or tried a blend of Dryden and Pope; men like Johnson and Goldsmith new-

minted the Popian couplet, in the one case by massive strength, in the other by easy grace of thought and phrase and form. But the dangers of monotony and of convention remained; and, towards the end of the period, they were fatally illustrated in the dull insignificance of Hoole and the glittering frigidity of Darwin.

From one point of view, it is not fanciful or illogical to regard all other serious, and most other light, measures of this time as escapes from, or covert rebellions against, this supremacy of a single form of heroic; but, as has been pointed out above, one metre stands in somewhat different case. The octosyllabic couplet had been little practised by Dryden, though, when he tried it, he showed his usual mastery; and it evidently did not much appeal to Pope. But Butler had established it with such authority that, till well into the nineteenth century, it was called specifically "Hudibrastic"; and two of the greatest verse writers of the early eighteenth, Swift and Prior, had used it very largely and very successfully, so that it could not be regarded as in any way insignificant, old-fashioned, or contraband. It was, in fact, as much the recognised metre of the century for light or brief narrative and miscellaneous purposes not strictly lyrical, as the heroic was for graver and larger work. But, as Dyer showed early and others later, it served—owing to the earlier practice of Milton more especially—as a not ineffectual door for smuggling in variations of line-length and foot-arrangement which were contraband, but of very great value and efficacy.

Another of these centres of free trade in verse was the Spenserian stanza. The dislike of stanzas of all kinds which, as we saw, grew during the seventeenth century, was, as shown below, seriously formulated at the beginning of the eighteenth, and may be said to have been more or less orthodox throughout its course. But the exceptional charm of Spenser broke through this; and no small body of imitations—bad enough, as a rule, but saved by the excellence of at least part of *The Castle of Indolence*, and, perhaps, *The Schoolmistress*, as well as by the influence, if not the intrinsic merit, of *The Minstrel*—found its way into print.

The most formidable rival, however, of the heroic was blank verse. The practice of this inevitably arose from, and, in most

instances, continued to be the imitation of, Milton, which, sparse and scanty for the first generation after his death, grew more abundant as the eighteenth century itself went on and, in *The Seasons*, almost ceased to be mere imitation. Fine, however, as Thomson's blank verse is, and sometimes almost original, it suffered not a little, while all the blank verse of the century before Cowper's latest suffered more, from undue generalisation in almost all cases, and in most from positive caricature, of Milton's mannerisms. The worst of these (so far as prosody is concerned) was the exaggeration of his occasional, and always specially effective, use of the full stop in the interior of a verse by chopping up line after line in this fashion to an extent ridiculous to the eye and mind, and destructive of all harmony to the ear. The practitioners of blank verse, also, too often agreed with its enemy Johnson that, if it was not "tumid and gorgeous," it was mere prose; and, though they frequently failed to make it gorgeous, they almost invariably succeeded in making it tumid. Even in *Yardley Oak*, Cowper's masterpiece of the form, these defects exist: and the eighteenth century strain in Wordsworth himself never completely freed itself from them.

It is, however, in lyric measures that the limitations of this period of more or less rigid drill show themselves most. In what has been called "the greater ode," the terrible irregular "Pindarics" of the later seventeenth century continued; but they gradually died out, and the establishment of stricter forms (in which respect Congreve is not to be forgotten), speedily and luckily inspired with fuller poetic spirit by Gray and Collins, did much to appease the insulted ghost of the great Boeotian. In smaller and lighter work, the adoption of the anapaest by Prior was almost as fortunate as his patronage of the octosyllable, and we have not a few graceful trifles—"free" in no evil sense—not merely by Prior himself but by Gay and by Byrom, by Chesterfield, Pulteney, Shenstone and others.

Still, as a rule, the lyric poet of the eighteenth century was confined, or confined himself, to very few metres. Stiff and sing-song "common" or ballad measure; rather better, but too uniform, "long" measure or octosyllabic quatrains alternately rimed; and (somewhat curiously) the old romance-six or *rime couée* (8 8 6 8 8 6 *a a b c c b*) with occasional decasyllabic qua-

trains, of which the great *Elegy* is the chief, will probably account for three-quarters, if not even more, of the lyrical verse of the period; and almost the whole of it displays that submission to a cast-iron law of syllabic number and accentual distribution to which reference has been made. The reason of this we shall understand better when we have surveyed the preceptist or theoretical literature of prosody which, almost for the first time since the Elizabethan period, makes its reappearance.

For if, during this period, practical prosody enjoyed or suffered from a kind of stationary state, it was very much the reverse with prosodic theory. It is, in fact, from the second year of the eighteenth century that attempts to deal with English prosody as a subject practically date. Gascoigne's examination was too slight, Puttenham's too ineffectually systematised, the studies of the other Elizabethans, directed too much to one particular, and for the most part non-essential, point (classical versing) and all too little historical; while the, possibly, more pertinent treatises of Jonson and Dryden are not extant, and the very distribution or trend of them is only to be guessed.

In 1702, there appeared, written or compiled by an obscure person by name Edward Bysshe, an *Art of Poetry*, which (after a custom set on the continent for some considerable time past and already followed here by Joshua Poole) consisted principally of a riming dictionary and an anthology of passages containing similes and so forth. The book became popular and was often reprinted (at first with considerable additions) during the century. The bulk of it has long been mere waste-paper; indeed, a riming dictionary may be said to be, in itself, almost the greatest achieved, if not the greatest possible, insult to the human understanding. But its brief introduction, "Rules for Making English Verses," is one of the two or three most important *points de repère* of the whole subject; though, even at the present day, and even by serious students of prosody, that importance is sometimes denied and oftener belittled. It has even been said that Bysshe merely represents "the traditional view"; to which it can only be replied that exhaustive examination of every previous treatment of the subject has failed to discover any expressed tradition of the kind or any sign

that such tradition had "materialised itself" to anybody outside an extremely variable practice.

What Bysshe does is to formulate, with extraordinary fidelity, a system of versification to which the practice of the foregoing century had certainly been more and more tending, but which had never been expressed in theory before. His own principle is strictly syllabic. There are no feet in English—merely a certain number of syllables. Moreover, he would preferentially admit only verses of ten (with an extra one for double rimes), eight and seven; though he does not absolutely exclude others. These syllables, in a heroic, must be arranged so that there is a pause at the fourth, fifth or sixth, and a strong accent on the second, fourth and sixth. So absolutely devoted is he to syllables and accents that he only approaches verses of triple (dactylic or anapaestic) rime (while he uses none of these terms), by the singularly roundabout way of describing them as "verses of nine or seven syllables with the accent on the last," and dismisses them as "low," "burlesque" and "disagreeable," unless they occur in "compositions for music." He is, of course, a severe advocate of elision: the "e" of the article must always be cut off before a vowel; "violet" is, or may be, "vi'let." But he disapproves of the seventeenth century practice of eliding such vowels as the "y" of "by." As for stanzas of intermixed rime (*i.e.* Spenserian, rime royal, etc.), "they are now wholly laid aside" in longer poems.

Now, this gives us a miserably restricted prosody; but, in the first place, it is the prosody of the eighteenth century, and, in the second place, it had never been thus formulated before.

But, although hardly any poets except Chatterton and Blake (for Gray and Collins themselves do not show any formal rebellion) were rebels to this until Southey and Coleridge broke it down at the end of the century, the preceptive prosodists—who, in most cases, were not poets at all—by no means showed equal docility, although their recalcitrance was seldom of the right kind. Pope, indeed, in almost his only prosodic passage, the early *Letter to H. Cromwell* (1710),[1] follows Bysshe literally in some points, virtually, in almost all. On the other hand, Pope's enemy Gildon (who, like Dennis, has of late years been

[1] Nov. 25. This was the subject of one of Pope's extraordinary falsifications. He changed it into one to Walsh dated four years still earlier.

"taken up" in some quarters) revolted against Bysshe's syllables and accents, and, though in a vague manner, introduced a system of employing musical terms and notes to prosody—a specious proceeding which has had many votaries since. He, also, with John Brightland and one or two more, started another hare—the question of accent *v.* quantity—which has been coursed ever since, and which, also, will probably never be run down. This latter point attracted much attention, especially as it connected itself with a contemporary discussion, to which Foster, Gally and others contributed, on classical accentuation. Henry Pemberton was so ferocious a champion of accentuation that he would have rewritten Milton, altering, for instance

And towards the gate rolling her bestial train

into

And rolling towards the gate her bestial train.

Edward Mainwaring followed the musical line, and began a practice, frequently revived to the present day, of turning the heroic topsy-turvy and beginning with an anacrusis or single syllable foot

And | mounts ex | ulting | on tri | umphant | wings.

The catalogue of eighteenth century prosodists, thenceforward, is a long one, and it cannot be said that a thorough student of the subject is justified in neglecting even one of the following: Harris (*Hermes* Harris), Say, Lord Kames, Lord Monboddo, Webb, Abraham Tucker, Herries, Thomas Sheridan, Steele, Tyrwhitt, Young, Nares and Fogg. But, with some notice of Steele and Young, we may pass here to half-a-dozen others (four of whom are of general interest and one of real importance)—Shenstone, Gray, Johnson, John Mason, Mitford and Cowper.

Joshua Steele undoubtedly exercised great influence on many prosodic students, some of whom acknowledged it and some did not, while he has been recently hailed as "a master" by authorities who deserve respect. Yet, these same authorities, strangely enough, acknowledge that Steele's actual scansion is "utterly wild." It is not incumbent on a survey

like the present to attempt the reconciliation, or at any length to expose the incompatibility, of two such statements. It is, perhaps, sufficient to say, on the first head, that Steele's "mastery" seems to be shown in the fact that, for the first time, he proclaimed verse to be essentially matter of musical rhythm, and applied musical methods frankly and freely to the notation of metre; that he discarded syllabic feet; and that he gave the metrical franchise to pauses as well as to spoken syllables. As to the second head, it should be still more sufficient to state that he allowed from six to eight "cadences" in a heroic line; that he scans a famous verse

O | happiness | our | being's | end and | aim

and starts *Paradise Lost* as

Of | Man's | first diso | bedience | and the | fruit.

By what logic it can be contended that a system which leads to such "monstrosities" (the word is that of an admirer of Steele) as this is "masterly," some readers, at any rate, will find it difficult to imagine. Either Steele's scansions are justified by his principles or they are not. If they are, these principles are self-condemned; if they are not, the perpetrator of the scansions must have been a man of so loose a way of thinking that he cannot be taken into serious consideration. In either case, he cannot have had an ear; and a prosodist without an ear may surely be asked to "stand down." There is much of a similar kind to be said of Young. On the other hand, Tyrwhitt, in his justly famous edition of Chaucer, showed himself a real prosodist and, early as it was, came to very sound conclusions by the simple process of taking the verse first and getting it satisfactorily scanned. Of the rest, most are chiefly remarkable for curiosities of a theory which always neglects large parts of English poetry, and sometimes sets at naught even the practice that it recognises. Perhaps the best is Johnson's despised "Sherry," whose prosody is, certainly, in many points heretical, if Johnson's own is orthodox.

Wrong as they generally went, fruitless as were, too often, their attempts, flitting shadows in an arid desert as some may think them, history cannot entirely omit these enquirers; but

she certainly turns to a few others with some satisfaction. Shenstone, Gray, Johnson and Cowper were poets[1] who turned their attention definitely to prosody. Mason (John, not William) and Mitford were prosodists who, in the first case, at least, appreciated the beauty of poetry, and, in the second, made large excursions into the more than contemporary history of it. Shenstone's actual poetical value may not be very high; but the merest glance at the variety of his poetical forms should prove something of a tell-tale about him, and his prose works, if only in a few scattered observations, emphasise the warning. He seems to have been the very first person in the century who definitely perceived the wanton asceticism of unvarying elision and sighed for "the dactyl," as he called it; he is the first, also, who laid express stress on the value of "full" rimes and the colouring force of particular phrases. Gray, a much greater poet and not himself much of a practitioner of trisyllabics, was, on the other hand, the first to recognise the presence and the continuity of the trisyllabic foot in generally disyllabic metres from middle English downward; and he exhibits in his (unfortunately fragmentary) *Metrum* many other signs of historic knowledge and metrical vision. Johnson, in his prosodic remarks on Milton, Spenser and a few others, is, professedly, at least, of the straitest sect of believers in fixed syllabism, regular iambic arrangement and middle caesura. Yet, as is constantly the case with him in other departments of criticism, he shows, in an almost Drydenian manner, his consciousness of the other side; and, indeed, gives that side practically all it can ask by admitting that perfect "purity," though, as enforced above, "the most complete harmony of which a single verse is capable," is, if preserved continuously, not only "very difficult" but "tiresome and disgusting"; and that variation of the accents, though "it always injures the harmony of the line," compensates the loss by relieving us of this tyranny. He did not extend the same indulgence to what he calls "elision," that is to say, the presence of extra syllables or trisyllabic feet; or to pauses far from the centre. But the concession as to "pure" and "mixed" measures was itself a Trojan horse. If, the

[1] Goldsmith devoted one of his essays to the subject, and some have thought it valuable. In form, it is as agreeable as everything its author wrote: to the present writer, its matter seems smatter, insufficiently veiled by motherwit.

nearer you approach to purity and perfection, in one part of the system, the more likely your result is to be tiresome and disgusting, it will go near to be thought shortly that the system itself is rotten somewhere.

Although it would be rather dangerous to say what book of his own time Johnson had not read, there is not, to the knowledge of the present writer, any sign in his *Works* or in his *Life* of his having come across the speculations on prose, verse and elocution of John Mason, which were published in three little tracts shortly before *The Rambler* appeared. The author was a nonconformist minister (which would not have pleased Johnson), and a careful and intelligent student of the classics (which, to some extent, might have reconciled him). He certainly, however, would have been inclined to regard Mason as a most pestilent nonconformist in prosody. Mason is somewhat inclined to musical views, but very slightly; and he adopts what some think the illegitimate, others the sensible, plan of evading the accent *v.* quantity logomachy by laying it down that "that which *principally* determines English quantity is the accent and emphasis." But his great claim to notice, and, in the opinion of at least the present writer, to approval, is that he absolutely refuses the strict decasyllabic limitation and regular accentual distribution, with their consequences or corollaries of elision, forced caesura towards the centre, and so forth. He calls attention to the positively superior "sweetness" of lines of even twelve or fourteen syllables; and, to accommodate this excess, he not only admits feet, but feet of more than two syllables, as well as a freely movable caesura and other easements.

In the case of Mitford, also, musical considerations and musical methods[1] stand rather where they should not, assisted by some superfluous considerations of abstract phonetics; but

[1] Little room as there is here for quotations, two sentences of his book, 2nd edn., p. 111, should be given, inasmuch as they put briefly and in Mitford's clear and intelligible language the source of myriad confusions at that time and since:

"Five bars are perhaps never found forming an integral portion of an air or tune. The divisions of modern musical air run mostly in two or rather four bars, and multiplications of four."

Nothing more should be necessary for showing to anyone acquainted with actual English poetry, that its laws, though they may, in part, coincide with, are essentially independent of, those of modern music.

here, also, they do little harm. And, here (at least in the second edition of his work), there is what is not in Mason, what is not in any other prosodist of the eighteenth century except Gray, and only fragmentarily in him, a regular survey of actual English poetry from the time that its elements came together. Even now, more than a century after the second edition and nearly a century and a half after the first, this indispensable basis for prosodic enquiry has been provided in scarcely more than two other books on the subject. His is, of course, partial and not always sufficiently informed; though it is most usefully supplemented by enquiries into metre as it exists outside English in both ancient and modern languages. He dwelt too much on accent; he confused vowel and syllabic quantity; and he allowed extra-metrical syllables—a constant indication of something wrong in the system, which, in his case, was probably brought about partly by his musical ideas, and partly by the syllabic mania of the time still existing in him. But he constantly comes right in result, even when the right-coming is not quite easy to reconcile with some of his principles; and there is no doubt that this is mainly due to his study of English poetry at various times and of English poetry in comparison with ancient and modern examples in other tongues.

Last of all—for the remarks to be referred to belong, like most of his practice, and, for the same unhappy reason, in the main, to a very late period in his life—we must mention Cowper. His letters, like those of Southey afterwards, show that he might have written consecutively on prosody in a very interesting fashion; but it may be doubted whether he had cleared his mind quite enough on the subject. All know his attack on Pope; or, at least, on the zanies of Pope, with their "mechanic art" and rote-learnt tunes. His prose allusions to the subject are of the same gist, but show the uncleared confusion. The statement that Milton's "elisions lengthen the line beyond its due limits" may seem to a modern reader sheer nonsense—equivalent to saying that if, in correcting a proof, you cut out a line here and a line there you lengthen the page. But, of course, by "elisions," he meant the syllables which the arbitrary theory of his time supposed to be elided. Yet he laid down the salutary rule that "without attention to quantity good verse cannot possibly be written"; he declared his faith

in "shifting pause and cadence perpetually," and he knew that, by following this practice (which, it should be remembered, Johnson had denounced as "the methods of the declaimer"), you could make blank verse "susceptible of a much greater diversification of manner than verse in rime"—a point which, with others in reference to "blanks," occupies most of his letters to Thurlow. He never completed a system to match his practice; but, like this, his theory, such as it was, evidently looked backward to Milton, and forward to the great poets who were boys or not yet born when Cowper seriously began to write.

To some extent, of course, the impoverished state of actual prosody at the time may be taken as an excuse for the prosodic theorists, though it would be very unfair to blame the poets themselves for the sins of these others. Prosodists saw around them practically nothing but one limited side of the possibilities of English verse; and the extent to which this had to do with their errors can hardly be exaggerated. But it was perfectly open to them to look back if they chose, and a few of them did choose; while, of those who did, still fewer showed themselves able to read the open lessons which authors no more recondite than Shakespeare and Milton had for them. Moreover—and, strange as it may seem, the phenomenon has repeated itself by no means seldom since, and is fully in view at the present day—the majority of them had evidently no taste whatever for poetry as poetry. It was a machine to be taken to pieces, not a body of beauty to be appreciated.

And so, though, in any case, the calling back into fresh existence of the older and more varied poetry, and the calling into new existence of a poetry more varied still, would have antiquated their enquiries, they failed even to give due value or due explanation to what they had. For, as has been set forth already, they had something, and no small thing, in their own poets—the positive and practically indestructible establishment of definite rhythm. As Chaucer and, in regard to line-grouping, if not to line-making, Spenser, as Shakespeare and Milton, in both, once more stand irremovably as witnesses for liberty and variety in metre, so Dryden and Pope and Johnson, nay, even Collins and Gray, stand for order and regularity. We wanted both sets of influences, and we had now got them.

It will thus be seen that, from the strictly historical point of view, this period is of no small importance in regard to the particular matter treated in this chapter. It is the first in which any considerable number of persons busied themselves with the attempt to analyse and systematise the principles of English versification. It is true that, with hardly more exceptions than Gray and John Mason to whom Shenstone and Tyrwhitt, perhaps, also, Sheridan, may, to some extent, be joined, they came for the most part, to wrong conclusions; but the reason why they so came is clear. In no case, except in those of Gray partially, and Mitford more fully, did students of prosody, at this time, study English poetry as it had actually existed and base their conclusions on the results of that examination. Generally, they took the restricted prosody of their own time as the perfection of all that was possible in the subject. In some particular cases, of which Steele's is the most remarkable, they attacked the matter altogether *a priori*, and in the worst sense of that much abused term. They, then, endeavoured to construct an abstract science of prosody starting from assumed axioms and postulates, with deductions from which actual verse had to be accommodated as it best (or worst) might. No two writers may, at first sight, seem to stand farther apart than Bysshe and Steele; yet, when they are impartially examined, the faults which have been pointed out in them will be found to be equally present though differently distributed, and to be equally due to the same fundamental error of beginning with the rule, instead of with that from which the rule must be extracted. They can be convicted out of the mouth of him who, to most of them, was the greatest of poets and prophets—of Pope himself. They would not "discover," they would not do anything but "devise."

CHAPTER XII

The Georgian Drama

THOUGH the last forty years of the eighteenth century produced few English plays of primary importance, the period is among the most interesting in the history of the national theatre. Its study shows how complex and perishable are the conditions of dramatic excellence, and explains why one of the chief glories of the English muse sank, for at least a century, beneath the level of literature.

Paradoxical as it may sound, the decay of the drama was partly due to the advance of the actor. In the days of Betterton[1] and Barton Booth,[2] the best player was, in a sense, an intermediary, and the attention of spectators could be held only if characters and situations appealed directly to their understanding. With the coming of Havard, Macklin, Garrick, Mrs. Clive, Spranger Barry, Foote, Yates, Mrs. Abington and King, success no longer depended on the excellence of a play. The stage began to offer a new and non-literary attraction. It was enough for the dramatist to give a "cue for passion"; he need only serve as a collaborator, as one whose work was half finished till presented by a trained performer. O'Keeffe's success depended so largely on Edwin's interpretations that when the actor died the playwright was expected to fail. Colman the younger's Eustace de St. Pierre[3] was a mere outline till Bensley gave it life, and Cumberland's O'Flaherty, in *The West Indian*, was hardly more than a hint out of which Moody, following the example of Macklin's Sir Callaghan in *Love à-la-mode*, developed the stage Irishman. When older and greater plays were being performed, the public was

[1] 1635–1710. [2] d. 1733. [3] In *The Siege of Paris*.

still chiefly attracted by the novelty of the acting. Abel Drugger was enjoyed because of Weston's byplay, and Vanbrugh's character of Lord Foppington was almost forgotten in Woodward's impersonation of it. True inspiration was still, of course, the best material on which the player could work, as Garrick found in performing Richard III or Macklin in his new interpretation of Shylock. But, even in the revival of old plays, the masterpieces of the Elizabethan drama were altered to suit the powers of the actor. When *Hamlet* was re-edited by Cibber, and *Lear* by Nahum Tate, playwrights must have perceived that literary talent was no longer a necessity. It became even rarer as the theatre rose in public estimation. Thanks to actors, plays had longer runs, and people paid more to see them. Those who contributed towards the production of these fashionable entertainments began to prosper, and the more dramatists enjoyed the luxuries of conventional society, the less they retained touch with the tragedy and comedy of real life. Quin[1] was the last of the old school, and Macklin was the first to bring his own personality into his interpretations.[2] But the conflict between classical literature and dramatic taste was undecided, till Garrick's genius showed that gesture, pose and facial expression were so effective that even the dumb-show of ballet-pantomimes could please an audience more than old-time rhetoric.[3] An apparently trivial change in the arrangement of the theatre drew the drama further from literature. To give actors more space and to obviate interruptions, spectators were removed from the stage in 1762,[4] and, as the loss of these seats would have fallen heavily on the recipient of a benefit, the auditorium was lengthened. Thus,

[1] 1693–1756.

[2] "I spoke so familiar Sir, and so little in the hoity-toity tone of the tragedy of that day, that the manager told me that I had better go to grass for another year or two." Macklin, alluding to Rich, who had dismissed him from Lincoln's Inn fields. See Kirkman, J., *Memoirs of the Life of Charles Macklin* (1799).

[3] Noverre, in *Lettres sur les Arts*, testifies to Garrick's skill in pantomime. Walpole, in describing Glover's *Boadicea*, gives conclusive evidence of the importance of acting when he says " Then there is a scene between Lord Sussex and Lord Cathcart, two captives, which is most incredibly absurd: but yet the parts are so well acted, the dresses so fine, and two or three scenes pleasing enough, that it is worth seeing." *To George Montagu, 6 December, 1753.*

[4] See Knight, Joseph, *David Garrick* (1894), pp. 183 f.

although the "apron" still projected a few feet into the auditorium, the business of the play had no longer the advantage of taking place among onlookers. Before 1765, Drury lane was chiefly illuminated by chandeliers, though candle-footlights had already been introduced. Garrick, on returning from his continental tour,[1] engaged the services of Barthélémon, whose violin won success for many worthless pieces, and ordered Parisian scenery and lamp-footlights from Jean Monnet.[2] The concentration of light threw into relief the performer's face and enabled his looks and movements to express what had formerly needed monologues and asides. When the proscenium, which had been introduced at the restoration, and footlights had completely separated the player from his audience, the performance became spectacular. Actors were now like figures in a picture, and the dramatist learnt that one of his first tasks was to manoeuvre them into poses and situations. Experience eventually taught authors how to preserve dramatic fitness amid these altered requirements; but, for several generations, the consequence was a misuse of asides, parentheses, sudden entrances, mistaken identities and other stage effects of like nature.

Despite these temptations, authors and actors might have succeeded, as at Hamburg and Weimar, in producing art without sacrificing literature, if it had not been for the public. Georgian audiences were no longer representative of the nation. The puritan prejudice against the theatre, revived in the Bible society abolitionists and the low church evangelical party, and many thoughtful men, such as the Wesleys, John Newton, Cowper, Wilberforce and Zachary Macaulay, abstained on principle from an institution which preached a fictitious code of honour and was considered the favourite resort of the irreligious. Many more stayed away because the habits of eighteenth century England were essentially domestic. It was an age of household furniture, tea-drinking and sensibility. Men and women spent evenings at home discussing ethics, writing long, intimate letters or testing each other's gift of sentimental

[1] 19 September, 1763–27 April, 1765.

[2] Connected, at different times, with the *Opéra-Comique* and the *Théâtre de la Foire*. Garrick also ordered costumes from M. Boquet, *dessinateur d'habits à l'opéra*. See Jullien, A., *L'Histoire du Costume au Théâtre* (1880).

conversation. When the inevitable reaction came, it led people from the playhouse towards nature and the open air.

If the drama had few charms for more thoughtful and sober-minded citizens, it irresistibly attracted the *beau monde*. Lovers of social display, who were gratified by the "jubilee-masquerade" at Ranelagh and by the Richmond fireworks,[1] had begun to look for the same kind of excitement in the theatre. As performances were generally restricted to two or three houses,[2] theatregoers enjoyed that sense of exclusiveness and monopoly which is dear to leaders of society. Soon, it became a social distinction to meet and be seen at these assemblies, till Hannah More admits that one of the chief pleasures was "the show of the Spectators."[3] People went early to get seats when it was known that the Gunnings would be among the audience,[4] and, in the sixties, the popularity of the royal family could be gauged by the warmth of their reception at the theatre. Besides, the enterprise of the great actor-managers made these entertainments one of the principal town topics which people of fashion could not afford to ignore. Not to have judged Garrick, Macklin, Foote, Lewis, Mrs. Siddons or Kemble in their latest *rôle*, not to have sat as arbiter over the contending merits of Drury lane and Covent garden,[5] was a mark of provincialism. While the leisured classes bestowed their patronage, they also imposed their prejudices and traditions. The desire to cultivate self-respect and courtesy, which is noticeable so far back as the revolution, had gradually grown, during the eighteenth century, into a meticulous observance of outward forms. Every man of breeding was expected to be a drawing-room diplomatist, who could win his way by his personality and conversation. Together with the cult of social

[1] Walpole, letters to H. Mann, 3 and 17 May, 1749.

[2] With the exception of a few unauthorised attempts (quickly suppressed) to open theatres, dramatists and actors were confined, during this period, to Drury lane and Covent garden in the season and to the Hay in the summer months.

[3] *Preface to Tragedies.*

[4] Walpole to H. Mann, 23 March, 1752.

[5] *E. g.*, in 1750, Barry and Mrs. Cibber played in *Romeo and Juliet* at Covent garden and Garrick and Miss Bellamy at Drury lane. In 1760, Miss Brent played Polly in *The Beggars' Opera* at Covent garden and Mrs. Vincent played in the same piece at Drury lane. Goldsmith, in *The British Magazine*, discussed their rival merits.

conformity, there had gradually developed such a horror of vulgarity that any display of natural feelings was considered ungentlemanly. Lord Chesterfield reminds his son that to laugh aloud was bad manners, and that to quote an old-fashioned proverb was to betray familiarity with coachmen. The nineteenth century horror of indelicacy or coarseness now begins to appear. Johnson reproved Hannah More for reading *Tom Jones*, some of the bluestockings rejected *Tristram Shandy*, Bowdler expurgated Shakespeare and Gibbon. A class dominated by such ideals might excel in many provinces of literature, from oratory to letter-writing; but, when the glamour of social distinction drew them to the theatre, their taste proved too artificial for the appreciation of real tragedy and comedy. Good acting always won their favour; but, even Shakespeare had partially to be rewritten for them by Thompson, Garrick and Kemble. The older school still preferred comedies full of the humorous vagaries and witty conversations of their own rather trivial lives, or tragedies which flattered their sense of literary propriety by observing the unities, amidst arid rhetoric and blank verse. By the second half of the century, a more serious and emotional atmosphere began to predominate in high society. This newer phase is something more than a continuation of the ideals reflected in Steele's sentimental comedies. People did not abate one jot of their respect for gentility; but they were anxious to take themselves and the theatre more seriously. They rigidly observed their father's and grandfather's cult of self-possession; but they also affected strong and sensitive passions. Their ideal was to repress powerful emotions beneath a refined, or even mincing, manner, till the breaking point was reached in floods of tears or in a swoon. As contact with the hard and varied realities of life was still considered to such a degree ill-bred that even the bailiff's scene in *The Good-Natur'd Man* was censured, people had to look to domestic incidents for pathos and passion. A look, a gesture, or a silence was, for them, charged with sentiment. They waxed tearful or melancholy over the spectacle of a woman preserving her inbred elegance under persecution and insult. They loved to contemplate the tenderness of paternal or filial instinct, and dramatists were wont to introduce sudden recognitions between a parent and a long-lost child, in order to

give an emotional turn to their plays. Their dramatic ideas centred in the morality of the drawing-room or the domestic circle. Even wickedness (except when the exigencies of the plot required a melodramatic villain) was a temporary lodger in a conscience-stricken breast; even humour was appreciated only when a rugged but domesticated character, such as a Scottish servant, almost travestied virtue by an uncouth exterior.

Such was the class which gave the theatre its tone. But the spectators who packed Drury lane and Covent garden were not entirely composed of sentimentalists. The Mohawks, whom Swift feared and Steele censured, had their descendants under George III. Bullies in the pit, like footmen in the gallery, seemed to have followed occupants of the boxes in matters of dramatic taste; but they still regarded actors as lawful victims of their arrogance and insolence. On one occasion, they demanded that Moody should beg their pardon on his knees for some imagined disrespect, and such was their tyranny that, when Sheridan put *Macbeth* on the stage, he feared a riot because Mrs. Siddons omitted the candle which their favourite Mrs. Pritchard always carried in the sleep-walking scene. The would-be playwright had other discouragements to face besides dependence on an over-sensitive, narrow-minded and intolerant public. Before the end of the century, plays sometimes enjoyed a run of from twenty to sixty nights, and, as there were not more than two theatres open at the same time, the unknown author had often to suffer humiliations and to descend to intrigues before his work could be accepted.[1] Yet, neither the generation of Walpole nor that of Burke lacked students of human nature possessed of creative genius, who, like Goldsmith and Sheridan, might possibly have surmounted all these difficulties if a more direct path to the heart of the nation had not already been found.

The drama's decline was the novel's opportunity. Ever since the days of Lyly and Greene, prose fiction had become a possible rival of the theatre; but the Elizabethan public was too gregarious, and had inherited too deep a love of spectacle, to care to see life through the unsociable medium of a book. After the revolution, the influence of the theatre waned; but the

[1] See bibliography, under Theatrical Pamphlets.

middle class was making its first acquaintance with culture, and, like all beginners, required its lessons in a dogmatic, unequivocal form, such as essays, satires and treatises. It was not till the middle of the century that people seemed to have mastered the principles of social ethics and began to enquire how those ideas applied to the complex tangle of character and destiny. No doubt, the drama, under favourable conditions, could have satisfied this curiosity. *Figaro* is as effective as *Roderick Random*, and *Minna von Barnhelm* shows what the stage could have made of *The History of Amelia*. But the novel was better adapted to the speculations of the time. The drama deals with crises in the lives of its chief characters and, thus, is suited to an age of action or of transition, when people are interested in the clash between old traditions and new ideas. In the novel, life is treated like a piece of complex machinery, to be pulled to pieces, carefully examined and then patiently put together again. Thus, the novel is best adapted to a generation which has already made up its mind about the framework of society, and is now puzzling over the accidents of birth and temperament which prevent many individuals from fitting into the scheme. But, though tragedy and comedy decayed, the theatre did not. During the last forty years of the eighteenth century, a long succession of talented actors, from Macklin and Foote to Kemble and Quick, revealed fresh sources of emotion and raised their calling to an honourable profession. And, if few Georgian plays can rank as literature, they yet provide an illuminating commentary on public sentiment and theatrical art.

In the sixties, amid musical entertainments such as Bickerstaff's *Padlock*, which ran for fifty-three nights, adaptations from Metastasio[1] and from Voltaire[2] and some fustian tragedies full of duels and suicides, a taste for sentimental, or, as it was then called, genteel, comedy prevailed. Even Goldsmith's *The Good-Natur'd Man* (1767)[3] did not bring back the public taste to "nature and humour in whatever walks of life they were most conspicuous." At Drury lane, Kelly, a few days

[1] Hoole's *Cyrus* (1768), and *Timanthes* (1770).
[2] Madame Celesia's *Almida* (1771).
[3] See *ante*, Vol. X, chap. IX.

previously, had produced *False Delicacy*, which condensed into a clear-cut situation the doubts and heart-searchings of the fashionable world. Lady Betty Lambton refuses the hand of her beloved Lord Winworth out of a false sense of delicacy and then finds herself pledged to further his courtship with Miss Marchmont. Miss Marchmont is secretly in love with Sidney, but feels bound to encourage Winworth's advances, because she is under many obligations to his seconder Lady Betty. Of course, there is an underplot, with two comic characters (Cecil and Mrs. Harley); but the true spirit of the comedy is found in the scene where Lady Lambton and Miss Marchmont are at last induced to strip off the veneer of gentility and disclose their real sentiments. Other plays followed the same tone, such as Mrs. Griffith's *School for Rakes* (1769), in which Lord Eustace, after abandoning the compromised Harriet Mountfort for a marriage of convenience, is brought back by Frampton's influence to a sense of duty; or Kelly's *School for Wives* (1773), in which the farcical spectacle of a man who loves his handsome wife, and yet pays court to all other women of his circle, is tempered by scenes of domestic emotion. But the dramatist who most conspicuously made his mark in this decade is Richard Cumberland.

Cumberland was the pioneer of the later sentimental comedy. He differed from his contemporaries in untying domestic tangles by drastic and, sometimes, almost tragic action; and, thus, he pointed the way to melodrama. Other dramatists of the sixties and seventies had failed to strike this vein because they confined the interest of the play to the correct and decorous society in which the chief characters moved. Cumberland saw that the leaven must come from without, and exposed the decadence of artificial civilisation by confronting it with the vigorous and earnest lives which men were leading away from London and county society. In *The Brothers* (1769), the scene opens on a bleak coast lashed by a furious storm; a privateer is wrecked, whose crew of sturdy, if theatrical, pirates includes young Belfield, who has been driven from his estate and sweetheart, and Violetta, who has been forsaken by her husband. Both are wronged by Belfield the elder who, now possessed of the neighbouring manor-house, is grinding the

tenants and courting Sophia, his brother's betrothed. The sudden arrival of the dispossessed heir and of the abandoned wife, the frustration of the villain's designs, the reunion of the lovers after mutual misunderstandings, the contrast between the sea-rover, with his hardy companions, and the decadent gentry who have gathered round the manor-hall,[1] supply the humour and sentiment which were then in fashion. It is undeniable that the characters do not really live, while the idea of a cadet turned Bohemian through a kinsman's criminal selfishness must have been familiar to readers of Fielding and Smollett. Yet, *The Brothers* is noteworthy. Belfield the elder is a villain in his actions more than in his nature, and the good side of his character is gradually evolved as the play proceeds; his final humiliation has none of the bitterness of revenge; and, all through the play, one feels something of the health and freedom of the sea. *The Brothers* was produced in December, 1769, at Covent garden. In January, 1771, Garrick brought out at Drury lane *The West Indian*, in which the imagined freedom and sincerity of the plantations come into contact with city life. Stockwell, a prosperous business man and a member of parliament, has summoned his illegitimate son from the West Indies to London; but, before declaring his relationship, decides to watch his character in the disguise of a friend. The son, under the name Belcour, arrives among an outworn and artificial circle, composed of the penurious captain Dudley, lodging with his son and daughter at the house of the Fulmers (the husband a decayed literary man, the wife a procuress), and of Lady Rusport, his sister, an avaricious puritan, who refuses money to her brother and tries to thwart young Charles Dudley's courtship of her step-daughter Charlotte. The intercourse between Stockwell and the son whom he may not own gives free play to the sentimentality which the age enjoyed;[2] but the chief interest of the play centres in Louisa, Captain Dudley's daughter. The West Indian sees her in the street, follows her home to the house of the Fulmers with tropical ardour and begins an irregular courtship which brings out the emotional elements of the play—the villainy of the Fulmers, who tell Belcour that Miss Dudley is only a mis-

[1] *E. g.*, Sir Benjamin and Lady Dove, act II, sc. 4; act III, sc. 3.

[2] Act III, sc. 1.

tress and fleece him; the sacredness of women's honour; young Dudley's jealousy for his sister's good name, leading to a challenge; and the gradual development of Belcour's character, impulsive and licentious on the surface, but showing itself full of courage and chivalry as the plot thickens. Cumberland was now rapidly making a name and a fortune. Late in the same year, he adapted *Timon of Athens* for the modern stage, by shortening the first four acts and rewriting the fifth, and, early in the next year,[1] Garrick produced *The Fashionable Lover*, a purely domestic drama, reminiscent of *Clarissa*, of which the principal figure is the elegant and rather mournful Augusta Aubrey. Left to the care of a dishonest speculator, she is compromised by a nobleman, courted by an ardent and honourable lover and, finally, restored to happiness and affluence by the unexpected arrival of her father from abroad.

Early in the seventies, public taste changed and became old-fashioned. Cradock's *Zobeide* (1771) was copied from an unfinished play by Voltaire; the anonymous *A Hour before Marriage* (1772) was modelled on Molière's *Marriage Forcé*. O'Brien, indeed, kept to sentimental comedy by producing *The Duel* (1772), founded on Sedaine's *Le Philosophe sans le Savoir;* but, in the same year, Mason composed *Elfrida*, with a Greek chorus. Kenrick's *Duellist* (1773) was founded on the character of Colonel Bath in *Amelia;* Colman the elder borrowed from Plautus and Terence to produce *Man of Business*, and Cumberland drew inspiration from *Adelphi* to write *Choleric Man*, both in 1774. General Burgoyne, who, in age and associations, belonged to the old school, now felt himself drawn to the theatre and produced *The Maid of the Oaks* (1774), in which the irate parent of classical comedy storms because his son marries without his consent, and the witty and fashionable Lady Bab fools Dupely by disguising herself in a *fête champêtre*. But the two authors who most profited by, and influenced, this reversion to humour and episode were Goldsmith and Sheridan.

She Stoops to Conquer (1773)[2] is not original in plot, but the characters are drawn from life, and, touched, as it is, by Goldsmith's indescribable charm, the play became a revelation. It

[1] 20 January, 1772.

[2] See *ante*, Vol. X, Chap. IX.

reminded London how much instruction as well as amusement might still be found in old-fashioned situations despite their dramatic licence, provided only the morals and manners of the characters would conform to the new standard. Sheridan, meanwhile, had achieved his romantic marriage and, being faced by the problem of supporting a wife, decided to devote his literary gifts to the now profitable business of playwriting. Like Goldsmith, he reverted to classical comedy and chose, as the basis of his plot, the marriage conflict between parent and child which had come down from Terence through Italian and French theatres.[1] A father and an aunt arrange a suitable marriage for their respective son and niece, while the young people have already chosen for themselves. Out of this hackneyed situation he extracted the equally hackneyed humours of mistaken identity and of domestic discord, but with a dramatic sense which borders on genius. Miss Lydia Languish and Captain Absolute are the young pair destined for each other. Unknown to their elders, they are already mutually in love; but, as Lydia has fallen a victim to the craze for sentimentality, the wealthy captain pretends to be the penniless ensign Beverley, so that their union may be to her, unquestionably, a marriage of love. This attempt at a double impersonation brings about some brilliant complications. Familiar figures in domestic and social life are thrown off their guard and betrayed, with admirable felicity, into weaknesses and absurdities generally hidden from the public eye, and the enjoyment of the spectators is all the more complete because the characters are working for the same end and frustrate their several efforts through misunderstandings.

The Rivals (1775) is a comedy of incident, the excellence of which is partly to be found in the action. Its characterisation is, in essence, conventional and shows less knowledge of human nature than does Goldsmith's work. Captain Absolute the generous, impulsive youth, Sir Anthony the testy, headstrong father, Fag and Lucy the menials who minister to their employers' intrigues, are as old as Latin comedy; Bob Acres, the blustering coward, is akin to Sir Andrew Aguecheek and had trod the stage in Jonson's learned sock; Sir Lucius

[1] For the sources and text of *The Rivals*, see works by Rae, W. F., and Adams, J. Q., quoted in bibliography.

O'Trigger is related to Cumberland's O'Flaherty; Mrs. Malaprop has a long pedigree, including Dogberry, Lady Froth, Mrs. Slipslop and Tabitha Bramble. Yet, apart from the actual business on the stage, these characters are irresistibly effective. As in the case of Goldsmith, Sheridan's importance is found in the new wine which he poured into old bottles. The Georgian public expected in their plays a certain piquancy which should remind them of their social or domestic life. But, whereas authors of the sentimental school flavoured their work with emotions pertaining to woman's affairs, Sheridan perceived that there was another element of good breeding, quite different but equally modern. The expansion of the British empire had called into existence a virile and energetic governing class of soldiers and politicians. This aristocracy felt, as deeply as any "jessamy" or "macaroni," the humanising influence of polite learning and domestic refinement, yet with a difference. As society set a value on delicate attentions, sympathetic and discerning compliments, subtle turns of phrase and gracefulness of manner, these arts were cultivated as an accomplishment in order to maintain social supremacy. The class in question, did not, like sentimentalists, affect strong passions beneath a veneer of politeness, but, rather, a superb serenity which rose superior to all emotion. Drawing-room diplomacy had often appeared in letters and memoirs; but Sheridan was the first writer to make it the essence of a play. Despite the conventionality of the character-drawing and of some of the situations, *The Rivals* has an atmosphere which satisfies this ideal. As each figure moves and speaks on the stage, the reader is conscious of a coterie whose shibboleth was distinction —a coterie whose conversation regarded the most commonplace topics as worthy of its wit, which abhorred eccentricity and smiled at all those who, like Fag, Sir Anthony, Faulkland, Mrs. Malaprop and Bob Acres, fell short of the rule of easy self-possession.

After some initial difficulties, *The Rivals* proved a complete success and Sheridan was launched on his career as a dramatist. The opportunities of quick returns which the theatre now offered had their full influence even on an author of his literary taste and dramatic sense. His next production, *St. Patrick's Day*, is a trifle composed with no other object than to make

money by amusing the public. *The Duenna* (1775) is an adaptation of old material to suit the fashion for operas. We meet again the stage old man; his name is Don Jerome, instead of Sir Antony, but he is just as obstinate, irascible and well-bred. Then, we have the victim of ignorance and self-complacency, this time a Jew and not a garrulous and affected old woman, but his end is dramatically the same as Mrs. Malaprop's. Comic situations, as in *The Rivals*, arise out of mistaken identities, which are admissible only in the make-believe of a musical farce. The plot was taken from Wycherley's *The Country Wife*, and, though the dialogue has much of Sheridan's brilliant phrase-making and whimsical humour, the chief literary merit of the play must be sought in the lyrics, with their vigorous directness and touch of classical culture.

While Sheridan was making money, he was also perfecting his art. He showed how much of stage-craft he had learnt when, in 1777, he adapted Vanbrugh's *The Relapse* to the taste of the Georgian public and brought it out as *A Trip to Scarborough*. No more striking illustration of Sheridan's manner could be found, and its failure on the boards is merely another of those mysteries familiar to all who study the annals of the stage. Vanbrugh's play has a double plot. On the one hand, there is a sort of picaresque adventure, in which a needy gallant, by impersonating his elder brother in a love-suit, accomplishes his revenge on an inimitable coxcomb and wins a wife and a fortune to boot. On the other hand, there is a complicated intrigue. Loveless, the reformed libertine and now the virtuous husband of Amanda, finds that his wife has, unwittingly, invited to the house one of his former paramours, now a blithe widow, named Berinthia. Of course, Loveless relapses, and Berinthia encourages another of her admirers, named Worthy, to make love to Amanda, in order that the wife may not be inclined to spy on her husband. In the end, Loveless accomplishes his desire with Berinthia; but her seducer is rejected with horror by Amanda. Sheridan showed his mastery of construction by unifying the action. He made the first act a more artistic exposition of the plot and economised both characters and scenes by arranging that everything accessory should be narrated instead of acted. Above all, he altered the motives and actions of the characters to suit the more refined

perceptions of his own time. Berinthia is no longer a common adventuress, nor does she urge Townley (the Worthy of *The Relapse*) to court Amanda. She tempts Loveless in order to punish Townley for transferring his attentions from herself to her friend. The guilty couple are not exposed, but are shamed out of their design in a situation of considerable tact and dramatic skill, which Sheridan used again in *The School for Scandal*. Their assignation in a moonlit garden is disturbed. They take cover and are forced to overhear Amanda, against whom they are in league, scornfully rejecting Townley. As Berinthia and Loveless emerge from their concealment, she remarks "Don't you think we steal forth two contemptible creatures?" Even in the other part of the play, the burlesque business of Miss Hoyden's courtship, a new turn is given to the farcical stage character Lord Foppington. The final speech which Sheridan puts into his mouth reveals his true nature and shows a man arrogant and ill-bred, but of native shrewdness, and too discerning to marry a woman in whose eyes he had been made to appear ridiculous.

Sheridan had acquired elsewhere the matured judgment and dramatic sense which these two ephemeral productions display. While supporting his household and keeping his name before the public, he had slowly and laboriously perfected his powers by constructing the best play of which he was capable.[1] *The School for Scandal*, which finally appeared on 8 May, 1777, is the last great English comedy and typifies not only the excellence but the limitations of the Georgian theatre. To begin with, it is significant that Sheridan, in the choice of his *dramatis personae*, was content to use familiar types. Sir Peter Teazle is the traditional stage old man who had already reappeared in *The Rivals* and *The Duenna;* Charles Surface is the traditional young man, just as generous and impulsive as Captain Absolute, only more exposed to temptation. As in Sheridan's earlier work, we have the professed *poseur*. This time, he is neither a country squire who apes bravery, nor an old woman who affects the phraseology of culture, nor yet a Hebrew opportunist overconfident in his own cleverness, but a character who overreaches himself in the attempt to make a good impression,

[1] On the genesis of the play, see *Shakespeare to Shaw*, Armstrong, C. F. (1913), p. 158.

already familiar to those acquainted with Murphy's *Know your own Mind*. The other personages, except Lady Teazle, are not studies of character, but occasional figures, vaguely suggestive of the restoration comedy or of Molière,[1] seen only at one angle, as they come and go in the act of creating the background or contributing to a situation. Even Sir Oliver, despite his common sense, his pardonable vanity at finding his own picture rather than another's spared in the portrait scene, and despite his humanity, nurtured in a life of enterprise, is hardly more than "an angel entertained unawares" in an eighteenth century garb.

But, if *The School for Scandal* does not tell us anything that is new or profound about human nature, it is a brilliant exposition of that other superimposed character which an idle, over-civilised society develops. It has already been shown how Sheridan, in writing *The Rivals*, used a farcical plot to portray the peculiar graces which *élite* society admired and the peculiar ineptitudes which it despised. In *The School for Scandal*, he went further; he put on the stage, in his own pregnant way, the psychology of the overtrained world of fashion. In the first place, as conversation was a fine art in a community of drawing-room idlers, Sheridan endowed his personages with a flow of picturesque epigram, of which the studied felicity surpasses all other dialogues, including that of his own previous works. Besides this, he perceived that the intellectually unemployed turn social intercourse into a competitive struggle; and, when he came to portray the underlying stratum of jealousy and intrigue, he brought to his task a touch of modern sentimentality from which few Georgians could escape. Behind his view of London art and artifice, there lurked the popular ideal of simple manners, and, thanks to this background of thought, he was able to show how the vices of the polite world overgrow natural instincts. Since ideas which are to succeed on the stage must be concrete, he made extravagance and scandal examples of decadence, and then worked out a crisis in the lives of characters brought under their influence. Charles Surface is the centre of a circle demoralised by extravagance till a chance episode reveals the generosity of its nature. Lady Sneerwell typifies the irreclaimable scandalmonger; she finds

[1] *E.g.*, Wycherley's *The Plain Dealer;* Molière's *Le Misanthrope.*

so many opportunities of retaliating on the world which first slandered her that habit is now second nature. Joseph Surface, at heart, is no worse than the character whose desire for respectability exceeds his powers of compassing it; he, too, is gradually fascinated by a brilliant and corrupt society, till an unexpected event shows that he has sinned beyond forgiveness. Sir Peter is the Cato of the piece, good at heart, if self-centred, but soured by contact with many backbiters and rendered ridiculous by the vagaries of his young wife, herself Sheridan's best creation—an example of how youth and inexperience may be blinded to the follies of fashionable life till the eyes are reopened by a sudden crisis.

Such a theme, in the hands of Cumberland, Holcroft, Mrs. Inchbald, Colman or Morton would have developed into sentimental drama. The Teazle *ménage* would have provided comic relief; Maria, a defenceless ward in their household, slandered by the scandal club and distressed by Joseph's insidious attentions, would have become the pathetic heroine of the piece. Sir Oliver, probably her father in disguise, would have appeared in the fifth act to rescue her from persecution and to restore her to her faithful Charles, who had plunged into dissipation because she was too modest to requite his love. That Sheridan was quite capable of so lachrymose a treatment is proved by his *Ode to Scandal;* but, in his comedy, he confined himself, with admirable skill and judgment to making vice ridiculous. Of all the characters, only Sir Oliver, Rowley and Maria are colourless, because they are untouched by London frivolity. Each of the others exemplifies some vice or weakness with that consistent exaggeration which provokes laughter, because, on the stage, it seems true to life. Even more notable is Sheridan's classical sense of form and the skill with which he constructed his plot. The characters do not fall, by accident, into ready-made situations, but control the plot throughout. It was part of Charles's nature to sell his family portraits and of Lady Teazle's to accept the invitation to visit Joseph. The weakness of English comedy had always been a division of interest between plot and underplot, and Sheridan's earlier work was by no means free from this defect. But, though *The School for Scandal* deals with the crisis of not less than four lives, their destinies cross one another in the cul-

minating point. It is this intersection of interests which gives an almost unparalleled dramatic effect to the two great scenes. In the portrait scene, Joseph and the Teazles are present only by implication; in the screen scene, all four meet at what the spectators realise at once as one of the important moments of their lives.

Yet, *The School for Scandal* is not one of the world's best comedies; it lacks inspiration. As has been shown, the English theatre had become the mirror of metropolitan wit and gentility. Its public expected polite distraction and were ready to laugh, to weep or to be amused; but their drawing-room culture and coffee-house experiences denied them interest in the puzzles and anomalies of human nature, out of which the greatest comedies are made. Hence, those who wrote for the stage were almost forced to revive the traditional situations and characters of old comedy, or, failing that, to give their colourless plays some topical or temporary interest. Goldsmith and Sheridan succeeded well with this dead material, because the one enlivened it with humour and the other with wit. Even in *The School for Scandal*, the lack of true insight is not hard to detect; and, two years and a half later, *The Critic* (October 29, 1779) showed that its author had nothing fresh to say concerning life.

It was now three years since Sheridan had succeeded Garrick as manager of Drury lane and had been exposed to the paper warfare which, for over half a century, had been bickering in the narrow theatrical world.[1] It is not surprising that, in an atmosphere of lampoons and acrid criticisms, he should turn his gift of dramatic caricature against his foes. Just as Buckingham had ridiculed actors in *The Rehearsal*, Sheridan produced on the stage a satire against the poetasters and intriguing critics who ranged themselves on the side of sentimental drama. He no longer attempted to create characters whose actions should clash and interweave, till a situation revealed each in his true light. He did, indeed, begin by depicting the world of theatrical vanity and self-interest. We have a glimpse of a married couple whose home life is poisoned by stage-mania; two crusted literary aspirants, full of that civilised malignity which Sheridan knew well how to portray,

[1] See bibliography, under Theatrical Pamphlets.

and, above all, Puff, the advertising adventurer, a true stage freak, devoid of reality, whose newly-written play the other characters adjourn to see rehearsed. The dialogue is as sparkling as ever, and the characters, whether or no they are based on contemporary[1] personalities, have just that touch of humorsome exaggeration of which Sheridan was master. But the second act, instead of developing a plot, changes into a parody. Puff's tragedy, *The Spanish Armada*, is a pseudo-historical drama, and the spectators are entertained with a caricature of stage-managership and dramatic effect. A parody cannot rank as literature save when, besides a certain felicity, of expression, the reader is able to recognise, not only the peculiarities, but the essence and spirit of what is being travestied; and it cannot be denied that the brilliant inanities, for which this burlesque has been often praised,[2] are founded on the real practices of Georgian tragedy. Nor is the more personal satire of the first act relinquished. Besides a travesty of pedantic devices, such as exposition, peripety, climax, conversion and stichomythia, Dangle, Sneer and Puff discuss the performance, and their comments are an admirable caricature on the *demi-monde* of theatrical art.

When Sheridan produced *The Critic* he was attacking a cause which had already won the day. Sentimental drama had been patronised by the most cultured circle in polite society. Since 1750, Mrs. Montagu's *salon* had been teaching London that ladies could cultivate their intellect, without sacrificing their social charm, and a series of talented blue-stockings[3] were portraying drawing-room culture in novels and plays. Mrs. Cowley was already known to the public; but the theatre did not feel the full influence of the movement till Hannah More's *Percy* packed Covent garden at a time when *The School for Scandal* was the attraction of Drury lane. Hannah More was a woman of strong character, masculine intellect and passions, which, thwarted in life, were almost bound to find expression in literature. She had already com-

[1] *E. g.*, Sir Fretful Plagiary is generally recognised to be a caricature of Richard Cumberland.

[2] See Sichel, *Life of Sheridan*, vol. 1, pp. 602 f.

[3] See *post*, Chap. xv.

posed *The Inflexible Captive*, a classical drama inspired by Addison's *Cato* and Havard's *Regulus*, but showing a complete ignorance of the stage, in which the sentimental passions of son, daughter and lover are called into play by the captive Regulus's return to Rome. Through five acts, the hero resists the claims of state and family with dignified and aphoristic declamation, and even the authoress herself admitted that the play was defective in action. Three years later, Hannah More had come into contact with the leading humorists, courtiers and actors of London; and nothing proves more vividly the fascination of the Georgian theatre than that she should have chosen this as a mouthpiece for her ideas. *Percy* is a manifesto, and attempts to show how the ethics of refined society may be studied through the ensanguined colours of tragedy. Hannah More translated into rather intense drama the discussions which interested her own day: what duty a woman owes to her father, her husband and her own good name; how a lover should act towards a woman in distress and towards his own heart; the obligation of a husband to win his wife's affection and his right to guard her fidelity, though it cost both of them their lives; the regard for decorum which a "person of quality" should observe, even in moments of high emotion. Such ideas had become too subtle for the conventional setting of a Roman tragedy,[1] and Mason's *Caractacus*, despite the beauty of Mrs. Hartley (as Evelina), had failed only the year before. Hannah More was well in touch with the growing taste for romanticism[2] and was original enough to fill her problem play with the chivalry and architecture of the Middle Age. *Percy* is based on a twelfth century story of Eudes de Faiel, which Belloy (the author of *Le Siège de Calais*) had already dramatised; but the horrible episode of Raoul de Coucy's heart was, of course, omitted. The action takes place among old-fashioned English heroes and shows how Elwina, betrothed to Percy from her childhood, has wed earl Raby at

[1] Cf. Walpole, on a similar occasion: "*The Siege of Aquileia*, of which you ask, pleased less than Mr. Home's other plays. In my own opinion *Douglas* far exceeds both the others. Mr. Home seems to have a beautiful talent for painting genuine nature and the manners of his country. There was so little of nature in the manners of both Greeks and Romans, that I do not wonder at his success being less brilliant when he tried those subjects." To Sir D. Dalrymple, 4 April, 1760.

[2] See *ante*, Vol. X, Chap. x.

her father's behest, but cannot return his love. Just as the earl's suspicions are being aroused at this coldness, Percy returns with glory from the crusades and hastens to his lady, not knowing that she is married. The spectators watch the sentimental lover as he is gradually trapped by the jealous husband, while the heroine is torn between duty to her marriage vow and her unconquerable passion for the suitor of her youth. In the end, Elwina goes mad and drinks poison, while Raby slays Percy, and then, learning that his wife was chaste, kills himself. Artificial and insipid as the play now seems, its combination of emotion, action and theory was considered a revelation. Besides the most ample recognition in London, the drama was acted in Vienna, and the authoress was elected a member of the Paris and Rouen academies.

Percy shows what havoc a virtuous man may work, if he is passion's slave. In 1779, Hannah More produced *The Fatal Falsehood*, to prove how love, in an unscrupulous heart, may lead to even more appalling crimes. After this effort, she abandoned the theatre and devoted her pen to the propagation of religion.

Never was there an atmosphere less genial to the tragic muse. A few attempts were made at classical imitations, such as Delap's *Royal Suppliants* (1781), founded on Euripides's *Heraclidae* and *Philodamus* (1782), by Dr. Bentley's son based on a passage in Cicero's *In Verrem*. There were some Shakespearean revivals, such as Kemble's alterations of *Coriolanus* and *The Tempest*, both in 1789, and some genuine attempts at medieval tragedy, in Hannah More's manner, of which the best were Jephson's *Count of Narbonne* (1781) and Joanna Baillie's *De Montfort* (1880). These efforts, which read like academic exercises, were the more coldly received, because the age could see its own thoughts and manners reflected, almost every night, in an endless succession of new comedies.

Few comedies of this group attracted so much attention as Mrs. Hannah Cowley's. In 1776, she had produced the rather sentimental *The Runaway*, in which Emily, a fugitive from a distasteful marriage, takes refuge in the Hargraves' house and is unscrupulously lured away from this retreat

because her charms bid fair to seduce young Hargrave from his promised marriage with a wealthy old maid. Early in the eighties, Mrs. Cowley changed to the comedy of humour and episode. In *The Belle's Stratagem* (1780), Laetitia Hardy, to be sure of winning the affections of her betrothed, first disgusts him by pretending to be a hoyden and then, while disguised at a masquerade, conquers his heart by her real charms. In *A Bold Stroke for a Husband* (1783), Olivia is plagued by her father's desire to see her married; so, she adopts the expedient of scandalising all suitors, till Don Julio appears and she captures him by a series of complicated deceptions. Both compositions are akin to the classical school in that they give a laughable and optimistic turn to the domestic difficulties of youth; but neither, in the true spirit of old comedy, creates humour out of the clash or eccentricity of character.

The most remarkable playwright of this decade is general Burgoyne. The author of *The Maid of the Oaks*, on returning from America, had resumed his former avocation, and, after writing an opera in 1780, produced, in 1786, *The Heiress*, which won a fortune and was preferred by some critics to *The School for Scandal*. The play, which was partly founded on Diderot's *Père de Famille* and on Mrs. Lennox's *The Sister* (1769), has the unusual merit of combining the features of a comedy of manners with those of a comedy of pathos. In the first half, differences of breeding and caste are sketched with the precision of genuine comedy. The native grace and suavity of hereditary gentry are skilfully portrayed, especially in the scene where Clifford woos the charming Lady Emily, his friend Lord Gayville's sister, over a game of chess;[1] while the affectations of the vulgar rich are satirised in the scenes where old Alscrip suffers the inconveniences of fashion and his daughter expatiates insufferably on her imagined conquests in the polite world. The two households afford a pleasing study in social contrasts, which reach their climax when Lady Emily and Miss Alscrip are brought together; and the scene shifts naturally from one side to the other, since Lord Gayville is to marry Miss Alscrip for her money. The pathetic interest centres in Miss Alton. Lord Gayville falls in love with Miss

[1] Act II, sc. I.

Alton in the streets, does not know who she is, traces her to her obscure lodging, like Belcour in *The West Indian*, and presses his courtship so eagerly that, to escape persecution, she enters service as Miss Alscrip's companion. It is easy to foresee what humiliations her self-respect will suffer among these purse-proud plebeians, until she is unexpectedly discovered to be Clifford's long-lost sister, and the detection of a flaw in a will transfers the Alscrip fortune to her hands. Though infinitely inferior to Sheridan's masterpiece in construction and brilliance of dialogue, *The Heiress* exercised a stronger influence. It demonstrated how effectively characters could be contrasted by grouping them in two opposing parties; it introduced a new type of snob, not only in the person of old Alscrip but in the two cleverly conceived stage characters, Mr. and Mrs. Blandish, who ingratiate themselves into both circles by abject flattery; it showed what use could be made of the odious female as a foil to the virtues of the heroine whom she scorns, and it made popular an atmosphere of legal chicanery, forged wills and incriminating documents, which, henceforth, was taken over by many subsequent plays. Though Burgoyne found many imitators of his technique, *The Heiress* is one of the last productions of the eighteenth century that reflected new lights on human nature, thus retaining the spirit of comedy.

Yet another change was now coming over the British theatre. The ideas of Condorcet, William Godwin and Tom Paine[1] were in the air, and, when the public went to the playhouse, if they did not wish to be amused by operas and pantomimes, they were anxious to see these new enthusiasms on the stage. Themes were now looked for such as the rights of man, the dignity of humble life, the triumph of nature over artificial civilisation, the poetry of the country and other tenets of the growing romantic movement. Had these notions really stirred all classes, the conflict between old and new might, conceivably, have inspired a new and vigorous series of comedies. But the theatre-going public never thought of questioning the established order of the eighteenth century. These new ideas were, for them, an abstract speculation, quite

[1] *L'Esquisse d'un tableau historique des progrès de l'esprit humain* (1793?); *Enquiry concerning Political Justice* (1793); *The Rights of Man* (1791); see Brailsford, H. N., *Shelley, Godwin and their Circle* (1913).

distinct from their own traditions and conventionalities. Plays which now found favour necessarily ceased to be comedies and became either dramatised pamphlets or day-dreams of the world set right. A public of this sort offered easy opportunities to any sentimentalist familiar with the stage; and, during the last twenty years of the century, Holcroft, Mrs. Inchbald, Colman the younger and Morton made reputations by adapting to the technique of the theatre the unsubstantial Utopias of everyday life.

Holcroft, a dauntless fellow worker with Godwin and Paine, had begun, as early as 1778,[1] to turn to account his talent for letters and his experience as prompter and strolling player; but it was not till 1792 that he produced, at Covent garden, *The Road to Ruin*. The play shows how even business men, such as the banker Mr. Dornton and his head clerk Mr. Sulky, conceal human hearts beneath their dry exteriors, and that even spendthrifts, such as Dornton's son Harry, have a generous sense of duty despite their recklessness. When Harry's extravagance, at last, causes a run on his father's bank, the youth resolves to save the house by espousing the wealthy Mrs. Warren, though really in love with her daughter. One half of the action takes place in the luxurious mansion of the odious widow, satirising her vicious circle, especially Goldfinch, the brainless man of fashion, with his endless tag "that's your sort," who is eager for the widow's wealth in order to defray his debts. In the end, the bank is saved by the staunch loyalty of Sulky; Harry, sobered by his experience, is free to marry the girl of his choice, and Mrs. Warren is disinherited by the discovery of a new will. *The Road to Ruin* is Holcroft's least inartistic success; but *The Deserted Daughter* is a more striking indication of the tendency of the theatre. Taking a hint from Cumberland's *The Brothers*, he attempted to show how bad men may become good. Mordent neglects his dutiful wife, hates the world, plunges into debt and consorts with two dishonest lawyers, Item and Grime, who rob him. All this misery is due to the consciousness that he has a natural daughter, Joanna, whom he is afraid to own publicly. The play

[1] *Crisis* at Drury lane. His first comedy was *Duplicity*, at Covent garden, in 1781. See, also *post*, Chap. XIII.

shows how Mordent passes from bad to worse, till he is on the brink of moral and financial ruin. But, just at the climax, Grime and Item are detected by means of an intercepted document; Joanna is married to the generous and wealthy young Cheveril; her relationship with Mordent is then made public; and the father, now relieved of his secret, is reconciled to his wife. *The Deserted Daughter* abounds in plagiarisms and artificialities. Mrs. Sarsnet is the shadow of Mrs. Malaprop; Joanna's physiognomical intuitions are copied from *Clarissa*. Item's despair at the loss of the telltale document is taken from *L'Avare* or *Aulularia;* Donald, the faithful Scottish servant, who talks unintelligible English, is the one attempt at humour. Yet, the play manages, in a melodramatic form, to portray the doctrines of the Godwin circle. Cumberland had shown, more than twenty years earlier, how far demoralisation is due to the burden of an overgrown society. Holcroft goes further; he champions the new belief in the perfectibility of man, and pictures how the soul springs up erect the moment that the burden is removed. Thus, in spite of its literary demerits, *The Deserted Daughter* is worth remembering, especially as Mrs. Inchbald and Colman the younger had, also, chosen this doctrine as the theme of their most important work.

Elizabeth Sampson had been attracted to London by the glamour of the theatre, and, in London, she married the actor Inchbald. After she had spent several years in touring, *The Mogul Tale* was accepted for the stage, in 1784, and she established her reputation with *I'll tell you What*, at the Hay (the old Haymarket theatre), in 1785. The play is a model of construction, and, though the characters are hardly more than stage figures, the plot combines the humour of classical comedy with the moralising of the newer school. Mrs. Inchbald never fulfilled the promise of this early work; but she understood the taste of the theatrical public and, in her next play, *Such Things Are* (1787), showed how successfully she could condense fashionable ideas into dramatic situations. At this time, John Howard's agitation for prison reform was a common topic of discussion, and harmonised well with popular faith in human goodness; but polite audiences at Covent garden would hardly

have tolerated so inelegant a subject as gaol-life, if Mrs. Inchbald had not also flattered the growing romantic taste for unreality by placing the scene in Sumatra. The central character, Haswell, as the good Samaritan among the sultan's prisoners, rouses the nobler sentiments latent within them, and discovers devotion and heroism in the deepest dungeons. The usual contrast to these grim scenes is provided by the English inhabitants of the island, especially by Sir Luke Tremor who is always quarrelling with his wife, and by Twineall, whose attempts at social success are a satire on Lord Chesterfield's principles.[1] To put the seal on the sentimentality of the play, the sultan, in the end, proves to be a Christian, and one of the prisoners is discovered to be the wife whom he lost fifteen years before. Mrs. Inchbald had a distinct gift for portraying the psychology of marriage, and, though so intricate and elusive a theme is best suited to the more leisurely treatment of the novel, she endeavoured, again and again, to compress fine-spun material into one or other of her comedies. *Wives as they Were* (1797), a study of a pleasure-loving girl in high society, whose nobler qualities are gradually developed by the influence of her father in disguise, though quite as successful as her other comedies,[2] is a wholly inadequate treatment of its theme when compared with the powerful novel[3] into which it was afterwards elaborated. The most typical of her domestic plays, *Every one has his Fault* (1793), exhibits a series of ill-assorted or ill-judged marriages, from the case of Lady Eleanor and Irwin, founded on *Amelia*, down to that of the Placids, who quarrel incessantly, like the Dove *ménage* in *The Brothers*. While showing how domestic unhappiness embitters or even depraves each character, Mrs. Inchbald rises to legitimate comedy, and almost reaches a tragic note in the scene where Irwin waylays and robs Lord Norland, his unforgiving father-in-law. But, the public expected a happy issue out of all these afflictions; so, Mrs. Inchbald invents a number of incidents which have not any logical connection with either the plot or the characters, but which

[1] Chesterfield's *Letters* had already been satirised with such success in *The Cozeners* at the Hay, in 1774, that two editions of the play appeared after Foote's death in 1778.

[2] It had a run of twenty-four nights.

[3] *A Simple Story*, see *post*, Chap. XIII of the present volume.

brought tears into the eyes of her sentimental generation.[1] It is worth noticing that the growing desire for glimpses of a less conventional and prosaic life influenced even Mrs. Inchbald. In *To Marry or not to Marry*, Sir Oswin's plans to wed the beautiful but mysterious Hester, of unknown origin, are deranged by the appearance of his mortal foe, the exile Lavensforth. The fugitive, attended by his faithful black servant, is lurking in the neighbourhood, bent on murder. Yet, when it transpires that the two enemies are father and lover of the same girl, the vendetta evaporates in a drawing-room reconciliation.

George Colman, son of the dramatist and theatre-manager of the same name, displayed more ingenuity in giving a romantic atmosphere to his conventional ideas. He had already produced two musical comedies at the Hay[2] before, in 1787, he made his name at that theatre with *Inkle and Yarico*. Inkle, the respectable, city-bred youth, is conveying his betrothed Narcissa back to her father, the wealthy governor of Barbadoes. On the voyage, he and his comic attendant Trudge are accidentally left on an island where they are saved from cannibals by two native women, with whom they severally fall in love. Eventually, they reach Barbadoes, accompanied by their savage preservers. Inkle is now faced with the alternative of losing his profitable match with Narcissa or of abandoning the faithful Yarico, and, to guide him in this ethical problem, he has only the maxims of Threadneedle street.[3] Thus the play teaches that a sound commercial training, which commands respect in London town, may lamentably fail its adept in the larger and more varied world outside, and, in the last two acts, Inkle is amply humiliated because of his signal ingratitude to his benefactress. To inculcate this lesson, Colman had worked one of Addison's *Spectators*[4] into a pleasing opera, not without touches of romantic imagination. Yarico's appeal to Inkle—

[1] *E. g.*, act. v, sc. 1: Norland, while still unreconciled to his daughter, has adopted her lost son. The small boy appears on the stage and intuitively recognises his mother.

[2] *Two to One* (1784) and *Turk and no Turk* (1785).

[3] Act III, sc. 3.

[4] Taken by Addison from Ligon's *History of Barbadoes*.

Come, come, let's go. I always feared these cities. Let's fly and seek the woods; and there we'll wander hand in hand together. No care shall vex us then. We'll let the day glide by in idleness; and you shall sit in the shade and watch the sunbeams playing on the brook, while I sing the song that pleases you—

almost suggests *Paul et Virginie*, and must have sounded like music from a strange world to an English eighteenth century audience. Most of Colman's operas develop even more fanciful situations, though he softened their improbability by placing his scenes in wild and romantic periods such as the wars of the Roses,[1] the Hundred Years' war,[2] and the Moorish wars in Spain,[3] or in an old English mansion of the time of Charles I.[4] In every case, the chief characters have the sentimental gentility which spectators admired and they are attended by servants whose uncouth manners and doglike fidelity do duty for humour. Such poverty of inspiration became only too apparent when Colman discarded picturesque settings and produced plays of modern life. *The Heir at Law* (1797) presents, indeed, in Pangloss, the stage pedant, compounded of servility, avarice and scholasticism, a character worthy of old comedy, and *John Bull*, in Job Thornberry, a sentimental type which, nevertheless, still lives. Colman's other attempts at comedy are not worth disinterring.

Thomas Morton, who was first known to the public by *Columbus* (1792), copied from Marmontel's *Les Incas*, and who first achieved success with *The Way to get Married* (1796),[5] modelled his plays on the accepted type. But, amid all the eighteenth century sentiment and stage claptrap of incriminating documents, mistaken identities and sudden recognitions, he has flashes of whimsicality which carry the reader forward to early Victorian humour. In *The Way to get Married*, Tangent first meets Julia (his destined bride) when, in a fit of high spirits, he has girded himself with an apron and jumped behind the counter, to serve Alspice's customers. When Miss

[1] *Battle of Hexham* (1789).
[2] *Surrender of Calais* (1791).
[3] *The Mountaineers* (1793). The plot is borrowed from *Don Quixote*.
[4] *The Iron Chest* (1796). (Same theme as the novel *Caleb Williams*.)
[5] It had a run of forty-one nights.

Sapless's will is read, her disappointed relatives learn that Caustic is appointed trustee of the fortune to be bestowed on any young woman about to be married who may please this misogynist. Dick Dashall is not an aristocratic debauchee but a city speculator, who takes his first clerk out hunting and arranges his business deals "when the hounds are at fault!"[1] In *A Cure for the Heartache* (1797), the two Rapids, father and son, engaged in the tailoring business, rouse genuine laughter by their erratic attempts to play the gentleman. In *Speed the Plough* dame Ashfield's frequent allusions to Mrs. Grundy[2] have made that name proverbial. Even in *The School of Reform* (1805), Lord Avondale's sordid accomplice Tyke combines, with his innate felony, eccentricity and dry humour.

Holcroft, Mrs. Inchbald, Colman the younger and Morton by no means monopolised the attention of playgoers. They had to compete with innumerable farces, pantomimes and burlettas from the pens of Reynolds, O'Keeffe, Dibdin, Vaughan, Macnally, Cobb, Hoare and with many French and German adaptations, especially from Kotzebue. In 1789, Reynolds, to some extent, reverted to the examples of the classical school in *The Dramatist.* The plot is extravagantly impossible; but the minor characters are well conceived. Lord Scratch, the newly-made peer, intoxicated by his unaccustomed position; Ennui, who entertains the audience by boring the other characters, and incidentally, satirises the man of fashion by imitating his ways and, above all, Vapid, the dramatist, who disconcerts the company by his unforeseen and inopportune inspirations, all belong to legitimate comedy. O'Keeffe achieved the same quality of merit with *Wild Oats* (1791). The play shows how young Harry Thunder, in a passing fit of recklessness, runs away from Portsmouth academy and joins a company of strolling players. We might have expected an interesting picture of the vagrant actor's life; but the prejudices of the public confined the chief action to genteel society. Only the character of Rover, the irrepressible and impecunious comedian, is conceived in the true comic spirit. Cumberland, who had really been the first to influence the closing phase of

[1] Act II, sc. 2.

[2] See, especially, act II, sc. 3.

this period of dramatic history, continued unceasingly to supply the theatre. His prolific industry produced nothing more noteworthy than *The Jew* (1794), a rehabilitation of that nation, in which Sheva, after a display of Hebrew frugality, suddenly shows Christian loving-kindness, and saves Sir Stephen Bertram's family from disunion by an unexpected act of generosity.

Bad as all these playwrights are, it is surprising that their work was no poorer. Throughout the period, the men who wrote for the theatre were gradually finding themselves enslaved to the demoralising exigencies of stage-carpentry and scenic display. This influence, at once the effect and the cause of dramatic decadence, began to appear as early as 1656 in *The Siege of Rhodes*, and, when Jeremy Collier shamed the theatre out of its chief source of amusement, managers availed themselves of "foreign monsters," such as French dancers and posture-makers, in order to retain the patronage of the old school. Henceforth, the stage never recovered its inspired simplicity. By the second half of the eighteenth century, spectacles were one of the chief attractions of the theatre. In 1761, Walpole describes how Garrick exhibited the coronation with a real bonfire and a real mob, while Rich was about to surpass this display by introducing a dinner for the knights of the Bath and for the barons of the Cinque ports.[1] In 1772, the English Roscius was represented on the title-page of a pamphlet treading on the works of Shakespeare, with the subjoined motto:

> Behold the Muses Roscius sue in vain,
> Tailors and carpenters usurp the reign;[2]

and, in 1776, Colman, at the request of Sheridan, produced *New Brooms*, an ironical commendation of the opera's popularity. In 1789, stage-managership was so far an attraction in itself that the same Colman was content to portray, not the manners of his age, but Hogarth's print of the Enraged Musician, under the title *Ut Pictura Poesis*. In 1791, *Cymon*, though an execrable play, was revived and had a run of thirty or forty

[1] Letter to the Countess of Ailesbury 10 Oct., 1761. Mrs. P. Toynbee's ed., vol. v, p. 133.

[2] *The Theatres. A poetical dissection. By Sir Nicholas Nipclose, Baronet.*

nights, because the piece concluded with a pageant of a hundred knights and a representation of a tournay. In 1794, *Macbeth* was staged with a lake of real water. By the end of the century, the theatre-going public had so far lost the dramatic sense that the audiences of Bristol and Bath clamoured for the contemptible witches' dance which Kemble had suppressed in his rendering of *Macbeth*,[1] and London society made a fashionable entertainment out of "Monk" Lewis's pantomimic melodramas[2] and a little boy's[3] ludicrous appearance in great tragic *rôles*.

Such attractions as these had definitely degraded the scope and province of the theatre. It has already been shown how many tendencies hastened the perversion of the stage; how the thoughtful and studious turned to the novel; how the unpretentious developed a domestic culture of their own; and how the lovers of variety and magnificence were left to encourage in the theatre that brilliance and sense of social distinction which have ever since been one of its attractions. It remains to point out how deeply realistic scenery vitiated the very spirit of dramatic representation. A play is a contrivance for revealing what goes on in the mind, first by means of mannerisms and costumes, which are mannerisms to be looked at, and then by words and actions. But, as the characters of a great play move and speak on the stage, the spectator follows these indications with something more than impersonal interest. He is vaguely conscious of his own world of thought and activity behind the characters, and, all through the performance, his sympathy or imagination transforms the players into parables of his philosophy of life.[4] Even ludicrous types, such as Bobadill or Lord Foppington, in some sort embody his own sense of comedy; even the great tragedies of destiny, such as *Oedipus* or *Lear*, in some way symbolise his unrealised day-dreams of

[1] 1802. [2] See bibliography.

[3] W. H. W. Betty's meteoric career began at the age of twelve, at Belfast and Dublin, in 1803. By 1804, he was established in popular favour at Covent garden and Drury lane. In 1805, he appeared at both theatres alternately, acting, amongst other parts, Romeo, Hamlet, Macbeth and Richard the Third. His last appearance as a boy actor was at Bath in 1808. See bibliography, under Theatrical Pamphlets.

[4] Cf. Goethe, *Shakespeare als Theaterdichter* (1826), Genau genommen, so ist nichts theatralisch, als was für die Augen zugleich symbolisch ist: eine wichtige Handlung, die auf eine noch wichtigere deutet.

life and death. It is in this way that players are the abstract and brief chronicle of the time. Hence, elaborate scenery need not hamper the true purpose of the drama, provided only that the decorations preserve an atmosphere of unreality and leave the imagination free to interpret the acting. But, as soon as the spirit of make-believe is killed by realistic staging, the spectator loses touch with himself. He no longer enjoys the play as a wonderful and impossible crystallisation of his sentiments, nor can he give the characters the peculiar, imaginative setting which makes them a part of his mind. His attention is diverted by painted canvas and well-drilled "supers" or, at best, he is forced to leave his own world outside and to enter into the lives and environment of the *dramatis personæ*. Innovations of costume rendered this disillusion more complete. In the days of Quin, the characters appeared in a conventional dress, incongruous to us because unfamiliar, which raised the actors above the limitations of actual existence and made them denizens of the suggestive stage-world. But, when Garrick played Macbeth in a scarlet and gold military uniform and dressed Hotspur in a laced frock and Ramillies wig, he was introducing realism, which destroyed the universality of the characters;[1] so that, after two generations of the new tradition, neither Lamb nor Hazlitt could endure to see Shakespeare acted; and Goethe, at a time[2] when the picture stage had firm hold of Germany regarded Shakespeare more as a poet to be read in seclusion than as a dramatist to be appreciated in the theatre. Nevertheless, it must not be forgotten that the genius of actors and the enterprise of managers have still kept alive the attention of scholars and poets, and this educated interest will one day succeed in effecting the reunion of literature with stagecraft. But, in the meanwhile, authors, from the Georgian period onwards, have found that the drama of universal appeal misses fire amid realistic accessories, and they have endeavoured to give their audiences glimpses into the bypaths and artificialities of life, thus usurping the functions of the novel.

[1] Cf. Goethe, *Shakespeare als Dichter überhaupt* (n.d.), Niemand hat das materielle Kostüm mehr verachtet als er; er kennt recht gut das innere Menschenkostüm und hier gleichen sich alle.

[2] *I. e.*, *Regeln für Schauspieler* (1803), § 83, Das Theater ist als ein figurloses Tableau anzusehen, worin der Schauspieler die Staffage macht.

CHAPTER XIII

The Growth of the Later Novel

THE contents of the present chapter may seem at first sight, and that not merely to ill-informed persons, like those of a badly assorted omnibus-box. Indeed, unless the reader has at once fallen into the right point of view, the more he knows the more likely he is to see wrong. Amory, he may say, was born well within the seventeenth century. Peacock died when only the last third of the nineteenth had yet to run. Here are two centuries, or nearly so, to be covered in one chapter. Moreover, the characteristics of the various novelists to be noticed do not admit, at least in some cases, of any obvious classification of a serious and scientific kind. What has *John Buncle* to do with *Belinda*, or *St. Leon* with *Gryll Grange?*

It is not necessary to be very careful in order to answer these questions. In the first place, the remarkable longevity and the peculiar circumstances of the oldest and the youngest members of the group render mere chronology singularly deceptive. It appears to be true that the author of *John Buncle* was born (though the exact year is not certain) not more than two or three years after the revolution of 1688: and it is certain that Peacock died in 1866. But Amory did not publish (though he may have written them earlier) his *Memoirs of Several Ladies* till he was nearly sixty-five, or John Buncle till he was nearly seventy, while *Gryll Grange*, though it appeared only six years before its author's death and has a wonderful absence of glaring Rip-van-Winkleism, is, in general conception, identical with its author's work of forty years earlier. And so we at once reduce the almost two hundred years of the first calculation to a modest sixty or seventy at most.

But there is a good deal more than this. Not only do the authors here dealt with represent the work of a manageable and definite, if immature, stage in the history of the English novel, but they also, by the very absence of their contemporaries Scott and Jane Austen, represent a transition, of the highest historical interest, between the great "quadrilateral" of the mid-eighteenth century novel and the immense development of the kind which Scott and Jane Austen themselves were to usher in for the nineteenth century. Some of them, but by no means all, are, in a way, failures. All, or almost all, represent experiment, sometimes in partly mistaken kinds, like the terror novel of Mrs. Radcliffe and Lewis, sometimes in "sports" of individual and somewhat eccentric talent or genius, like the humour romances of Peacock. But, except in the latter case, and even there, perhaps, to some small extent, they all give evidence that the novel has not yet found its main way or ways—that it is, if not exactly in the wilderness, scarcely at home in the promised land. Hardly a single one of our company, with the possible exception of Maria Edgeworth, can be said to be purely normal: and even her normality was sorely interfered with by her father's eccentricities, by circumstances of this and that kind and, not least, perhaps, by an absence both of critical supervision and of creative audacity in herself.

Although *John Buncle*, by name at least, has a certain notoriety; although it was made the subject, by a great critic, of a criticism quite as debatable as, and only less debated than, Lamb's on Thomas Heywood; although it has been several times reprinted and has, at any rate, pleased some good wits mightily, it appears to be still very little known. And, as to its more than eccentric author scarcely any facts seem to be accessible except that he knew, or said he knew, Swift, that he was an Irishman and that, in his later years, at any rate, he lived in London. It is customary to call Amory mad; but, after repeated reading of his chief book and a fair study of his other work, the present writer has not been able to discover signs of anything more than the extremest eccentricity. He was, indeed, compact of "crazes," in the milder and more usual meaning of that word; and he indulged them without stint and without mercy. A passionate unitarian, or, as he

preferred to call it, a "Christian-Deist"; an eager student of several humane subjects, especially Roman antiquities, and of some sciences, especially those connected with medicine; by no means a bad critic of literature, who almost literally anticipates Macaulay, in his estimate of Rymer; devoted to "the ladies," always in a strictly, though rather oddly, virtuous way; almost equally devoted to good food and good drink; a most imaginative describer of, and wanderer in, picturesque scenery—he composes his books by means of a succession of "screeds," devoted helter-skelter to all these subjects, and to a great many more.

This method, or contempt of method, Amory applies, in his two books, with the most extravagant faithfulness. In the case of the earlier, indeed, *Memoirs of Several Ladies*, it is applied in such a fashion that all but the most exceptionally equipped readers had very much better begin with the second, *John Buncle* itself. There is enough of amusing matter, and of positive, though most eccentric, quality befitting a novel, to induce one to go back to the *Memoirs;* it is more than probable that a first introduction to the *Memoirs* might effectually prevent the reader from going on to the rest of the work, or from ever taking up anything else written by its author. Amory's announced, and, probably, quite serious, intention was to give biographies of eighteen ladies, as well as of "the beautiful Isyphena and Judith the charming Hebrew," with "occasional accounts" of others. He has actually devoted a stout volume of more than five hundred pages almost wholly to one person, Mrs. Marinda Benlow or Bruce, or, rather, to Mrs. Marinda and all the other subjects described or adumbrated above, including a voyage to the Hebrides, continual raids on "the destructive theology of Athanasius," a long introduction to "Mrs. Monkhouse of Paterdale" [*sic*] "on the banks of the river Glenkroden" [*sic*] and a large postscript of an even more miscellaneous character. The French phrase about a book "letting itself be read" is sufficiently familiar: it is scarcely extravagant to say that these *Memoirs* absolutely refuse to submit themselves to reading, except in the fashion of the most dogged taskwork.

In *John Buncle* itself, Amory shows himself able to talk, or write, a little more like a man, if not of this, yet of his own

eccentric, world. The hero becomes less nebulous: in fact, he is, at least, of the world of Dickens, when he sits down in the highest state of contentment, and, in fact, of positive carol, to a pound of steak, a quart of peas, another (or several others) of strong ale and divers cuts of fine bread. There has to be more and swifter handling to enable him to get through his allowance of more than half-a-dozen wives, all ravishingly beautiful; all strictly virtuous and rigidly Christian-Deist; most of them learned in arts and sciences, sacred and profane, and capable, sometimes, at least, of painting "at the same time" pictures of Arcadia and of the crucifixion. They are generally discovered in some wild district of the north of England, where the hero, after perilous adventures, comes upon a perfectly civilised mansion, usually on the shore of a lake; introduces himself; is warmly received by both fathers and daughters (it is noteworthy that mothers rarely appear); argues on points human and divine; marries; soon buries his wife; and proceeds to console himself, after an interval more or less short, in circumstances slightly varied in detail but generically identical.

And yet, though it is impossible to give any true description of it which shall not make it seem preposterous, the book is not a mere sandwich of dulness and extravagance. There is no doubt that the quality which recommended it to Hazlitt, and made him compare it to Rabelais, is his own favourite "gusto." One might almost think that Amory had set himself to oppose, by anticipation, not merely the school of "sensibility" which was becoming fashionable in his own time, but the developments, nearly a century later, which produced *Jacopo Ortis* and *Obermann*. Buncle has his sorrows, and, despite his facility of self-consolation, neither mood appears to be in the least insincere, still less hypocritical. But, sorrow is not his business in life, nor, despite his passion for argument, introspection of any kind. It is his business to enjoy; and he appears to enjoy everything, the peas and the antiquarian enquiries, the theological discussions and the beautiful young ladies who join in them, the hairbreadth escapes and the lovely prospects, nay, even the company of a scoundrel with some character, like Curll. Hazlitt was perfectly right in selecting the passage describing Buncle's visits with his friends the Dublin "bloods" (some of them, apparently, greater scoundrels

than Curll himself) to an alehouse on the seashore. This display of mood is one of the most remarkable things of its kind, and the wonder of it is not lessened when we remember that it was published, if not written, by a man of seventy. That there is, practically, nothing—either real or factitious—of the sense of regret for the past is less surprising than that the gusto is itself not factitious in the least—that it is perfectly fresh, spontaneous and, as it were, the utterance of a full-blooded undergraduate. In none of the four great contemporary novelists is there this absolute spontaneity—not even in Fielding; and Amory ought to have due credit for it.

With the final remark that this development of the eccentric novel, towards the close of the first great harvest of the novel itself, is, as a historical fact, worthy of no little attention, we may pass to another single figure, and single book, also, in a way, eccentric, but towering far above Amory in genius, and standing alone; later than the great novelists of 1740–70; earlier than the abundant novel-produce of the revolutionary period; exactly contemporary with no one of much mark in the novel except Miss Burney, and as different from her as the most ingenious imagination could devise—to Beckford and *Vathek*.

It cannot be denied that a great part of Beckford's celebrity is derived from, and has been always maintained by, sources which appeal to the more vulgar kinds of human interest. His wealth, which, even at the present day, would be reckoned great, and which, for his time, was immense and almost incredible; his lavish and fantastic expenditure of it; his pose as a misanthropic, or, at least, recluse, voluptuary; his eccentricities of all sorts; his distinguished connections; and even his long life—were powerful attractions of this kind to the vulgar. But there is no doubt that his literary powers were great: and not much doubt that, though his circumstances, possibly, circumscribed the exercise of them, they helped, to some extent, to produce the colour and character of his best work. It is a curious fact, but one attested by not a few instances, that men of narrow, or only moderately affluent, circumstances do not deal happily with imaginations of unbounded luxury. Fonthill and the means which created or supported it enabled

Beckford to enlarge things still further and satisfactorily for the purposes of Samarah and Istakar.

Had he not written the unique romance which begins in one of these places and ends in (or below) the other, Beckford would still have had claims by no means insignificant to a position in literature, although his other work in the way of fiction[1] is not great, his various travels, the bibliography of which is rather complicated, are of quality high above the average,[2] and his early skit in art criticism (*A History of Extraordinary Painters*) is extremely clever. Nevertheless, for all but anecdotic or very minute literary history, Beckford is *Vathek.*

This tale itself is not free from a certain overlay of deliberate eccentricity. As we read it in English, it is not Beckford's own work (though finally revised by him), but that of a certain Samuel Henley, surreptitiously published and translated from the French, which, Beckford said (if he said it),[3] he had written in three days and two nights, thereby bringing on severe illness. Other reports say that he took something like a year over it. The matter, which will remind some readers of incidents in the life of Balzac, is of little real importance. And, perhaps, it is not too "spoilsport" to observe that three days and two nights means about sixty-four hours and that *Vathek* does not extend beyond about eighty or ninety at most of pages like the present. Anybody who could write it at all, and had thought the lines of it out beforehand, could write three or four pages of it in an hour, have from thirty to forty left for food, sleep and the resting of his wrist—the strength of which latter would be the chief part of the wonder.

[1] *Modern Novel Writing or the Elegant Enthusiast* (1796), a satire not quite "brought off"; and *Azemia* (1797), under the pseudonym "Jenks."

[2] The earlier parts appeared first in 1783 as *Dreams, Waking Thoughts and Incidents*, and display a rather juvenile coxcombry and jauntiness, no doubt due to the imitation of Sterne, but blended with much really fanciful writing. He suppressed most of the copies, and castigated the book severely when he reprinted it, fifty years later, with *Letters from Portugal* (1834), which are of very great merit.

[3] His interlocutor and reporter, Cyrus Redding, labours under something of the same doubt as to his "security" which attached to Bardolph. But large and trustworthy additions have recently been made to our knowledge of Beckford and his work by Lewis Melville, who has, also, at last rescued, from something like oblivion in the Hamilton archives, the *Episodes* to be dealt with below.

Whether, however, *Vathek* had been written in three days, or three weeks, or three months, or three years, its literary value would be affected not one jot. It is an Arabian tale of the familiar kind into which Anthony Hamilton and Voltaire had infused western sarcasm. The hero, grandson of Haroun, exaggerates the, by no means small, defects of his ancestor's character, and has very few of his merits, if any. He is what is now called a megalomaniac in everything: and, after a course of comparatively harmless luxury, devotes himself, partly under the influence of his sorceress mother, Carathis, to the direct service of Eblis. Crime now follows crime; and, though, in his journey towards the haunted ruins of Istakar (the site of the purgatory of Solomon and the inferno of Eblis himself), he conceives an at least human and natural passion for the beautiful Nouronihar, she is as much intoxicated by the prospect of supernatural power as he is himself. They are at last introduced, by a subordinate fiend, to the famous hall of Eblis, where, after a short interval, they meet with their due reward—the eternal torture of a burning heart—as they wander amid riches, splendours, opportunities of knowledge and all the other treacherous and bootless gifts of hell.

It is hardly possible to praise this conclusion too highly: it is almost Milton in arabesque, and, though Beckford has given himself insufficient space to develop the character of Nouronihar (Vathek himself, it must be confessed, has very little), there are hints and outlines which are almost Shakespearean. What opinion may be formed of the matter which leads up to this conclusion will depend almost entirely upon temperament. It has, in parts, been called, but to some judgments, never is, dull: it is certainly in parts, grotesque, extravagant and even nasty. But Beckford could plead sufficient "local colour" for it, and a contrast, again almost Shakespearean, between the flickering farce atrocities of the beginning and the sombre magnificence of the end. Beckford's claims, in fact, rest on the half-score or even half-dozen pages towards the end: but these pages are hard to parallel in the later literature of prose fiction.

There are, however, some points not directly touching the literary merit of *Vathek*, which can hardly be left quite un-

handled even in the small space available here. It has been said that the tale was written in French and handed over by its author to Samuel Henley to translate. The translation, even with Beckford's own revision, is not impeccable, and sometimes fails strangely in idiom.[1] It is, however, better to read the book in the translation than in the original, which brings out too forcibly the resemblance to Hamilton and Voltaire: and eighteenth century French is not equal to the hall of Eblis. The circumstances of the actual publication are strange and not entirely comprehensible. That Henley, after much shilly-shallying on Beckford's part, should have "forced the card" and published it without the author's permission, is not very surprising; but why he gave it out as "translated from the Arabic" has never been satisfactorily explained. Beckford, for once reasonably enraged, published the French as soon as he could; but he did not include the *Episodes* which are referred to at the end, and which are congruous enough in *The Arabian Nights* fashion. He showed them, later, to some men of letters, including Rogers; but he never published them, and it is only recently that they have appeared, edited in French by Lewis Melville, and very well translated into English by Sir Frank Marzials. It would have been a pity if they had perished or remained unknown: but they can hardly be said to add to the greatness of *Vathek*, though they are not unworthy of their intended shrine. The first is a sort of doublet of the main story, a weaker Vathek, prince Alasi, being here actually made worse by a more malignant Nouronihar, princess Firouzkah. The heroine of the second is a peri of some charm, but her husband, Barkiarokh, is a repulsive and uninteresting scoundrel. By far the most striking is the last, the loves of the brother and sister prince Kalilah and princess Zulkais, which Beckford has left unfinished: whether from actual change of mind and taste or from one of his innumerable caprices and indolences, it is difficult to say.

[1] The strangest of these errors is one which the present writer has never seen noticed. After the malodorous and murderous sacrifice to Eblis, when Vathek and his mother carouse, the French has the very ordinary phrase that Carathis *faisait raison à* the various toasts of her son. "Do right" or "do reason" is actually English in the same sense of pledging and counterpledging; but Henley writes: "failed not to supply a reason for every bumper," which, if not quite nonsense, is quite wrong sense.

The revolutionary novel of Godwin, Holcroft, Mrs. Inchbald and Bage may be said to be the first instance (unless the novel of sensibility be allowed a position in the same line) of fiction proper (as distinguished from religious or other allegory) succumbing to purpose: and there may be some who would say that the inevitable evil of the connection showed itself at once. Here, of course, the French originals are obvious and incontestable. Rousseau in all the four, Diderot, to no small extent, in Bage, supply, to those who know them, commentaries or parallel texts, as it were, to be read with *Caleb Williams* and *A Simple Story*, *Anna St. Ives* and *Hermsprong*. But the difference, not merely of genius, but of circumstance and atmosphere, is most remarkable.

Godwin, though he wrote three early novels of which even biographers have been able to say little or nothing, and which fail to leave the slightest effect on the most industrious searchers out of them, produced nothing of importance in this kind till long after Holcroft, who, indeed, was a much older man. But *Caleb Williams* (1794) is the most famous and *St. Leon* (1799), with all its misplanning and even unreadableness, the most original, of the group; so we may begin with Godwin.

Both the books mentioned are closely connected with *Political Justice*, to the account of which, elsewhere,[1] reference must be made: their successors *Fleetwood* (1805), *Mandeville* (1817) and *Cloudesley* (1830), though they can hardly be said to be alien in temper, have far less distinction, and it is doubtful whether anyone now living has read them twice. The present writer, some years ago, found a first reading severe enough exercise to indispose him towards repetition of it, though *Fleetwood*, perhaps, is worth reading once. *Caleb Williams*, on the other hand, has been repeatedly reprinted and has, undoubtedly, exercised real fascination on a large number of well-qualified readers. It is, indeed, usual to praise it; and, in such work (for novels are meant to please, and, if they please, there is little more to be said), it is unnecessary and, indeed, idle to affect exception. The book is certainly full of ingenuity; and the doubles and checks and fresh starts of the criminal Falkland and his half unwilling servant and detective Caleb display that molelike patience and consecutiveness

[1] See *ante*, Chap. II.

which distinguish Godwin's thought throughout his work. To some tastes, however, not only is the "nervous impression (as Flaubert called it, in a phrase of great critical value) disagreeable, but there is an additional drawback in the total inability which they, at least, feel to sympathise with either master or man. If, at about half way in the length of the actual book, Falkland could have been made to commit a second murder on Caleb and be hanged for it, the interest would, to these tastes, have been considerably improved. Still, *Caleb Williams* has, generally, been found exciting. *St. Leon*, though some have thought it "terrible," has more often incurred the charge of dulness. It is dull, and, yet, strangely enough, one feels, as, at least in the cases above referred to, one does not feel in respect of *Caleb Williams*, that it just misses being a masterpiece. It represents that curious element of "occultism" which mixed itself largely with the revolutionary temper, and is associated for all time in literature with the names of Cagliostro and Mesmer. It contains the best examples of Godwin's very considerable, if rather artificial, power of ornate writing. The character of the heroine or part-heroine Marguerite (who has always been supposed to be intended for a study of the author's famous wife Mary Wollstonecraft), if, again, a little conventional, is, really, sympathetic. Had the thing been more completely brought off, one might even have pardoned, though it would have been hardly possible not to notice, the astonishing anachronisms, not merely of actual fact, but of style and diction, which distinguish almost the whole group dealt with in this chapter, and which were only done away with by Scott in the historical or quasi-historical novel. And, it is of great importance, especially in a historical survey, to remember that, when the problem of the authorship of the *Waverley Novels* presented itself, persons of very high competence did not dismiss as preposterous the notion that Godwin might be "the Great Unknown." In fact, he had, as these two books show, and as others do not wholly disprove, not a few of the characteristics of a novelist, and of a great one. He could make a plot; he could imagine character; and he could write. What deprived him of the position he might have reached was the constant presence of purpose, the constant absence of humour and the frequent

lack, almost more fatal still, of anything like passion. The cold-bloodedness of Godwin and his lack of humour were, to some extent, sources of power to him in writings like *Political Justice;* they destroyed all hope of anything but abnormal success in novel-writing.

His friend and senior, Holcroft,[1] possessed both humour and passion, as his plays and his possibly "doctored" *Autobiography* show; nor is humour absent from his first novel *Alwyn* (1780), which, however, does not really belong to the class we are discussing, but is a lively semi-picaresque working up of the author's odd, youthful experiences on the stage and elsewhere. The much later *Anna St. Ives* (1792) and *Hugh Trevor* (1794) are similar in general temper to *Caleb Williams* and, indeed, to *Political Justice* itself, of which some would have Holcroft to have been the real inspirer. Unfortunately, the interest, which, as was said above, must be allowed to Godwin's chief novel has never, it is believed, been discovered by any recent reader in these two long and dull vindications, by means of fiction, of the liberty, equality and fraternity, claptrap; though, at the time, they undoubtedly interested and affected minds in a state of exaltation such as Coleridge's and Southey's. Holcroft's very considerable dramatic faculty, and his varied experience of life, still enable him, especially in *Anna St. Ives*, to intersperse some scenes of a rather livelier character than the rest; but it is very questionable whether it is worth anyone's while to seek them out in a desert of dreary declamation and propagandist puppet-mongering.

Mrs. Inchbald,[2] like Holcroft, was an intimate friend of Godwin; indeed, she was one of those rather numerous persons whom that most marriage-seeking of misogamists wished to marry before he fell into the clutches of Mrs. Clairmont. Pretty, clever, an accomplished actress, an industrious woman of letters, with an unblemished character in very queer society, but, very decidedly, a flirt—there was, perhaps, none of these rather heterogeneous qualities or accidents which, taken in connection with the others, was not useful to her as a novelist; and by her novels she has lived. *A Simple Story* has always

[1] See *ante*, Chap. XII.

[2] See *ante*, Chap. XII.

been more or less popular: and the curiously "modern" novel *Nature and Art*, in which a judge sentences to death a woman whom he has formerly seduced, from time to time receives attention. In both, her dramatic experience—for she was playwright as well as actress—enabled her to hit upon strong situations and not contemptibly constructed character; while her purely literary gift enabled her to clothe them in good form. But the criticism passed on her—that prevalent ideas on education and social convention spoil the work of a real artist—is true, except that a real artist would not have allowed the spoiling. Mrs. Inchbald stands apart from Godwin and Holcroft, on the one side, and from Bage, on the other, in the fact that, as some, though not many, other people have done, she combined sincere religious belief (she was a lifelong Roman catholic) with revolutionary political notions; and this saved her, in books as in life, from some blemishes which appear in others of the group. But the demon of extra-literary purpose left the marks of his claws on her.

Robert Bage, the last of this quartette, is differentiated from them by the fact that he is not unfrequently amusing, while the others seldom succeed in causing amusement. Sir Walter Scott has been sometimes found fault with, first, because he included some of Bage's books in the "Ballantyne novels," and, secondly, because he did not include what he himself, certainly with some inconsistency, allowed to be the best (which was also the last), *Hermsprong* or *Man as he is not* (1796). He also omitted the earlier *Man as he is* (1792) and *The fair Syrian* (1787) but gave the three others, *Mount Henneth* (1781), *Barham Downs* (1784) and *James Wallace* (1788). There is, perhaps, some ground for approving his practice at the expense of his precept. Bage, a quaker who became a freethinker, was an active man of business, and did not take to novel-writing till he was advanced in life. As was said above, though there is much of Rousseau in him, there is almost more of Diderot, and even a good deal of Voltaire; and, it was from the latter two of the trio that he derived the free speech as well as free thinking for which even a critic and editor so wisely and honestly free from squeamishness as Scott had to apologise. As the titles of his two last novels show, and as the dates of

them may explain, they are the most deeply imbued with purpose. Hermsprong himself, in fact—and one cannot but think must have been perceived to be by his author's shrewdness—is something very like a caricature. He is "the natural man"—or, rather, the extremely unnatural one—who, somehow, sheds all tradition in religion, politics and morals; and who, as we may put it, in a combination of vernacularities, "comes all right out of his own head." He is, also, very dull. *Man as he is* possesses rather more liveliness; but *The fair Syrian* (of which even the British museum seems to possess only a French translation) is duller than *Hermsprong*. *James Wallace* admits a good deal of sentimentality; but *Mount Henneth* and *Barham Downs*, though they have much which suggests the French substantive *fatrasie* and the French adjective *saugrenu*—though it is also quite clear, now and then, that Bage is simply following his great English predecessors, especially Fielding and Sterne—have, like *Man as he is*, and perhaps, in greater measure, a sort of unrefined liveliness, which carries them off, and which Scott, who was almost equally as good a judge of his kind of wares as a producer of them, no doubt recognised. Bage, in fact, when he leaves revolutionary politics and ethics on one side, and indulges what Scott did not scruple to call his "genius," can give us people who are more of this world than the folk of almost any of his contemporaries in novel-writing, except Fanny Burney earlier, and Maria Edgeworth later. His breeding, his circumstances and, perhaps, his temper, were not such as to enable him to know quite what to do with these live personages—but they are there.

To say that Maria Edgeworth herself holds really an outlying position in the group of revolutionary novelists may seems absurd to some readers; but there are others who will take the statement as a mere matter of course. In both temper and temperament, no one could have less of the revolutionary spirit; but the influence of the time, and, still more, that of her father, coloured the whole of her earlier and middle work. There is no doubt that Richard Edgeworth—who was a sort of John Buncle revived in the flesh and with the manners of a modern gentleman—affected his daughter's work very much for the worse, by the admixture of purpose and preachment

which he either induced her to make or (in some cases, pretty certainly) intruded on his own account. But it is possible that, without this influence, she would have written less or not at all.

The influence was itself derived from the earlier and less aggressive—or, at least, less anarchic—side of the French *philosophe* movement—ethical, economic, humanitarian, rather than politically or religiously revolutionary. Marmontel (not only or mainly in the actual title *Moral Tales*) was, perhaps, the most powerful single influence with the Edgeworths; there is practically nothing of Voltaire or Diderot, and not much of Rousseau, except on the educational side. If, as was admitted above, this element may have had a certain stimulating effect, it certainly affected the products of that stimulation injuriously. But, fortunately, Miss Edgeworth's native genius (we need not be afraid to use the word in regard to her, though Scott may have been too liberal in applying it to Bage) did not allow itself to be wholly suppressed either by her French models or by her father's interference. It found its way in three different directions, producing, in all, work which wants but a little, if, in some instances, it wants even that, to be of the very first class.

To mention these in what may be called hierarchical order, we ought, probably, to take first the attempts in what may be called the regular novel, ranging from *Belinda* in 1801 to *Helen* in 1834. This division, except when it allies itself with the next, has been the least popular and enduring part of her work; but, at least in *Belinda*, it deserves a much higher reputation than it has usually enjoyed. In fact, *Belinda* itself, though it does want the proverbial "that!" wants only that to be a great novel. The picture of the half-decadent, half-unfledged, society of the meeting of the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries is, at times, extremely vivid, and curiously perennial. In the twentieth, at least, one has not to look far before detecting, with the most superficial changes, Lady Delacour, and Mrs. Lutwidge, and even Harriot Freke. The men are not so good. Clarence Harvey, the hero, is a possible, but not an actual success, and the spendthrift Creole is mere stuff of melodrama; while the good people (in a less agreeable sense than the roly-poly pudding in *The Book of Snobs*) are "really

too good." This does not apply to Belinda herself, who is a natural girl enough; but, in her, also, there is the little wanting which means much. *Belinda*, let it be repeated, is not a great novel; but, an acute and expert reviewer might have detected in its author something not unlike a great novelist, at a time when there was nothing in fiction save the various extravagances criticised in other parts of this chapter.

The second group of Maria Edgeworth's novels with which, as has been said, the first, as in *The Absentee* to some extent, coalesces, has had better luck, and, perhaps, deserves it. This consists of the Irish stories from which Sir Walter Scott professed to have derived at least part of the suggestion of his own national kind; these began early in 1800, with the striking but rather too typical and chronicle-fashioned, *Castle Rackrent;* and which, later, produced its masterpieces in the already mentioned *Absentee* (1809) and in *Ormond* (1817). There is not any room here for particularising the merits of these most agreeable and still fairly well-known books; but, from the historical point of view, there is one thing about them which deserves much study and which was probably what Scott honoured. The utilisation of national or pseudo-national or provincial peculiarities as an attraction in fictitious treatment of life had originated with the drama, though we find traces of it in that rich seed-heap, the French *fabliau*. Now, the drama almost always exaggerates; it may drop the actual cothurnus and mask, but it always demonstrates their reason for existence. When Smollett borrowed the device for the novel, he kept its failing, and so did others; Miss Edgeworth did not. In the first division of her work, and, even, in the third, to which we are coming, she may, sometimes, especially in her dialogue, miss that absolute verisimilitude and nature which the critical genius of Dryden had first detected in the creative genius of Chaucer and Shakespeare. In her dealings with Irish scenes and persons, she never misses it. She cannot touch her ancestral soil (it was not exactly her native, and one might draw fanciful consequences from the relation) without at once acquiring that strange creative or mimetic strength which produces in the reader of fiction—poetic, dramatic or prosaic alike—a sudden, but quiet, undoubting conviction that these things and persons were so and not otherwise.

Still, there are some, who, whether in gratitude for benefits bestowed upon their first childhood or because of the approach of their second, regard the third division of Maria Edgeworth's work not merely with most affection but with most positive and critical admiration. The supremest "grace of congruity" which has been granted to the Irish books and passages must, indeed, again be denied to this third group, at least as universally present. No schoolboys, and certainly no Eton schoolboys, ever talked like the personages of *Eton Montem;* and the personal crotchets of her father and the general crotchets of his school too frequently appear. One is sometimes reminded of the bad, though oftener of the good, side of Edgeworth's friend Day in dealing with similar subjects. But, the fact remains that, in *The Parent's Assistant* (1796–1801) and *Early Lessons* (1801), in *Moral Tales* (1801) and *Popular Tales* (1804), *Frank* (1822) and *Harry and Lucy* (1825), real children, save for a few touches in Shakespeare and still fewer elsewhere, first appear—not "the little misses" and "little masters" of her own earlier times, but children, authentic, independent of fashion and alive. It is not in the least necessary to be a child-worshipper in order to see this: it is only necessary to be what, perhaps, is not so common, a person who has eyes. Rosamund, whose charm may, possibly, be enhanced by the contrast of her very detestable mamma; Frederick, in *The Mimic;* Frank himself, in not a few of his appearances, both earlier and later, not to mention many others, are examples of that strange power of fiction in reconciling, and more than reconciling, us to what might be tedious in fact. You might, in real life, after a short time, at any rate, wish that their nurses would fetch them—on paper, they are a joy for ever. While, as for strict narrative faculty, the lady who could write both *Simple Susan* and *L'Amie Inconnue,* with the unmawkish simplicity of the first and the unmannerised satire of the second, had it as it has been possessed by very few indeed of her class.

Many people know that Jane Austen, in that spirited defence of the novelist's house which appears in *Northanger Abbey,* showed her grace as well as her wit by a special commendation of *Belinda;* but, even those who have forgotten this are

likely to remember that the greater art of the same book turns upon satire of a certain department of novel-writing itself to which Miss Edgeworth did not contribute. To this department—the terror novel, novel of mystery, novel of suspense, or whatever title it may most willingly bear—we must now come. With the revolutionary group,[1] it practically divides the space usually allotted to the novel itself for the last decade of the eighteenth, and the first of the nineteenth, century; though there was an immense production in other varieties. Its own courts or precincts were populous, but with a folk, in general, astonishingly feeble. If such a man, or even such a boy, as Shelley could perpetrate such utter rubbish as *Zastrozzi* and *St. Irvyne*, the gutter-scribbler was not likely to do much better. And, as a matter of fact, all those who have made exploration of the kind will probably agree that, except to the pure student, there is hardly a more unprofitable, as well as undelightful, department of literature than that of the books which harrowed and fascinated Catherine Morland and Isabella Thorpe and the "sweet girl" who supplied them with lists of new performances piping hot and thrillingly horrid.[2]

It is, however, not without justice that three writers—two of the first flight of this species, and one of the second—have been able to obtain a sort of exemption—if though of a rather curious and precarious character—from the deserved oblivion which has fallen on their companions. These are Ann Radcliffe, Matthew Gregory Lewis and Charles Robert Maturin.

Something like a whole generation had passed since what was undoubtedly the first example, and, to some extent, the pattern, of the whole style, *The Castle of Otranto*, had appeared. Horace Walpole was still alive; but it is not probable that he regarded this sudden mob of children or grandchildren with any affection. Indeed, he had just pronounced *Otranto* itself to Hannah More as "fit only for its time"—a judgment which

[1] This group spread its ripples very widely, and affected some of the work of Charlotte Smith, whose best known book, however, *The Old Manor House*, despite its date (1793), is "terrorist" in neither sense. Nor is the once, and long enormously, popular *Children of the Abbey* of Regina Maria Roche (1796).

[2] It is only of late years that justice has been done to another novel-satire on these absurd novels, *The Heroine* of Eaton Stannard Barrett (1813).

it is not difficult to interpret without too much allowance for his very peculiar sincerity in insincerity. At any rate, the new books were very fit for their time; and, though the German romances which (themselves owing not a little to *Otranto*) had come between influenced Lewis, at least, very strongly, it is not certain that they were needed to produce Mrs. Radcliffe. Much stronger influence on her has been assigned, and some must certainly be allowed, to Clara Reeve,[1] the direct follower (again not to his delight) of Walpole, whose *Champion of Virtue* (better known by its later title *The Old English Baron*) appeared in 1777: and, though a rather feeble thing, has held its ground in recent reprints better than either *Otranto* or *Udolpho*. Clara Reeve's really best work, though one never likely to have been, or to be, popular, is *The Progress of Romance*, a curious, stiffly old-fashioned, but by no means ill-informed or imbecile, defence of her art (1785). She also, in her *Charoba*, anticipated, though she did not originate, and it is not sure whether she directly suggested, the story of Landor's *Gebir*.

On Mrs. Radcliffe herself, something of the general revolutionary fermentation, no doubt, worked; yet, there was much else not, perhaps, entirely unconnected with that fermentation, but not directly due to it, though arising out of the taste for the picturesque, for romantic adventure, for something foreign, unfamiliar, new, as well as to the blind search and striving for the historical novel. Her own influence was extraordinary: for it was more or less directly exerted on two writers who exercised a most potent influence, not merely on the English, but on the European, literature or world in the early part of the next century. Not a few other writers in other kinds of novel or book have had bevies of Catherines and Isabellas contending for "the next volume" at circulating library doors. It has not happened to any other to give a novelist like Scott something of his method, and a poet like Byron nearly the whole of his single hero.

Of the novels themselves, as actual works of art, or as actual procurers of pleasure, it is not easy to speak so decisively. Except in the first, *The Castles of Athlin and Dunbayne* (1789), where the author had hardly found her method, and in

[1] See *ante*, Vol. X, Chap. III.

the posthumous *Gaston de Blondeville* (1826),[1] the general scheme is remarkably and, to some tastes, tediously uniform—repeating over and over again the trials and persecutions of a heroine who, at last, wins through them. Of the processes by which she herself, at last, achieved something beyond the stock personages who, as Scott says,

> had wept or stormed through the chapters of romance, without much alteration in their family habits and characters, for a quarter of a century before her time,

Sir Walter's own study of her gives, perhaps, the best criticism existing or likely to exist. His title for the motive of her more accomplished books—suspense—shows the expert. But actual enjoyment and a sense of obligation, not merely for that but for help in craftsmanship, made him, perhaps, a little too favourable. It is difficult to conceive anything more childish than her first novel, which carries out the most conventional of thin plots by the aid of characters who have not any character at all, an almost entire absence of dialogue, stock descriptions, stilted and absurd language and an exaggeration of the hopeless deformation and confusion of local colour and historical verisimilitude which distinguishes the age.

A Sicilian Romance (1790) is a very little better, but not much; it approaches nearer to the main theme of the persecuted heroine, the main scene of wild landscape, house or castles honeycombed with dungeons, broken stairs and secret passages, and the main method of ingenious, intricate, at first alarming, but, so far as any total result goes, almost wholly futile, incident. In the three central books *The Romance of the Forest*

[1] This book, never united with her other novel-work, and very little known, is a curious instance of the danger of changing styles. Although published ten years after *Waverley*, it seems to have been written more than ten years before it. The author shows all the faults of the historical novel before Scott, and none of her own merits. Its hopelessness may be judged from one speech of one character, an ecclesiastic of the time of Henry III. "I only doubt of his guilt, and that carries me no further than to *relinquishment of the prosecution!*" At the same time, with *Gaston de Blondeville* appeared a considerable body of *Poems and Letters*. Some of these last, describing travel, are good and connect themselves with the descriptive parts of the novels. Some of the shorter and more descriptive poems, such as *The River Dove*, *The Hazel Tree* and so forth are, also, mildly tolerable; but the verse romance, *St. Albans Abbey*, between three and four hundred pages long, is quite insignificant in quality and insufferably tedious in quantity.

(1791), *The Mysteries of Udolpho* (1794) and *The Italian* (1797), these motives, methods, or machineries are fully developed; and, among Mrs. Radcliffe's admirers, each has its partisans. The first is the freshest, and its heroine Adeline, perhaps, is more attractive than her successors, Emily and Ellena. The far-renowned *Mysteries* supply the fullest, the most popular and, perhaps, the most thoroughly characteristic example of the style. *The Italian* is the most varied, the least mechanical, and, in the personage of the villain Schedoni (whose almost legitimate descendant the ordinary Byronic hero undoubtedly is), has, by far, the most important and, almost, powerful character—a character not, perhaps, wholly impossible in itself, and, even if so, made not wholly improbable by the presentation in the book. In fact, one may go so far as to say that, for anyone who has "purged considerate vision" enough to behold Schedoni, unaffected by the long vista of his deplorable successors, there is power in him; while, in all the three books, the various new motives above referred to make a strong combined appeal. In particular, though Mrs. Radcliffe had never visited Italy itself, she knew the Rhine with its castles; she knew the more picturesque parts (including the Lakes) of her own country; and she utilised her knowledge more than cleverly.

On the other hand, there are two drawbacks (though, perhaps, one of them may be included in the other) which Scott himself perceived and admitted, and which will probably always prevent some, if not most, readers from appreciating *Udolpho* and its fellows. These are the extraordinary elaboration on means with futility on result already noted, and the "explained supernatural," which, perhaps, is only a sub-variety of that blend. For, this latter, defence has sometimes been tried from different points of view; some urging that surely nobody can want such nonsense as the supernatural to remain unexplained and accepted; others, that the explanation gives room for, and, indeed, necessitates, no small possession of craftsmanship, if not of actual artistry, on the part of the novelist. Neither plea needs much critical examination. But the fact certainly remains that, to some readers, not, perhaps, the unfittest, this much-ado-about-nothing process, in the first instance, means disappointed irritation, and, in after cases, utter boredom and lack of interest. The further prevalence

of the same much-ado-about-nothing method, even in cases where there is nothing supernatural, is, perhaps, equally tedious, if less positively irritating. It has been pointed out that pages on pages, and, almost, chapters on chapters, of *Udolpho* are occupied by the account of Emily wandering, or being led about, the castle for hours by one of Montoni's ruffians, and being brought back to her room without anything really dreadful being done to her, even in the way of threats. Once, her aunt is, with some violence, removed from her company; but nobody injures her, locks the door, or interferes with her in any way. When she is in the hall, a wounded man is carried past; but, again, nobody even speaks to her. She wanders about the castle and sees a track of blood (which is not very remarkable, considering the wounded man) and concludes that her aunt has been murdered. She finds her maid in a room. And then she goes back to her own, and—very sensibly—goes to bed.

It is fair to say that, in *The Italian*, both hero and heroine are exposed to much more real dangers; and that there are situations not by any means lacking in strength. It would certainly stand reprinting better than the others. But it shares with them the drawback that there is no real suspense about this so-called "novel of suspense." Jack is sure to have Jill; both Jack and Jill are sure to get out of their troubles; and, though there is not exactly "much ado about nothing" here, as there almost, or altogether, is in *The Mysteries*, there is certainly rather little wool for a very great cry.

It was one of the numerous clevernesses of Matthew Gregory Lewis that he saw the incompatibility of a certainly happy ending for "a tale of terror." It was one result of the defects which prevented his cleverness from reaching genius that he went to the other extreme and made *The Monk* (1796), as a whole, a mere mess and blotch of murder, outrage, *diablerie* and indecency. His scheme, indeed, was much less original than Mrs. Radcliffe's; for he had been in Germany and there is no doubt that he had taken for his model not merely the poems of Bürger and the other early romantics but the drama and fiction of Schiller and of Heinse, in *The Robbers* (1781) and in *Ardinghello* (1785). The consequence was that *The Monk*

did not please people even so little squeamish as Byron, and has never, except in a quasi-surreptitious manner, been reprinted in its original form. It is "messy" enough, even in its author's revised version, being badly constructed and extravagant in every sense. It has, however, some scenes of power. The temptress Matilda de Villanegas (better taken as an actual woman, fiend-inspired, than as a mere succubus) ranks next to Schedoni, in this division, as a character; and the final destruction and damnation of the villainous hero is not quite so ludicrous as it very easily might have been. Lewis before his early death, wrote (or, rather, translated) other novels; but none of them attained, or, in the very slightest degree, deserved, the vogue of *The Monk*, or of his plays and verses. The most famous of the latter, *Alonzo the Brave and the Fair Imogene*, occurs in *The Monk* itself. Mrs. Radcliffe had set the example of inserting verse, sometimes not very bad verse, but she never shows the somewhat loose, but distinctly noteworthy, novel and even influential command of rapid rhythm which was another of Lewis's oddly flawed, but by no means ordinary, gifts.

The kind itself, as has been said, flourished like a weed in the last decade of the eighteenth century, and the first two or three of the nineteenth —in fact, examples of it, such as Leitch Ritchie's *Schinderhannes*, were written in the forties, and it may be said to have left strong traces on the early, if not, also, on the later, work of Bulwer. But, in and of itself, it never produced another writer of importance, with one exception. That exception, however, Charles Robert Maturin, for the sake of at least one thing that he did, and perhaps, of a certain quality or power diffused through his other work, deserves to rank far above Lewis, and not a little above Mrs. Radcliffe. In technical originality, indeed, he must give way, certainly to her, and, in a fashion, also, to Lewis; while he probably owes something to Beckford, to whose master-scene, at the close of *Vathek*, even his best things are very inferior. He borrowed his "shudder" from the two former; but he made it much more real and much less commonplace. Probably because he was in orders, he produced his first books under the pseudonym "Murphy," and the title of the first, *The Fatal Vengeance* or

The Family of Montorio (1807), may be said to be rather engaging in the frankness with which it proclaims its extraction and its character. In his next two, however (and the fact is important in connection with Maria Edgeworth's work), he came nearer home, and wrote *The Wild Irish Boy* (1808) and *The Milesian Chief* (1811). Then, he diverged to tragedy and produced the rather well-known play *Bertram*, which was introduced (1816) to Drury lane by Scott and Byron, was very successful and was criticised with more justice than generosity by Coleridge in *Biographia Literaria*. *Women* followed, in 1818; and then, in 1820, he produced his masterpiece *Melmoth the Wanderer*.

Nothing is easier than to "cut up" *Melmoth;* it has been done quite recently, since the publication of a modern edition, with the same "facetious and rejoicing ignorance" which Lockhart pilloried long ago, as exhibited towards Maturin's own jealous critic Coleridge. A worse constructed book hardly exists: for it is a perfect tangle of stories within stories. It has pathos, which, not unfrequently, descends to the *sensiblerie* of the imitators of Rousseau; and terror, which not unfrequently grovels to the melodrama caricature of Lewis himself generally, and his imitators almost always. But its central theme—the old bargain with Satan, refreshed and individualised by the notion of that bargain being transferable—is more than promising, and there are numerous passages, both in the terrible and in the pathetic varieties, which entirely escape just sarcasm. Above all, there is an idiosyncrasy about the book which has attracted good wits both at home and abroad—Balzac is one famous instance and Dante Rossetti another—and which it is rather difficult to understand how any good wit, if possessed of the power of critical winnowing, can miss. Melmoth himself, with his famous "piercing eyes," touches the right nerve not seldom, if he misses it sometimes; and the Indian-Spanish girl Isidora or Immalee is equally successful in her different way. Maturin followed *Bertram* with two failures in play form, and *Melmoth* with a doubtfully successful novel *The Albigenses*, in 1824, the year of his death. But he stands or falls by *The Wanderer*, with the piercing eyes, and those who can comprehend the literature of power will say that, with whatever slips and staggering, he stands.

The allowance which ought to be made for Maturin can hardly be extended to two sisters Jane and Anna Maria Porter, who, in their day, enjoyed something like fame, and who seem to have thought themselves unjustly supplanted in still greater fame by their early friend Scott. Anna Maria Porter began at a preposterous age (she was barely thirteen) to write fiction, and continued to do so till her death in 1832, producing in all, some two or threescore volumes. But, even well informed students of literature would be puzzled to name one of them, unless they had chanced to be brought in contact with it, and neither such chance contact nor deliberate research will discover much in any of her books but amiable incompetence. On the other hand, the elder sister Jane, who postponed her *début* till she had reached an age double that at which her sister had begun to write, produced, in *Thaddeus of Warsaw* (1803) and *The Scottish Chiefs* (1810), two books of which every one has heard, and which perhaps, even now, not a very few have read. They are, however, almost utter, though virtuous and well-intentioned, rubbish; and their popularity indeed, their existence, can only be accounted for by the irresistible *nisus* towards, and appetite for, romantic matter which characterised the time. A more complete absence of local colour and historical sense than in Mrs. Radcliffe or the three sisters Lee; the tears of the sentimental dashed, to some extent, with the terrors of the other, school; diction and conversation incredibly stilted and bombastic; adventure only exciting to the rawest palate; and a general diffusion of silliness, characterised these almost famous books. Only to a taste so crude as their own can they give any direct pleasure now; but, to the student, they may still be of some interest as an example of the days of ignorance of the historical novel, and one can excuse them something for having produced some of the most delightful exercises of Thackeray's schoolboy pencil.

It would be impossible to find a greater contrast to them than a somewhat later novel which still belongs, in one respect, to their class—that of books which lodge their name, at least, securely in literary history. This is the *Anastasius* (1819) of Thomas Hope, a man, like Beckford, of great wealth, varied

taste and experience in art and travel, who established himself in literature by a single book. *Anastasius* became at once popular, and has retained respect, if not popularity, ever since; yet, some persons, not, perhaps, of very uncritical or uncatholic taste, have been known to be disappointed when they read it. It belongs, as a kind of outsider, to the old "picaresque" class; though it has little or nothing of the low comedy which that class originally, and, in fact, generally, affected. The hero is a Greek of considerable ability and courage, but absolutely untroubled with conscience, who becomes renegade and goes through various adventures. The eastern colour which Byron had made popular, and which Hope could give with less monotony and from a more varied experience than Byron himself, may have had a good deal to do with the vogue of the book; but its author's undoubted command of satirical contemplation of life, of an ornate, if rather too elaborate style, of descriptive power and of other good gifts, must be allowed. Its autobiographical form, though dangerous, is not fatal; but the book is, somehow, heavy reading. Even its continual ironic persiflage, which takes up from Beckford the manner of Anthony Hamilton and Voltaire and hands it on to Kinglake in very similar material, becomes monotonous, though it must be owned that a chapter of *Anastasius*, boiled down with a whole modern novel, would supply it with ample seasoning of a kind now much called for. Perhaps, what casts a greater cold over it, to some tastes, is a defect very common in novelists, before Scott—the overdose of pure narration, unrelieved and unspirited by dialogue and dramatic action. Nothing happens: everything is told, and there is a fatal suggestion of the rhetorical harangue about it, despite the variety of its scenes and the number of its (recited) characters. Towards the end of the book, the author does, indeed, speak of "getting rid of the eternal" I "which haunts" it. But he does this only by interposing another narrator, not by adopting the livelier mixture of action and speech. On the whole, there are few more useful exercises of speculative criticism than to imagine the story of *Anastasius* as it would have been told by Dumas.

We began with an eccentric and we must end with one, though of a very different class from Amory. After a not

extensive, but, also, not inconsiderable, popularity during the period of his earlier production, the silence which Thomas Love Peacock imposed upon himself for thirty years, and the immense development of the novel during those same thirty, rather put him out of sight. But, first, the appearance of *Gryll Grange*, and then his death, followed, not long after, by a nearly complete edition of his works, brought him back; and, both before and after that publication, it became rather the fashion with critics to "discover" Peacock, while a certain number, long before, either by their own good fortune or their fathers' wisdom, had been instructed in him. But he never was, is not even now, when fresh discoveries of his work have been made, and probably never will be, popular; and there have sometimes been almost violent recalcitrances against him, such as that made by Mrs. Oliphant in her book on English literature. Nor, in more favourable estimates, has it sometimes been difficult to discern a sort of hesitation—a "not knowing what to make of it." The compound of satire and romance in him has puzzled many; just as it has in Heine and in Thackeray. There is also, it would seem, an additional difficulty in the fact that, though he wrote, besides the admirable songs in his fiction, and one or two estimable longer poems, criticism and miscellanea in prose, dramas long unpublished and not of much value and some other things, the bulk of his work, and almost the whole of his possible means of popular appeal, consists of a very peculiar kind (or, rather two kinds) of novel: one variety of which is repeated twice, and the other five times, in different material, certainly, but (in the more numerous class, especially) on an almost identical scheme and scale.

This more momentous and, perhaps, generally thought more characteristic division contains three novels, *Headlong Hall* (1816), *Melincourt* (1817) and *Nightmare Abbey* (1818), published close together, a fourth, *Crochtet Castle*, which appeared a good deal later (1831), and a fifth, already mentioned between which and its immediate predecessor there was a gap of a generation, in more than the conventional sense of the word. Every one of these has the same skeleton plot—the assembling of a party in a country house, with more or less

adventure, much more than less conviviality, no actual murders, but a liberal final allowance of marriages. Some *differentia* is, of course, provided—in *Headlong Hall*, with more than the contrasted presentation of caricatured types—optimist, pessimist, happy-mean man, professional man of letters and so forth, carried out with lively conversation, burlesque incident and a large interspersion of delightful songs, mainly convivial in character, but contenting itself with next to no plot. The next two are rather more substantial; the long and unequal, but, in parts, admirable, *Melincourt*, containing a good deal of political and personal satire on rotten boroughs, the Lake poets, political economy, perfectibilism and what not, with, for central figure, an amiable orang-outang, whom a young philosopher of wealth and position has taught to do everything but speak, and for whom he has bought a baronetcy and a rotten borough. *Nightmare Abbey*, one of the most amusing of all, turns on the unfortunate difficulty which a young man (who, in some ways, is very like Shelley) has in fixing his affections; and contains portraits, much more remote from the original, of Byron and Coleridge. *Crotchet Castle* takes up the scheme with much less exaggeration and burlesque, with little or no personal satire, with a marked change of political and social view, in the direction, if not exactly of conservatism, of something not unlike it, and with still more remarkable advance in personal characterisation; while *Gryll Grange* (1860) continues this still further, with adaptation to the changed circumstances of its own time.

The other two novels, *Maid Marian* (1822) and *The Misfortunes of Elphin* (1829), though they could hardly have been written by any other author, are not merely on a quite different plan, but in what may look like, though it is not, a quite different vein. Both, as, indeed, the titles show, are actually romantic in subject; and, though both (and *Elphin* almost more than anywhere else) exhibit Peacock's ironic-satirical treatment, it must be a very dull person who does not see that he is not shooting at the romance, but under cover of it. Peacock has been called a Voltairean: and, much in the form and manner of most of his tales derives, if not from Voltaire, from Voltaire's master, our own countryman, Anthony Hamilton. He is,

even in his later and more mellowed condition "Mr. Sarcastic" (the name of one of his characters) or nothing. His earlier attitude towards Anglican clergy, and his early personal lampoons on tory politicians and men of letters, are almost too extravagant to give much amusement to those who sympathise with them or any offence to those who do not. He maintained, even to the last, a purely crotchety dislike to Scott. Few people did more to spread the utterly unjust and unfounded notion of Southey and Wordsworth (he is, almost of necessity, rather more lenient to Coleridge) as profligate time-servers, who feathered their nests at the expense of their consciences. But, for all this, he was a romantic in his own despite, and his prose very commonly, his verse still oftener, betrays him.

Nor can the greatest admirer of the literature, the political views, or the ecclesiastical and academic institutions which—up to his last work, at any rate, though not there—Peacock satirises, resist, if he himself possesses any catholic love of letters and the genuine sense of humour, the heartiest and most unfailing enjoyment of Peacock's work. Except in *Melincourt*, where there are some arid passages, the whole range of his novels yields nothing but refreshment. The plot so frankly abdicates, and leaves its place to be taken by amusing, if not very closely connected, incident, that nobody but a pedant can feel the want of it; the characters, if not deeply drawn, are sketched with a *verve* not easily to be outdone; the descriptions are always sufficient and sometimes very much more; and the dialogue, in its own way, is consummate. The present chapter has been occupied with the eccentric novel in more than one or two senses of that adjective. Peacock's kind of eccentricity is certainly one of those which show the greatest idiosyncrasy, the imitation of which, though sometimes tried by persons of ability, has proved most difficult. But, in itself, it is likely to retain its faculty of pleasing perhaps as long as any kind, though never to any very large number of people. The first readers of *Gryll Grange* (even if young enough to be liable to the disease of thinking the last age obsolete) were astonished to find an almost octogenarian recluse, who had long given up writing, not in the least out of date. And the quality or gift which effected this—the

quality which, fifty years later, makes the hundred year old manners and the hundred year old personages of *Nightmare Abbey* more alive than most personages of contemporary novels —is never very likely to lose its preserving or its refreshing power.

CHAPTER XIV

Book Production and Distribution, 1625-1800

THE middle of the seventeenth century is a drab tract in the history of English book production. With the accession of Charles I, the efforts of those in power to secure control over the printing press were pursued with renewed activity, culminating, in 1637, in a Star chamber decree which re-enacted the celebrated ordinance of 1586[1] with additional, and more drastic, provisions. The many troubles which were gathering round the government doubtless hindered the effective enforcement of this formidable measure. On the abolition of the Star chamber, in 1641, the decree ceased to carry any authority, and, for the moment, printers were freed from all control.

Now it was that, unhampered by restrictions, the press began to pour forth political pamphlets of every description—persuasive, polemical, abusive, scurrilous—of every shade of opinion, royalist against parliament man, puritan versus churchman, challenges and answers, newsbooks and gazettes. These, together with sermons and lectures, were printed and vended in such numbers as "well-nigh made all other books unsaleable."[2] It seemed, indeed, as if all the efforts of the press could not keep pace with the fleeting pens of ready writers and the feverish eagerness of the public to devour their productions.

Printers were soon to discover, however, that liberty of the press was no more to the taste of the Long Parliament than it had been to the hierocracy. As soon as it was able, amid the

[1] See *ante*, Vol. IV, p. 435.

[2] Milton, *Areopagitica*.

distractions of more pressing difficulties, parliament turned its attention to regulating the press in accordance with its own views. The issue of various regulations and the punishment of sundry offenders were followed, on 14 June, 1643, by an order "for the regulating of printing":[1] a brief, business-like document which aimed at the establishment of a rigorous censorship. In its main provisions it closely resembled the defunct decree of 1637, with the important difference that the number of printers was not limited.

It was this reactionary measure which called forth Milton's *Areopagitica*, that powerful remonstrance, which, he says, he wrote

> in order to deliver the press from the restraints with which it was encumbered; that the power of determining what was true and what was false, what ought to be published and what to be suppressed, might no longer be entrusted to a few illiterate and illiberal individuals.[2]

But, notwithstanding Milton's denunciation of the act and his scornful handling of the office of licenser, parliament could not afford, even for the sake of liberty, to lay aside this weapon of self-defence. To what extent the censorship was effective is not very clear. The aim, no doubt, was to suppress publications inimical to the government; and books which did not trench upon politics or religion were, probably, but little regarded; but the newspaper press was subjected to a rigorous system of licensing.[3] Under Cromwell's rule, the censorship, reinforced by a further act in 1649, was more efficiently exercised, but was again relaxed during the unrest which followed his death.

With the restoration, we come to the final and most autocratic endeavour at state control of the press. The Licensing act of 1662, which "asserted in the plainest terms the king's plenary prerogative in the matter of printing," was virtually a revival of the Star chamber decree of 1637, with all its restrictive clauses, including the limitation of the number of

[1] Rptd. in Arber's ed. of *Areopagitica* (1868).

[2] Milton, *Second defence* (1654), Robert Fellowes's translation. See also Masson's *Life of Milton*, vol. III, pp. 265 ff.

[3] For some account of this see *ante*, Vol. VII, Chap. XV.

master printers to twenty, besides the two university presses, but allowing an additional press at York. The secret of the effectiveness of the new act lay in the steps taken to secure its successful administration. The Stationers' company, to which had formerly been committed the exercise of police powers, was now superseded in that function by the appointment, in 1663, of a surveyor of the imprimery and printing presses. The new official was no less a person than Roger L'Estrange. This ardent royalist possessed very pronounced and even fantastic views upon the regulation of the press, and, in a report on the manner in which the act should be administered, he had already advised enlargement and stringent enforcement of its provisions. The extensive powers conferred upon him comprised the control of all printing offices, together with powers of search, and also, with certain specified exceptions, the licensing of books to be printed, and the exclusive privilege of publishing news.[1]

L'Estrange entered upon his duties with zest, and, under his administration, the office of licenser was a real censorship. The books which he himself licensed were conscientiously dealt with from his point of view, and he had no hesitation in deleting or altering passages which did not accord with his political creed. Under his power of search, he made midnight raids on printing houses, and at least one printer, John Twyn, suffered the extreme penalty of the law for printing seditious matter. Notwithstanding this activity, a large proportion of the books during this period were issued without imprimatur, apparently with impunity; and many publications of a questionable colour bear merely the date of publication without any indication of their source. The act, after having been in abeyance for some time, was renewed on the accession of James II; but at the revolution, L'Estrange was deprived of his office, and, with the expiry of the act in 1694, the attempt of the state to control the output of the press was finally abandoned.

The passing of the first English Copyright act in 1709 began a new period in the evolution of the law of literary

[1] Concerning the exercise of this privilege, see *ante*, Vol. VII, Chap. xv, and Vol. IX, Chap. I.

property. Hitherto, the only recognised form of copyright which had existed was that which a member of the Stationers' company secured by the entry of a "copy" in the company's register, and this was a purely trade regulation in which the author was completely ignored.[1] The monopoly of a work for a specified number of years, which was occasionally granted to the writer by royal patent, was an exceptional case and only emphasises the generally defenceless position of authors.

In the sixteenth century, the Stationers' company had virtual control of the whole trade and exercised a tolerably efficient supervision over its members. But, during the succeeding century, a number of causes tended to undermine its authority, so that, at length, it became unable either to protect its members from the piracy of outside traders or to restrain the less orderly among its own ranks. The company, at different times, sought, by various means, to regain its old power and importance, but in vain. All efforts merely served to demonstrate the impotence of the guild to control the trade in the old way, and to show that the day was past for imposing restrictive fetters upon so important a craft. The misdoings of piratical printers had long been a cause of vexation to the owners of copyrights, and when, by the final lapse of the licensing laws in 1694, all restraint was removed, booksellers were at their wits' end to know how to protect their property. Finally, the aid of parliament was evoked, and, after several abortive attempts to secure legislation on the subject, a bill, which is said to have been originally drafted by Swift, though much altered in committee, was passed in 1709, under the title "An Act for the Encouragement of Learning."

In this measure, the right of an author to property in his work was, for the first time, recognised, or, rather, conferred upon him, by the statute law of the country. The act provided that, in the case of old books, the owners, whether authors or booksellers, should have the exclusive right of printing them for a term of twenty-one years from 10 April, 1710, and no longer. In the case of new books, authors were given the monopoly of printing them for fourteen years, and, if the author were still living, a further period of fourteen years from the end of that time. These privileges were to depend upon

[1] See *ante*, Vol. IV, pp. 446–447.

entry of the work, before publication, in the Stationers' register; and the interests of the public were considered in a clause which provided that, if anyone thought the published price of a book unreasonably high, the archbishop of Canterbury, or other authority, might, on appeal, fix a fair price.

At this time, the copyright of practically every book was in the hands of booksellers, and the statute was, in reality, a booksellers' act. It would appear that authors did not at once realise the advantage which the new law conferred upon them, for they continued, in most cases to sell their work outright to booksellers, or publishers as they should perhaps be now called. Notwithstanding the definite time limit expressed in the act, publishers still clung to their belief in the existence of perpetual copyright in their properties, and continued, as of yore, to take from authors assignments of their work "for ever." They not only believed in their right to a monoply in perpetuity, but backed that belief by purchasing copyrights on that basis, and by actions at law against those who, as they thought, infringed their privileges; and the cause of copyright continued to be fought by the publisher, the author counting for little or nothing in the conflict.

Two of the most important copyright cases of the eighteenth century arose out of one book. In 1729, James Thomson, for a payment of £242.10*s.* 0*d.*, assigned the copyright of *The Seasons* to Andrew Millar, his heirs and assigns for ever. In 1763, another bookseller, Robert Taylor, either relying upon the time limit of the act of 1709, or willing to take the risk of issuing a saleable book, brought out an edition of Thomson's popular poem. Millar, thereupon, began an action against Taylor, and, in 1769—Millar, in the meantime, having died—the court of king's bench delivered judgment in favour of the plaintiff. The claim to perpetual copyright was thus upheld by the court, and, at Millar's sale in the same year, Thomas Becket thought the copyright of *The Seasons* a sufficiently good property to give £505 for it. But monopoly was now being threatened from a new quarter. Cheap editions of deceased English authors were being printed in Scotland, and a shop for the sale of these books was opened in London by Alexander Donaldson, an Edinburgh bookseller. One of these reprints was *The Seasons*, and Becket, naturally wishing to

protect a property upon which he had adventured so substantial a sum, applied for an injunction in Chancery against the piracy; but the case, on being carried to the House of Lords, ended, in 1774, in Donaldson's favour. Thus, the same book, which, in 1769, had, apparently, established the claim to perpetual copyright, was, also, the instrument through which the pretence to that right was finally abolished; and the period of copyright as defined by the statute of 1709 remained unchanged until 1814.

Of the three principal agents—printer, bookseller, author—concerned in the production and distribution of books, the printer had his day in the sixteenth century. But, during the next century, a change in the balance of power took place, and the eighteenth century found the publishing-bookseller in the ascendant. The printer, ousted from his position, had then, for the most part, become the employe of the bookseller; while the author, though rapidly gaining ground, did not come into his kingdom until the approach of the nineteenth century.

As already stated, the usual practice was for an author to sell his book outright to the publisher; but an instance of a writer retaining some control over his work is afforded by the best-known copyright transaction of the seventeenth century —the agreement for the publication of *Paradise Lost* (1667) by which Samuel Simmons covenanted to pay Milton five pounds down, with a further payment of five pounds at the end of the sale of each of the first three impressions. A little later than this, Richard Baxter, in a vindication[1] concerning his "covenants and dealings with booksellers," gives interesting glimpses of the publishing arrangements of his day. Baxter was evidently not a good man of business, and when he took his famous *Saints' Everlasting Rest* (1649–50) to Thomas Underhill and Francis Tyton to publish, he made no agreement with them, but left the matter of profit "to their ingenuity." For the first impression of the work—a corpulent quarto of nearly a thousand pages—they gave him ten pounds, "and ten pounds apiece, that is, twenty pounds for every after impression till 1665." Then "Mr. Underhill dieth; his wife is poor: Mr. Tyton hath losses by the Fire, 1666"; and though

[1] *Reliquiae Baxterianae* (1696), App. p. 117.

a tenth edition was called for by 1669, Baxter got not a farthing for any further impression, but "was fain, out of my own purse, to buy all that I gave to any friend, or poor person, that asked it." For other works, he had the "fifteenth book" (*i. e.*, one fifteenth of the impression) for himself, with eighteen pence a ream on the rest of the impression. William Bates, author of *The Harmony of the Divine Attributes* (1674), must have been better at a bargain, for he managed to get over a hundred pounds for the first impression of that book, besides reserving to himself the arrangement for further editions.

In Dryden's time, the writer of plays could look to two sources of revenue. First, from the performance at the theatre, usually the proceeds of third-night representations; and, second, from the sale of the manuscript to a publisher. A judicious dedication might, also, be a potential third source; but it must have been an unusually good stroke when Theobald received, for his dedication of *Richard II* (1720) to Lord Orrery, a present of a banknote for one hundred pounds, enclosed in an Egyptian-pebble snuff-box of the value of twenty pounds. The sum which a successful author would get from the publisher of his play might be twenty or twenty-five pounds, and, for this, he would probably be expected to furnish a preface in order to attract readers and to swell out the size of the piece. These prefaces were often mere padding, but those of Dryden form some of the earliest essays in modern literary criticism in England. Dryden, too, was called upon to supply prologues to plays by other writers, and, finding his name was of value, he, in due course, demanded and received double the customary fee of five pounds. Later, in common with writers in other departments of literature, the more successful playwrights were able to command much larger sums for their copyrights, as in the case of *The Spartan Dame* (1712), for which Chetwood, the bookseller, paid Southerne the sum of one hundred and twenty pounds.[1]

Although the Copyright act of 1709 did not seem immediately to make the position of the author stronger, yet the leaven of betterment was surely at work, and it is during the eighteenth century that the author gradually comes to the front. True, there were, as there still are, sloughs which engulfed the needy

[1] See *ante*, Vol. VIII, p. 216.

writer, and Grub street flourished. But, in the upper walks of the profession, the author was becoming a person of some importance, and one to be considered by the publisher. Literature was rising to the rank of a liberal profession, and the man of letters occupies henceforth, a recognised, and not unimportant, place in society.

A contributory cause of this improvement in the author's social and commercial position is to be found in the fact that he could now appeal to a much larger public. Reading was no longer limited to the leisured few. The active part taken by the middle classes in politics, commerce and general culture could hardly fail to engender a habit of reading; and this advance towards literature, literature, in its turn, applied itself to meet by appealing to a wider public and bringing its genius to bear more intimately on the interests and sympathies of daily life. At the same time as the rise of the professional man of letters, there may also be discerned the coming of that important person, the general reader. Buyers, as well as readers, of books became more numerous, and the large circulation of *The Tatler* and *The Spectator*,[1] with their host of imitators and ephemeral successors, indicates the existence of a wide circle of readers who read for pleasure and recreation.

The patron of literature still existed, and rendered good service in its cause—of such was the earl of Oxford's generosity towards Prior, and the duke of Queensbury's care of the "inoffensive" Gay—and the dedication of a book might, occasionally, still be a substantial aid, though the pursuit of patrons and rewards-in-advance was not often carried to such a fine art as that to which the unscrupulous Payne Fisher had previously succeeded in bringing it. But the author whose living depended upon his pen no longer looked mainly to a patron or to a wealthy dedicatee for the concrete reward of his labours. The publisher had become the real patron. A book that was at all likely to find favour with the reading public possessed a distinct commercial value; and this pecuniary potentiality was in process of being realised by the author as well as by the publisher. The author naturally endeavoured to secure

[1] Beginning with 3000 copies, the impression rose, sometimes, as high as 30,000.

his fair share of profits, and we find that not a few writers were fully capable of looking after their own interests.

A spirit of enterprise and emulation was moving among publishers, and men with acumen, like Tonson, Lintot, Dodsley, Millar and others, were ready to undertake the issue of works they deemed to be of merit on terms liberal to the author. They not only published books offered to them by authors, but they also planned works to meet the needs and tastes of a rapidly widening circle of readers. Commissions for books were freely given, and, to a large extent, the professional writer was the employe of the bookseller. In this aspect of the literary history of the time, picturesque anecdote has been allowed to usurp too prominent a place, and the petty squabbles between author and publisher, which have been held up to public view, have, undeservedly, cast a sordid smirch upon the story of eighteenth century literature. Poets and other "literary creatures" might, in their more lofty moods, affect to look down with disdain upon booksellers as much beneath them; but it was these upon whom they often depended to keep body and soul from parting company, and to whom they turned in financial difficulty. It was a common practice for publishers to advance money upon work not yet done, and, not infrequently, they were called on to rescue their authors from a debtor's prison.

It was during the civil war, when the art of letters was almost submerged by the rush of political and polemical tracts with which the country was then flooded, that the craft of printing fell to its lowest estate, and the calling of publisher seemed, for the time being, to retain but little connection with literature. The chief name that stands out from this dead level is that of Humphrey Moseley, of the Prince's Arms, in St. Paul's churchyard, who devoted himself to the production of poetry and *belles lettres*. His publications include the first collected edition of Milton's poems (1645), and works by Crashaw, D'Avenant, Shirley, Herrick, Suckling and others. There was also Andrew Crooke, Hobbes's publisher, who, in 1642, issued two surreptitious editions of *Religio Medici*, and was entrusted with the publication of the authorised edition in the following year; and it was from Richard Marriot's shop

in St. Dunstan's churchyard that *The Compleat Angler* was sent forth in 1653, whence was issued, also, the first part of *Hudibras*, ten years later. In the restoration period, Henry Herringman, Dryden's first publisher, comes to the front as a publisher of polite literature and may be considered successor to Moseley in this department of letters. He acquired a wide connection with literary and scientific men of the day, and his shop, frequently mentioned by Pepys, became the chief literary lounging place in town. In this, the transition period of publishing, Herringman forms a link between the old and the new order, and was one of the earliest booksellers to give up the selling of miscellaneous books and to devote himself entirely to the business of his own publications.

It is with Jacob Tonson, the elder, that the modern line of publishers may be said to begin. One of his earliest ventures was the issue, in 1678, of Nahum Tate's tragedy, *Brutus of Alba*, and, in the next year, he gave some indication of his ambition to make a name as a publisher of polite literature by bringing out Dryden's *Troilus and Cressida*, though, in order to provide the twenty pounds wherewith to pay the author he was, apparently, obliged to take Abel Swalle into partnership in this publication. Henceforth, his name is associated with that of Dryden, whose publisher he became, in succession to Herringman. Various anecdotes have been related of occasional friction between publisher and author; but nothing occurred sufficiently serious to disturb permanently the harmony of their relations. The publication of Tonson's *Miscellany*, the first volume of which appeared in 1684, under the editorship of Dryden, brought him into prominence, and, later, earned for him Wycherley's sobriquet "gentleman-usher to the Muses." In the preceding year, his instinct for a good thing had led him to purchase from Brabazon Aylmer one half of the rights in *Paradise Lost;* but it was not until five years later that he brought out by subscription his fine folio edition of the poem.[1] In 1690, he bought, at an advanced price, the other half, and thus acquired the whole rights of what produced him more money than any other poem he published.

Hitherto, new editions of deceased dramatists and poets had consisted almost exclusively of mere reprints of old copies,

[1] In his portrait by Kneller, he is depicted with a copy of this book in his hand.

and Shakespeare's collected works existed only in the four folios; but Rowe's *Shakespeare*, which Tonson brought out in 1709, inaugurated a new era in the production of critical texts of the greater writers.[1] An edition of Beaumont and Fletcher, in seven volumes, was issued in 1711, from Tonson's new address, the "Shakespear's Head," in the Strand, and it was at this shop, in the same year, that Swift met Addison and Steele, the last of whom, both before and after this time, was frequently at Tonson's house. The sign "Shakespear's Head" was well chosen, for, after Rowe's edition, almost every important eighteenth century issue of Shakespeare—Pope's (1723–5), Theobald's (1733), Warburton's (1747), Johnson's (1765), Steevens's (1766), Capell's (1767–8)—carries the name of Tonson, either by itself or in partnership with others.

Tonson's social ambitions found scope in the Kit-cat club, of which he was, for many years, secretary. His weakness for good society occasionally gave offence to his contemporaries; but he was much esteemed. Dunton, whose characterisations are generally direct, though, perhaps, showing a happy weakness for the best side of a man, said of Tonson that "he speaks his mind on all occasions and will flatter nobody"; and even Pope, who could not resist dubbing him "left-legged Jacob" in *The Dunciad*, speaks of him, also, as "genial Jacob," and again, as "old Jacob Tonson, who is the perfect image and likeness of Bayle's *Dictionary;* so full of matter, secret history, and wit and spirit, at almost fourscore." About the year 1720, Tonson retired from active part in the business, leaving the traditions of the house to be carried on by his nephew (Jacob II, d. 1735), and his great-nephew (Jacob III, d. 1767). It was the third Jacob who paid Warburton five hundred pounds for editing Shakespeare, whom Johnson eulogised, and of whom George Steevens wrote that "he was willing to admit those with whom he contracted, to the just advantage of their own labours; and had never learned to consider the author as an under-agent to the bookseller."[2]

As Tonson's name is associated with Dryden, so is that of his contemporary, Bernard Lintot, closely connected with Pope. "The enterprising Mr. Lintot, the redoubtable rival of Mr.

[1] See *ante*, Vol. V, Chap. XI.

[2] Shakespeare's *Works*, vol I (Advertisement to the Reader), 1778.

Tonson," began business at the sign of the Cross Keys about 1698, and he, likewise, made plays a feature of his early publications. His connection with Pope began with the *Miscellaneous Poems and Translations by several hands*, which he launched in 1712 as a set-off to Tonson's *Miscellany*. Three years later, he brought out the first instalment of Pope's translation of the *Iliad*. The terms on which Lintot, who made the highest offer, acquired the work, were that he should supply, at his own expense, "all the copies which were to be delivered to subscribers[1] or presented to friends," and pay the translator two hundred pounds for each volume. Under this agreement, Pope is said to have received, in all, some £5300; but the result was less fortunate for Lintot, who had hoped to recoup his outlay and justify the enterprise by the proceeds of a folio edition which he printed for ordinary sale. The market for this impression was, however, spoiled by a cheap duodecimo edition, printed in Holland and imported surreptitiously; and Lintot, in self-defence, had to undersell the pirate by issuing a similar cheap edition. The method of publishing by subscription became a common practice in the eighteenth century, and the endeavour to secure a liberal patron for the dedication of a book was succeeded by the effort to procure a list of subscribers previous to publication. For an author who could "command" subscriptions, this was a very helpful means of coming to terms with a publisher; but, though this method of procedure has continued to be largely used down to the present day, authors gradually relinquished into the hands of publishers the task of canvassing.

A dispute arose over the translation of the *Odyssey* which Lintot published in 1725–6, and he, too, was splashed with mud from Pope's malicious pen. With a sensitive penchant for singling out physical defects, Pope seized upon Lintot's ungainly figure, and thus caricatured him:

> As when a dab-chick waddles thro' the copse
> On feet and wings, and flies, and wades, and hops:
> So lab'ring on, with shoulders, hands, and head,
> Wide as a wind-mill all his figure spread,
> With arms expanded Bernard rows his state,
> And left-legg'd Jacob seems to emulate.

[1] There were 654 subscriptions to the work, which was issued, between 1715 and 1720, in six quarto volumes at a guinea each.

In his dealings with authors, Lintot took an enlightened view of the dignity of letters, and the title-pages of works by many of the best writers of the day bear his imprint. A memorandum book in which he entered "copies when purchased" has preserved a record of the sums which various authors received from him.[1] A large proportion of the entries consists of plays, and he also invested freely in law books, which seem to have been always productive property. In 1701, he purchased, for £3, 4*s*. 6*d*., a third share in Cibber's *Love's Last Shift*, and, thereafter, acquired several other plays by that writer. To Thomas Baker, a now forgotten dramatist, he gave, in 1703, £32. 5*s*. 0*d*. for *The Yeoman of Kent*. In 1702, Farquhar received £15 for *The Twin Rivals*, and, four years later, just double that sum for *The Beaux' Stratagem*. For Gay's *Wife of Bath*, he paid £25, while, for *Trivia*, he gave him £43, and practically the same sum for *Three Hours after Marriage*. Mrs. Centlivre had £10 each for two plays, and Steele £21. 10*s*. 0*d*. for *The Lying Lover*. Elkanah Settle, then long past his vogue, could get no more than £3. 10*s*. 0*d*. for *The City Ramble* (1711); but, for Rowe's *Lady Jane Grey* (1715), and Killigrew's *Chit-Chat* (1719), Lintot had to pay £75. 5*s*. 0*d*. and £84 respectively, while, upon Richard Fiddes's *Body of Divinity*, he expended so much as £252. 10*s*. 0*d*. His transactions with Pope amounted to upwards of four thousand pounds.

Lintot also kept translators busy. Homer seems to have had special attraction for him, and served as a kind of counterpoise to the *Shakespeare* of his rival Tonson. Besides issuing Pope's translation he had covenanted with Theobald, in 1714, for a translation of the *Odyssey*, but this scheme was abandoned when Pope undertook his version. For a translation of the *Iliad* published in 1712, he paid John Ozell £10. 8*s*. 6*d*. for the first three books, and, in the next year, he gave the same translator £37. 12*s*. 6*d*. for his Molière. The publication of some books was undertaken on the half shares principle: in the case of Breval's *Remarks on several parts of Europe* (1726), author and bookseller each took one guinea, the latter being at the expense of producing the book

[1] Extracts from this notebook are printed in Nichols's *Literary Anecodotes*, vol. VIII, pp. 293–304.

and the copyright remaining his property; Jeake's *Charters of the Cinque Ports* (1728) was issued by subscription at a guinea, of which author and bookseller each had half. For Urry's *Chaucer*, eventually printed in 1721, a tripartite agreement for equal division of the proceeds was entered into, in 1715, by Urry's executor, the dean and chapter of Christ Church, Oxford, and Lintot; the dean and chapter's share to be supplied to the finishing of Peckwater quadrangle, and the bookseller again paying the cost of production.

Lintot's rivalry with Tonson must have been somewhat in the nature of friendly competition, for his notebook records several agreements with Tonson, relating to the publication of various works, including a convention, in February 1718, that they should be equally concerned in all plays bought by them eighteen months from that date. He, too, in the heyday of success, retired from the turmoil of business to country quiet.

With the year 1735, there enters into the publishing lists perhaps the most attractive figure in the eighteenth century trade, Robert Dodsley, poet, playwright and quondam footman. Lintot had now some years ago resigned his business into the hands of his son Henry; and, at the house of Tonson, the third Jacob was reigning. The substantial firm of Awnsham and John Churchill, renowned for its big untertakings, had, with the death of Awnsham in 1728, run its course; and James Knapton, who made a feature of books of travel and works on trade and economics, was nearing the end of his career. Richard Chiswell the "metropolitan bookseller" of England, had long since been succeeded by Charles Rivington, who was laying the foundations of what was to become the chief theological publishing house of the next hundred years; and Thomas Longman, successor to William Taylor, publisher of *Robinson Crusoe*, was quietly building up the business in Paternoster row where his sign, a ship in full sail, still keeps on its course. Lawton Gilliver, of the Homer's Head in Fleet street, was now Pope's publisher; and Edward Cave had been running his *Gentleman's Magazine* since 1731. Other active names in the publishing world were John Brindley of New Bond street, Andrew Millar in the Strand, Thomas Cooper at the Globe in Paternoster row, and James Roberts in Warwick lane.

When Dodsley, with the patronage and assistance of Pope

and other friends, set up his sign, Tully's Head, in Pall Mall, he was already known as a writer of poems, and his play, *The Toyshop*, which had been published by Gilliver a few months previously, achieved the success of six editions before the year was out. In 1737, he made a great hit with Richard Glover's *Leonidas;* in the next year came Johnson's *London;* and, soon, Dodsley was recognised as one of the leading publishers of *belles lettres*, his shop, ere long, becoming a favourite meeting place of the *literati* of the day. A sound literary taste, seconded by enterprise and business ability, brought him abundant success; and his probity of character and lovable personality endeared him to a numerous company of friends. Chesterfield, Shenstone and Spence were of this circle, and Johnson, who held "Doddy" in especial regard, said that he looked upon him as his patron. Besides works by Pope and Johnson, it was from Tully's Head that Young's *Night Thoughts*, Shenstone's *Schoolmistress*, Akenside's *Pleasures of Imagination*, Goldsmith's *Present State of Polite Learning*, with many others of equal note were sent forth; and, if Gray's Eton *Ode* fell flat in 1747, the failure was more than compensated for by the acclaim which greeted the *Elegy* in 1751. But the publications by which Dodsley remains a living name in English literature are the two anthologies to which he stood in the relation of editor as well as publisher: the *Select Collection of Old Plays* (1744–5) and the *Collection of Poems by several Hands* (1748–58).[1] When the first of these was announced in 1743, sufficient names to justify the undertaking were received in a week, and, at the time of publication, there were nearly eight hundred subscribers.

Apparently, Dodsley considered a periodical publication to be a proper adjunct to a house of standing, for he made more than one adventure in that hazardous emprise. *The Public Register*, which he launched in 1741 as a weekly rival to *The Gentleman's Magazine*, was killed at its twenty-fourth number by a boycott on the part of opposition journals. Five years later, he projected a fortnightly literary magazine, called *The Museum*, which appeared under the editorship of Mark Akenside; and this was followed by *The World*, which Edward Moore successfully conducted from 1753 to 1756. But his greatest achievement was *The Annual Register*, which he

[1] See *ante*, Vol. IX, p. 213.

founded in conjunction with Edmund Burke, and which still makes its yearly appearance. In March 1759, just before the first issue of the *Register* was published, Dodsley relinquished the cares of business into the hands of his younger brother, James, whom he had taken into partnership some time previously.

It is understood to have been Robert Dodsley who first suggested to Johnson the idea of the *Dictionary;* but the chief part in the arrangements for its publication was undertaken by Andrew Millar, a man of quite different calibre. Though not possessed of great literary judgment himself, Millar had the instinct to choose capable advisers, and his hard-headed business faculty carried him into the front rank of his profession. He ventured boldly, and must have been fairly liberal in his dealings with authors, or Johnson, speaking from a writer's point of view, would scarcely have expressed respect for him on the ground that he had raised the price of literature. When Hume's *History* was in danger of falling flat, it was Millar's energy that contributed largely to securing its success; and when, after giving Fielding a thousand pounds for *Amelia*, he feared the book would not go off, he resorted to a ruse to incite the trade to buy it.

The *Dictionary*, after the manuscript had at length been extracted from Johnson, was published jointly by several booksellers who had joined forces for the occasion. This practice of co-operation in important undertakings was a regular feature in eighteenth century publishing, and various associations for the purpose were brought into existence. One of these, called The Conger was formed in 1719, and this was followed in 1736 by the New Conger. After these came the famous organisation which met for the transaction of business at the Chapter coffee-house in St. Paul's churchyard; hence, books brought out by the associated partners were, for a time, styled Chapter books, but, later, came to be known as Trade books. This method of publication led to many literary properties being divided into numerous shares, sometimes so many as a hundred or even more, which were bought or sold and freely passed on from one bookseller to another. In 1776, a sixteenth share of *Pamela* was sold for £18, and a thirty-second part of Hervey's *Meditations* brought £32, while, in

1805, £11 was given for a one-hundredth share in *The Lives of the Poets*. William Johnson, a London bookseller, stated, in 1774, that three-quarters of the books in the trade had his name as part proprietor. The co-operative system was attempted also on behalf of authors, and a Society for the Encouragement of Learning was founded with the object of securing to writers the whole product of their labours; but, though some books of note were published through this channel about the middle of the century, the society can hardly be said to have flourished.

Perhaps the largest combine for the issue of a trade book, was that which brought out the edition of *English Poets* for which Johnson wrote the *Lives*. In this undertaking, some forty booksellers were concerned, and the names of the proprietors included, as Edward Dilly, one of the partners, said in a letter to Boswell, "almost all the booksellers in London, of consequence."[1] The object was to defeat what they deemed to be an invasion of their literary property, in the shape of a comprehensive issue of *British Poets*, printed at the Apollo press in Edinburgh, in a hundred cheap and handy volumes, and sold by John Bell of the Strand. This John Bell, founder of *Bell's Weekly Messenger*, was a pioneer in the production of cheap books, and, being a man of modern ideas, he initiated, so it is said, the abolition of the long *s*. Another form of cheap literature which had come into vogue, was the "Paternoster Row numbers," so called from the Row being their chief place of issue. These publications, which came out in the form of weekly parts, consisted of standard works such as family Bibles with notes, Foxe's *Martyrs*, the works of Josephus, the life of Christ, histories of England and the like, which, if not read, at least gave a good air to the home. One of the earliest to make a speciality of this form of publishing was Alexander Hogg, who seems to have been possessed of all the arts and wiles of the modern book canvasser; and his assistant, John Cooke, after starting in the same line of business on his own account, made an even better thing of it. He is said to have cleared some thousands of pounds by Southwell's *Notes and Illustrations on the Bible*, and his were the little "whity-brown" covered sixpenny numbers of the British poets on which Leigh Hunt "doted." This series of books, running, in all, to several

[1] Boswell's *Life of Johnson*, ed. Hill, G. B., col. III, p. 111.

hundred weekly parts, consisted of three sections: select novels, select classics and select poets—select, no doubt, meaning then, as now, those which could be reprinted with impunity.

But the booksellers did not confine their meetings at coffee-house or tavern to the business of dividing the profits on a book or of planning a new venture. They also met for social intercourse and good cheer; and occasional gatherings at the Devil tavern by Temple bar developed into a regular club. It was at this club that Davies first conceived the idea of writing his *Life of Garrick*, and, as the work proceeded, he brought instalments of it to the club which he read to the company "with much complacency, and not a little to their general information." And, in their relations with authors, the festive side was not neglected by individual publishers, such as the Dillys—the big house in the Poultry—"at whose hospitable and well-covered table," says Boswell, "I have seen a greater number of literary men, than at any other, except that of Sir Joshua Reynolds."

Thomas Cadell, too, the successor of Andrew Millar, celebrated the completion of Gibbon's *Decline and Fall*, in 1788, by a literary dinner at his house. Cadell, who was partner with William Strahan in many of his more important undertakings, was for nearly a quarter of a century at the head of his profession, and his name is associated with the leading historical writers of the time: Hume, Robertson, Gibbon, Blackstone, Adam Smith. This was a golden age for successful writers, and remuneration was on an unprecedented scale. For his *History of Charles V*, Robertson received £4500, and for his dull but popular history, Robert Henry was paid £3300; Hume's *History* is said to have brought him upwards of £5000, and Gibbon had two-thirds of the very handsome profits on his *History;* Cadell and Strahan paid John Hawkesworth £6000 for his *Account of voyages . . . in the Southern Hemisphere*, and gave Hugh Blair £1100 for his three volumes of *Sermons;* and Charles Elliot, the Edinburgh bookseller, was venturesome enough to give William Smellie a thousand guineas for his *Philosophy of Natural History* when, according to Lackington, only the heads of the chapters were written.

At the end of the eighteenth century, the third Thomas Longman had recently entered on his successful career; the

theological house of Rivington was in the hands of Francis and Charles Rivington, grandsons of the founder; Thomas Cadell, the younger, had succeeded his father, who was now enjoying well-earned leisure; the firm of Edward and Charles Dilly was represented by the surviving partner, Charles; George Robinson, the "king of the booksellers," had yet a year to reign over his huge business in Paternoster row; and John Murray, lately come of age, had just assumed control of the business in Fleet street which his father, the first John Murray, had acquired in 1768.

Naturally, most of those who engaged in bookselling and publishing were primarily men of business, but there were among them not a few who knew something more of books than merely their title-pages and selling price. Many were attracted to the calling by a taste for, and appreciation of, literature, and several even aspired to enter the lists of authorship. Besides such outstanding instances as Robert Dodsley, Samuel Richardson and Thomas Davies, there was John Dunton of the *Life and Errors*, and Lackington of the *Memoirs* and *Confessions*. Thomas Evans, the humorist, who edited Shakespeare and Prior, and Andrew Jackson, the Drury lane dealer in old books, who published the first book of *Paradise Lost* in rime and cast his catalogues in similar form, are representative of another class. To the criticisms of his publisher, Joseph Johnson, William Cowper acknowledged himself to be indebted; and Peter Elmsley, the Strand bookseller and honoured friend of Gibbon, was noted for his discriminating nicety in both the French and the English languages. To these may be added Alexander Cruden, who compiled his *Concordance* at his shop under the Royal Exchange; Arthur Collins, of the *Peerage;* the younger William Bowyer, styled "the learned printer," and his partner John Nichols, of the *Anecdotes*.

If Tonson, Lintot and Dodsley may be accounted among the aristocracy of the publishers of their time, the nadir of the profession is well represented in their contemporary, Edmund Curll, that shameless rascal, in whom even the writer of *The Dunciad* found his match for scurrility. In the annals of the trade, Curll's name stands for all that is false, low, dishonest and obscene; indeed, his activity in producing books of an indecent character added a new word—Curlicism—to the

language. His many misdeeds brought him varied experiences: from the trick which Pope played upon him at the Swan tavern, and the tossing he received at the hands of the Westminster scholars, up through more than one appearance at the bar of the House of Lords, down to imprisonment, fine and the pillory. But none of these things deterred "the dauntless Curll" from his vicious course. After he had been fined for printing *The Nun in her Smock*, and had stood in the pillory for publishing *The Memoirs of John Ker of Kersland*, he continued to advertise these books in his lists, with a note appended to the latter calling attention to the fact that he had suffered fine and corporal punishment on account of it.

At the outset of his career, he put forth as a "second edition, improv'd," a mere reprint with new title-page—not an unknown deception, it is true; but, with Curll, literary fraud was habitual, and he had no hesitation in suggesting a well-known writer to be the author of some worthless production by one of his hacks. Elizabeth Montagu, in a letter[1] of 12 November, 1739, writes indignantly:

> I got at last this morning the poems just published under Prior's name, brought them home under my arm, locked my door, sat me down by my fireside, and opened the book with great expectation, but to my disappointment found it to be the most wretched trumpery that you can conceive, the production of the meanest of Curl's band of scribblers.

Curll's connection with the issue of *Court Poems* (1716)[2] led to his first encounter with Pope, and he afterwards made ignoble appearance in *The Dunciad;* later, these two were concerned in the talpine proceedings connected with the publication of the 1735 volume of Pope's *Correspondence.*

Curll's personal appearance, vividly sketched by Amory, was as unprepossessing as his cast of mind. "Edmund Curll," he says,[3] "was in person very tall and thin, an ungainly, awkward, white-faced man. His eyes were a light-grey, large, projecting, goggle, and pur-blind. He was splay-footed, and baker-kneed." He adds, however, that "he had a good natural

[1] Climenson, Emily J., *Elizabeth Montagu* (1906), vol. I, p. 38.
[2] See *ante*, Vol. IX, pp. 86 and 275.
[3] *Life of John Buncle* (1825), vol. III, p. 262.

understanding, and was well acquainted with more than the title pages of books." And, since even a Curll must have his due, it should not be forgotten that he published a number of books of antiquarian, topographical and biographical interest.

The name of Curll is also closely associated with Grub street, a domain which is wont to be a temptation to indulge in the picturesque—and to figure as a literary hades, inhabited by poor, but worthy, geniuses, with stony-hearted booksellers as exacting demons. Not that the existence of Grub street is to be doubted: it was, indeed, a grim actuality, and many a garreteer realised by experience

> How unhappy's the fate
> To live by one's pate
> And be forced to write hackney for bread.[1]

But the iniquity was not all on the side of the bookseller, nor did the initiative come from him alone.

It was in the first half of the eighteenth century, after the expiry of the licensing laws had removed all restraint from the press, that this underworld of letters most flourished, writers and booksellers striving with avid haste to make the most out of the opportunity of the moment. Unscrupulous members of both professions were little troubled by conscience, their common concern being to produce—the one with the minimum of labour, the other at the minimum of expense—anything that would sell. Booksellers were "out" for business, and paid as little as possible. Some of them were hard taskmasters, no doubt, but they had a sorry team to drive, and one may believe that, in general, these Grub street authors got as much as they were worth.

In his *Life of Dr. John North*, Roger North speaks of the pickpocket work of demi-booksellers, who "crack their brains to find out selling subjects, and keep hirelings in garrets at hard meat to write and correct by the groat"; and Amory, writing of Curll, says that "his translators in pay lay three in a bed in the Pewter Platter Inn at Holborn, and he and they were for ever at work to deceive the public.[2] John Dunton, a man

[1] Fielding's *The Author's Farce* (act II, sc. 3), a lively picture of a bookseller and his hirelings at work.

[2] *Life of John Buncle* (1825), vol. III, p. 263.

of many projects, who, in his time, published some six hundred books and himself was the possessor of a ready pen, had considerable experience of hackwriters. As soon as he set up in business, they began to ply him with "specimens"; but he conceived a very poor opinion of the race, and thought their learning very often lay in as little compass as their honesty. Of William Bradshaw, whom he considered to be the best accomplished hackney author he had met, and who wrote for him *The Parable of the Magpye*, of which many thousands were sold, he says,

> I had once fixed him upon a very great design, and furnished him both with money and books . . . but my Gentleman thought fit to remove himself, and I am not sure that I have seen him since.[1]

On the other hand, he represents John Shirley, who wrote for him "Lord Jeffreys's Life," of which six thousand were sold, as being "true as steel to his word, and would slave off his feet to oblige a bookseller."

One of the multifarious occupations of these literary parasites was the abridgment of successful works. Pirate booksellers, like Samuel Lee of Lombard street, "such a pirate, such a cormorant was never before," or Henry Hills, in Blackfriars, who regularly printed every good poem or sermon that was published, might, at their risk, reprint whole books; but the safer way was to bring out an abridgment, a method of filching against which there was no legal redress. This was the course pursued by Nathaniel Crouch, who

> melted down the best of our English Histories into twelve-penny books, which are filled with wonders, rarities, and curiosities; for, you must know, his title-pages are a little swelling.[2]

The "indefatigable press-mauler," Shirley, was an adept at this art of collection, as it was called,

> his great talent lies at Collection, and he will do it for you at six shillings a sheet. He knows how to disguise an Author that you shall not know him, and yet keep the sense and the main scope entire.[3]

[1] *Life and Errors* (1818), p. 182.
[2] *Ibid.*, p. 206.
[3] *Ibid.*, p. 184.

In his daily task the Grub street denizen lost his own personality in many disguises; and Richard Savage, under the name Iscariot Hackney, thus described, with a bitter cynicism born of experience, the varied *rôle* of a hireling writer:

'Twas in his [Curll's] service that I wrote Obscenity and Profaneness, under the names of Pope and Swift. Sometimes I was Mr. Joseph Gay, and at others theory Burnet, or Addison. I abridged histories and travels, translated from the French what they never wrote, and was expert at finding out new titles for old books. When a notorious thief was hanged, I was the Plutarch to preserve his memory; and when a great man died, mine were his Remains, and mine the account of his last will and testament.[1]

Occasionally, an author might be an employer of his less fortunate brethren, and the Sunday dinners given by Smollett to his hacks suggest that the conditions of work in his "literary factory" may have been less intolerable than in some other establishments.

Several of the best writers of the age—Fielding, Johnson, Goldsmith—served some apprenticeship in this lower walk, and the latter, in his *Present State of Polite Learning*, has feelingly depicted the hardships endured by the "poor pen and ink labourer." But while many of those who were worthy in due time freed themselves from thraldom, others, like Samuel Boyse, sitting at his writing wrapped in a blanket with arms thrust through two holes in it, found therein a natural habitat.

The revival of literature and consequent expansion of the book trade which followed upon the return of the monarchy were accompanied by drawbacks, of which the establishment of the censorship under L'Estrange, in 1663, was only one. Two years later, business in London was almost paralysed by the effects of the visitation of the plague: a check nearly equalled the following year in the havoc which the great fire made among the stock of books, by which fresh disaster many of those stationers who had survived the plague now found themselves ruined.

By this time, Little Britain, with its artery Duck lane, had become an important centre of the retail book trade, threaten-

[1] *The Author to be Let.*

ing the long supremacy of the neighbourhood of St. Paul's cathedral. In 1663, Sorbière, the French traveller, speaks of the vast number of booksellers' shops he had observed in London, especially in St. Paul's churchyard and Little Britain, "where there is twice as many as in the Rue St. Jacque in Paris." And Roger North, writing of the same period, says,

> Then Little Britain was a plentiful and perpetual emporium of learned authors; and men went thither as to a market. This drew to the place a mighty trade; the rather because the shops were spacious, and the learned gladly resorted to them, where they seldom failed to meet with agreeable conversation. And the booksellers themselves were knowing and conversible men, with whom, for the sake of bookish knowledge, the greatest wits were pleased to converse.[1]

One of the chief of these Little Britain booksellers was Robert Scot, whom North describes as no mean scholar and a very conscientious good man. He was not only an expert bookseller, but was "in his time the greatest librarian in Europe; for, besides his stock in England, he had warehouses at Frankfort, Paris and other places." Here, also, was the shop of Christopher Bateman, who dealt principally in old books, and from whom Swift purchased "for our Stella" three little volumes of Lucian in French. In some shops, it was the practice to allow customers to turn over the books and, for a small payment, to read any of them on the premises. Bateman, however, would have none of this, nor would he, it is said, suffer any person to look into any book in his shop, giving as a reason:

> I suppose you may be a physician or an author, and want some recipe or quotation; and, if you buy it, I will engage it to be perfect before you leave me, but not after; as I have suffered by leaves being torn out, and the books returned, to my very great loss and prejudice.[2]

Before the middle of the eighteenth century, the tide had begun to ebb from Little Britain, and, with the death of Edward Ballard in 1796, there passed away the last of the profession who inhabited it, and the last representative of a family which, for over a century, had been famous there for its trade in divinity and school books.

[1] *Lives of the Norths*, ed. Jessopp, A. (1890), vol. II, p. 281.

[2] Nichols's *Literary Anecdotes* (1812), vol. I, p. 424.

John Macky, in his *Journey through England* (1724), tells us that

> The Booksellers of antient books in all languages are in Little Britain and Paternoster Row; those for Divinity and the Classics on the North side of St. Paul's Cathedral; Law, History, and Plays about Temple Bar; and the French Booksellers in the Strand.

These were the chief quarters of the trade, but bookshops might be found in most quarters of the city; eastwards, along Cheapside, passing the shop of Thomas Cockerell "at the Three-legs in the Poultry, over against the Stocks Market," and on to the Royal exchange, where, at the Bible under the Piazza, Ralph Smith carried on his business. In Cornhill, the sign of the Three Pigeons pointed out the house of Brabazon Aylmer, from whom Tonson purchased *Paradise Lost;* and, a little to the south, London bridge was a centre of some activity, though mostly in the less distinguished branches of the trade. Holborn too, had its booksellers, and in Gray's inn gateway dwelt Thomas Osborne,[1] an expert in all the tricks and arts of his trade. In the west, John Brindley was established in New Bond street, and Pall Mall was the scene of Dodsley's operations. In Westminster hall, booksellers had plied their trade from at least 1640, and probably much earlier. Mistress Breach's portly presence was, doubtless, a familiar figure there from 1649 to 1675; Matthew Gilliflower was equally well known in it during the last quarter of the century, and booksellers were still in occupation there at the end of the eighteenth century.

At this time, coffee-houses were a favourite resort for social and political gossip and the reading of the news.[2] In Guy Miege's *Present State of Great Britain*, for 1707, it is remarked that

> The *Coffee-houses* particularly are very commodious for a *free Conversation*, and for reading at an easie Rate all manner of *printed News*, the *Votes* of *Parliament* when sitting, and other *Prints* that come out Weekly or casually. Amongst which the *London Gazette* comes out on *Mundays* and *Thursdays*, the *Daily Courant* every day but *Sunday*, the *Postman*, *Flying-Post*, and *Post-Boy*, Tuesdays, Thursdays, and Saturdays, and the *English Post*, Mundays, Wensdays, and Fridays; besides their frequent *Postscripts*.

[1] See, also, *ante*, Vol. IX, p. 399, and Vol. X, p. 187.

[2] Concerning coffee-houses as literary resorts, see *ante*, Vol. IX, pp. 34–42.

As being similar centres for intercommunication in the book-world, where the *literati* met and discussed new books or learned of projects for forthcoming works, some of the bookshops came to be known as literary coffee-houses. One of the first to be thus designated was a little low "elbow-shed" at the gate of the Lower mews, near Leicester fields. This was the bookshop of "honest Tom Payne," one of the most celebrated booksellers of the day. The little L-shaped place, lighted by a skylight, was but ill adapted for the reception of the number of people "who not only frequented it but during certain hours of the day were never out of it." The *habitués* of this nookery included Thomas Tyrwhitt, bishop Percy, William Heberden, Bennet Langton, George Steevens and Sir John Hawkins, and, at about one o'clock, almost any day, would be found there a group of people discussing literary themes or otherwise improving the art of conversation, probably more to their own satisfaction than to that of honest Tom, who found them much in his way. The spacious and handsome shop which Henry Payne, a younger brother, opened in Pall Mall with the hope of attracting some of these literary loungers failed to detach their allegiance from the dingy little resort, which the elder Payne occupied for nearly fifty years and which was continued by his son till the early years of the nineteenth century. Another of these literary howffs was the shop in Russell street, Covent garden, kept by Thomas Davies, the actor, whom Johnson befriended and whose *Life of Garrick* brought him more fame and probably more money than all his bookselling. It was when taking tea in Davies's back parlour, which looked into the shop through a glass door, that Boswell, in 1763, at length had the gratification of being introduced to Johnson.

The book-collector in search of fine editions, and the reader with literary tastes enquiring for the latest hit in *belles lettres*, would, naturally, go to Tonson's, Payne's, Dodsley's, or one of the other leading shops, such as that of Samuel Smith, bookseller to the Royal society, who spoke with fluency both French and Latin, and specialised in foreign literature. But, among the wider and less cultivated class of readers, there was a large demand for small and cheap books in what is commonly known as practical divinity, and this literature formed an important feature in the stock-in-trade of the smaller booksellers. In the

seventeenth century, James Crump, who had his shop in Little Bartholomew's Well-yard, was one of the publishers who made a speciality of providing this class of book, and Richard Young, of Roxwell in Essex, a voluminous writer of such matter, furnished him with *A short and sure way to Grace and Salvation*, *The Seduced Soul reduced, and rescued from the Subtilty and Slavery of Satan*, together with some thirty other tracts with similar compelling titles; and these, consisting severally of eight or a dozen pages, were sold at a penny each. More substantial examples of this class of popular literature are the "practical" works of Richard Baxter and *The Pilgrim's Progress*, of which eleven editions appeared within ten years of its first publication. John Dunton, who, with wide experience in catering for the popular taste, had great faith in the commercial value of such books, printed ten thousand copies of Lukin's *Practice of Godliness*, and, concerning Keach's *War with the Devil* and *Travels of True Godliness*, of which the same number were printed, he ventured the opinion that they would sell to the end of time.

But practical divinity, though immensely popular, was not the whole of the literature which the lower reading classes affected. Cheap quarto "histories"—*Reynard the Fox*, *Tom a Lincoln, or the Red Rose Knight*, *The Life and Death of Mother Shipton*, *Scogin's Jests*, with many others of that genus—had a ready sale at sixpence or a shilling; while the smaller chapbooks—the "Penny Merriments" and "Penny Godlinesses" which Pepys, with an eye ever alert for the broad humours of the populace, found amusement in collecting—were printed vilely and sold in thousands. These latter consisted of old popular favourites, such as *The Friar and the Boy*, *The King and the Cobbler*, *Jack of Newbery*, with *Cupid's Court of Salutations*, garlands of songs, books of riddles, cookery recipes, dream interpreters and fortune tellers. While the Licensing act was still in force, many of these trifles were solemnly submitted to the censor, who, apparently, did not consider it part of his office to refine the coarse crudities which appealed to the taste and wit of the democracy, since they bear his imprimatur on their title-pages. Besides being exposed for sale in the smaller shops, they were hawked about the streets by "flying stationers," or "running booksellers," and carried further afield by country

chapmen or hawkers, who got their supplies from the shop of William Thackeray, at the Angel in Duck lane, or John Back, at the Black Boy on London bridge, or from one of the several other stationers who specialised in this literature and sometimes combined with it the sale of pills or of "Daffy's Elixir Salutis" at half-a-crown the half-pint bottle.

The business of a retail bookseller was carried on mainly by direct transactions in his shop. In the eighteenth century, the rubric posts, referred to by Ben Jonson in his oft-quoted lines "To my Bookseller," were still in use as a means of advertising new publications, and Pope makes mention of them as a conspicuous feature of bookshops in his day. Upon these posts were stuck up the title-pages of works to which the bookseller desired to call attention. Lintot made extensive use of them, and it was near the end of the century before they disappeared, John Sewell in Cornhill, according to Nichols,[1] being, perhaps, the last who exhibited the leading authors in this way. It seems that, about the middle of the century, the custom of displaying new books upon the counter was an innovation recently adopted from Oxford and Cambridge booksellers.[2] For the extension of his business, a pushing tradesman would also be active in the circulation of "proposals" (prospectuses) for subscriptions to forthcoming books; and there were yet other devices at the command of an enterprising man, such as that adopted by Payne, who, in 1768, sent out copies of Richard Gough's *Anecdotes of British Topography*, to such as were likely to buy them, with the result, as Gough records, that, when William Brown, the other bookseller, had sold but five, Payne had disposed of forty or fifty.

The "sale of books by way of auction, or who will give most for them" had already been in practice on the continent for three quarters of a century when William Cooper, a bookseller who carried on business at the Pelican in Little Britain, introduced it into England. The first sale was that of the library of the late Lazarus Seaman; it began on 31 October, 1676, and occupied eight days. The success of this experiment soon caused the innovation to become popular, and, before the end of the century, considerably over one hundred auctions had

[1] Nichols, *Literary Anecdotes*, vol. III, p. 405.
[2] *Ibid.*, vol. IV, p. 440.

taken place. The majority of these sales were held by Cooper and Edward Millington, the latter a born auctioneer, whose quick wit and wonderful fluency of speech contributed in no small degree to his success in this *rôle*. He may have professed that his object was to afford "diversion and entertainment" without any sinister regard to profit or advantage; but, by his ready fund of professional patter, he could often enhance the values of his wares, "and sell 'em by his Art for twice their worth."[1]

Booksellers were not long in perceiving that this method of disposing of private libraries might, with similar advantage, be applied to relieving their own shelves of overweighted stock, and quite a number of sales consisted of books from this source. Prominent among the many who conducted book auctions in the eighteenth century are Christopher Bateman, the Ballards, Lockyer Davis and his son-in-law, John Egerton. Samuel Paterson, too, who gave up bookselling for auctioneering, was, in his day, a noted cataloguer, with a wide and curious knowledge of the contents of books; but he had an invincible weakness for dipping into any volume that might excite his curiosity during cataloguing, so it not infrequently happened that catalogues were ready only a few hours before the time of the sale. The *domus auctionaria* which Samuel Baker set up in York street, Covent garden, in 1744, was the earliest establishment devoted entirely to book auctions. On Baker's death, in 1788, his partner George Leigh of the famous crumple-horn-shaped snuff-box, associated with himself Samuel Sotheby, and thus brought into the firm a name which has survived to the present day.

The chief rules under which sales were conducted were much akin to those still customary; but the sums by which bids advanced were curiously small, a penny being a common bid. Tricks of the trade developed on both sides with the progress of the business. Cases of an auctioneer raising the prices by phantom bids were not unknown; and already, in 1721, we find suggestion of the fraudulent "knock-out" in practice among booksellers. Concerning a certain auction in that year, Humfrey Wanley, in his journal as Harleian librarian, records "for the information of posterity . . . that the books in

[1] Brown, Thomas, *Elegy on Mr. Edward Millington* (in *Familiar Letters*, 1718).

general went at low, or rather at vile rates: through a combination of the booksellers against the sale";[1] and he observes, also, that the current prices of books had much advanced during late years.

It is possible that the success of the "auctionary" method of disposing of superfluous stock may have suggested the catalogue of books at marked prices as a means of facilitating communication between bookseller and buyer and of placing additional temptation in the way of the latter. At all events, by the middle of the eighteenth century the practice of issuing such catalogues was widely in use, and many booksellers sent out their priced catalogues annually or even twice a year. Conspicuous among these was Thomas Osborne, insolent and ignorant, but with enough business wit to amass a considerable fortune, the Ballards, noted for their divinity catalogues, the Paynes and James Lackington. Lackington, whose *Memoirs* contain a lively account of his remarkable business career, with a strange variety of other matters, including the state of the book market of his day, began life as a shoemaker, but soon abandoned that calling for the more congenial occupation of trafficking in books. From his initial experiment in bookselling, the purchase of a sackful of old theology for a guinea, he progressed steadily, in spite of lack of education. His first catalogue, issued in 1779, caused mirth and derision by its many blunders, but he got rid of twenty pounds' worth of books within a week. He sold for ready money only, and made a practice of selling everything cheap with the object of retaining the customers he had and of attracting others. The success of these principles, which he was not above proclaiming in his carriage motto, "Small gains do great things," brought him an enormous increase of business. His shop, known as "The Temple of the Muses," occupied a large corner block in Finsbury square, and has been described as one of the sights of London.[2] In the centre stood a huge circular counter, and a broad staircase led to the "lounging rooms" and to a series of galleries where the volumes arranged on the shelves grew shabbier and cheaper as one ascended. Every one of these thou-

[1] Nichols, *Literary Anecdotes*, vol. I, p. 91 (where is printed a series of interesting extracts from Wanley's journal).

[2] Knight, C., *Shadows of the Old Booksellers* (1865), pp. 282–3.

sands of books was marked with its lowest price and numbered according to a printed catalogue. In 1792, Lackington estimated his profits for the year to be about £5000; at that period, he was issuing every year two catalogues, of which he printed more than three thousand copies, and he calculated that he was selling upwards of 100,000 volumes annually.

In his *Memoirs*, written about 1791, Lackington observes that

> the sale of books in general has increased prodigiously within the last twenty years. According to the best estimation I have been able to make, I suppose that more than four times the number of books are sold now than were sold twenty years since.

He also remarked that the recent general introduction of histories, romances, stories and poems into schools had been a great means of diffusing a taste for reading among all ranks of people. The extensive increase in the habit of reading naturally brought with it the need of an ampler supply of literature, and, though books had become cheaper and more plentiful, it is hardly to be supposed that the demands of the large body of general readers could be satisfied by the limited number of books they were able to buy or borrow, and the medium of circulating libraries was an obvious method of augmenting supplies.

The earliest recorded date of the establishment of a circulating library in London seems to be 1740; but, for some fifteen years before this, Allan Ramsay, the poet bookseller, had been lending out to the citizens of Edinburgh English novels and romances at a penny a night, possibly to the scandal of the unco guid, but thereby letting a breath of wider air into the particularism of the Scottish literary taste of the time. The movement soon spread, both in the metropolis and in the provinces: in 1751, the enterprising William Hutton of Birmingham added a library to his bookshop; and, in the same decade, a subscription library was established in Liverpool. John Nicholson, familiarly known as "Maps," had his library in Cambridge; and, by the end of the century, others were to be found in most towns of any importance. The numerous private book clubs which existed in every part of the country also formed a considerable channel for the distribution of books.

In these clubs, members contributed a certain sum periodically for the purchase of books, which were circulated in rotation among subscribers, much in the same fashion that still obtains.

The chief lists of current English books in the middle of the seventeenth century are the catalogues issued by John Rothwell and William London. It was in 1657 that the latter, a Newcastle bookseller, brought out his *Catalogue of the most vendible Books in England*, prefaced by an "Introduction to the use of books" from his own pen. It is significant of the prevailing taste of the time that more than two-thirds of the books in this list come under the heading divinity. Various other catalogues appeared; but there was no organised attempt to publish a regular list of new books until 1668, in which year John Starkey, a Fleet street bookseller, issued, under the title *Mercurius Librarius*, the first number of what are known as Term catalogues.[1] Starkey was soon joined by Robert Clavell, of the Peacock, in St. Paul's churchyard, and, from 1670 to 1709, the list was issued quarterly under the title *A Catalogue of Books continued, printed and published at London.* Clavell also brought out, in 1672, *A catalogue of all the Books printed in England since the Dreadful Fire*, a fourth edition of which, continued to date, appeared in 1696; and publications relating to the popish plot were so numerous that he thought it worth while to issue, in 1680, a special catalogue of them. In 1714, Bernard Lintot essayed to take up the work of recording new books; but his *Monthly Catalogue* came to an end after eight numbers, and, again, there was a lapse, until John Wilford, in 1723, began another *Monthly Catalogue*, which ran for six years. From about this point, the gap is partially filled by lists of new books in the monthlies, such as *The Gentleman's Magazine*, *The London Magazine*, *The Monthly Review* and *The Critical Review.* Advertisements of new books, especially those issued by subscription, are also to be found in newspapers, and critical notices of books begin to appear in reviews. In 1766, there was published, for the use of booksellers, *A complete catalogue of modern books, published from the beginning of this century to the present time*, and this was followed by several similar

[1] Reprinted by Arber, E., 3 vols., 1903–6. For an account and bibliography of these and other catalogues, see Growoll, A., *Three centuries of English booktrade bibliography*, New York, 1903.

compilations, the most active in this field being William Bent of Paternoster row, who continued his work into the nineteenth century.

A considerable proportion of the business of distributing books from the publisher to the retail bookseller was effected through the medium of sales, and trade sales were as much an institution of the eighteenth century as were trade books. These sales, to which only booksellers were admitted, and often only such as were invited by having a catalogue sent to them, consisted either of new books, which were offered to "the trade" on special terms before publication, or of the stock of a bookseller retiring from business, or, again, of the remaining stock of certain books which had not "gone off" to the publisher's expectations. It was customary for purchasers of these "remainders" to destroy a large proportion of them and charge full price for the rest; and there was an understanding that, if anyone was known to sell such books under publication price, he should be excluded from future sales. James Lackington, the cheap bookseller, who always took a strong line of his own, after a time broke through this custom, and sold off his purchases at a half or even a quarter of the regular price.

In the provinces, the expansion of the book trade after the restoration was not less marked than in the metropolis, though the volume of business still remained insignificant compared with that of London. From early times, stationers had been established in certain important centres, but, between 1640 and 1647, there were bookshops in about forty different towns, and, in 1704, John Dunton speaks of three hundred booksellers now trading in country towns. Some of these enlarged their sphere of operations by itinerant visits to neighbouring places; in this way, the needs of Uttoxeter and Ashby-de-la-Zouch were supplied by the Lichfield bookseller, Michael Johnson—father of Samuel Johnson—who, also, on market days, made the journey to Birmingham and opened a shop there. In the middle of the eighteenth century, William Hutton, the historian of Birmingham, made similar visits from Birmingham to Bromsgrove market. In 1692, Nevill Simmons, bookseller, of Sheffield, held the first book-auction in Leeds, on which occasion, as related by Ralph Thoresby, who was a buyer at the sale,

the room was so overcrowded that the floor gave way. A few years previous to this, the enterprising Edward Millington had introduced to the bookbuyers of Cambridge and other towns this attractive method of selling books; and Dunton, in 1698, startled Dublin booksellers by taking across a large quantity of books and selling them by auction there. Other supplies were carried into the country by certain London booksellers, who attended regularly the chief provincial fairs, such as Sturbridge and Bristol, which were still important centres of book-distribution; and a considerable number of books found their way direct from London to country customers, many of the clergy and other buyers of better-class literature having a bookseller in town from whom they ordered such books as they wanted. It might very well be expected that books to be found on the shelves of provincial shops would be chiefly of a popular nature, and this Lackington discovered to be the case when, towards the end of the eighteenth century, he made his progress through the principal towns in the north. He was struck by the scarcity of books of the better class in the shops he visited: in York and Leeds, it is true, there were a few good books to be seen, but in all the other towns between London and Edinburgh, he declares that nothing but trash was to be found.

Owing to legislative restrictions which permitted no presses to be set up outside London, except at Oxford, Cambridge and York, hardly any printing was done in other parts of the country before the end of the seventeenth century. By 1724, however, presses had been started in nearly thirty other places; but Oxford and Cambridge continued to be the chief provincial centres of book production.

At Oxford, the university press, which, in 1669, was installed in the new Sheldonian theatre, made great progress under the vigorous direction of John Fell, and the excellent work which it did during this period is seen in books like Wood's *Historia* (1674), and Hudson's *Dionysius* (1704). Clarendon's gift of the copyright of his *History of the Rebellion* provided for it, in 1713, a new habitation and the title Clarendon press. At Cambridge, it was owing to the zeal of Richard Bentley that, at the end of the seventeenth century, the university press there experienced a corresponding revival and the real foundations of the modern institution were laid.

With the exception of John Baskerville's work at Birmingham, the book printing done in other provincial towns in the eighteenth century is not of much account. At York, Thomas Gent combined topographical authorship with the art of printing, but excelled in neither; and, in the same city, John Hinxman, in 1760, published the first two volumes of *Tristram Shandy*. The booksellers of Newcastle were numerous enough to have a Stationers' company of their own about the same date. At Bristol, there was William Pine, the printer, also Joseph Cottle, the bookseller who published poems by Coleridge, Southey and Wordsworth; while Eton's bookseller, Joseph Pote, was well known for half a century. Of private presses, the most noteworthy was that which Horace Walpole maintained at Strawberry hill from 1757 to 1789.[1] Unsatisfactory workmen were not his only trouble, for, in a letter of 1764, to Sir David Dalrymple, he complained that

> the London booksellers play me all manner of tricks. If I do not allow them ridiculous profit they will do nothing to promote the sale; and when I do, they buy up the impression, and sell it at an advanced price before my face.

North of the border, some respectable printing by Robert Urie in Glasgow was followed by the establishment of the classic press of the brothers Robert and Andrew Foulis; and it was John Wilson of Kilmarnock who printed the first edition of Burns's poems in 1786. But Edinburgh was the headquarters of the Scottish book trade, and the business of printing books for the English market, which afterwards became a great industry, had already begun, though the earlier manifestation of its development—the printing of the cheap books imported into England by Alexander Donaldson and John Bell—did not meet with appreciation from the London trade. In the earlier part of the eighteenth century, the admirable printing done by James Watson and the scholarly press of Thomas Ruddiman foreshadowed the excellence that was to become characteristic of Edinburgh printing; and, when James Beattie was making arrangements for the issue of a subscription edition of his essays in 1776, he was advised to have it printed in Edinburgh, as "it would be more elegantly and correctly done than in

[1] See, also, *ante*, Vol. X, p. 277.

London." In the latter half of the century, William Creech was the leading figure in the Edinburgh trade, and his principal contemporaries were John Balfour, John Bell and Charles Elliot. Archibald Constable entered on his initial venture in publishing just four years before James Ballantyne, of Kelso, made, in 1799, his first experiment in book-printing, which led to the establishment of the famous Ballantyne press.

The dominant names in the Dublin trade during the eighteenth century were those of George Faulkner and Stephen Powell. But, Irish booksellers displayed their activity chiefly in reprinting all the best new English books, both for home use and for export. Since Ireland was outside the scope of the Copyright act, and produced nothing to tempt reprisals, this practice could be pursued with impunity, and the story of eighteenth century literature abounds in complaints against the misdeeds of these pirates.

CHAPTER XV

The Bluestockings

DURING the first half of the eighteenth century, Englishwomen had little education and still less intellectual status. It was considered "unbecoming" for them to know Greek or Latin, almost immodest for them to be authors, and certainly indiscreet to own the fact. Mrs. Barbauld was merely the echo of popular sentiment when she protested that women did not want colleges. "The best way for a woman to acquire knowledge," she wrote, "is from conversation with a father, or brother, or friend." It was not till the beginning of the next century—after the pioneer work of the bluestockings, be it observed—that Sydney Smith, aided, doubtless, by his extraordinary sense of humour, discovered the absurdity of the fact that a woman of forty should be more ignorant than a boy of twelve.

In society, at routs or assemblies, cards or dancing were the main diversions. Women were approached with flattering respect, with exaggerated compliment, but they were never accorded the greater compliment of being credited with sufficient intelligence to appreciate the subjects that interested men. What dean Swift wrote in 1734 to Mrs. Delany from Ireland applied equally well to general opinion in England: "A pernicious error prevails here among the men that it is the duty of your sex to be fools in every article except what is merely domestic."

There were then, as there always had been, exceptions. There were women who, by some unusual fortune of circumstance, or by their own persistent efforts, had secured a share of the education that was given to their brothers as a matter of course. One such woman, Elizabeth Carter, a learned lin-

guist and prominent bluestocking, wrote to Mrs. Montagu concerning a social evening:

> As if the two sexes had been in a state of war the gentlemen ranged themselves on one side of the room where they talked their own talk and left us poor ladies to twirl our shuttles and amuse each other by conversing as we could. By what little I could overhear our opposites were discoursing on the old English Poets, and this did not seem so much beyond a female capacity but that we might have been indulged with a share in it.

The faint resentment underlying this gentle complaint indicates how a few women with a natural and cultivated taste for literature began to regard the limitations imposed by traditional prejudice on their mental activities. As an unconscious protest against this intellectual stifling, as well as against "the tyranny of cards," it began to be

> much the fashion for several ladies to have evening assemblies, where the fair sex might participate in conversation with literary and ingenious men, animated by a desire to please.[1]

The first "conversation," however, had been given in the early fifties, many years before Boswell wrote this. It was held at the house of Mrs. Vesey, wife of Agmondesham Vesey, a member of the Irish parliament, and daughter of Sir Thomas Vesey, bishop of Ossory. She was a witty Irishwoman with a taste for literature, who determined to unite the literary and the fashionable society of her acquaintance—worlds that had hitherto been kept apart.

Much perverse ingenuity was wasted by the writers of the first quarter of the nineteenth century in trying to account for the term "bluestocking." Abraham Hayward, de Quincey, Mrs. Opie, all sought for an obscure origin in France, in Italy, anywhere, in fact, save where it lay embedded in the writings of the bluestocking circle. The point is still disputed, but critical authorities lean to the Stillingfleet origin, supported by Boswell, and corroborated by Madame d'Arblay. During the annual migration of the great world to Bath, Mrs. Vesey, meeting Benjamin Stillingfleet, invited him to one of her "conversations." Stillingfleet, the disinherited grandson of the bishop of Wor-

[1] Boswell's *Life of Johnson*, ed. Hill, G. B. (1887), vol. IV, p. 108.

cester, was a botanist and a poet, a philosopher and a failure. He had given up society and was obliged to decline the invitation on the score of not having clothes suitable for an evening assembly. The Irishwoman, a singularly inconsequent person, giving a swift glance at his everyday attire, which included small-clothes and worsted stockings, exclaimed gaily: "Don't mind dress. Come in your blue stockings." Stillingfleet obeyed her to the letter; and, when he entered the brilliant assembly where ladies in "night gowns" of brocade and lutestring were scarcely more splendid in plumage than men in garments of satin and paduasoy, the shabby recluse claimed permission to join them by whimsically murmuring: "Don't mind dress. Come in your blue stockings."

Stillingfleet was so popular at these conversation parties, that "blew stockings," as he was called, was in great request.

"Such was the excellence of his conversation," wrote Boswell, "that it came to be said, we can do nothing without the blue stockings, and thus, by degrees, the title was established."

By one of the ironic subtleties of nomenclature, a term originally applied to a man was gradually transferred in deepened tint to the women of these assemblies. It was a name, "fixed in playful stigma," as one of the circle happily phrased it. For, though bluestockings were estimable women, individually held in high honour, the epithet "blue," if not a designation of scorn like *les femmes savantes*, held at least a grain of good-humoured malice; possibly, because few of them were free from what their "queen," with frank self-criticism, called, "the female frailty of displaying more learning than is necessary or graceful."

But it is only just to say that Mrs. Vesey,[1] "the first queen" of the bluestockings, was free from this particular female frailty. Though she delighted in literary conversation, she had neither literary ambition, nor desire to pose as a learned woman. She was ethereal and imaginative, and, said her friends, even in old age, combined the simplicity of a child with the eager vivacity of eighteen. Her intimates called her the sylph, and, of the bluestocking hostesses, without question, she was the best-beloved. By nature unconventional, Mrs. Vesey was noted for her amusing horror of the paralysing effect of the con-

[1] See, also, *ante*, Vol. X, Chap. XI, p. 296.

ventional circle. Her large reception rooms in Bolton row—and, later, in Clarges street—appropriately upholstered in blue, were crowded with guests, who, by her deft arrangement of chairs and sofas "naturally broke up into little groups" that were "perpetually varying and changing." There was "no ceremony, no cards, and no supper," and Mrs. Vesey, we are told, had the almost magic art of putting all her company at their ease without the least appearance of design. And, what was possibly even more conducive to the success of her assemblies, "it was not absolutely necessary to talk sense."

Vesey, though not a model husband, was an excellent host, with sufficient interest in literature to help Lord Lyttelton with his *Life of Henry II*, and to be delighted when he was elected a member of Johnson's Literary club. Husbands were not much in evidence in the bluestocking circle—by a curious coincidence, they were rarely seen in Parisian salons—but Vesey, undoubtedly, contributed to the success of his wife's literary parties. To the Veseys belongs the credit of being among the first to welcome authors and people with an interest in literature to social intercourse with the great. Even of Johnson, Croker remarks in a footnote that, "except by a few visits in his latter years at the *basbleux* assemblies of Mrs. Montagu, Mrs. Vesey, and Mrs. Ord, we do not trace him in anything like fashionable society." In the bluestocking coteries, however, he was regarded as a literary lion of the first rank, "whose roar was deeper in its tone when he meant to be civil." We get a bluestocking picture of the literary autocrat from Bennet Langton, one of the best talkers among the "blues," who, knowing Boswell's amiable hero-worship, sent him an account of an evening at Vesey's. Here, surrounded by duchesses, lords, knights, and ladies, "four if not five deep," Johnson held converse with Barnard, provost of Eton, while the company listened with respectful attention. The evenings were probably pleasanter, however, when there was less monopoly, and the various groups conversed among themselves. Hannah More, whose critical judgment was equal to that of any of the bluestockings, not only gave precedence to "Vesey, of verse the judge and friend" in her poem *Bas Bleu*, but she also wrote "I know of no house where there is such good rational society, and a conversation so general, so easy and so pleasant."

For more than thirty years, Mrs. Vesey's house was a notable centre of the most cultivated society in London. After her husband's death, however, her mind became clouded, and, for a few years before she died in 1791, she was unable to recognise her friends, who, nevertheless, visited her with a loyal devotion, lest at any time she should regain her faculties, and miss their society. In 1787, Hannah More wrote:

> Mr. Walpole seldomer presents himself to my mind as the man of wit, than as the tender-hearted and humane friend of my dear infirm, broken-spirited Mrs. Vesey.

Though Mrs. Vesey was indirectly responsible for the title of the bluestocking coteries, it was Mrs. Montagu,[1] who, by her dominant character, by her husband's wealth and by the almost unique position she made for herself in London society, was speedily recognised as what Johnson in a moment of wrath satirically called her, "the Queen of the Blues." Elizabeth Robinson was born at York in 1720, one of a family of twelve children. Much of her childhood was spent in Cambridgeshire, with her maternal grandmother, the wife of Conyers Middleton, librarian of Cambridge university. At Cambridge, the pretty precocious child was looked on as something of an infant prodigy. Middleton not only allowed her to come to his academic parties, but he would afterwards, with educational intent, require from her an account of the learned conversations at which she had been present. At the time of her marriage, he somewhat pompously reminded her: "This University had the honour of Mr. Montagu's education, and claims some share in yours." Her father, an accomplished amateur artist, delighted in cultivating the gift of swift repartee that she had evidently inherited from himself. Her mother, from whom, perhaps, she inherited her taste for literature, was related to Sterne. At home, she disputed and argued good-naturedly with her brothers, till their emulation produced in their sister "a diligence of application unusual at the time"—a diligence that resulted in a knowledge of French, Italian and some Latin, though, influenced by fashion, she was sometimes ashamed to own to the latter accomplishment.

While staying with her grandmother in Cambridge, she was

[1] See, also, *ante*, Vol. X, Chap. XI, pp. 296 ff.

taken to call at Wimpole, the seat of the second earl of Oxford. Here, she made acquaintance with the earl's only daughter, Prior's "Noble lovely little Peggy," who, in 1734, married the second duke of Portland. Though Elizabeth Robinson was only thirteen at the time of this marriage, the young duchess of eighteen found a good deal of pleasure in the child's witty letters, and, as she grew older, frequently invited her to Bulstrode. This friendship introduced her to a cultivated circle, among whom were Lord Lyttelton, Mrs. Delany—then Mrs. Pendarves—and many more, who, besides helping to form her literary tastes, became her lifelong friends and good bluestockings. She was early "brought out" by her father, who, proud of his vivacious daughter, took her into society at Bath and Tunbridge when she was only thirteen. At the age when girls of to-day are enjoying their first balls, Elizabeth, satiated with years of recurring gaieties, wrote concerning Bath: "'How d'ye do,' is all one hears in the morning, and 'What's trumps?' in the afternoon." Scarcely a year later, she writes to her mother, "there is nothing so much wanted in this country as the art of making the same people chase new topics without change of persons." And, through its slightly involved expression, one may detect, even at that early age, a foreshadowing of her bluestocking parties.

This "art" she made a point of cultivating after her marriage in 1742, with the wealthy Edward Montagu, grandson of the first earl of Sandwich. She was twenty-two, and her husband twenty-nine years older; but, as her cold practical nature had already decided that "gold is the chief ingredient in worldly happiness," the discrepancy in their ages was not considered a drawback to the solid advantages of wealth and position. When, in 1744, their only child died in infancy, she sought happiness in social and intellectual pleasures with even greater avidity than before.

Mrs. Montagu had not long been married before she discovered that her husband's town house in Dover street was too small for her magnificent projects of entertaining. Mr. Montagu, therefore, built a fine house in Hill street, into which they were able to move in 1748. Here, in her famous Chinese room, she began to give a series of receptions, and, in 1753, she writes to Mrs. Boscawen that her "Chinese Room was filled by a

succession of people from eleven in the morning till eleven at night." There is not any precise information as to when she began to give her bluestocking parties, but it was probably after she became acquainted with Mrs. Vesey. Though Hannah More gives Mrs. Vesey pre-eminence in her poem *Bas Bleu*, it is generally conceded that Mrs. Montagu was the undoubted "queen" of these assemblies. Lady Louisa Stuart, granddaughter of Lady Mary Wortley Montagu, and daughter of the third earl of Bute, gives a detailed and not too flattering account of Mrs. Montagu's "attempt at an English salon":

> "The only blue stocking meetings which I myself ever attended," she wrote, "were those at Mrs. Walsingham's and Mrs. Montagu's. To frequent the latter, however, was to drink at the fountain-head . . . Mrs. Montagu eclipsed them all."[1]

She then gives a somewhat sarcastic portrait of the hostess, and, while allowing that she had quick parts, great vivacity, no small share of wit, and competent learning, she credits her, also, with a superabundance of vanity, and concludes with the insinuation that her "excellent cook is probably the only one of the powers that could carry on the war single-handed."

> "Thus endowed," she writes, "Mrs. Montagu was acquainted with almost all persons of note or distinction. She paid successful court to all authors, critics, artists, orators, lawyers, and clergy of high reputation . . . she attracted all tourists and travellers; she made entertainment for all ambassadors, sought out all remarkable foreigners, especially if men of letters."[2]

Lady Louisa was not a bluestocking—she had, indeed, "a horror of appearing in print lest she should lose caste"—and her evidence, though seasoned with a dash of malicious humour, is probably less biassed than that of the bluestockings whose pens were too often tipped with the honey of mutual admiration. She flings the fine scorn of a *grande dame* on the bluestocking habit of opening the gates of society to those who had not been born within the sacred ring-fence; she ridicules, with the prejudice of her class and period, the "college geniuses with nothing

[1] *Gleanings from an Old Portfolio* (Correspondence of Lady Louisa Stuart), ed. Clark, Mrs. Godfrey (privately printed, 1898), vol. III, p. 61.

[2] *Ibid.*, p. 62.

but a book in their pockets." She stigmatises Mrs. Montagu's company as a "heterogeneous medley," which, with all her sparkling wit and manifold attractions, she was never able to fuse into a harmonious mass. "As they went in, so they went out, single, isolated"; a result, partly owing, no doubt, to Mrs. Montagu's habit of arranging her guests in one large, disconcerting half-circle. Madame d'Arblay also mentions this peculiar formation, at the head of which sat the lady of the house, and, on her right, the guest of highest rank, or the person of the moment whom she most delighted to honour. Lady Louisa, not restrained by bluestocking loyalty, frankly holds the custom up to ridicule. "Everything at that house, as if under a spell, was sure to form itself into a circle or semi-circle." And she tells, further, of "a vast half-moon" of twenty-five ladies of whom she was one, seated round the fire, and of the vain efforts of the men, when they solemnly filed in from dinner, to break through it.

Lady Louisa's facts are probably as correct as they are amusing; but, as facts invariably take the colour of the medium through which they are presented, be it sympathy or antipathy, it is only just to dilute her sarcasms with some of the admiration and high regard expressed by the bluestocking coteries. If not an ideal hostess, Mrs. Montagu had many of the qualities that go to the ruling of a salon. Lord Lyttelton, one of her intimate court of Platonic admirers, was amazed, he once told her, that those "dangerous things . . . beauty, wit, wisdom, learning and virtue (to say nothing about wealth)" had not, long before, driven her from society. Her wit, from childhood to age, was indisputable. By the alchemy of her dexterous mind she could transmute her wide reading, her swift impressions, her varied experience into what she aptly called "the sal volatile of lively discourse." Living, as she did, in the limelight of a critical society, it was inevitable that her character should be freely discussed. But, though her complacent vanity might, occasionally, be censured, her affectations deplored, her flattery derided, yet we are told that even those who were most diverted with her foibles would express a high opinion of her abilities. "In her conversation she had more *wit* than any other person, male or female, whom I have known," wrote Beattie. Dr. Johnson, whom, said Mrs. Thrale, "she flattered till he was

ready to faint," paid her back in the same seductive coin. When she showed nim some plates that had belonged to queen Elizabeth, he assured her that "their present possessor was in no tittle inferior to the first." At another time, he said of her,

> Sir, that lady exerts more mind in conversation than any person I ever met with. Sir, she displays such powers of ratiocination, such radiations of intellectual excellence as are amazing.

And Lord Bath once told Sir Joshua Reynolds that "he did not believe that there ever was a more perfect human being created, or ever would be created, than Mrs. Montagu." Even Lord Macartney, much given to "elegant pleasantries," who "piqued himself upon carrying compliments beyond the moon," having flattered Mrs. Montagu to the furthest limit of credulity, would confess to his intimates: "After all, she is the cleverest woman I know. Meet her where you will, she says the best thing in the company." Horace Walpole might occasionally wing his sly shafts of malicious wit in her direction, but there are few greater tributes to the interest of her assemblies and of the bluestocking coteries generally than his, and Soame Jenyns's and Owen Cambridge's—the old wits as a younger generation irreverently called them—frequent attendance.

Even her enemies allowed that she had a sincere love of literature. She "makes each rising wit her care," said a contemporary poem, and her kindly discriminating help to struggling authors, and authors who were past struggling, earned for her the high-sounding title, the "female Maecenas of Hill Street," bestowed on her by Hannah More. When Anna Williams, the blind poetess, was left with a precarious income, Mrs. Montagu gave her an annual allowance of £10, a kindness greatly appreciated by Johnson, who, in his "wild benevolence," had given Mrs. Williams, in company with other derelicts of humanity, a home under the shelter of his roof. After Edward Montagu's death, when she became sole mistress of his wealth, she gave an annuity of £100 to Mrs. Carter; and, when there was a question of a government pension for Beattie, she assured him with the utmost delicacy that, should the project fail, she herself would supply the necessary funds. These are only a few instances out of many; her correspondence is full of allusions to the needy and distressed. Nor were her gifts all in the sordid

coin of commerce. Not only did she give generously to her literary friends the encouragement and sympathy that, in dark moments, are of more value than gold, but she would promote their interests in every way possible, after the manner of the ladies of the Parisian salons. It was Mrs. Montagu's wide-reaching influence that materially helped to spread the fame of Beattie's *Essay on Truth*, as a counterblast to Hume's "infidel writings." Later, it was she who suggested its reissue by subscription; and, though she was indefatigable in her efforts to enlist subscribers, she was much disappointed because it only produced about four hundred guineas profit for the author. She gave him introductions to Lord Kinnoul and his brother, the archbishop of York, who both made plans for his advancement. In 1772, she writes: "I was in hopes to have something done among the Great that might forward my hope for you"; and, when *The Minstrel* appeared, not only did she send copies to Lord Lyttelton, Lord Chatham and others of her personal friends, but she told Beattie, "I wrote immediately to a person who serves many gentlemen and ladies with new books, to recommend it to all people of taste. . . . I have recommended it to many of our bishops and others."

Having so active an interest in authors and their works, it was not surprising that she should one day appear as author herself. In 1760, when Lord Lyttelton published his *Dialogues of the Dead*, the last three were advertised as "composed by a different hand," the hand of Mrs. Montagu: though, in deference to the prejudice of her day, she preferred to shield herself behind a veil of anonymity, which she was not sorry that most of her friends were able to penetrate. The *Dialogues* met with much criticism, favourable and otherwise. Johnson called them a "nugatory performance," and Walpole, by nature unable to resist an opportunity for epigram, wrote of them as the dead dialogues, a prophecy that time has almost fulfilled. Those by Mrs. Montagu were between Cadmus and Hercules; Mercury and a modern fine lady; Plutarch, Charon and a modern bookseller. The first is full of solid good sense—too solid, indeed, for satire—but every phrase is trite and obvious, without a glimmer of the wit that Mrs. Montagu scattered freely in her talk and letters. Mrs. Carter gave it fatal, discerning praise when she assured its author that it "has all the

elegance of polite literature." The dialogue between Plutarch and the bookseller is severe on the popular taste of the day, and suggests that popular taste, like human nature, never changes. "I unadvisedly bought an edition of your *Lives*," the bookseller says to Plutarch; "a pack of old Greeks and Romans . . . and the work which repaired the loss I sustained . . . was the *Lives of the Highwaymen*." The second dialogue, between Mercury and Mrs. Modish, is in Mrs. Montagu's happiest vein of light sarcasm. It is by far the wittiest of the whole collection, and met with unqualified success. It is a lively satire on the fashionable woman of the period, who, when Mercury summons her to "pass the Styx," is "engaged, absolutely engaged . . . to the Play on Mondays, balls on Tuesdays, the Opera on Saturdays, and to card assemblies the rest of the week." She suggests, however, that he should wait till the end of the season, when she might like to "go to the Elysian fields for a change." "Have you a Vauxhall and Ranelagh?" she asks. "I think I should not dislike drinking the Lethe waters when you have a full season." Compliments flowed in from the bluestocking circle who were inclined to preen themselves on their "queen's" literary success; and Mrs. Montagu, exhilarated with the heady wine of public applause, wrote to Mrs. Carter, "I do not know but at last I may become an author in form. . . . The Dialogues, I mean the three worst, have had a more favourable reception than I expected."

It was not, however, till nine years later, that the great literary effort of her life appeared, an *Essay on the Writings and Genius of Shakespeare*, carrying the sub-title "with some remarks upon the misrepresentations of Mons. de Voltaire." In her letters, one may trace its germ at an early stage, with here and there evidences of its gradual growth. In a letter to Lord Bath, in 1761, she writes a long criticism of Voltaire's *Tancred*, in which she compares the "natural sallies of passion in our Shakspear" with "the pompous declamation" of Voltaire in *Tancred*. Three years later, Mrs. Carter mentions Mrs. Montagu's "criticism on Macbeth" and, when Johnson's preface to the 1765 edition of Shakespeare with all the other prefaces appeared, she writes of Johnson's as the ablest of them all. Mrs. Montagu's *Essay* was, in great measure, a protest against the strictures that Voltaire had for years hurled at Shakespeare,

from whom he had freely borrowed. As many English readers knew, he had taken whole scenes from *Macbeth* for his *Mahomet;* the plot of his *Zaïre* was only *Othello* slightly disguised; but indignation in England deepened to disgust at Voltaire's introduction to *Sémiramis.* Miss Talbot, a bluestocking, wrote to Mrs. Carter in 1745, "Voltaire has just published with his *Sémiramis*, the foolishest, idlest, coarsest critique that ever was."[1]

In her introduction, Mrs. Montagu says, "I was incited to this undertaking by great admiration of his genius, and still greater indignation at the treatment he has received from a French wit." The whole gist of the *Essay*, however, so far as Shakespeare is concerned, is summed up in the trite conclusion of the introduction, "Nature and sentiment will pronounce our Shakespear a mighty genius; judgment and taste will confess that, as a writer, he is far from faultless." Her vindication of Shakespeare, it may at once be admitted, was what a contemporary called it, "a work of supererogation"; but the attack on the literary dictator of Europe, even though, in its daring, it may suggest the proverb concerning fools and angels, was at least, well-merited. In Paris, particularly, when, five years later, the *Essay* was translated into French, Voltaire's credit as an authority on Shakespeare was felt to be seriously damaged. He had boasted that his translation of *Julius Caesar* was "the most faithful translation that can be, and the only faithful one in the French language of any author, ancient or modern." Such confidence invited attack, and Mrs. Montagu fell on his errors with a pitiless enjoyment that gives life and vigour to this part of her destructive criticism. She points out that, in this only faithful translation, Voltaire has utterly misread the meaning of several words and phrases, and, with a relish sharpened by indignation, her unsparing pen points out "the miserable mistakes and galimathias of this dictionary work." After an attack on Corneille, with whom Voltaire had compared Shakespeare, to the disadvantage of the latter, she finally hopes that "the many gross blunders in this work will deter other *beaux esprit* from attempting to hurt works of genius by the masked battery of an unfair translation."

[1] For criticism of Shakespeare in the eighteenth century see Vol. V, Chaps. XI, XII.

The essay, though published anonymously, met with a flattering reception. *The Critical Review* wrote of the author as "almost the only critic who has yet appeared worthy of Shakespeare," and most of the other reviews—save *The Monthly Review*, which condemned the language of the *Essay* as affected—were, on the whole, favourable. From the bluestocking circle, she received reams of eulogy, and perhaps Johnson was the only dissentient in the chorus of praise when he remarked to Sir Joshua Reynolds, "Sir, it does *her* honour, but it would do nobody else honour." Modern criticism agrees with Johnson, and the *Essay* is condemned as "well-intentioned, . . . but feeble,"[1] and quite without value in the enormous bulk of Shakespeare criticism.

It brought her, however, a considerable measure of contemporary fame in England, and her bluestocking adherents were proud of their "queen's" achievement in the world of letters. "She is the first woman for literary knowledge in England," said Mrs. Thrale, while Fanny Burney wrote that the general plaudits given to the book "mounted her . . . to the Parnassian heights of female British literature." When, in 1776, she visited Paris she had the satisfaction of finding her *Essay* well known, and herself a celebrity. She was a welcome guest at many of the Parisian salons, she adopted Parisian rouge, criticised French plays and French acting with severity and, by a singular chance, her visit coincided with the opening of the French academy on the occasion when Voltaire's famous abusive *Letter to the Academy* was read by d'Alembert. Shakespeare was again denounced in language so unrestrained that even some of the forty, wrote Mrs. Montagu, "shrugged their shoulders" and showed other strong signs of disapprobation. At its conclusion, Suard said to her, *Je crois, Madame, que vous êtes un peu fâchée de ce que vous venez d'entendre. Moi, Monsieur!* she replied, with her ever ready wit, *point du tout! Je ne suis pas amie de Monsieur Voltaire.*[2] Her bluestocking friends rather feared that her Parisian success would unduly inflate her self-esteem. Mrs. Delany wrote to Mrs. Boscawen a witty little sketch of her as Madame *de* Montagu, to which Mrs. Boscawen replied, "Much I fear that she will never be Mrs. Montagu, an

[1] *History of Criticism*, by Saintsbury, G., vol. III, p. 173.
[2] *Letters* of Horace Walpole (1904), vol. IX, p. 444.

Englishwoman again!" However, their fears were not realised. She came back to England and was soon her former English self, something of a *poseuse* perhaps, a good deal of an egotist, but always possessing such brilliant qualities of mind and intellect, such a gift for steady friendship, that she remained as firmly fixed as hitherto on her bluestocking throne, on which she had still more than twenty years to reign.

But, of the members of the bluestocking circle none was more "darkly, deeply, beautifully blue" than Mrs. Elizabeth Carter, who, though unmarried, took brevet rank as matron after the custom of her day. She was the daughter of Nicholas Carter, perpetual curate of a chapel at Deal, and one of the six preachers at Canterbury. As a first step in her education, she was sent to Canterbury for a year to learn French in the house of a Huguenot refugee. On her return home, she took lessons with her brothers in Latin, Greek and Hebrew, but she acquired knowledge with such difficulty that her father advised her to give up attempting the classical languages. She continued, however, with dogged persistence. She rose early, and, to keep her attention from flagging at night, she took snuff, bound wet towels round her head and chewed green tea and coffee. As a result of this undaunted plodding, she gained so solid a knowledge of Greek that Johnson spoke of her later as one of the best Greek scholars he had ever known. By degrees, she added Italian, German, Portuguese and Arabic to her languages. She was, at the same time, educating her young step-brothers, one of whom was sent to Cambridge.

As a linguist, who spoke fluent French, who could write pure, literary Italian, who, at need, could talk in Latin, who "delighted" in German, who knew something of Hebrew, Portuguese and Arabic and who was among the best Greek scholars of her time, her views on the study of languages are worth considering, particularly as they accord with some of the most modern and intelligent methods of teaching in vogue to-day. She knew practically nothing of Greek and Latin grammar, and used to speak of them, says her biographer, "with some degree of unmerited contempt." He hastens to explain that, as a science, she understood grammar, but, he adds significantly, *not as taught in schools*. Her fine intellect quickly discovered that

the common-sense method of acquiring a foreign language is identical with that of learning one's own. A preliminary store of words and phrases must be assimilated before grammar can be of use, and she regarded it "rather as a consequence of understanding the language, than as a handmaid. . . ."

Though grammar was not, for her, an obstructive fetish in the acquirement of a new language, she yet had a cultivated eye for grammatical errors, and a fault that she had detected in a line of Homer "kept her awake at night." At another time, she disputed with archbishop Secker over the translation of two verses in *Corinthians*, and, after consulting the original, the archbishop was compelled to admit that "Madam Carter" was in the right.

She was introduced to Cave, of *The Gentleman's Magazine*, by her father, and contributed verse to his magazine so early as her seventeenth year. In 1738, he published for her a thin quarto of twenty-four pages; poems that had been written before she was twenty. Johnson, who was then doing hackwork for Cave, wrote Greek and Latin epigrams on the author, to whom he had been introduced by the publisher. At another time, he said that "Eliza" ought to be celebrated in as many languages as *Louis le Grand*, and, in proof of his high opinion of her abilities, he asked her to contribute to his *Rambler*. Numbers 44 and 100 are hers; four "billets" in no. 10 are by Hester Mulso, afterwards Mrs. Chapone, and no. 30 is by Catherine Talbot, all accomplished ladies of the bluestocking circle. Richardson the novelist, seeing Elizabeth Carter's *Ode to Wisdom* in manuscript, printed it without permission in *Clarissa*. Her remonstrance was the prelude to an acquaintance with him, and she sometimes joined his "flower-garden of ladies" at North End, his *petticoaterie*, according to the scoffing Walpole. It is said that he gravely consulted her on the qualities that should distinguish the perfect man before he created Sir Charles Grandison.

Her first serious effort in literature was *Examination of Mr. Pope's Essay on Man*, which she translated from the French of Jean Pierre de Crousaz. It was thought that this might lead to an acquaintance with Pope, and Sir George Oxenden warned her father

that there is hardly an instance of a woman of letters entering into an intimacy of acquaintance with men of wit and parts, particularly poets, who were not thoroughly abused and maltreated by them in print . . . Mr. Pope has done it more than once.[1]

Shortly afterwards, she translated Algarotti's *Newtonianismo per le Dame* into English, under the title, *Sir Isaac Newton's Philosophy explained for the use of the Ladies, in Six Dialogues on Light and Colours.* She was then twenty-two, and Thomas Birch wrote of her as, "a very extraordinary phenomenon in the republic of letters." Elizabeth Carter was not, by temperament, a literary woman; her pleasure was in acquiring knowledge rather than in giving it out. In all her studies—save that of German, perhaps, which she began with the view of preparing herself for a place at court—she had not, apparently, any ambition beyond her passion for study. Even the great literary achievement of her life, the translation of *Epictetus*, was made to oblige her friend Miss Talbot, and was not, at first, intended for publication.

Catherine Talbot, with her mother, lived in the household of bishop Secker and his wife. She was an accomplished woman, but she did not read Greek, and, in 1743, she wrote to Mrs. Carter that she was "vastly curious" to read those precepts of Epictetus that had not been translated. It was not till 1749, however, that Elizabeth Carter, to please her friend, began a rough translation of the work that was to be the foundation of her modest fortune, as well as to add enormously to the fame she already enjoyed as the learned Mrs. Carter. These few pages were submitted to the bishop of Oxford, who found the translation good. Its only fault, he said, was its elegance of diction, that block of stumbling to many eighteenth century writers. Epictetus, the bishop reminded her, was a plain man, who spoke plainly, and the translation ought to be less smooth to preserve the spirit of the original. When Mrs. Carter wrote back that she had "some defence of her passion for ornament," the bishop replied grimly, "Why would you change a plain, home-awakening preacher into a fine, smooth, polite writer of what nobody will mind?" But Mrs. Carter was not easily persuaded to renounce the "elegance of polite literature" into which she was

[1] *Memoirs of the Life of Mrs. Elizabeth Carter*, by Pennington, M., vol. I, p. 44.

transforming the Greek slave's trenchant exhortations. It was only after Miss Talbot added the weight of her opinion, and wrote "I am much of my Lord's mind . . . for energy, shortness and plainness," that she was induced to put her translation into a more direct form. The bishop wrote a few pages as a model of the rough almost literal translation which he advocated, but perhaps he was a little chagrined at her obstinacy, for, a few months later, she laments that "Epictetus and I are miserable that . . . my Lord had so inhumanly given us up to our own devices." Bishop Secker, however, gave her valuable help in correcting it, devoting a whole month, when he was laid up with gout, to its revision. It was he, probably, who, in 1753, suggested its publication, for, from that time, it was prepared for the press. When it was at length finished, Miss Talbot urged her friend to collect materials for the life of Epictetus, to be published with it, to which Elizabeth replied: "Whoever that somebody or other is, who is to write the life of Epictetus, seeing I have *a dozen shirts to make*, I do opine, dear Miss Talbot, that it cannot be I." She, however, added the *Enchiridion* and notes, at the bishop's suggestion, and the whole was finished in 1756, just seven years after it was begun.

In the work of correcting sheets for the press, bishop Secker again gave ungrudging assistance; and, in one letter, we find her thus whimsically adjured:

> Do, dear Madam Carter, get yourself whipt, get yourself whipt . . . I know you mean to be careful; but you cannot without this help . . . The first thing I have cast my eyes upon is Epictetus for Epicurus . . .

Epictetus appeared before the public in 1758, and its success and sale make it one of the minor romances of publishing. It was in one volume, large quarto, and 1018 copies were struck off at first; but, as these were insufficient, 250 more were printed a few months later. It was issued by subscription, and the price was a guinea in sheets. In her own copy were the names of no fewer than 1031 subscribers, and, since many copies were not claimed "by way of compliment," Mrs. Carter gained nearly a thousand pounds profit. Richardson's bill for printing the first impression amounted to £67. 7*s*. Two further editions were printed in her life-time, and, for many years, it remained a

good selling book at a high price. *Epictetus* gained for its author a European reputation. So far afield as Russia, where, said Elizabeth Carter, "they were only just beginning to walk on their hind legs," there appeared a notice of the learned Englishwoman, and she was told that the Tsarina had read it through with high approbation. After its publication, she was regarded by the bluestocking circle with something akin to awe, and it is almost a relief to find her intimates, Mrs. Montagu and Miss Talbot, jestingly referring to her "uncle Epictetus," or writing of her as "cousin-german to Xenophon," while Walpole, with his facile talent for bestowing unchristian names, frequently calls her Mrs. Epictetus Carter.

After *Epictetus*, Mrs. Carter did not write anything more for publication, though, in 1762, Lord Bath persuaded her to publish a small volume of poems that had been written at various times. She gave such reluctant consent to this that Miss Talbot accused her of thinking it "no small degradation from a quarto of Greek Philosophy to dwindle into an eighteen-penny pamphlet of English verse." The dedication was to the earl of Bath, and, writes her biographer, "is wholly unsullied by that flattery which is too often a disgrace both to the author and the patron." But this praise is somewhat discounted, when on the next page, he quotes a letter from Mrs. Carter, indicating that Lord Bath wrote the dedication himself!

For the remainder of her long life, Elizabeth Carter settled down to the comfortable enjoyment of her fame on the modest competence of which the profits from *Epictetus* were the foundation. Her influential friends invested this money profitably; and, some years later, when Mrs. Montagu inherited her husband's fortune, she allowed her friend £100 a year. Lord Bath did not leave her an annuity, according to the expectation of many of the bluestockings; but his heirs generously made good this deficiency by a grant of £100 a year. During the summer months, she lived with her father at Deal, or went on visits to her friends among the great at their country houses. The winter she invariably spent in London in handsome and comfortable apartments in Clarges street, within easy distance of several of the bluestocking hostesses. "She kept no table," and never dined at home, except when ill, or unable to go out. In the wide bluestocking circle, she was always a welcome guest,

and, not only did they invite her to their houses, but they invariably sent for her their sedan chairs or carriages, which again carried her back to Clarges street by ten o'clock at the latest. She was, apparently, a sympathetic listener rather than a talker, but she was always, to the end of her long life, a notability in the inner circle of the bluestockings.

The bluestocking, however, whose fame reached to the furthest ends of the earth—though as a philanthropist rather than as a blue—is Hannah More.[1] When she first appeared in the bluestocking coteries, she had not yet become a passionate reformer, a stern moralist, "the eminent divine," as she was called in later years. Her connection with the blues represents the "gay and worldly" side of her serious life. During her first winter among them she was still in the twenties, and her hasty impressionist descriptions of the literary society of London scintillate with the fresh enthusiasm of a girl whose eyes and mind are slightly dazzled by unaccustomed experiences. She was not unworthy to be admitted to the society of those learned ladies and ingenious gentlemen. She spoke fluent French, polished by conversation with some French officers on parole, who often visited her home. Her father taught her Latin, and some mathematics, though, frightened by her precocity, he did not take her far in the latter science. Her elder sisters, not having any fortune in prospect, opened a boarding-school at Bristol to which she was sent, at the age of twelve. Later, like her four sisters, she taught in the school, assiduously carrying on her own education at the same time. She studied Latin, Italian and Spanish, improving her style by translations and imitations of the *Odes* of Horace, and some of the dramatic compositions of Metastasio.

Hannah More was a precocious child—a child who, indisputably, was the mother of the woman. In youthful days, she would treasure any stray scrap of paper on which she scribbled verses or essays that were always adorned with "a well directed moral." When her ardent wish took form in the shape of "a whole quire of paper to herself," it was soon filled by the budding moralist with supposititious letters to depraved characters,

[1] See, also, *ante*, Vol. X, Chap. XI, pp. 303–304, and Vol. XI, Chap. XII.

intended to reclaim them from their errors. The one romance of her life began when she was twenty-two, and came to naught, though, indirectly, it paved the way for her literary career. She was engaged to a wealthy man of good position, the cousin of two of her pupils. This gentleman named Turner is said to have had every good quality to make marriage a success, save "a cheerful and composed temper," and—still more important lack—the initial courage to marry. Twice was the wedding-day fixed and postponed, when Hannah, on the advice of her friends, determined not to be trifled with any longer. Turner was repentant, but Hannah was inexorable. Finally, however, he insisted on settling on her an annuity of £200, to compensate her for her great expense in preparing to be the wife of a man of large fortune. This income permitted her to devote her time to literary pursuits, though, when she first visited London in 1774, she had not published anything except a small play for schools, *The Search after Happiness*. She was introduced to London society by one of those fortunate events that suggest the guiding hand of destiny. She, with two of her sisters, had not been in London a week when she wrote to a friend describing her emotions at seeing Garrick as king Lear. Her friend, who knew Garrick, showed him the letter, and, as the actor was curious to see the young enthusiast, an introduction was arranged. The day after this she was invited to the Garricks' house to meet Mrs. Montagu, and, as her biographer succinctly puts it, "her introduction to the great, and the greatly endowed, was sudden and general." Her portrait, painted some years later by Opie, suggests a strong and pleasant personality, and one finds that, wherever she was taken by the Garricks, she gravitated towards people of high rank in intellect by a species of mental elective affinity. She had long desired to see Johnson, but Sir Joshua Reynolds, at whose house she met him, prepared her, as he "handed her up the stairs," for a mood of possible sadness and silence in the great man. She was, however, fortunate enough to find him advancing to meet her "with good humour in his countenance, and a macaw of Sir Joshua's in his hand," while he gallantly greeted her with a verse from a morning hymn of her own composition. Other introductions speedily followed: to Edmund Burke, to bishop Percy, the collector of the *Reliques*, who was "quite a sprightly modern,

instead of a rusty antique," and to other distinguished members of the bluestocking coteries.

In the following year, 1775, Hannah again visited London in February. This time, she dined at Mrs. Montagu's, where she met Elizabeth Carter and Mrs. Boscawen, the widow of the admiral. The bluestocking parties were now at their zenith, and the clear-cut thumbnail sketches Hannah gives of the chief *dramatis personae* are always vivid and lifelike. Of Mrs. Montagu, she says,

> She is not only the finest genius, but the finest lady I ever saw . . . she lives in the highest style of magnificence . . . her form is delicate even to fragility . . . she has the sprightly vivacity of fifteen, with the judgment and experience of a Nestor.

The young provincial, though not "violently modish," kept at least one eye on the fashion, and permitted her hair to be dressed in the extravagant mode that, as moralist, she was compelled to censure, even while she adopted it. She quickly noted Elizabeth Carter's indifference to dress, which, with tactful euphuism, she thus describes. "Mrs. Carter has in her person a great deal of what the gentlemen mean when they say such a one is a 'poetical lady' . . . however, I like her much." She was, perhaps, most attracted by Mrs. Boscawen, who, she said, was polite, learned, judicious and humble. This first impression was strengthened as she knew her more intimately, and there was not one of the bluestocking ladies of whom Hannah wrote with more admiration, though, perhaps because but few of her letters—that were thought not inferior to those of Mrs. Montagu—have been published, she is less well-known to the general reader.

In 1775, after her return to Bristol, Hannah More told her sisters that she had been "so fed with praise and flattering attentions" that she would find out her real value by writing a poem, and offering it to Cadell. In a fortnight, she had finished *Sir Eldred of the Bower*, to which she added the poem entitled *The Bleeding Rock*, written some years before. Cadell had probably heard something of her, as he not only offered for it a sum beyond her expectation, but "very handsomely" said that, if she could discover what Goldsmith had received for his *Deserted Village*, he would allow her the same price. A unique fashion

surely of receiving a young writer, even in the eighteenth century! The two poems, which scarcely filled thirty small pages, were welcomed with acclaim by the bluestockings. Garrick recited them, Johnson added a stanza, Richard Burke called the book "a truly elegant and tender performance," and the writer's head, said her sisters, needed to be unusually steady to withstand the flood of adulation—and it was!

In the following year, the Garricks hospitably offered Hannah a suite of rooms in their house, and, from that time onwards, for more than twenty years, whenever she came to London, she invariably stayed with them at the Adelphi, or at their Thamesside retreat at Hampton. Under Garrick's influence, her next literary venture was the play *Percy*, which launched her in London society as a celebrity. The bluestockings congratulated her and themselves on its extraordinary success, and if they did not "crown her, cover her, hide her with laurels," as Richard Berenger, one of them suggested, Mrs. Boscawen, on its twelfth performance, sent her a laurel wreath with the "stems confined within an elegant ring," for which she returned thanks in "an elegant copy of verses."

She had almost finished *The Fatal Falsehood*, when, in 1779, David Garrick died, and, greatly affected by his death, she determined to write no more plays. From this time, her thoughts followed their natural trend towards serious subjects, and, in her letters, she gradually reveals herself as philanthropist and reformer. She even attempted, said Cowper, "to reform the unreformable Great," and her *Thoughts on the importance of the Manners of the Great* went into many large editions.[1] Her grief at Garrick's death found some vent in *Sensibility*, a poem addressed to Mrs Boscawen, in which several bluestockings are mentioned. The poem, however, that made the greatest stir in the bluestocking coteries, was *Bas Bleu, or Conversation*. It is illustrative of the fact that Hannah More, with her strong sense of dramatic values, had the faculty of mentally visualising the significance of the various movements with which she was connected. This poem, as she explained in the preface, owed its name to the mistake of

[1] The tracts with which she tried to reform the poor, *Village Politics* and the *Repository Tracts*, had an amazing success, and were found so well-suited to the purpose that the Religious Tract society was formed to continue the work.

a foreigner of distinction, who gave the literal name *Bas-bleu* to a small party of friends that had often been called by way of pleasantry *Blue Stockings*. She says further that these little societies—sometimes misrepresented—were composed of persons distinguished in general for their rank, talent, or respectable character, who met frequently at Mrs. Vesey's and at a few other houses for the sole purpose of conversation. She adds a brief tribute to the charm of these gatherings, where, she says, learning was not disfigured by pedantry, good taste was not marred by affectation and conversation was as little disgraced by calumny, levity and other censurable errors as has, perhaps, been known in any society. The poem is not of permanent value, though Johnson told her that "there was no name in poetry that might not to be glad to own it." Naturally, this *poème à clef* had a great vogue among the bluestockings, as most of them were mentioned either by their own names, or under some classical appellation. It was written to amuse Mrs. Vesey, and, after circulating some years in manuscript, was eventually printed in 1786.

Perhaps the most curious friendship in the *bas-bleu* coteries, was that between Hannah More and Horace Walpole. She was not long in discovering that "Horace liked nonsense talk better than Greeks or Romans," but, apparently, she could do her own share of such conversation. When she spent evenings among the bluestockings, she frequently mentions that she and Horace Walpole, with another friend or two, "make up a pleasant little coterie of their own." Friendly correspondence passed between them, when they were away from London; and, when Hannah More went to live at her cottage, Cowslip green—cousin in name, declared Walpole, to Strawberry hill—he collected all his own works, printed at the Strawberry hill press, to give her "for remembrance." As a mark of great distinction, he printed her *Bishop Bonner's Ghost* at the famous press, for distribution among their common friends—in other words, the bluestockings. He gave her a beautifully bound Bible, which she wished he would read; but, in spite of the amazing differences of character between the cynic and the reformer, they remained good friends till his death. He was on intimate terms with Mrs. Carter, too, and both the famous bluestocking ladies were amazed when his *Letters* were published. The Horace Walpole

there revealed was an entirely different person from the bluestocking they had known. When he talked with them, there were not any traces of "that truly French, light and frivolous way of thinking which is so evident in his printed letters." Indeed, it was something of a shock to them to find that he had actually selected his letters for publication.

Hannah More was the chief chronicler as well as the poet laureate of the blues. It is from the hasty impressionist sketches in her letters that we gather the significance of the movement. Of a bluestocking evening at William Pepys's, she says:

> There was all the pride of London, every wit and every wit-ess . . . but the spirit of the evening was kept up on the strength of a little lemonade till past eleven, without cards, scandal or politics.

A terse description that might serve as a type of most of the bluestocking meetings. This cult of "conversation—the pursuit of ideas," as it has been defined—acted as a subtle leaven to the hard brilliant materialism of the eighteenth century. The social refinement introduced by the bluestocking interest in literature can be better appreciated by a glimpse at the glaring foil made by ordinary society.

> "On Monday," writes Hannah More, "I was at a very great assembly at the Bishop of St. Asaph's. Conceive to yourself one hundred and fifty or two hundred people met together . . . painted as red as bacchanals; poisoning the air with perfumes; treading on each other's gowns; not one in ten able to get a chair . . . ten or a dozen card tables crammed with dowagers of quality, grave ecclesiastics and yellow admirals."

It was another advantage of the *bas-bleu* societies, that "common or genteel swearing" was not countenanced: and, as tea, coffee, orgeat and lemonade were the only beverages offered, intoxication—then a general vice of society—seldom brought its embarrassments into their midst.

From the somewhat elusive references to the bluestocking parties, we gather that—unlike the Parisian salons—there was not a fixed day or date for any of the meetings. A dinner might be given by Mrs. Montagu, after which there would be "a strong reinforcement of the Blues"; or, Mrs. Vesey would hold

an assembly of rank, fashion and *literati:* "so blue it was Mazarin blue," as Horace Walpole once described "a Vesey." Or, Mrs. Boscawen might "receive," though parties at her house were usually more exclusive, and thirty or forty was there considered quite a large meeting. These were the principal bluestocking hostesses, to whom came "the elite of London both for talent and fashion." Since the first conversation had been given by Mrs. Vesey, these societies had multiplied, and, from the seventies to the end of the century, bluestocking meetings were held in many other London houses. Sir Joshua Reynolds, "the idol of every company," and his sister had most interesting evenings at their house in Leicester fields and, later, at Richmond. Here, even Johnson was "as brilliant as himself, and as good-humoured as anyone else," and there was "scarce an expletive man or woman" among the company. Mrs. Thrale, of the "little silver tongue," welcomed rank and talent to her home at Streatham, and much good talk was heard in the famous library. Miss Mary Monckton, afterwards the witty countess of Cork and Ossory, had, said Boswell, the finest *bit of blue* at her parties. Dressed in fine thin muslin in the coldest weather, she would nonchalantly receive her distinguished guests with "a nod and a smile and a short 'How do do'"; and then, without moving from her seat in the middle of the room, would continue her conversation, lounging on one chair while she leaned on the back of another. At this house, the guest of honour was Johnson, of whom dean Marlay once remarked, "the ladies might well be proud when they could turn a wolf-dog into a lap-dog!"

Mrs. Chapone, born Hester Mulso, occasionally gave bluestocking receptions that were "rational, instructive and social," and, also, unfortunately, somewhat spiritless and dull. Though Johnson thought sufficiently well of her literary talent to include her among the few contributors to his *Rambler*, the promise of her youth never ripened to any noteworthy performance, if we except *Letters on the Improvement of the Mind*, which, in its day, was considered an educational work of the first importance. The author was, by temperament, argumentative, impulsive, emotional; and, perhaps because of her experience, such qualities are condemned in her *Letters*. These are only interesting now as embodying an acclaimed ideal of

eighteenth century feminine manners. Mrs. Chapone was frequently a guest at North End, where she would earnestly discuss with Richardson his female characters. Mrs. Delany, that "fairest model of female excellence," asserted that Mrs. Chapone was the prototype of some of his principal heroines, which, she said, "is the reason they are not really so polished as he takes them to be."

Perhaps the most charming description of a bluestocking evening is from the vivid and sprightly pen of Fanny Burney.[1] She was a blue, but not of what Hannah More called the old set. She had not long visited among them—where *Evelina* and her own amiable personality secured her a warm welcome—before her appointment to a post at court. She snatched an evening from her wearisome duties, however, to visit Mrs. Ord, a later but hardly less distinguished hostess than the original three, and there found practically all the members of the circle: Mrs. Montagu, Mrs. Boscawen, Owen Cambridge, Horace Walpole, Sir Lucas Pepys, Leonard Smelt, Bennet Langton and Lady Rothes, his wife, Mrs. Carter, Mrs. Chapone, William Pepys and others. The talk was of *The Streatham Letters*, the correspondence between Mrs. Thrale and Dr. Johnson which had just been published, and many of the blues feared the indiscretions of her too fluent pen. It is a lively and graceful picture of eighteenth century society, and an excellent representation of the friendly charm of the *bas-bleu* meetings.

[1] See Hill, Constance, *Fanny Burney at the Court of Queen Charlotte* (1912), chap. XIII.

CHAPTER XVI

Children's Books

CHILDREN'S books, throughout the history of English literature, have been in that literature, but not of it. Phrases and persons from nursery lore have passed irrevocably into the national arsenal of metaphor and allusion, while the sources of them may not have had any claim to serious literary consideration. Children, too, have annexed the books of their elders—*Robinson Crusoe* is the standard example—and have almost established a prescriptive right to the conquered territory. But not many books written specially for children have also been enduring literature, in any real sense, though the exceptions are notable. The nursery library, in fact, has been a separate thing; developed differently, furnished from a different standpoint, with works written in a different vein of inspiration and produced, commercially, with different limitations and standards. Nor is the criterion of judgment upon it, whether the reader or the historian be the judge, the same as upon more solemn or artistic performances.

Its history really opens in the eighteenth century. Yet, in the beginnings, the "grown-up" and the child coincide, in a way. The writers who, in the first volume of this work,[1] treated of the riddles of Cynewulf, Aelfric and Aldhelm, and of the scholastic labours of Alcuin at York, were chronicling the very earliest books for children in the language. Those who, in the same volume,[2] discussed the metrical romances of 1200–1500 set forth at large the adult works whose *disjecta membra* were still the framework of the cheapest books for children in the eighteenth century; while Aesop, and bestiaries, and such a collection as *Gesta Romanorum* were certainly, to some extent,

[1] Chaps. IV and V. [2] Chaps. XIII and XIV.

read by children as well as by the older flock at whom the monkish editors aimed.

But these early productions are hardly what would be meant to-day by the term "children's books," which, perhaps, is best and most conveniently interpreted as "books read or meant to be read by children for pleasure or for profit, or for both, in their leisure hours." Children read medieval riddles and schoolbooks, certainly; but they read them perforce, as part of their education. So far as the social life of these early periods is clear, it is probable that children read little out of school, for the simple reason that, outside learned establishments, there was nothing to read. The fables and anecdotes of which they acquired a knowledge must usually, from the same cause, have been communicated to them either orally or by the chances of tuition. Apart from purposes of education, children had no books of their own before the seventeenth century, and very few then.

Educational books deserve brief mention. They are only literature by accident, but they are, sometimes, not wholly scholastic. Aelfric's *Colloquy* and the numerous successors to it have this feature of artistic composition in them, that they are not merely tabular; the dialogue form could be given a certain fictitious vivacity. It long survived the renascence.[1] Erasmus endued it with fresh popularity and authority, and it persisted until the eighteenth century. *Sententiae Pueriles*, a work of this kind which, in form, goes back to Aelfric, appears in various editions over a long period, the last being 1728. *Pueriles Confabulationculae*—there were two works of the same name, one by Cordier, the other by Evaldus Gallus—appears in 1693—with a preface dated 1548.

Such works as these—the powder of learning with the jam of amusement thinly spread—stand midway between the only

[1] Of one curious instance of longevity no preliminary stages seem to exist. In 1745–6, John Newbery published *The Circle of the Sciences*, a dialogue manual in seven volumes. It went into several editions, and other publishers reissued it between 1780 and 1800. The seven volumes comprise seven subjects almost identical with those of the *trivium* and *quadrivium* of scholasticism. Newbery said that he himself compiled it at great pains; but the choice of subjects implies some pedigree for his selection. No ancestors for the little books, however, have been discovered. The facts are an example of the way in which children's books at once preserve and mutilate very ancient material.

two other kinds of written or printed books for children in the Norman, Plantagenet and Tudor centuries. The pure lesson-book—powder and no jam—was, of course, a necessity. It is not of great interest or value here to pursue its history in detail, and its position has already been discussed.[1] Alphabets were printed in numbers from the sixteenth century onwards; the stationers' records give many entries. In the same century, the hornbook appeared—an alphabet, a short syllabary, and, usually, the Lord's prayer, printed on a little sheet of paper, nailed on a piece of board of the shape of a spade's head and covered with transparent horn. It conferred two words on the language—"criss-cross-row" and "ampersand." This invention was succeeded, late in the eighteenth century, by the battledore, a folded card containing, as well as the literary elements, a few wood-block illustrations; battledores were still being manufactured in 1840, so sluggish and yet so long is the stream of elementary instruction. Alphabetical rimes began to appear under Elizabeth, though familiar verses or jingles like "A was an Apple-pie" did not get into print (they may have been in oral existence) till at least a century later.

Another early species (of the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries) was neither a schoolbook nor a book of mere recreation: the succession of "books of courtesy," which became current soon after the invention of printing. For historical purposes, they have been admirably grouped (and as admirably edited) in two publications of the Early English Text Society, *The Babees' Boke*,[2] and *Queene Elizabethe's Achademy*. They provide the antithesis to monkish or literary education. The pamphlets in them were written to fit the young gentleman for this world, not for the next; and for the active life of this world rather than for the contemplative. They describe manners, not culture: their ideal is anticipated in Chaucer's squire. They were not for the poor of Langland:

> Now may each cobbler send his son to school,
> And every beggar's brat learn from his book,
> Turn to a writer and get into a lord's house.

[1] See Vol. III, Chap. XIX; Vol. VII, Chaps. XIII and XIV; Vol. IX, Chap. XV; and the corresponding bibliographies.

[2] See Vol. III, p. 388.

To that end, you must enter a monastic or cathedral school: there, you could get learning. Here, in these treatises, you got, instead, virtue and knowledge of the world. Incidentally, it may be noted, readers were warned against adult works: "Keep them from reading of feigned fables, vain fantasies, and wanton stories, and songs of love, which bring much mischief to youth."[1] The alternative was "good Godly books." But there was not any special provision of such works.

These educational and semi-educational books have been mentioned because, in early periods, they possessed the importance conferred by isolation. The effect of that isolation is seen when, in more authentic beginnings of children's literature, "good Godly books" first emerge. The new feature is a natural by-product of the national life. The end of religious persecution in its more virulent forms, the Elizabethan diffusion of knowledge and enthusiasm, the Jacobean growth of style, the puritan fierce flame of morality, the vast increase in the activity of the press—all helped to make the child-mind, not, perhaps, a centre of intensive cultivation, but, at least, not a fallow field. But, since all previous efforts (except the decayed and, so to speak, illegally acquired romances, which will be dealt with when chapbooks are considered) had been, more or less, more rather than less, didactic, the new product was, also, didactic. Its novelty lay in the fact that it was not a text-book. It was purely moral, not forensic nor technical. It was a grim affair, with few literary merits. Hell-fire was its chief theme; anything might turn out to be a faggot for the conflagration of wicked little souls. More than a century later, Mrs. Sherwood was influenced by the same obsession. The kingdom of heaven might be of children; but children were always dreadfully in jeopardy of another fate.

The best vision of these grisly performances is to be seen in one of them. Thomas White, minister of the gospel, in *A Little Book for Little Children* (1720)—a volume of brief moral addresses—recommends his audience to read

> no Ballads or foolish Books, but the Bible, and the *Plain-mans path way to Heaven*, a very plain holy book for you; get the *Practice of Piety;* Mr. Baxter's *Call to the Unconverted;* Allen's *Allarum to the*

[1] Rhodes's *Boke of Nurture* (1577); printed before 1554.

Unconverted; read the Histories of the Martyrs that dyed for Christ; and in the *Book of Martyrs*. . . . Read also often Treatises of Death, and Hell, and Judgement, and of the Love and Passion of Christ.

Some perfectly horrible stories of martyrdom ensue. Foxe's *Book of Martyrs*, as it is colloquially called, was and long continued to be, perhaps still is in some strata of society, a great incentive to piety and gateway to religious adventure; and it must be admitted that many children like such horrors, and do not suffer any harm from them. Still, White's love of tortured saints (young ones, for choice) and his readiness to describe their torments in detail pass the limits of innocuous ferocity.

The religious works catalogued by White as suited to the young were adult or semi-adult in purpose. More definitely juvenile was the anonymous *Young Man's Calling . . . a Serious and Compassionate Address to all Young Persons to remember their Creator in the days of their Youth* (1685). The author of a great part of it was, probably, Samuel Crossman, whose initials are at the end of the preface. "Richard Burton" (*i.e.*, Nathaniel Crouch) wrote the residue. An eighth edition appeared in 1725, so the book was clearly in demand. Crossman outdoes White in his examples of martyrdom; his homilies, also, are longer, but not at all more valuable or enduring. Like White, he was vigorously protestant. Some *Divine Poems*—passably good hymns—were included in the final pages. Among the advertisements at the end is one of *Winter Evening Entertainments.*[1] This, perhaps—one work alone excepted—is the nearest approach, before the eighteenth century, to a child's book in the modern sense.

> Here's Milk for Children, Wisdom for Young Men,
> To teach them that they turn not Babes again,

says a prefatory poem. The "wisdom" was, presumably, the ten coarse stories of the jest-book type ("ten pleasant and delightful relations") which form the first part; the "milk," no

[1] No copy earlier than 1737 ("Sixth Edition") is available to the writer. But the description in the advertisement of 1685 exactly coincides with the contents of the 1737 edition, in which the author is given as Richard Burton—Nathaniel Crouch. Crouch died, probably, before 1725. *Winter Evenings*, and variants upon it, is a perpetually recurrent title among children's books.

doubt, the fifty riddles of the second part, each of which is adorned with an explanation, an observation and a moral, to say nothing of duplicated woodcuts. A somewhat similar work was *The Father's Blessing Penn'd for the Instruction of his Children* (by W. J., M.A.), the date of which may be roughly conjectured from one of the "riddles in rhyme" which (in addition to thirteen "lessons") it contains:

> Q. What rare Outlandish Fruit was that of late
> Which Heaven sent us to restore our State?
>
> A. Our Statesmen had the *Scurvy* deeply, sure
> The Princely *Orange* was a sovereign cure.

It is accompanied by a woodcut of an orange. This cut and its fellows did duty elsewhere, in another *Little Book for Little Children*, also by Thomas White (not dated; the frontispiece, however, is a portrait of queen Anne). Here, too, is a mixture of education and amusement—a cut of a hornbook, some spelling lessons, alphabetical rimes and riddles. The volume is notable for the first appearance in print of *A was an Archer*, and the lines displaying the errors of misplaced punctuation, beginning "I saw a Peacock with a fiery Tail." Practically contemporary with this was *The Child's Week's-Work*, by William Ronksley (1712). It is the best of all these early attempts to purvey "pleasure with profit duly mixt," though there is more profit than pleasure in it. Its simplicity of method and absence of dogmatic frenzy are remarkable. In four successive series of lessons, each calculated to occupy a week, it runs up to words of four syllables. A monosyllabic verse may be quoted:

> Hear you a Lark?
> Tell me what Clerk
> Can match her. He that beats
> The next Thorn-bush
> May raise a Thrush
> Would put down all our lays.

Finally, perhaps the most popular—or, at any rate, most widely read—of all these oppressive compilations was James

Janeway's *Token for Children: being an Exact Account of the Conversion, Holy and Exemplary Lives, and Joyful Deaths of several young Children* (?1720): a supreme example of morbid and gloating piety. The title conveys its scope. It was not alone; three or four works like it can be discovered; but it was the most highly coloured.

A more polished type—indeed, pietists might have said a politely immoral type—is the Chesterfield of the seventeenth century, *A Lady's Gift* (1688, published without authorisation, often reprinted). Halifax—the trimmer—could write admirable English, and, if his *Advice to a Daughter* (the sub-title) is worldly, it is, also, honest and sensible. It had other counterparts in the next century besides Chesterfield's *Letters*. *Advice to a Young Nobleman, Letters from a Tutor to his Pupils* and similar works carried out the gentlemanly ideal of making the best of this world without either despising or making too much of the next.

Works of these types were, if not common, at any rate not unique. They are not, perhaps, in the direct succession of pure children's literature: they are but the unennobled ancestors. But they deserve not to be forgotten by the historian. The more authentic pedigree follows a line of less unmixed descent—lines, rather, for the family has, at first, three branches. The older branches are among the oldest forms of literature preserved to us: the cadet branch is fathered by two eminent men.

To take the youngest first. The parent work in it has, naturally, been overshadowed by greater works in the chapter on its author in a previous volume.[1] All through the eighteenth century, a work called *Divine Emblems; or Temporal Things Spiritualized*, by John Bunyan, was recurrent in little rough editions. It was not until 1889 that this was identified as a curtailed version of a longer book—*A Book for Boys & Girls: or, Country Rhimes for Children. By J. B.* The first edition contained seventy-four "meditations"; in 1701, an editor revised it ruthlessly, and cut the number of emblems down to forty-nine. It consists of short poems—exceedingly bad poetry, but plain rugged morality—on such subjects as the frog, the hen, and other common objects, each with a rimed moral. Bunyan declares his object:

[1] Vol. VII, Chap. VII.

I do't to show them how each fingle-fangle,
On which they doting are, their souls entangle,
As with a web, a trap, a gin, or snare,
And will destroy them, have they not a care.

His "morals" are as recondite and laborious as those of *Gesta Romanorum*. The importance of the book lies in its authorship, its intention and its method. It reveals not a little of the inspired tinker's mind. It shows a real desire to provide something special for children, not merely the old clothes of adult literature cut down. And it is a deliberate use of a responsible artistic form and of material not traditional but original.

By Bunyan stands a lesser man but a more skilled artificer—Isaac Watts. His *Divine Songs* have already been treated.[1] They are quoted every day, and usually misquoted. Some of them—three or four, at most, it may be; but that is an honourable percentage—will resound through nurseries for generations yet to come: the rest are dead, slain by time. For their epoch, they were not far from perfection, as publishers saw. They were reprinted endlessly for far more than a century. Mrs. Trimmer, in 1789, gave them renewed vogue by a *Comment* setting forth their virtues and elaborating their doctrinal teaching. Another writer adapted their theology to unitarian beliefs. They were at once carried off into the literary Alsatia of the chapbook. A kind of imitation appeared in 1751, *Puerilia*, by John Marchant, "Songs for Little Misses, Songs for Little Masters, Songs on Divine, Moral and other Subjects." They had a certain spirit, but did not strike the imagination of the day: only two editions were issued.

It was the chapbook, that last poor refuge of Middle Age enchantments, which provided children with what they wanted in the reign of queen Anne and the first three Georges. They had to learn the alphabet, they had to read the guides to goodness, the Ollendorfs of petty culture, the anecdotal or poetic allurements to superior virtue, which, as a matter of fact, young persons are often quite ready enough to acquire without force. But they were not less ready to enjoy other fare. A famous passage in *The Tatler* (No. 95), in which Mr. Bicker-

[1] Vol. IX, p. 199.

staff describes his little godson as absorbed in the stories of Bevis and Don Belianis and other great and famous heroes, sums up the charm of forbidden romance with the nicest perfection. The chapbook was what the poor and the young could read familiarly. In these little penny, twopenny and sixpenny productions—octavo in form, with sixteen pages, at first, but, after 1726, usually duodecimo, with twenty-four pages—the last fragments of the old romances were enshrined. They existed before 1700—certainly early in the eighteenth century, at least; but few early copies have survived, and it was not until the Georgian era that they were profusely manufactured.

Who wrote these versions is not known. They may have been abbreviations of the manuscript texts of the thirteenth or fourteenth centuries; but the discrepancies are so marked that, more probably, they were oral versions committed to print independently in some obscure way. They were issued all over the kingdom, the centres with the greatest output being, apparently, London (Aldermary churchyard in particular), Dublin, York, Glasgow, Newcastle, Stirling and Banbury. The books were not, in the first instance, meant for children, though, in the latter half of the eighteenth century, whole series expressly juvenile appeared (the Banbury set was the best known and, perhaps, best produced); but children possessed themselves of them. Wood-blocks were used almost haphazard: Guy slaying a boar in one booklet was George slaying a dragon in another. The indigenous heroes of Britain—Tom Thumb, certain Jacks, Hickathrift, Friar Bacon—were here preserved in a vernacular epic cycle, with such additions as fashion, fact, or sheer literary piracy from time to time provided. In some volumes, indecency was the sole point; others were merely coarse in a natural way; in all, the English was vile. After 1800, they fell into a decline: better production ousted them from favour: "the blocks and types were getting worn out. . . . Catnach buried them in a dishonoured grave."[1]

The chief addition to the common stock of chapbook material made in the eighteenth century were the adventures of Robinson Crusoe and Gulliver, Watts's poems, the adventures of Philip Quarll (a pseudo-Crusoe), anecdotes decked out

[1] Ashton, J., *Chapbooks of the Eighteenth Century* (1882).

with names invented by John Newbery for his own much better productions, collections of nursery rimes (after about 1760) and various versions of Perrault's fairy tales; towards the end of the century, eastern and Arabian tales were added.

It was the chapbook, also, which preserved to us our scant native fairy lore. Andrew Lang once said that England had but one authentic fairy-hero—Jack the slayer of Blunderbore and other giants. But, wherever the stories originated in the long history of man's mind, many were current, and England once was "al fulfild of fayerye." Popular taste ascribed the decay of Titania's kingdom to monks: where monks were, "farewell, rewards and fairies." But the stories remained; and a curious allusion in bishop Corbet's rough but charming seventeenth century poem shows that they were respected and treasured:

> To William Churne of Staffordshire,
> Give laud and praises due,
> Who every meal can mend your cheer
> With tales both old and true:
> To William all give audience,
> And pray ye for his noddle:
> For all the fairies' evidence
> Were lost if it were addle.

William Churne, whoever he was, perished, and his tales with him; and the sad friends of fairy truth must go up and down with careful search for such relics as they may find in the byways of folklore. It was from France that the revival of magic came. Fairy tales reached the French court about 1676, and set a fashion of simplicity, sometimes real, more often affected. In 1696, Charles Perrault began to publish (in Moetjen's *Recueil de pièces curieuses et nouvelles*) the famous stories alleged to be written by his little boy; they came out in a separate volume in 1697, as *Histoires ou Contes du Tems Passé, avec de Moralités;* the frontispiece contained the immortal legend, *Contes de ma mère l'Oie.*

This is not the place to go into the anthropology of fairy tales in general, or of these fairy tales in particular. It is quite probable that Perrault's son did actually tell the tales himself to his father, much as he heard them from his nurse. Their

delightful simplicity made them instantly popular. An English translation appeared, apparently, in 1729,[1] by Robert Samber. The stories passed speedily into chapbooks, as did those of Madame d'Aulnoy about the same time. It should be added that they were provided with "morals": *Red Riding Hood* proved that

> Wolves for sure there are
> Of every sort, and every character;

while *Bluebeard* exemplified "curiosity, thou mortal bane."

So, the fairy tale attained print, and tradition became literature. About the same period, the other strain of traditional lore, also, was glorified into printed matter. Nursery rimes have all manner of origins, and may be detected in allusions long before they appear whole and unadorned. But, there was, apparently, no *Corpus Poetarum Infantilium* till, in 1744, Cooper published *Tommy Thumb's Pretty Song Book*, in two volumes. Here, for the first time, some unknown hand established a classic. Here was the nucleus upon which, in all probability, all later collections—and there was not much to be added to it—were founded. The rimes, in themselves, do not call for comment. Except for a few which would offend modern taste, they are the same—verbally, for all practical purposes—as nurses use to-day.

No earlier collection, if one was made, survives; and it is sixteen years before another is recorded—*The Top Book of All;*[2] the date, 1760, is determined by a little wood-block at the end. This is not entirely a nursery rime book; it contains nine familiar rimes, Watts's *Sluggard*, some riddles and three well-known short tales. To the same date—but not with any certainty—is ascribed the famous *Gammer Gurton's Garland*, published at Stockport: it is described on the title-page as "a new edition, with additions." In or about the same year—here, too, there is not any certainty, for not one copy of the first edition is known—was born the chief rival of the alleged Gurton as a

[1] Advertised in *The Monthly Chronicle*, March 1729 (Andrew Lang, on the authority of Austin Dobson, in *Perrault's Popular Tales, with Introduction, etc.*, 1888). The earliest surviving copy is the sixth edition, 1764, giving both French and English. Mrs. Trimmer, born in 1741, was familiar with the tales in her childhood.

[2] The instructive full title is given in the bibliography of this chapter.

rimer, mother Goose.[1] Newbery's surviving copyrights in 1780 included *Mother Goose's Melody*. There is reason to believe the book had been in existence for some time before, though there is no evidence whatever for a statement sometimes made that the publisher Fleet first issued it in 1719.

Such is the archaeology of children's books, before the first great *diaskeuast* arrived. There were lesson books of several kinds, there were moral treatises in prose and verse, there was a mass of oral tradition just creeping into type, there were decayed adult works. But, all was without form and void. The appearance of the books that were produced was mean. The trade in them was spasmodic and unorganised. No one took them seriously or thought of them as a necessary branch of the commerce in printed matter. It was a typical eighteenth century business man, John Newbery, farmer's son, accountant, merchant's assistant, patent-medicine dealer, printer and publisher, who saw the possibilities and the openings. He began to publish books at Reading in 1740, but removed to London in 1744 (first to Devereux court and then to the address long associated with children's books, St. Paul's churchyard). The first year in the metropolis saw his first child's book—*The Little Pretty Pocket Book*. It was a neat, well-printed volume, with very fair woodcuts. It contains a dedication "to the Parents, Guardians and Nurses in Great Britain and Ireland," and incitements to games, with moral applications dragged in. It was designed to "make *Tommy* a good Boy and *Polly* a good Girl." No doubt it did so; and the process must have been far from disagreeable. It was followed the next year by three volumes of *The Circle of the Sciences. The Lilliputian Magazine* (1751–2), *The Governess or Little Female Academy* (by Sarah Fielding, the novelist's sister), *The Twelfth Day Gift, Mother Goose's Melody*, her *Tales* and, most celebrated of all, *Goody Two Shoes*,[2] were among his early publications.

[1] The name is, of course, a translation of *Mère de l'Oie*, who presided over Perrault's fairy tales. But it is much older. Gammer Gurton and Tom Thumb have a similar oral antiquity.

[2] There is much evidence, amounting almost to certainty, that Goldsmith wrote *Goody Two Shoes*, or, at least, had a hand in it. See Welsh's, C., introduction to his facsimile reprint of the earliest extant edition (1881). It is also said that Goldsmith edited *Mother Goose's Melody*. The evidence is hardly strong enough to make this more than a pleasant and credible hypothesis.

The characteristics of Newbery's books were very marked. They were strongly and yet attractively produced, with good print and paper. They contained a great variety of matter, and were thoroughly alive in every way. There is a real personality behind them, even though they are now as utterly obsolete as their contemporary, the dodo (which is illustrated in a Newbery natural history of 1775). The English is plain and respectable; the coarseness of earlier, and even some coeval and later, productions is almost entirely absent. There is a strong vein of honest vigour running through them—*The Twelfth Day Gift* has a frontispiece labelled "Trade and Plumb Cake for ever, Huzza!"—and the commercial success of the industrious apprentice is frequently insisted upon. The author—it is not unlikely that Newbery himself is the single individual behind such feigned benignities as Mrs. Lovechild, Tommy Trip and Giles Gingerbread—is really trying to please children as well as to improve them. "He called himself their friend, but he was the friend of all mankind": Goldsmith spoke from experience.

John Newbery died in 1767, having definitely created a new branch of literature. His business split into two—one under Francis Newbery (a nephew) and the other under a second Francis Newbery (a son) and Thomas Carnan (a stepson). The firms were not amicable rivals, and Carnan and Francis the younger also quarrelled and separated, apparently in 1782. Ultimately, "all the old publications of Newbery passed into the hands of Elizabeth [the nephew Francis's widow] and to Harris and his successors."[1] The final legatees of this ancient firm, Messrs Griffith and Farran, survived into the twentieth century, still publishing children's books.

The trade side of these works is an important one, and it may be convenient to deal with it at this point. The publisher—in the eighteenth century still more than half retailer as well as producer—had, for obvious reasons, greater power over juvenile books than over serious adult works. Indeed, he was often the author himself; the later Newbery's most formidable rivals, Darton and Harvey, were even artists and engravers (very bad ones) as well. The publisher determined that momentous detail, the format of the volume; and it

[1] Welsh, C., *A Bookseller of the Last Century* (1885).

might, with some reason, be contended that his taste in this direction, from 1750 to 1760 and from 1800 to 1810, has not been equalled since. Certainly, the gilt and brightly coloured covers made of Dutch paper—copies so bound are now rare, and the paper is no longer made—the entire decency and fitness, as of an Adam house, in margin, type and spacing, the enduring ink and clean impressions of the best specimens, show a standard of production at least as well suited to a domestic interior of Georgian England as more ambitious binding and typography to more lavish periods. The publisher, too, decided on the quantity and quality of the illustrations: Bewick, Stothard and some of the producers of colour-work early in the nineteenth century reached a very high level of quality, and the quantity was seldom stinted. He decided, also, as is the custom to-day, the size of an edition; and the numbers, where they can be discovered, are surprisingly large. One firm, at least, usually printed 2000 for a first edition, and such works as Roscoe's *Butterfly's Ball* had an immediate circulation literally as great as that of a really successful novel of to-day. Moreover, the sales were steady and long-lived. Berquin's *Ami des Enfans* ran to 20,000 copies in ten years. A dozen of Priscilla Wakefield's books went into not less than sixty editions (apart from piracies) in twenty years. Mrs. Trimmer's *Robins* sold to the extent of two editions every three years for a whole generation at least. The prices were low, as expressed in our values; from sixpence to three shillings and sixpence, with one and sixpence as a very general average, for volumes with copper-plates; wood-block editions (which tended to disappear after about 1790, except in chapbooks) were even cheaper, and coloured plates did not cause any great increase, mainly, no doubt, because the colouring was done by hand, by regiments of children, who dabbed on each one colour in one place.[1] The colours have a gay grace not always achieved by more perfect mechanical means. Authors were not highly paid; but their relations with publishers seem to have been intimate and pleasant, on the whole: the publisher was a tradesman, but a man of some dignity as well. After Newbery, many firms

[1] This method was still being used by the present writer's grandfather between 1850 and 1860, though, at the same time, Baxter was doing oil-process prints for him.

specialised in children's books. The value of "juvenile" copyrights was often considerable; some works were even worthy of being turned into "trade" books—issued, that is, by syndicates of publishers. The story of copyright sales is very suggestive.[1] Piracy abounded.

These business details largely explain the activity that ensued upon Newbery's death. He and the next generation of his family made it perfectly clear that there was a chance of supplying children's books in an adequate format. Commerce was alive to opportunities, and the creation of a good supply was inevitable and immediate. And, as for the demand, the epoch which produced the bluestocking was not likely to omit from its programme of orderly omniscience the very foundations of taste and learning. The age of the revolution was an age of education, which was viewed, on the one hand, as a prophylactic against, and, on the other, as the most active stimulant of, a new era. But, in some circles, it was still thought unworthy to write for children. Nearly every author from 1780 onwards apologises for his or her work in a preface. One of the best and most popular writers, S.S., never revealed that her name was Dorothy Kilner, even though she lived into a less dignified age. Her *Adventures of a Pincushion*, *Memoirs of a Peg-top* and *Jemima Placid* (to name no other works) were all published either anonymously or under a pseudonym; many pirates did not even print the pseudonym. They are very unaffected little tales: ordinary and natural and delightful. Her sister, as M. P., wrote no less popular books. Lady Fenn, author of *Cobwebs to Catch Flies*, was another secret purveyor to the nursery: she wrote as Mrs. Lovechild and Mrs. Teachwell.

To pursue the history of every individual who followed in the way which Newbery had opened would be endless. Publishers were eager to publish, the public—full of generous projects and prolific of new philanthropic societies—not less eager to buy. The period which ended in 1825 may best be described as one of strife between two principles. The "moral tale," in those years, reached its highest development and perished, while the enemy it attacked—the fairy tale, the element of fantasy and fun—emerged triumphant.

[1] See Shaylor, J., *The Fascination of Books* (1912), for many examples of sale catalogues and prices.

Whatever the drawbacks of the moral tale, it had one conspicuous merit, never so fully displayed at other times in the history of children's books. All its exponents wrote admirable English and could tell a story. They were the unadvertised lower ranks of the bluestockings (Hannah More herself wrote treatises and *Sacred Dramas* for children, and Mrs. Chapone's *Letters* were a classic of orthodox educational opinion). They respected themselves, their language and their subject, and, at the same time, though Miss Pinkerton indubitably existed in many quarters, they seldom (except in prefaces) mistook grandiloquence for ease of style. They fall, naturally, into groups on the lines of current thought: religious beliefs and educational theories being the influential factors.

The established church takes an important, though, from a literary standpoint, not the foremost, place. Its protagonist in the nursery was the redoubtable Sarah Trimmer, to whom Calverley applied the only possible adjective—"good Mrs. Trimmer." Mrs. Trimmer wrote only one really notable child's book, apart from tracts and educational works; but that book, first published in 1786, is still being printed, published and read. Probably, it would not be recognised by its original title: *Fabulous Histories: Designed for the Instruction of Children, respecting their Treatment of Animals.* Here are to be met those excellent little robins—*The History of the Robins* was the later title—Pecksy, Flapsy, Robin and Dick; here, too, the learned pig is gravely discussed. Even though the story is unflinchingly didactic, it has everywhere naturalness and charm. Its earnestness is so simple, and the author's own interest in the narrative so clear, that age has not destroyed its individuality. It contains, incidentally, a footnote which lights up, as by a flash, the whole conception of moral tales. A mocking-bird is introduced into an English scene, and the author, always careful of truth, warns the reader that "the mock-bird is properly a native of America, but is introduced here for the sake of the moral." Volumes could not say more.

The Robins is Mrs. Trimmer's main claim upon the memory of children; but, in writing about children, rather than directly for them, she wielded, at the time, even more power. As a staunch churchwoman, she was desperately afraid of Jacobinical tendencies; she believed a vast French conspiracy existed to

destroy Christianity in England, and she kept a very wary eye upon both books and education. Her zeal went into details too minute for mention here. Its most relevant excursion was a very surprising adventure into fairyland. In *The Guardian of Education* (a polemical magazine she conducted from 1802 to 1804), she mentioned children's books current half a century before, among them some of Perrault's tales. A correspondent at once complained and asked for greater severity of judgment because *Cinderella* was

> perhaps one of the most exceptionable books that was ever written for children . . . It paints the worst passions that can enter into the human heart, and of which little children should, if possible, be totally ignorant; such as envy, jealousy, a dislike to mothers-in-law (*sic*) and half-sisters, vanity, a love of dress, etc., etc.

Mrs. Trimmer, who, by her own confession, had been brought up on Perrault, agreed that this lady was right. She was supported, a little later, by a tremendous manifesto of the Society for the Suppression of Vice, expressly denouncing such stories. It is difficult, indeed, to find any toleration of fairyland in these stern moralists.

The other wing of church activity was represented by Mrs. Sherwood, and she, too, bore witness against fairies. In 1820, she edited Sarah Fielding's *Governess*. This, probably, is the fiercest example of editorial recension in the whole of literature; it far surpasses Bentley's revision of Milton. The changes are purely arbitrary; the book was virtually rewritten. Mrs. Teachum's "Little Female Academy" was moved from the north to the south of England, and every single story told in the course of the narrative was changed. In the original, there had been two fairy tales: these were cut out because such stories "can scarcely ever be rendered profitable . . . You are, I know, strongly impressed with the doctrine of the depravity of human nature," and it would be quite impossible to introduce that doctrine as a "motive of action in such tales."

Mrs. Sherwood, however, is better known for her original work. There can be few persons born before about 1870 who were not brought up on *The Fairchild Family* (written in India in 1813, but not published till 1818: other parts were added to 1847). Like *The Robins*, it is still published—usually with

much pietistic matter left out—and read. Of all the moral fabulists, except Maria Edgeworth, Mrs. Sherwood was the best story-teller. Her English is of an extraordinary simplicity and lucidity, and, though she accumulates an immense wealth of detail in her scenes, they are invariably as clear-cut and finely moulded as a good silhouette. The tremendous visit to the gallows in *The Fairchild Family* is a masterpiece of horror: it has won praise from the most unsympathetic critics. And who, reading that still vivid book, has not hungered to eat the meals generously and often described in it? No incidents in books for children, except, perhaps, a few in Grimm, and in one or two isolated stories, cleave to, and inhere in, the brain through life as do Mrs. Sherwood's.

She wrote other very popular books. *Little Henry and his Bearer* (1815) is a classic of missionary work; it echoed and reinforced the efforts made by its author in India with the help of Henry Martyn. It was translated into many tongues, including Chinese. *Susan Grey* (1802) was written for the elder girls in a Sunday-school. *Henry Milner* (1822–7) was the story of a model boy and a tutor whose complacent virtues make even the egregious Mr. Barlow, of *Sandford and Merton*, seem unenlightened. *The Infant's Progress from the Valley of Destruction to Everlasting Glory* was one of the numerous adaptations of Bunyan to particular beliefs and circumstances. Mrs. Sherwood, in spite of a prodigiously active life of benevolence and domesticity, wrote almost to her dying day; and, with the little stories "written up" to stock illustrations for various publishers, she has well over three hundred books to her credit. Practically, all of them of any importance introduce her strongly marked religious views.

Enthusiasts are the best mirror of tendencies; and Mrs. Trimmer and Mrs. Sherwood were both enthusiasts. The moral tendency is much less explicit in other writers. Least of all is it intrusive in the best of them; the best, perhaps, of all writers for children—Maria Edgeworth[1]—as her novels prove, was, also, an inspired story-teller. In sheer skill of construction alone, her *Parent's Assistant* (1796; enlarged in later editions), *Moral Tales* (1801), *Harry and Lucy* and *Frank* are masterpieces of the inevitable. The moral, it is true, is always

[1] See *ante*, Chap. XIII.

perfectly clear, but it is a sympathetic moral—it is a part of universal justice and human nature. The grace and tender humour of these little tales has never been surpassed; Scott's often quoted eulogy of *Simple Susan*—"when the boy brings back the lamb to the little girl, there is nothing for it but to put down the book and cry"—is hardly a hyperbole.

The tales were written chiefly to illustrate and work out Maria Edgeworth's father's system of education, which, in turn, was an offshoot of Rousseau's doctrine. So, also, was *Sandford and Merton*,[1] in which the eccentric personality of Thomas Day found a restrained expression. It is a work now in manner and form quite obsolete, and its lack of humour, often parodied, will probably prevent its ever being seriously considered again by appraisers of children's books. But, if the character of Mr. Barlow can be got over, the story—or its string of stories—is full of interest. It has a good deal of social criticism implicit in many of its details. And the episode in which Harry Sandford is called a blackguard, and fights, touches an unusual stratum of human nature for the moral tale. Day also wrote *The History of Little Jack* (1790).

French influence—as Mrs. Trimmer cried in alarmed accents—was rife in the nursery. As early as 1740, a *Spectacle de la Nature* had been translated successfully. Arnaud Berquin, "surnommé à juste titre l'Ami des Enfants," published the work from which his "just title" comes—*L'Ami des Enfans*—in 1782 (translated in 1783). It was successful alike in France, in French in England and in English. He wrote, also, *Le Petit Grandisson*, a sentimental tale which was translated into English, and himself (by a pleasant irony) turned Mrs. Trimmer's *Familiar Introduction to the Knowledge of Nature* into French. The very popular *Looking Glass for the Mind* was a compilation from his works. By him stands another Rousseauist, Mme. de Genlis; her treatise on education, *Adèle et Théodore* (1782), was translated (1783) and her *Tales of the Castle* (1784) were very popular in an English version (1785). Miss Edgeworth, Barbauld and Aikin, and others were given a French dress, and many of the quaker tales of Mary Elliott (afterwards Mrs. Belson) were produced in both tongues simultaneously. There

[1] Vol. I, 1783; vol. II, 1786; vol. III, 1789; translated into French—probably by Berquin—in *an VI de la République*, 1798.

was clearly, in spite of the revolution, much commerce of juvenile ideals.

Quakers were very active during this period, though most of their works have stood the test of time very ill. Mary Elliott produced a number of short tales (*Aunt Mary's Tales*, *Tales for Boys*, *The Rambles of a Butterfly* and others) between 1810 and 1820, all of which sold largely. Priscilla Wakefield has already been mentioned: she wrote some sixteen works between 1791 and 1810, the best-known being *Mental Improvement*, *Juvenile Anecdotes*, *Leisure Hours*, *An Introduction to Botany* and *Instinct Displayed*. She was a remarkable woman, largely responsible for the character of her grandson, Edward Gibbon Wakefield. She has fallen into oblivion; yet, admirers from America made special pilgrimages to see her in her old age. Lancaster "backed" her as against Mrs. Trimmer. Minor fabulists include Mary Mister (*The Adventures of a Doll*, 1816), Miss Sandham, Maria Crabbe, Esther Hewlett, I. Day, Arabella Argus (*The Juvenile Spectator* and *Adventures of a Donkey*), and many others.

To another branch of nonconformity we owe poems that have become proverbial. It has been alleged that Ann Taylor's *My Mother* is the most often parodied poem ever written; but *Twinkle, Twinkle, Little Star* must run it very close; while the splendidly martial beat of

> The dog will come when it is called,
> The cat will walk away,

not merely stirs recollections of infancy in numerous breasts, but offers some shrewd facts about natural history. It is by Adelaide O'Keeffe (daughter of the minor dramatist of that name), who collaborated with Anne and Jane Taylor in *Original Poems* (1804–5); her name was dropped in later editions for unknown reasons. She wrote other and inferior volumes independently. The joint collection is the first instance of the moral tale in verse. It is modelled, avowedly, on Isaac Watts, but with the addition of dramatic interest. It contains awful warnings, poems of crime and punishment, in which a fault is proved to be a fault by some terrible lesson: a boy who fishes is caught on a meat-hook, a girl plays with matches and is burnt to death, and so on. The poems, in their day, were a new idea, well carried out and enormously successful. *Hymns for Infant*

Minds (1808) and *Rhymes for the Nursery* (1806) are less minatory; they have a gentle piety which can never be valueless, especially when conveyed with aptness of language and metrical skill. The Taylors' poems simply say themselves; the metre is as sure and inevitable as the moral.

The gifted family of Taylor was, also, responsible for a good many other works. The father—a man of great originality and character—was an engraver and a writer. Mrs. Taylor wrote didactic works; Jefferys wrote; Isaac wrote; Anne and Jane wrote, apart from their poems; their descendants wrote. "It was almost impossible to be a Taylor and not write."

Imitations of such a success were at once forthcoming; they have not ceased to this day. The best are Miss Turner's. Her *Cautionary Stories* are contained in the volumes prettily named *The Daisy* (1807) and *The Cowslip*.

Miss Turner alone of the Taylors' rivals has a facility equal to theirs; her metrical skill is unfailing; her language may be the merest prose, but it goes with an infectious swing. Charles and Mary Lamb, in *Poetry for Children* (1808), essayed the same kind of performance, not without success; but they hardly succeeded in going beyond prettiness and gentleness. The Taylors and Miss Turner were more resolute moralists and less unfaltering craftsmen.

One other poet may be mentioned here. William Blake's *Songs of Innocence* (1789) were produced by their creator in so peculiar a way that they had not any part in the real history of children's books. It required a later generation to rescue them, as, in other ways, Herrick and Traherne were rescued, from an accidental obscurity.

Apart from propagandists and retributory moralists, much good work of a plain kind appeared in various ways. The most eminent of these less pronounced philanthropists were Dr. Aikin and his sister Mrs. Barbauld, whose *Evenings at Home* is a companionable and homely miscellany. *Hymns in Prose* is a series of nature-studies in really fine prose; extracts taken out of their context might easily to-day be mistaken for simple passages from Maeterlinck. *Easy Lessons* are what the title claims. Mrs. Hofland—*The Son of a Genius* (1816), *The Clergyman's Widow* (1812) and *Theodore* were among her best-known books—was more stagey and pompous, without the clearness

of equally determined but less heavy moralists. Maria Hack, another quaker, wrote very successful *Fireside Stories* (1825), a good little moral tale, *Harry Beaufoy* (1821) and several pleasant semi-educational works. Agnes Strickland's early work—*The Moss House* (1822), for instance—was in the form of instructive fiction. Mrs. Pilkington, who took to writing because of her straitened circumstances, concocted some *Biography for Boys* (1808) and *for Girls* (1809), an abridged translation of Marmontel's *Moral Tales* and, among other works, the portentously named *Marvellous Adventures: or, the Vicissitudes of a Cat* (1802).

The most illustrious author who ever wrote for children (and yet Goldsmith and Dickens and Thackeray might dispute the title, though they did not write so much) has been reserved till the end of the moralists. Charles and Mary Lamb's *Mrs. Leicester's School* (1807) was certainly a moral tale; rather a dull one in itself, but interesting because of its author and its style. Equally certainly *Prince Dorus* (1811) and *The King and Queen of Hearts* (1805) were not moral tales; nor were they, for that matter, either a commercial success or a literary production in any way worthy of Lamb. They belong to the reaction against morality, and would not attract much attention but for the names of Lamb and Godwin. The *Poems* have already been mentioned. *Tales from Shakespeare* (mainly Mary's)—written for Godwin's neat little *Juvenile Library*—have a curious charm: it would be possible to read them in ignorance and be sure that they were the work of a competent writer. On the other hand, for their particular purpose, they have strong defects. The language is very long-winded for children, and the train of thought too often adult; while they frequently give a very incomplete version of the plays.[1]

But though, in the eyes of reviewers and the chroniclers of the serious, the moral tale occupied the larger part of the nursery, the "objectionable" fairy tale and its offshoots still persisted. Indeed, like the fabled camomile, the harder you trod it, the faster it grew. In the chapbooks, it and non-moral rimes—about Jack and Jill and the Babes in the Wood and their peers—had an inglorious popularity. But, in the editions with coloured illustrations which poured from the press

[1] Lee, Sidney, preface to *The Shakespeare Story Book*, by Mary Macleod (1902).

between 1800 and about 1830, it endued fine and honourable raiment. The best extant collection of these works contains about 400 volumes, which it is obviously impossible to examine in detail. *Ex pede Herculem.* They have a strong family likeness, for the excellent reason that they were produced imitatively to suit a fashion. That fashion was set, or, at any rate, rendered dominant, by the best of all these picture books —William Roscoe's *Butterfly's Ball* (1806–7), written for his little son Robert. There is not any moral here; the book is nothing but fancifulness and graceful frivolity. There were hosts of imitations, the best and the best-known being Mrs. Dorset's *Peacock at Home* and *Lion's Masquerade.* They nearly all appeared in the same year, 1807, which reveals the imitative vigilance of the publishing trade. Of *The Butterfly's Ball* and *The Peacock at Home,* 40,000 copies were sold that year.

Akin in pictorial appeal, but of more pedestrian execution, were many facetious jingles and storybooks, for the most part derivatives of the nursery rime. *The Life and History of A Apple Pie, The Dame and her Donkey's Five* (1823), *The Gaping Wide-mouthed Waddling Frog* (1823; a version of an ancient cumulative rime that appears in *The Top Book of All,* in 1760) were among the most noteworthy. *Dame Wiggins of Lee* (1823), of this numerous fellowship, attracted the attention and eulogy of Ruskin. *The History of the Sixteen Wonderful Old Women* (1821) contains the first instance of the metrical form commonly called the limerick, and usually ascribed to Edward Lear; it is here used, with skill and finish, for some preposterous adventures.

The importance of these works lies not in their individual merits but in their collective mass. Public opinion was changing. The "renascence of wonder" had spread to the nursery, and a new age was at hand. It is hardly possible to treat of later books within the limits of this work; their numbers and variety defy compression. The reign of Victoria, almost from its inception, saw children's books much as they are now, in their *morale* and ideals. Fresh ideas came, and new methods of production changed the outward appearance of the nursery library. But, in essentials, it was full-grown; it was emancipated from the tyranny of dogma, and the seeds of all its developments had taken root.

The modern era can be dated almost by one book—George Cruikshank's edition of the *German Popular Stories* of the brothers Grimm (1824–6). Once again, English childhood re-entered fairyland by foreign aid. The immediate popularity of the book was evidence of the change in taste. A further step towards freedom and aesthetic attractiveness was made by Sir Henry Cole ("Felix Summerly") and the enlightened publisher, Joseph Cundall, with *The Home Treasury;* while Catherine Sinclair's delightful *Holiday House* (1839) showed that not only was amusement harmless, but naughtiness itself might be venial and even pleasant. The moral tale was killed, and the crudities of the rival "pretty gilt toys for girls and boys" were reborn and regenerated in the work of greater artists and more ambitious publishers. Morality turned itself to usefulness: the Howitts (Mary first introduced Hans Christian Andersen to English readers), "Peter Parley" (S. G. Goodrich was the most active claimant to the pseudonym) and similar writers composed their excellent books and poems from a plain, serious point of view—they furnished matter of fact, cheerfully phrased, not matter of doctrine, aggressively insisted upon. Harriet Martineau and others wrote stories which were nothing but stories, and in which the wider range of human knowledge enormously increased the narrative interest.

The logical coincided with the historical development. Modern fairy tales began to be written, and the higher kind of levity produced nonsense. Lewis Carroll's two *Alice* books (1866 and 1872) and *Sylvie and Bruno* (1889) were works of genius; but they could not have won a hearing and undying applause if the minds of the audience had not been prepared by what had gone before. The fairy tales of Andersen, Kingsley, Jean Ingelow, George MacDonald, Ruskin, Thackeray, Mark Lemon and other writers still living were not glorified folklore; but they could not have been published—perhaps not even written—but for the glory that had come to folklore after repression. Only an age ready to be childish after having learnt the hopelessness of tacking morals on to fairy tales could have welcomed Lear's *Book of Nonsense* (1846). Magazines of wide scope came with the 'sixties. Education was utterly divorced from pleasure—in books. Concurrently with the rapid increase of the adult novel, and, as the natural conse-

quence of the relief from insistence upon "instruction," stories pure and simple grew in favour and numbers—stories either of real life, like Miss Yonge's or Mrs. Ewing's, or of genuinely romantic adventure, like the tales of Ballantyne, Marryat, "Percy St. John" and many others; nor were the adult works of Marryat, Kingsley, Lytton, Stevenson and others forbidden. They culminated in the modern school of juvenile fiction, adult in form and young only in style and psychology. Henceforward, indeed, children's books demand not history, but criticism.

BIBLIOGRAPHIES

CHAPTER I

EDMUND BURKE

I. Collected Editions

A. *Works*

Works. 8 vols. 1792–1827. (Vols. IV–VIII, ed. King, W., bishop of Rochester.)
Works. Ed. by Laurence, F. and King, W. 16 vols. 1803–27.
Works. 9 vols. Boston, 1839. Revised, 12 vols. 1865–7.
Works and Correspondence. 8 vols. 1852.
Works. 6 vols. Speeches. 2 vols. (Bohn.) 1854–5.
Select Works: 1. Thoughts on the present discontents and Speeches on America. 2. Reflections on the French Revolution. 3. Four Letters on the Regicide Peace. Ed. Payne, E. J. Oxford, 1874–8.

B. *Speeches and Letters*

Speeches in the House of Commons and in Westminster Hall. 4 vols. 1816.
Epistolary correspondence of the Rt. Hon. Edmund Burke and Dr. French Laurence. 1827.
Correspondence between 1744 and 1797. Edd. Fitzwilliam, Earl, and Bourke, Sir R. 4 vols. 1844.
Letters, Speeches, and Tracts on Irish affairs. Ed. Arnold, M. 1881.
American Speeches and Letters. Ed. Law, Hugh. (Everyman's Library.) 1908.
Correspondence of Edmund Burke and William Windham. Ed. Gilson, J. P. (Roxburghe Club.) 1910.

II. Separate Works

A Vindication of Natural Society in a Letter to Lord——, by a late Noble Writer. 1756. Rptd. Oxford, 1796; London, 1858.
A Philosophical Enquiry into the origin of our ideas of the Sublime and the Beautiful. 1756.
Transl. into French, 1803; German, 1773; and Spanish, 1807.
An Account of the European settlements in America. (Burke revised and contributed to this work, which was probably written by William Burke.) 2 vols. 1757.

The Annual Register. 1759, etc. (Burke supplied or inspired the annual survey of events. Some of these surveys were printed in a separate form in 1763 as A Compleat History of the late War.)

A Short Account of a Short Administration. 1766.

Observations on a late State of the Nation. 1769.

Thoughts on the cause of the present Discontents. 1770.

Observations on Modern Gardening illustrated by descriptions. (Attributed to Burke, but really by Whately, T.) 1770.

A Speech on American taxation. 1774.

Transl. into German, 1864.

A Speech on moving his resolutions for conciliation with the Colonies. 1775.

Transl. into German, 1864.

A Letter from E. Burke . . . on the affairs of America. 1777.

Two Letters to gentlemen in Bristol on the Bills depending in Parliament relative to the trade of Ireland. 1778.

The Substance of Speeches (15 Dec., 1779) on Mr. Burke's giving notice of his intention to bring in a Bill for the retrenchment of public expences. 1779.

A Speech on presenting to the House of Commons (11 Feb., 1780) a plan for the better securing the independence of Parliament. 1780.

A Speech at the Guildhall in Bristol upon certain points relative to his parliamentary conduct. 1780.

A Letter to a Peer of Ireland [Viscount Kenmare] on the Penal Laws. 1782. Ed. Clifford, H. C. 1824.

A Speech on Mr. Fox's East India Bill. 1784.

A Representation to His Majesty moved by E. Burke and seconded by W. Windham, 14 June, 1784. 1784.

A Speech on the Nabob of Arcot's private debts, 28 Feb., 1785. 1785.

Articles of charge of high crimes and misdemeanors against Warren Hastings. 1786.

Reflections on the Revolution in France. 1790. 11th edn. 1791. Ed. Sampson, G. 1905. Ed., with contingent essays, by Grieve, A. J. (Everyman's Library.) 1910.

Transl. into French, 1790; German, 1793; and Spanish, Mexico, 1826.

Substance of Speech in the debate on the Army estimates, 9 Feb., 1790. 1790.

A Letter to a Member of the National Assembly; in answer to some objections to his book on French Affairs. 1791.

Transl. into French, 1791; and Italian, 1793.

An Appeal from the new to the old Whigs. 1791.

A Letter to Sir Hercules Langrishe on the subject of the Roman Catholics of Ireland. 1792.

A Letter to a Noble Lord on the attacks made upon him and his pension in the House of Lords by the Duke of Bedford and the Earl of Lauderdale. 1796. 13th edn. 1796.

Transl. into French, 1796; and German, 1796.

Thoughts on the prospect of a Peace with the Regicide Directory. Letters I and II. 1796. 11th edn. 1796.

Transl. into French.

A Third Letter on a Regicide Peace. 1797. (Posthumous. The Fourth Letter was published for the first time in the collective editions, *see* sect. 1 A.)

Two Letters on the conduct of our domestick parties. 1797.

A Letter to the Duke of Portland on the conduct of the Minority in Parliament. 1797.

III. Contemporary Critics

Godwin, Mary Wollstonecraft. *See* bibiography to Chap. II, *post.*
Hardinge, G. (1743–1816). Miscellaneous Works. 3 vols. 1818.
—— Rowley and Chatterton in the Shades. 1782.
—— A series of letters to the Rt. Hon. E. Burke in which are contained enquiries into the constitutional existence of an impeachment against Mr. Hastings. 1791. 3rd. edn. 1791.
—— Essence of Malone. 1800.
—— Another Essence of Malone. 1801.
Macaulay, Mrs. C. (1731–1791). Observations on the Reflections of Burke on the Revolution in France. 1790.
Mackintosh, Sir J. *See* bibliography to Chap. III, *post.*
Paine, T. *See* bibliography to Chap. II, *post.*
Price, Richard. *See ante*, Vol. X, Chap. XIV, bibliography.
Priestley, J. Letters to Burke occasioned by his Reflections on the French Revolution. 1791.
See, also, ante, Vol. X, Chap. XIV, bibliography.
Stanhope, Charles Stanhope, 3rd earl (1753–1816). Letter to Burke in answer to his speech on the French Revolution. 1790.

IV. Biography and Criticism

Bisset, R. The Life of Edmund Burke. 1798. 2 vols. 1800.
Buckle, H. T. History of civilisation in England. Vol. I. New edn. 1871.
Capadose, I. Edmund Burke. Overzigt van het leven en de schriften van een anti-revolutionair Staatsman. Amsterdam, 1857.
Cecil, Lord Hugh. Conservatism. [1912.]
Croly, G. A Memoir of the political life of the Rt. Hon. E. Burke. 1840.
Dilke, C. W. Burke. Papers of a Critic. Vol. II. 1875.
Extracts from Mr. Burke's Table-Talk at Crewe Hall. Philobiblon Soc. Miscellanies. Vol. VII. 1862–3.
Gilfillan, G. Edmund Burke. Galleries of literary portraits. Vol. II. 1857.
Hunt, W. Burke. D. of N. B. Vol. VII. 1886.
McCormick, C. Memoirs of E. Burke. 1797.
McCunn, J. The political philosophy of Burke. 1913.
Macknight, T. History of the life and times of Edmund Burke. 3 vols. 1858–60.
Meusel, F. Edmund Burke und die französische Revolution. Berlin, 1913.
Morley, J. (Viscount Morley of Blackburn). Edmund Burke. A historical study. 1867. Rptd. 1893.
—— Burke. (English Men of Letters Series.) 1879. Rptd. 1888.
Napier, Sir J. Edmund Burke. A lecture. Dublin, 1863. Rptd. in Lectures, Essays and Letters. 1888.
Pillans, T. D. Edmund Burke: Apostle of Justice and Liberty. 1905.
Prior, Sir J. Memoir of the life and character of the Rt. Hon. E. Burke. 1824. 5th edn. 1854.
Weare, G. E. Edmund Burke's connection with Bristol, 1774–80. Bristol, 1894.
Windham Papers. With introduction by the Earl of Rosebery. 2 vols. 1913.

A. T. B.

CHAPTER II

POLITICAL WRITERS AND SPEAKERS

I. Satirists

A. *The Rolliad and its Contributors*

The Rolliad, *i.e.*, Criticisms on the Rolliad; Probationary Odes for the Laureateship; and Political Eclogues and Miscellanies originally appeared in the Morning Herald and Daily Advertiser for 1784 and following years. 9th edn. 1791 (the first complete collection). 22nd edn. 1812. As to the authorship of The Rolliad, *see* Notes and Queries, ser. I, vol. II., pp. 114–115 242, 373; vol. III, p. 129.

Courthope, W. J. On The Rolliad. History of English Poetry. Vol. V. 1905.

George Ellis

See The Anti-Jacobin, sect. C, *infra.*

French Laurence

Epistolary correspondence of the Rt. Hon. Edmund Burke and Dr. French Laurence. 1827.
Poetical Remains, with a memoir. Dublin, 1872.

Joseph Richardson

Literary Relics, with his life, by his widow. 1807.
The Fugitive. A Comedy. 1792.

Richard Tickell

Anticipation. 1778.
The Project. 1778.

[As to Tickell's work as a dramatist, *see ante*, Vol. X, Chap. IV, bibliography.]

B. *Peter Pindar (John Wolcot)*

Works. With memoir. 5 vols. 1812.
Lyric Odes to the Royal Academicians. 1782, 1783, 1785.
The Lousiad. An heroi-comic poem. 5 cantos. 1785–95
Farewell Odes to Academicians. 1786.
Bozzy and Piozzi, or the British Biographers. 1786.
Ode upon Ode, or a Peep at St. James's. 1787.
Instructions to a celebrated Laureat. 1787.
An Epistle to the Emperor of China. 1817.

Courthope, W. J. On Peter Pindar. History of English Poetry. Vol. V. 1905.
Hazlitt, W. Peter Pindar. The Atlas, 5 April, 1829. Works. Edd. Waller, A. R. and Glover, A. Vol. XII. 1904.
Hunt, J. H. L. Selections from Wolcot, with critical notice. Wit and Humour. New ed. 1890.
Reitterer, T. Leben und Werke Peter Pindars. Schipper's Wiener Beiträge. Vienna, 1900.

C. *The Anti-Jacobin and its Contributors*

The Anti-Jacobin [ed. by Gifford, W.]. 36 nos. 20 November, 1797 to 9 July, 1798. 4th edn. 2 vols. 1799. [As to the contributors of poetry to this periodical, *see* Edmonds's edition noted *infra*. It was succeeded by The Anti-Jacobin Review, ed. by Gifford, John, which, however, had no connection with its predecessor.]

The Poetry of The Anti-Jacobin. 1799. 4th edn. 1801.

Poetry of The Anti-Jacobin comprising the celebrated political and satirical poems, parodies, and jeux-d'esprit of the Rt. Hon. George Canning, the Earl of Carlisle, Marquis Wellesley, the Rt. Hon. J. H. Frere, W. Gifford, Esq., the Rt. Hon. W. Pitt, G. Ellis, Esq. and others. With explanatory notes by Charles Edmonds. 1852. 2nd edn., with six etchings by James Gillray. 1854. 3rd edn. 1890. [Edmonds, in his preface, assigns the various pieces to their respective authors.]

Courthope, W. J. On The Anti-Jacobin. History of English Poetry. Vol. VI. 1910.

Hannay, J. English Political Satires. Quarterly Review, April, 1857. Rptd. in Essays. 1861.

Hayward, A. The Rt. Hon. George Canning as a man of letters. [Review of Edmonds's second edn.] Edinburgh Review, July 1858. Rptd. in Biographical and Critical Essays. New Series. Vol. I. 1873.

George Canning

Speeches. With a memoir of his life, by Therry, R. 6 vols. 1828.

Poetical Works. With a biographical memoir of the author. [1823.]

Parodies and other burlesque pieces. By Canning, G., Ellis, G., and Frere, J. H. With the whole of the poetry of The Anti-Jacobin. Ed. Morley, H. 1890.

Selections from The Anti-Jacobin, together with some later poems. 1904.

The Microcosm. A periodical work. By Gregory Griffin. [Ed. by Canning, G., Smith, J., Smith, R. and Frere, J. H.] 40 numbers. Eton, 1787. 4th edn. 2 vols. 1809.

Bagot, J. George Canning and his friends. 2 vols. 1909.

Temperley, H. W. V. Life of Canning. 1905.

George Ellis

Poetical Tales by Sir Gregory Gander. 1778.

History of the late Revolution in the Dutch Republic. 1789.

See, also, ante, Vol. X, Chap. x, bibliography.

John Hookham Frere

Works in prose and verse, with memoir by Frere, Sir Bartle, his nephew. 2nd edn. 3 vols. 1874.

The Monks and the Giants. Prospectus and specimen, etc. 1818. 4th edn. 1821.

The Acharnians, The Knights, and The Birds (of Aristophanes transl.) Malta, 1839.

The Frogs (of Aristophanes transl.). 1839.

Theognis restitutus. Malta, 1842.

Festing, G. J. H. Frere and his friends. 1899.

William Gifford

The Baviad. A paraphrastic imitation of the first satire of Persius. 1791.
The Maeviad. 1795.
The Baviad and Maeviad. New edn. 1797.
Epistle to Peter Pindar. 1800.
The Satires of Juvenal transl. into English verse. 1802.
The Satires of Persius transl. into English verse. 1821.

[As to his editions of Massinger, Ben Jonson, Ford, and Shirley, *see* Vol. VI.]

Autobiography. Published with his transl. of Juvenal, 1802. Rptd. 1827.

Hazlitt, W. Letter to W. Gifford (1819). Works. Edd. Waller, A. R. and Glover, A. Vol. I. 1902.

Southey, C. C. Life and Correspondence of Robert Southey. 6 vols. 1849–50. [Important as describing Gifford as editor of The Quarterly Review.]

II. Theorists and Pamphleteers

William Cobbett

A full bibliography (42 pp.) of Cobbett's writings will be found at the end of vol. II of L. Melville's Life and Letters of William Cobbett, 1913.

Works of Peter Porcupine. 12 vols. 1801.
Selections from his Political Works, by his sons. 6 vols. 1835.

Observations on the emigration of Dr. Joseph Priestley. 1794.
Cobbett's (Weekly) Political Register. 1802–35.
English Grammar. 1817. Ed. Stephen, H. L. 1906.
Advice to Young Men. 1829. Rptd. 1906.
Rural Rides . . . with economical and political observations. 1830. Rptd., 2 vols. 1909. Ed. Thomas, E. 2 vols. 1912. Selected and ed. Lobban, J. H. Cambridge, 1908.
Legacy to Parsons. 1835. 5th edn. 1835.

Carlyle, E. I. William Cobbett. A study of his life as shown in his writings. 1904.
Gilfillan, G. William Cobbett. Galleries of literary portraits. Vol. II. 1857.
Hazlitt, W. The Character of Cobbett. Table Talk. Essay VI. Works. Edd. Waller, A. R. and Glover, A. Vol. VI. 1903.
Huish, R. Memoirs of the late William Cobbett. 2 vols. 1836.
Life. Written by himself. 7th edn. 1816.
Melville, Lewis. The Life and Letters of William Cobbett in England and America. 2 vols. 1913.
Saintsbury, G. William Cobbett. Essays in English Literature, 1780–1860. 2nd ser. 1895.
Smith, Edw. William Cobbett. 2 vols. 1878.

See, also, as to sources for Cobbett's biography, Appendix C in E. I. Carlyle's work mentioned above; and preface to L. Melville's work, vol. I.

William Godwin

Sketches of History in six sermons. 1784.
An Enquiry concerning Political Justice, and its influence on general virtue and happiness. 2 vols. 1793. 2nd edn. corrected. 1796.

Things as they are, or the Adventures of Caleb Williams. 3 vols. 1794. 2nd edn. 3 vols. 1796. Rptd. 1839, 1904. Transl. into French. [A play entitled The Iron Chest, founded on Caleb Williams by George Colman, jun., was first acted at Drury Lane in 1796.]

The Enquirer. Reflections on education, manners, and literature, in a series of essays. 1797. New edn. 1823.

Memoirs of the author [Mary Wollstonecraft, Mrs. William Godwin] of a Vindication of the rights of Woman. 1798. 2nd edn. 1798.

St. Leon. A tale of the sixteenth century. 4 vols. 1799. Transl. into French, 1800.

St. Godwin. A tale of the sixteenth, seventeenth, and eighteenth centuries. By Count Reginald de St. Leon. 1800. [A skit on Godwin's novel.]

Antonio. A tragedy in five acts. 1800.

Thoughts occasioned by Dr. Parr's Spital Sermon. 1801.

Life of Geoffrey Chaucer: including memoirs of John of Gaunt, Duke of Lancaster. 2 vols. 1803. 2nd edn. 4 vols. 1804.

Transl. into German, 1812.

Fleetwood, or the new Man of Feeling. 3 vols. 1805.

Transl. into French, 1805.

Faulkener. A tragedy. 1807.

Essay on Sepulchres. 1809.

Lives of Edward and John Philips, nephews and pupils of John Milton. To which are added, I. Collections for the life of Milton by John Aubrey, II. The Life of Milton by Edward Philips. 1815.

Mandeville. A tale of the seventeenth century in England. 3 vols. Edinburgh, 1817.

Transl. into French, 1818.

Of Population. In answer to Mr. Malthus. 1820.

History of the Commonwealth of England from its commencement to the Restoration of Charles II. 4 vols. 1824–8.

Cloudesly. A tale. 1830.

Thoughts on Man. 1831.

Deloraine. A novel. 3 vols. 1833.

Lives of the Necromancers. 1834.

Essays, never before published. Ed. with a preface by Paul, C. Kegan. 1873.

As to the children's books and elementary historical works written by Godwin under the name of Edwin Baldwin, *see* the Catalogue of Printed Books in the British Museum.

Gourg, R. William Godwin, sa vie, ses œuvres principales. 1908.

Hazlitt, W. William Godwin. The Spirit of the Age. Works. Edd. Waller, A. R. and Glover, A. Vol. IV. 1902.

—— Mr. Godwin. Edinburgh Review, April, 1830. Works. Edd. Waller, A. R. and Glover, A. Vol. X. 1904.

Paul, C. K. William Godwin, his friends and contemporaries. 2 vols. 1876.

Ramus, P. William Godwin der Theoretiker des kommunistischen Anarchismus. Eine biographische Studie mit Auszügen aus seiner Schriften. 1907.

Saitzeff, H. William Godwin und die Anfänge des Anarchismus im XVIII. Jahrhundert. 1907.

Simon, Helene. William Godwin und Mary Wollstonecraft. 1909.

Stephen, Sir. L. History of English thought in the 18th century. 3rd edn. 2 vols. 1902.
——. William Godwin's novels. Studies of a Biographer. Vol. III. 1902.

Thomas Hervey (1698–1775)

A Letter to Sir Thomas Hanmer. [1741.]
A Letter to William Pitt. 1746.
Mr. Hervey's Letter to the Rev. Sir William Bunbury. [1753.]

Thomas Paine

Works. Ed. Conway, M. D. 4 vols. New York, 1894-6.
Common Sense. 1776. 9th edn., with additions and omissions. 1792.
The Crisis. Philadelphia, 1776–83.
The Rights of Man. Pt. I. 1791. Pt. II. 1792.
The Age of Reason. 1794–5. Pt. III. 1811. New edn. by Carlile, R. 1819.
Dissertations on the First Principles of Government. 1795.

Cheetham, James. Life of Thomas Paine. New York, 1809.
Conway, M. D. The Life of Thomas Paine, with a history of his literary, political, and religious career in America, France, and England. To which is added a sketch of Paine by W. Cobbett (hitherto unpublished). 2 vols. New York, 1892.
"Oldys, Francis" [*i.e.*, Chalmers, G.]. The Life of Thomas Pain (*sic*). 1791.
Robertson, J. M. Thomas Paine. An investigation. 1888.
Stephen, Sir L. History of English thought in the 18th century. 3rd edn. 2 vols. 1902.

James Porter (1753–1798)

Billy Bluff and Squire Firebrand. Belfast, 1796.
Wind and Weather. Belfast, 1797.

Samuel Jackson Pratt (1749–1814)

Liberal Opinions. 6 vols. 1775–7.

Mary Wollstonecraft (*Mrs. William Godwin*)

Posthumous Works. [Ed. by Godwin, W.] 4 vols. 1798. [Vols. I–II, The Wrongs of Woman; vols. III–IV, Letters and Miscellaneous pieces.]
Select Works abridged by Jebb, Camilla. [1912.]

Thoughts on the education of daughters. 1787.
Original Stories from real life. 1788. Illustrated by William Blake. 1796. Ed. Lucas, E. V. 1906.
A Vindication of the rights of Man in a letter to Edmund Burke. 1790.
Elements of Morality for the use of children. Transl. from the German of C. G. Salzmann by Mary Wollstonecraft. 2 vols. 1790. 3rd edn. 3 vols. 1792.
A Vindication of the rights of Woman. Vol. I. (No more appeared.) 1792. Ed. Pennell, E. R. 1892.
Transl. into French, 1792.
An Historical and Moral view of the origin and progress of the French Revolution. Vol. I. (No more appeared.) 1794.

Letters written during a short residence in Sweden, Norway, and Denmark. 1796.
Letters to Imlay, with prefatory memoir by Paul, C. Kegan. 1879.
Love Letters to Gilbert Imlay. With prefatory memoir by Ingpen, R. 1908.

A Defence of the character and conduct of the late Mary Wollstonecraft Godwin. 1803.
Pennell, E. R. Mary Wollstonecraft Godwin. 1885.
Rauschenbusch-Clough, E. A study of Mary Wollstonecraft and the rights of woman. 1898.
Taylor, G. R. S. Mary Wollstonecraft. A study in economics and romance. 1911.

See, also, under William Godwin, *ante.*

III. Orators

Charles James Fox

Speeches in the House of Commons. 6 vols. 1815.
Letter to the Electors of Westminster. 5th edn. 1793.
History of the early part of the reign of James II. 1808.

Landor, W. S. Charles James Fox. A Commentary on his life and character. Ed. Wheeler, S. 1907.
Mackintosh, Sir J. Character of Fox, printed in Memoirs of the life of Sir J. Mackintosh, ed. by his son. Vol. 1, pp. 322–5. 1835.
Russell, John, 1st earl. The life and times of C. J. Fox. 3 vols. 1859–66.
—— Memorials and Correspondence of C. J. Fox. 2 vols. 1853.
Trevelyan, Sir G. O. The early history of C. J. Fox. New edn. 1908.
—— George III and Charles James Fox. (Concluding part of The American Revolution.) 1912.

Henry Grattan

Speeches, ed. by his Son. 4 vols. 1822.
Miscellaneous Works. 1822.
Speeches. Ed. Madden, D. O. 2nd edn. Dublin, 1859.

Dunlop, R. Life of Grattan. 1889.
Grattan, H. Memoirs of the life and times of Henry Grattan. 5 vols. 1839–46.
Lecky, W. E. H. Leaders of public opinion in Ireland. 1861. New edn. 2 vols. 1912.
McCarthy, J. G. Henry Grattan. 1886.

William Pitt

Speeches in the House of Commons. 2nd edn. 3 vols. 1808.

Lecky, W. E. H. History of England in the 18th century. 8 vols. 1878–90.
Lewis, Sir G. C. Essays on the administrations of Great Britain. 1864.
Rose, J. H. William Pitt and national revival. 1911.
—— William Pitt and the Great war (1791–1806). 1911.
Rosebery, Earl of. Pitt. (Twelve English Statesmen.) 1891.
Smith, G. Three English Statesmen. 1867.
Stanhope, Earl. Life of the Rt. Hon. William Pitt. 4 vols. 1861–2.
Whibley, C. William Pitt. Edinburgh, 1906.

Richard Brinsley Sheridan

Speeches. Ed. by a constitutional friend. 5 vols. 1816.
Speeches of the managers and counsel in the trial of Warren Hastings. Ed. Bond, E. A. 4 vols. 1859–61. (Contains the Speeches of Sheridan, as well as those of Burke and Fox.)

Moore, T. Memoirs of the life of the Rt. Hon. R. B. Sheridan. 2 vols. 1825.
Wraxall, Sir N. W. Historical and Posthumous Memoirs, 1772–90. Ed. Wheatley, H. B. 5 vols. 1884.

See, also, post, Chap. XII, bibliography. A. T. B.

CHAPTER III

BENTHAM AND THE EARLY UTILITARIANS

I. General Authorities

Albee, E. History of English Utilitarianism. 1902.
Bonar, J. Philosophy and Political Economy. 1893.
Halévy, E. Formation du Radicalisme philosophique. 3 vols. 1901–4.
Stephen, Sir L. The English Utilitarians. 3 vols. 1900.

II. Particular Authors

Jeremy Bentham

Bentham's MSS., largely unpublished, are deposited in University College, London (Catalogue by Whittaker, T. 1892) and in the British Museum.

A. Collected Works and Selections

Works. Ed. Bowring, John. 11 vols. 1838–43.

Vols. X and XI contain a Life of Bentham and an Index to his Works. The first nine volumes contain the writings published in his life-time (including English versions of those published by Dumont), except the writings on religion. Deontology is not reprinted. The chief contents not previously published in English are:

Principles of the Civil Code (translated from Dumont); Principles of the Penal Code (translated from Dumont); View of a Complete Code of Laws (translated from Dumont); Principles of International Law; Junctiana, Proposal for a junction of the Atlantic and Pacific; Essay on Political Tactics (translated from Dumont); Anarchical Fallacies (summary in Dumont); Protest against Law Taxes; Manual of Political Economy; Pannomial Fragments; Nomography, or the Art of Inditing Laws; Logical Arrangements, or Instruments of Invention and Discovery; Emancipate your Colonies; Securities against Misrule, addressed to a Mahommedan State; Fragment on Ontology; Essay on Logic; The Constitutional Code (complete).

Benthamiana: or Select Extracts from the works of Jeremy Bentham. Ed. Burton, J. H. Edinburgh, 1843.

B. Works published separately

A Fragment on Government; being an examination of what is delivered on the subject of Government in general in the Introduction to Sir William Blackstone's Commentaries: with a Preface in which is given a Critique of the Work at large. 1776. 3rd edn. 1822. Ed. Montague, F. C. 1891.

View of the Hard Labour Bill. 1778.
Defence of Usury. 1787. 2nd edn. 1790. 3rd edn. 1816.
An Introduction to the Principles of Morals and Legislation. 1789. 2nd edn. 1823. Rptd. Oxford, 1879.
The Panopticon, or Inspection House. 1791. (Written 1787.)
A Protest against Law Taxes. 1795.
Poor Laws and Pauper Management (in Arthur Young's Annals, Sept., 1797 and later).
The Panopticon versus New South Wales. 1802.
A Plea for the Constitution. 1803.
Scotch Reform, with a Summary View of a Plan for a Judicatory. 1808. 2nd edn. 1811.
Chrestomathia. 1816.
Swear not at all. 1817. (Written 1813.)
A Table of the Springs of Action, printed 1815. (Ed. Mill, James.) 1817.
Catechism of Parliamentary Reform, in The Pamphleteer, Jan., 1817. (Written 1809.)
Papers upon Codification and Public Instruction. 1817. (Written 1811–15.)
Church of Englandism and its Catechism examined. 1818.
Radical Reform Bill, with explanations, in The Pamphleteer, Dec., 1819.
Elements of the Art of Packing as applied to Special Juries. 1821. (Written 1809.)
Three Tracts relating to Spanish and Portuguese Affairs. 1821.
On the Liberty of the Press [addressed to Spain]. 1821.
The Analysis of the Influence of Natural Religion upon the Temporal Happiness of Mankind, by Philip Beauchamp. (Ed. Grote, G.) 1822.
Not Paul but Jesus, by Gamaliel Smith. 1823.
Codification Proposals. 1823.
Book of Fallacies. (Ed. Bingham, P.) 1824.
The Rationale of Reward. 1825.
The Rationale of Evidence. (Ed. Mill, J. S.) 5 vols. 1827.
The Rationale of Punishment. 1830. (This work and The Rationale of Reward are translated from Dumont, with assistance from the manuscript of Bentham written about 1775.)
Constitutional Code for the use of all Nations and all Governments professing Liberal Opinions. Vol. I. 1830.
Official Aptitude maximised—Expense minimised. 1831. (A collection of papers written in 1810 and following years.)
Deontology or Science of Morality. (Ed. Bowring, J.) 2 vols. 1834.

C. Works compiled by Etienne Dumont from Bentham's MSS. and his Introduction of 1789

Traités de Législation civile et pénale. 3 vols. 1802. 2nd edn. 1820.
Théorie des Peines et des Récompenses. 1811, 1818, 1825.
Tactique des Assemblées délibérantes et Traité des Sophismes politiques. 1816.
Traité des Preuves judiciaires. 1823. (Eng. trans. 1825.)
De l'Organisation judiciaire et de la Codification. 1823.
Theory of Legislation; by Bentham, Jeremy. Translated from the French of Étienne Dumont, by Hildreth, R. 1876.

D. Biography and Criticism

Atkinson, C. M. Jeremy Bentham. 1905.
Dicey, A. V. Law and Public Opinion in England. Lecture VI. 1905.
Kenny, C. S., in Law Quarterly Review. Vol. XI, nos. 41 and 42. 1895.
Mill, J. S., in London and Westminster Review, August, 1838. (Rptd. in Dissertations and Discussions. Vol. I. 1859.)
Mohl, R. v. Geschichte und Litteratur der Staatswissenschaften. Book III. 1858.
Montague, F. C. Introduction to Edition of A Fragment on Government. 1891.
Nys, E. Études de droit international. 1901.
Pringle, Pattison, A. S. The Philosophical Radicals and other essays. 1907.
Sidgwick, H., in Fortnightly Review, May, 1877. (Rptd. in Miscellaneous Essays and Addresses. 1904.)

Sir William Blackstone

See ante, Vol. X, Chap. XII, bibliography.

J. Hector St. John Crèvecœur (1731–1813)

Letters from an American farmer; describing certain provincial situations, manners, and customs not generally known; and conveying some idea of the late and present interior circumstances of the British colonies in N. America. 1782. Rptd. with preface by Trent, W. P., and introduction by Lewisohn, L. 1908. Transl. into French, 1787; and into German, 1788–9.

Robert Hall (1764–1831)

Works. Ed. Gregory, O. 6 vols. 1832–8.

Christianity consistent with the love of Freedom. 1791.
Apology for the freedom of the Press. With remarks on Horsley's sermon. 30 Jan., 1793. 1793.
Modern Infidelity considered in respect to its influence on Society. 1800.

Samuel Horsley, Bp. of St. Asaph (1733–1806)

Tracts in controversy with Priestley. 1789.
Sermon before the House of Lords. 1793.
Sermons. 3rd edn. 3 vols. Dundee, 1812.
Speeches in Parliament. Dundee, 1813.
Charges. Dundee, 1813.

Sir James Mackintosh (1765–1832)

Miscellaneous Works. 3 vols. 1846.

Discourse on the study of the Law of Nature and Nations. 1799.
Dissertation on the progress of Ethical philosophy chiefly during the 17th and 18th centuries. 1830. 10th edn. 1872.
Vindiciae Gallicae: defence of the French Revolution against Burke including strictures on the late production of de Calonne. 1791.

Thomas Robert Malthus

An Essay on the Principle of Population as it affects the Future Improvement of Society, with Remarks on the Speculations of Mr. Godwin, M. Condorcet, and other Writers. 1798.
An Essay on the Principle of Population; or, a View of its Past and Present effects on Human Happiness. 2nd edn. 1803.
Observations on the effects of the Corn Laws. 1814. 3rd edn. 1815.
An Inquiry into the Nature and Progress of Rent. 1815.
Grounds of an Opinion on the Policy of restricting the Importation of Foreign Corn. 1815.
Principles of Political Economy. 1820. 2nd edn. (with life of the author by Bp. Otter). 1836.
The Measure of Value stated and illustrated. 1823.
Definitions in Political Economy. 1827.
Summary View of the Principle of Population. 1830.

Bonar, J. Malthus and his Work. 1885.
—— Letters of Ricardo to Malthus. 1887.
Cossa, L. Il Principio di popolazione di T. R. Malthus. 1895.
Molinari, G. de. Malthus, essai sur le principe de population. 1889.
Soetbeer, A. Stellung der Sozialisten zur Malthusschen Bevölkerungslehre. 1886.

Dugald Stewart

Works. Ed. Hamilton, Sir W. 11 vols. 1854–8.

Elements of the Philosophy of the Human Mind. Vol. I. 1792. Vol. II. 1814. Vol. III. 1827.
Outlines of Moral Philosophy. 1793.
Philosophical Essays. 1810.
Memoirs of Adam Smith, William Robertson and Thomas Reid. 1811.
A General View of the Progress of Metaphysical, Ethical and Political Philosophy since the Revival of Letters. (Encyclopaedia Britannica Supplementary Dissertation.) Part I. 1815. Part II. 1821.
The Philosophy of the Active and Moral Powers. 1828.

John Horne Tooke (1736–1812)

Ἐπεα πτερόεντα, or the Diversions of Purley. 2 vols. 1786–1805. New edn. Ed. Taylor, R. 2 vols. 1829.
Review of the Constitution. 1791.
Proceedings on trial of J. H. Tooke for high treason. 2 vols. 1795.

Joseph Townsend

A Dissertation on the Poor Laws. 1785.
Observations on Various Plans for the Relief of the Poor. 1788.
Journey through Spain. 1791. 3rd edn. 1814.

Richard Watson, Bp. of Llandaff (1737–1816)

Apology for Christianity, in a series of Letters to E. Gibbon. 1776.
Apology for the Bible, in answer to Thomas Paine. 1796.
Address to the People of Great Britain. 1798.
Miscellaneous Tracts. 3 vols. 1815.

Arthur Young

On the War in North America. 1758.
Reflections on the Present State of Affairs at home and abroad. 1759.
A Farmer's Letters to the People of England. 1768.
A Six Weeks' Tour through the Southern Counties of England and Wales. 1768.
A Six Months' Tour through the North of England. 4 vols. 1771.
The Farmer's Tour through the East of England. 4 vols. 1770–1.
A Course of Experimental Agriculture. 1770.
The Farmer's Calendar. 1771.
Political Arithmetic. 1774.
Tour in Ireland. 1780.
Travels during the years 1787, 1788, 1789, and 1790 undertaken more particularly with a view of ascertaining the Cultivation, Wealth, Resources, and National Prosperity of the Kingdom of France. 2 vols. Bury St. Edmunds, 1794 (first edn. of vol. 1 published 1792). French transl. 1793–4, 1856. Ed. with introduction, etc., by Betham-Edwards, M. 3rd edn. 1890.
The Example of France a warning to England. 1793.

Autobiography. Ed. Betham-Edwards, M. 1898.

CHAPTER IV

WILLIAM COWPER

I. MSS. in the British Museum

The following Additional MSS. contain interesting Cowper data:

21154, 21556, letters; 24154, 24155, poems 1770–1793 and letters; 29716, chronological list of Cowper's letters, 1758–1799; 30801, variations from the 1st edn. of the trans. of the Iliad, trans. of Milton's poems, and Epigrams; 30803 A and B, poems 1797–1803; 30805, 31998, letters; 32348, views of Cowper's birthplace; 32350, portrait; 32573, Recollections of Cowper by Mitford, J.; 33964, 37059, letters; 37095, minor poems, unfinished letter of Cowper in the form of a general diary of his health, Tues. 13 Nov.–Sat. 17 Nov. [1792] and other letters.

II. Works

Works. Ed. Newton, J. 10 vols. 1817.
Miscellaneous Works. With a life and notes by Memes, J. S. 3 vols. Edinburgh, 1834.
Works: his Life and Letters by Hayley, W., now first completed by the introduction of Cowper's private Correspondence; ed. Grimshawe, T. S. (With an Essay on the Genius and Poetry of Cowper by Cunningham, J. W.) 8 vols. 1835. 2nd edn. 1836.
Works, comprising his Poems, Correspondence, and Translations. With a life of the author by the editor, Robert Southey. 15 vols. 1836–7.
Works, comprising his Poems, Correspondence, and Translations. With a life of the author, by the editor, Robert Southey. 8 vols. (Bohn's Standard Library.) 1853–5.

III. Poems

A. *Collections*

Poems. 2 vols. 1782–5 and 1782–6. [Some copies of vol. I contain the uncorrected lines in The Progress of Error:

With memorandum-book to minute down
The sev'ral posts, and where the chaise broke down;

and the original passage of Expostulation, beginning:

Hast thou admitted with a blind, fond trust.]

Some copies of the 1st edn. contain the preface by Newton, J.; 2nd edn., 2 vols., 1786; 3rd, 1787; 4th, 1788; 5th, with preface by Newton, J., 1793; 6th 1794–5. Other edns.: 1798 (two), 1800, 1803, 1805.

Poems . . . . With a life of the Author and observations on his writings. [1800?]

Poems [with preface by Newton, J.] A new edn. to which are now first added Olney Hymns by W. C. and translations from Madame Guion. 1806. Also 3 vols. 1806. 2 vols. 1808. A new edn. 2 vols. 1808.

Poems. . . . In two volumes. (Vol. III containing his posthumous Poetry and a sketch of his life, by Johnson, J.) 3 vols. 1815. New edn., with preface by Newton, J. 3 vols. 1817.

Poems, the early productions of W. Cowper, now first published. With anecdotes of the Poet collected from letters of Lady Hesketh. Ed. Croft, J. 1825.

Poetical Works. Ed. Cary, H. F., with a biographical notice of the author. 1839. A new edn. with preface by Grimshawe, T. S. 1845.

Poetical Works. With life, critical dissertation, and explanatory notes, by Gilfillan, G. 2 vols. Edinburgh, 1854.

Poetical Works. Ed. Bell, R. 3 vols. 1854.

Poetical Works. With notes and memoir by Bruce, J. (Aldine edn. of the British Poets.) 3 vols. 1865.

Poetical Works. Ed., with notes and biographical introduction, Benham, W. (Globe edn.) 1870.

Cowper's Shorter Poems. Ed., with introduction and notes, Webb, W. T. 1896.

Unpublished and Uncollected Poems. Ed. Wright, T. (Cameo Series.) 1900.

Poems. Ed., with an introduction and notes, Bailey, J. C. 1905.

Complete Poetical Works. Ed. Milford, H. S. 1905.

B. *Separate Poems*

Anti-Thelyphthora; a tale, in verse. 1781.

Olney Hymns. [By Newton, J. and Cowper, W.] 1779. 1781. Other edns.: 1783, 1787, 1795, 1797 (two), 1806, 1810, 1812, 1820, 1821, 1829.

Hymns on various subjects, now first collected together. 1822.

The Journey of John Gilpin [a ballad by W. C.]. [1783.] Rptd. [1810?]. (Both in chapbook form.)

Gilpin's Rig; or the Wedding Day Kept, a Droll Story; Read by Mr. Henderson, at Free-masons Hall and Mr. Baddeley, at Drury Lane Theatre. Containing An Account of John Gilpin, the Bold Linen Draper of Cheapside; how he went farther and faster than he intended, and came Home safe at last. London: Printed for S. W. Fores, (No. 3,) Piccadilly. And F. Clarkson, (No. 73,) St. Paul's-Church-Yard. Price Two Pence. Entered at Humourist's-Hall. [1783?]

The Diverting History of John Gilpin. . . . With six illustrations by Cruikshank, G. 1828.

The Task, to which are added the Epistle to Joseph Hill, Tirocinium, and John Gilpin. (Described on the flyleaf as a second vol. of poems by W. C.) 1785. 2nd edn. 1786. Also 1787, 1788, 1793, 1794, 1798 (two) and 1800. The Task. 2 vols. 1825.

Poems (I. On his Mother's Picture. II. On the Dog and Waterlily). 1798.

Table Talk, and other Poems. 2 vols. 1817.

IV. Prose Works and Edited Matter

Adelphi. A sketch of the character, and an account of the last illness of the late . . . John Cowper. Written by . . . W. C. Faithfully transcribed from his original manuscript by Newton, J. 1802.

Cowper's edition of Milton, 4 vols., ed. Hayley, W., was published 1810. He contributed a few papers to The Connoisseur in 1754, and St. James's Chronicle 1761; a paper on his Hares, and a letter signed "Alethea," on Pope's Homer, to The Gentleman's Magazine in June, 1784, and August, 1785 respectively; and a review of Glover's Athenaid to The Analytical Review, February, 1789. John Gilpin first appeared in The Public Advertiser, at the end of 1782.

V. Letters

Life and posthumous writings (chiefly Letters) with an introductory letter to . . . Earl Cowper. By Hayley, W. 3 vols. Chichester, 1803–4. (A supplement to this edn., entitled Supplementary Pages to the Life of Cowper, was issued in 1806.)

Life and Letters, with remarks on epistolary writers by Hayley, W. A new edn. 4 vols. Chichester, 1809. 3 vols. 1824.

Letters. A new edn. revised by . . . Johnson, J. 3 vols. 1817. 1820.

Private Correspondence with several of his most intimate friends now first published from the originals in the possession of [and ed. by] Johnson, J. 2 vols. 1824. 3rd edn. 2 vols. 1837.

Life, with Selections from his Correspondence. [By Seeley, R. B.] 1855.

Letters, being a selection from his correspondence: with a sketch of his life, and biographical notices of his correspondents. [1862.]

Letters. Ed., with introduction, Benham, W. 1884.

Selections from Cowper's Letters. Ed., with introduction and notes, Webb, W. T. 1895.

Correspondence of W. C. Arranged in chronological order, with annotations by Wright, T. 4 vols. 1904.

Selections from Cowper's Letters. Ed. Lucas, E. V. With notes by Milford, M. L. 1911.

Letters by W. C., chosen and edited, with a Memoir and a few notes, Frazer, J. G. 2 vols. 1912.

VI. Translations

The Works of Horace, in English verse, by Mr. Duncombe . . . and other hands. 4 vols. 1767. (Cowper's two contributions are in vol. III, pp. 37, 89.)

The Iliad and Odyssey . . . translated . . . by W. C. 1791. 2nd edn., ed Johnson, J. 1802. Other edns. 1809, 1810, 1847.

Cowper's English version of the Odyssey . . . with a Commentary . . . by Οὖτις. 1843.

The Iliad of Homer, translated into English blank verse by W. C. etc. 1855.

The Power of Grace illustrated: in letters from a minister of the reformed church (Van Lier) to John Newton, translated by . . . Cowper. 1792.

Poems translated from the French of Madame de La Mothe Guion. To which are added some original poems, etc. 1801. Also 1802, 1803, 1811.

Latin and Italian Poems of Milton, translated by W. C. 1808.

Adam: a sacred drama, translated from the Italian of G. B. Andreini [by W. C. etc.]. Latin and Italian poems of Milton translated . . . by W. C. Cowper's edn. of Milton's Works. Vol. III. 1810.

Milton's Earlier Poems, including the translations by W. C. of those written in Latin and Italian. (Cassell's National Library, new series, vol. LXX.) 1905.

VII. Biography and Criticism

See, also, the various introductions to Cowper's Works mentioned in sections II, III, V, *ante*, and bibliography of William Hayley in chap. VIII, *post*.

Ainger, A. Cowper. Lectures and Essays. Vol. I. 1905.

Boucher, S. W. Cowper, sa correspondance et ses poésies. 1874.

Brooke, S. A. Theology in the English Poets. Cowper. 1874. 10th edn. 1907.

Bull, Josiah. John Newton . . . an autobiography and narrative (compiled by Bull, J.). [1868.]

Cavit, T. E. A Plea for Cowper. Gentleman's Mag. Vol. CCXCVI. June, 1904.

Cheever, G. B. Lectures on the life, genius, and insanity of Cowper. 1856.

Cowper, W. Memoir of the early life of W. C. written by himself; with an Appendix, containing some of Cowper's religious Letters, and other documents. 1816. 2nd edn. 1816. 3rd edn. 1817. 4th edn. 1818.

—— Memoir of . . . the life of W. C. . . . detailing particularly the exercises of his mind in regard to religion. Written by himself. . . . To which are appended an original . . . poem and a fragment. 1816.

—— Narrative of the life of W. C. . . . Written by himself. A new edn. illustrated by extracts from The Christian Observer, and from Cowper's religious correspondence. Birmingham, 1817.

—— The Autobiography of Cowper, or an account of the most interesting part of his life. Written by himself. To which are added some poems copied from MS. 1835.

Cowper, illustrated by a series of views in, or near, the park of Weston Underwood, Bucks; accompanied with descriptions, and a sketch of the Poet's life. 1803.

Dewar, G. A. B. Cowper the Castaway. Saturday Review. Vol. XCIX, p. 12. 1905.

Dowden, E. Cowper and William Hayley. Atlantic Monthly, July, 1907.

Elwin, W. Cowper. Quarterly Review, Jan., 1860. Rptd. in Some XVIII century Men of Letters. Vol. I. 1902.

Gearey, Caroline. Cowper and Mary Unwin. A centenary memento. [1901.]

Gill, J. Cowper the Poet. Recollections of a visit at Olney. 1890.

Greatheed, S. Memoir of W. Cowper Revised . . . by . . . S. Greatheed. 1814.

Hartmann, H. Über W. Cowper's Tirocinium. 1896.
Hesketh, Harriet. Letters of Lady Hesketh to the Rev. John Johnson, LL.D., concerning his kinsman William Cowper the Poet. 1901.
Hoffmann, C. W. William Cowper's Belesenheit und literarische Kritik. 1908.
Neve, J. A Concordance to the poetical works of W. Cowper. 1887.
Newton, J. The Newton–Cowper Centenary, 1907. [1907.]
Oliphant, Mrs. The literary history of England in the end of the 18th and beginning of the 19th century. 3 vols. 1882.
Olney and its associations; or, Reminiscences of the poet Cowper. 1880.
Pollock, J. M. The life, genius, and poetry of W. Cowper. 1860.
Sainte-Beuve, C. A. William Cowper, ou de la Poésie domestique. Causeries du Lundi. Tome XI, pp. 132–165. 1856.
Storer, J. S. The Rural Walks of Cowper; displayed in a series of views near Olney, Bucks; representing the scenery exemplified in his poems; with descriptive sketches, and a memoir of the poet's life. [1825?]
Symington, J. A. The Poet of Home Life. Centenary memories of W. C. [1900.]
Taylor, T. The life of W. Cowper, Esq. compiled from his correspondence. 1833.
Thompson, S. Cowper as a Letter Writer. Academy, Vol. LXVI. 16 April, 1904.
Tomlinson, J. Three Household Poets; viz. Milton, Cowper, Burns. 1869.
Wright, T. The Town of Cowper, or the literary and historical associations of Olney, etc. 1886.
—— The Loved Haunts of Cowper, being an outline of the life of W. C. with special reference to his favourite resorts in Olney and Weston Underwood etc. 1894.

G. A. B.

CHAPTER V

WILLIAM WORDSWORTH

I. MSS. IN THE BRITISH MUSEUM

Additional MS. 18204, f. 193. Letter to J. Losh. 1798.
—— 27457, f. 36. Sonnets. 1809. Copies.
—— 27925, ff. 105, 109. Letters to F. Reynolds. n. d.
—— 30262, f. 96. Particulars concerning W. W., by his son William. 1860.
—— 34046, ff. 114–131. Letters to D. Stuart. 1801–38.
—— 34225, f. 192. Letter to H. N. Coleridge. 1834.
—— 34588, f. 57. Letter to Dr. S. Butler. 1831. Copy.
—— 35344, ff. 135–161. Letters to T. Poole. 1798–1815.
—— —— f. 142. Letter to C. J. Fox. 1801. Copy by S. T. Coleridge.
—— —— f. 143. Letter to W. Wilberforce. 1801. Copy by S. T. Coleridge.
—— 35344, f. 162. Agreement with J. Bartholomew for tenancy of Alfoxden. 1797. Copy.
—— 36526, f. 31. Letter to J. Scott, with three sonnets. 1816.
—— 36527, f. 196. Biographical Notice. 1832.
—— 36997, ff. 42^{b}, 132. Letters to Mrs. Clarkson. 1806, 1814.
—— 37425, f. 113^{b}. Allusions, by Sir Walter Scott. 1808.
—— 37496, f. 76. W. W.'s Poet's Epitaph, transcribed by P. B. Shelley. 1812.
—— 37725, f. 10. Quatrain. 1844. Holograph.
Egerton MS. 2075, f. 118. Letter to A. Cooper. 1844.
—— —— f. 226. Portrait.

II. Poems

A. *Collections*

For Lyrical Ballads, *see under* Separate Poems, sect. c, *post.*

Poems in two volumes. 1807. Rptd. ed. Hutchinson, T. 2 vols. 1897.

Poems . . . including Lyrical Ballads, and the miscellaneous pieces of the author. With additional poems, and a new preface, and a supplementary essay. 3 vols. 1815–20.

Poetical Works. 4 vols. Boston (U. S. A.), 1824.

Poetical Works. 5 vols. 1827.

Poetical Works. Paris, 1828.

Poetical Works. A new edition. 4 vols. 1832.

Poetical Works. A new edition. 6 vols. 1836–7.

Poetical Works. A new edition. 6 vols. 1840.

Poems, chiefly of early and late years; including The Borderers, a tragedy. 7 vols. 1842–54.

Poetical Works. A new edition [with notes, appendix, preface, etc.] 1849.

Poetical Works. A new edition. 6 vols. 1849–50.

Poems . . . A new edition. 1851.

Poetical Works. A new edition. 6 vols. 1857.

Poetical Works. 7 vols. Boston (U. S. A.), 1857.

Poetical Works. Edinburgh [1859].

Poetical Works. 1861.

Poetical Works. Edinburgh, 1863.

Poetical Works. 1869.

Poetical Works. A new and complete annotated edition. (The Centenary edition.) 1870.

Poetical Works. Ed., with a critical memoir, Rossetti, W. M. [1870.]

Poetical Works. A new edition. 6 vols. 1882.

Poetical Works. Ed. Knight, W. 11 vols. [The Life of Wordsworth, by Knight, W., vols. IX–XI.] 1882–9. Also ed. Knight, W. 8 vols. [Full bibliography in vol. VIII]. 1896.

Poetical Works. With a prefatory notice . . . by Symington, A. J. (The Canterbury Poets.) [1884, etc.]

Poetical Works. With an introduction by Morley, J. (Bibliography by Tutin, J. R.) 1888.

Poetical Works. 8 vols. Glasgow [1889].

Poetical Works. Ed., with memoir, by Dowden, E. 7 vols. [Memoir in vol. I and bibliography and chronological table of poems in vol. VII.] (Aldine edition of the British Poets.) 1892–3.

Poetical Works. Ed., with introduction and notes, Hutchinson, T. 5 vols. 1895.

Poetical Works. Ed., with introduction and notes, Hutchinson, T. (Oxford edition.) 1904.

Poems. Ed., with an introduction and notes, Smith, C. N. 3 vols. 1908.

Poetical Works. With introduction by Dowden, E. [1910.]

B. *Selections*

The Earlier Poems of William Wordsworth corrected as in the latest editions. With preface and notes, showing the text as it stood in 1815. Ed. Johnson, W. 1857.

Pastoral Poems. . . . Illustrated with numerous engravings. 1858.
Poems. . . . Selected and ed. Willmott, R. A. 1859.
Poems. . . . Chosen and edited by Arnold, Matthew. (Golden Treasury Series.) 1879.
Poems. . . . Selected from the best editions. 2 vols. 1880.
Poems of Wordsworth. Selected from the best editions. 2 vols. [1885.]
Selections from Wordsworth, with a brief sketch of his life. Cincinnati, 1886.
Selections from Wordsworth. By Knight, W. and other members of the Wordsworth Society. With preface and notes. 1888.
Early Poems. [Selected by Tutin, J. R.] 1889.
Selections from Wordsworth. With notes by George, A. J. Boston, (U. S. A.), 1889.
Select Poems. . . . Ed., with notes, Rolfe, W. J. New York, 1889.
Lyrics and Sonnets of Wordsworth. Selected and ed. Shorter, C. K. 1892.
Shorter Poems. With introduction and notes by Dent, W. 1895.
The Lyric Poems of Wordsworth. (The Lyric Poets.) [1897.]
Poems. . . . A selection. Ed. Dowden, E. Boston, U. S. A. and London, 1897.
Poems dedicated to National Independence and Liberty. Rptd. on behalf of the Greek struggle for the independence of Crete. With an introduction by Brooke, Stopford A. 1897.
Selections from Wordsworth. Ed., with introduction and notes, Webb, W. T. 1897.
Selections. . . . With an introduction by Caird, E. 1899.
Selections. Ed. Smith, N. C. (Little Library.) 1901.
Poems from Wordsworth. (Chosen and ed. Moore, T. S.) 1902.
Poems. With an introduction by Meynell, A. (Red Letter Library.) 1903.
Poems. . . . Selected and ed. Knight, W. 1904.
Selections from Wordsworth, preceded by Lowell's Essay on Wordsworth and annotated by Cotterill, H. B. 1904.
Select Poems from Wordsworth. With an introduction by Morley, H. (Cassell's National Library.) 1905.
Poems. . . . Selected, with an introduction, by Brooke, Stopford A. Illustrated by New, E. H. 1907.
A Decade of Years. Poems by William Wordsworth, 1798–1807. [Selected by C. S. *i. e.* Cobden-Sanderson, T. J.] Hammersmith, 1911.

C. *Separate Poems*

Descriptive Sketches. In verse. Taken during a pedestrian tour in the Italian, Grison, Swiss and Savoyard Alps. 1793.
An Evening Walk. An epistle in verse; addressed to a Young Lady; from the Lakes of the North of England. 1793.
Lyrical Ballads, with a few other poems. [With the Rime of The Ancient Mariner and The Nightingale, by S. T. Coleridge.] 1798. Rptd. ed. Dowden, E. 1891. Rptd. ed. Hutchinson, T. 1898. 2nd edn. 1907. Ed., with introduction, notes and appendix containing Wordsworth's preface of 1800, Littledale, H. 1911.
—— with other poems [including The Ancient Mariner, The Foster-Mother's Tale, The Nightingale, The Dungeon, and Love, by S. T. Coleridge]. 2 vols. 1800. 2nd edn. 2 vols. 1800. 3rd edn. 1802. 4th edn. 1805.
The Excursion, being a portion of the Recluse. 1814. 2nd edn. 1820.
The Recluse. 1888.

The White Doe of Rylstone; or the Fate of the Nortons. A poem. (The Force of Prayer; or the Foundling of Bolton Priory. A tradition.) [In verse.] 1815, 1859, 1867, 1889. Ed., with introduction, selected criticisms and notes, Quinn, M. T. Madras, 1889. Ed. Knight, W. Oxford, 1891.

Thanksgiving Ode. January 18, 1816. With other short pieces, chiefly referring to recent public events. 1816.

The Waggoner, a poem. To which are added Sonnets. 1819.

Peter Bell: a Tale in verse. [With four Sonnets.] 1819. 2nd edn. 1819.

The Little Maid and the Gentleman: or, We are Seven. [1820.]

The River Duddon, a series of sonnets: Vaudracour and Julia: and other poems. To which is annexed a topographical description of the country of the Lakes in the North of England. 1820.

Ecclesiastical Sketches. [Sonnets.] 1822.

Memorials of a Tour on the Continent. 1822.

Yarrow Revisited, and other poems. 1835. 2nd edn. 1836.

Lines after the death of Charles Lamb. [1835?]

The Sonnets of W. W. . . . . with a few additional ones now first published. 1838. Ed. with an Essay on the history of the English Sonnet, by Trench, R. C. 1884.

Ode on the Installation of H. R. H. Prince Albert, as Chancellor of the University of Cambridge. [1847.]

The Prelude, or Growth of a Poet's Mind; autobiographical poem. 1850. Ed. Smith, G. C. Moore. (The Temple Classics.) 1896. Ed., with notes and introduction, Worsfold, B. 1904.

III. Prose Works

A. *Collections*

Prose Works. For the first time collected, with additions from unpublished manuscripts. Ed., with preface, notes and illustrations, Grosart, A. B. 3 vols. 1876.

Prose Writings of Wordsworth; selected and edited, with an introduction, by Knight, W. (The Scott Library.) 1893.

Prose Works. Ed. Knight, W. 2 vols. 1896.

Wordsworth's Prefaces and Essays on Poetry, with letter to Lady Beaumont, 1798–1845. Ed., with introduction and notes, George, A. J. (Heath's English Classics.) Boston (U. S. A.), 1892.

Wordsworth's Literary Criticism. Ed., with an introduction, Smith, N. C. 1905.

B. *Separate Works*

Concerning the Relations of Great Britain, Spain, and Portugal, to each other, and to the Common Enemy, at this Crisis, and specifically as affected by the Convention of Cintra. 1809. 2nd edn. 1820. 3rd edn. 1836.

A letter to a friend of Robert Burns [James Gray], occasioned by an intended republication of the Account of the life of Burns by Dr. Currie. 1816. Rptd. in Literary Pamphlets. Ed. Rhys, E. Vol. II. 1897.

A Description of the Scenery of the Lakes in the North of England. Third edition. Now first published separately. [A portion originally appeared as an introduction to J. Wilkinson's Select Views in Cumberland, 1810; and was included in The River Duddon, a series of Sonnets, 1820.] With additions, and illustrative remarks upon the Scenery of the Alps. 1822. Also 1823, 1835, 1853, 1859.

A Guide through the District of the Lakes, in the North of England, with a Description of the Scenery, etc. For the use of Tourists and Residents. Fifth Edition, with considerable additions. Kendal, 1835.

Wordsworth's Guide to the Lakes. Fifth edition [rptd.]: With an introduction, appendices, and notes textual and illustrative, by Sélincourt, E. de. With a map and eight illustrations. 1906.

Kendal and Windermere Railway: Two Letters reprinted from the Morning Post. Revised, with additions. Kendal [1844].

IV. Biography and Criticism

See, also, the various introductions to editions of Wordsworth's works mentioned in sections II and III, *ante.*

Alger, W. R. The Solitudes of Nature and of Man. Pp. 277–289. Boston, (U. S. A.), 1867.

Arnold, Matthew. Essays in Criticism. Second Series. 1888.

Bagehot, W. Literary Studies, 1879.

Baumgartner, A. William Wordsworth nach seiner gemeinverständl. Seite dargestellt. Zürich, 1897.

Beatty, A. Wordsworth and Hartley. The Nation, 17 July, 1913. New York.

Bensusan, S. L. William Wordsworth. His homes and haunts. (Pilgrim Books. No. 3.) [1910.]

Blakeney, E. H. Wordsworth. An essay. 1891.

Bömig, Karl. William Wordsworth im Urteile seiner Zeit. 1906.

Boucher, Léon. Histoire de la Littérature anglaise. Pp. 355–363. Paris, 1890.

Bourget, Paul. Études et Portraits. Vol. II, pp. 83, 126–134. 1888.

Bradley, A. C. English poetry and German philosophy in the age of Wordsworth. 1909.

Brandes, G. M. C. Hauptströmungen der Literatur des neunzehnten Jahrhunderts. Vol. IV, chaps. V and VI. Berlin, 1876. English trans. 1901, etc.

Brandl, A. Samuel Taylor Coleridge und die englische Romantik. Berlin, 1886.

Brooke, Stopford A. Theology in the English poets. 1874. 10th edn. 1907.

—— Dove Cottage: Wordsworth's Home. 1890.

Calvert, G. H. Wordsworth. A biographic aesthetic study. 1878.

Carlyle, T. Reminiscences. 2 vols. Ed. Froude, J. A. Vol. II, p. 330. 1881.

Carr, M. Thomas Wilkinson: a friend of Wordsworth. 1905.

Catalogue of the varied and valuable, historical, poetical, theological and miscellaneous Library of . . . W. Wordsworth, which will be sold by auction . . . at . . . Rydal Mount, near Ambleside, Windermere . . . July, 1859, etc. Preston [1859].

Clough, A. H. Poems and Prose Remains. Vol. I. 1869.

Coleridge, S. T. Biographia Literaria. 2 vols. 1817.

—— Letters. Ed. Coleridge, E. H. 2 vols. 1905.

—— Table Talk. Ed. Ashe, T. 1846.

Cooper, Lane. A Concordance to the Poems of W. Wordsworth. 1911.

—— A Glance at Wordsworth's Reading. Modern Language Notes, March, pp. 83–89, April, pp. 110–117. 1907.

Corkran, A. The Romance of Woman's Influence. . . . Dorothy Wordsworth. 1906.

Cotterill, H. B. and Rolleston, T. W. Über Wordsworth und Walt Whitman. Zwei Vorträge. Dresden, 1883.

Cottle, J. Early Recollections. 2 vols. 1837[–9].
Cunningham, A. Biographical and critical history of the British Literature of the last fifty years. Paris, 1834.
Darmesteter, J. Essais de Littérature anglaise. Pp. 227–236. Paris, 1883.
De Quincey, T. De Quincey Memorials. Ed. Japp, A. H. 2 vols. 1891.
De Vere, A. Essays, chiefly on Poetry. 2 vols. 1887.
Dowden, E. Studies in Literature, 1789–1877. 1878.
—— New Studies in Literature. 1895.
—— The Text of Wordsworth's Poems. Contemporary Review. Vol. XXXIII, 734–757. 1878.
—— The Recluse. Saturday Review. Vol. LXVII, p. 43. 1889.
Dunbar, A. M. Wordsworth's Use of Milton's Description of the Building of Pandemonium. Modern Language Notes. Vol. XXIV, No. 4, p. 124. April, 1909.
Emerson, R. W. English Traits. 1856.
Fotheringham, J. Wordsworth's Prelude as a study of Education. 1899.
Gilfillan, G. William Wordsworth. Galleries of literary portraits. Vol. I. 1856.
Gingerich, S. F. Wordsworth, Tennyson, and Browning: a study in human freedom. 1911.
Hales, J. W. The last Decade of the last Century. Contemporary Review. Vol. LXII, pp. 422–441. 1892.
Hazlitt, W. The English Poets. 1818. Works. Edd. Waller, A. R. and Glover, A. Vol. V. 1902.
—— My first acquaintance with Poets. The Liberal, 1823. Works. Edd. Waller, A. R. and Glover, A. Vol. XII. 1904.
—— The Spirit of the Age, or contemporary portraits. 1825. Works. Edd. Waller, A. R. and Glover, A. Vol. IV. 1902.
Hepple, N. Lyrical Forms in English. Ed. Hepple, N. Cambridge, 1911.
Herford, C. H. The Age of Wordsworth. 1897.
Hood, E. P. W. Wordsworth, a Biography. 1856.
Horne, R. H. A New Spirit of the Age. Vol. I, pp. 307–332. 1844.
Howitt, W. Homes and Haunts of the most eminent British Poets. 2 vols. 1847.
Hudson, H. N. Studies in Wordsworth. 1884.
Hutchinson, T. Wordsworth's Castle of Indolence Stanzas. Fortnightly Review. Vol. LXII, pp. 685–704. 1894.
Hutton, R. H. Essays Theological and Literary. 2 vols. 1871.
Kirlew, M. Famous Sisters of Great Men. . . . Dorothy Wordsworth, etc. 1905.
Knight, W. A. The English Lake District as interpreted in the poems of Wordsworth. Edinburgh, 1878.
—— Letters of the Wordsworth Family from 1787 to 1855 [chiefly by W. W.]. 1907.
—— Memorials of Coleorton. 2 vols. Edinburgh, 1887.
—— Through the Wordsworth Country. 1906.
—— Wordsworthiana. 1889.
Lamb, Charles. Works. Ed. Ainger, A. 12 vols. 1899–1900.
Landor, W. S. Imaginary Conversations. Ed. Crump, C. G. 6 vols. 1891.
Lee, Edmund. Dorothy Wordsworth. [1894.]
Legouis, E. The early life of Wordsworth, 1770–1798. Transl. by Matthews, J. W. 1897.
Lienemann, K. Die Belesenheit von W. Wordsworth. 1908.

Lockhart, J. B. Life of Sir Walter Scott. 10 vols. 1903.
Lonsdale, H. W. Wordsworth [a biographical sketch]. The Worthies of Cumberland. 1867, etc.
Lowell, J. R. Among my Books. 1870.
Macaulay, T. B. Critical and Historical Essays. 1852.
MacDonald, G. The Imagination and other Essays. Boston (U. S. A.), 1883.
Mackie, Alexander. Nature Knowledge in Modern Poetry. 1906.
Magnus, L. A Primer of Wordsworth. 1897.
Malleson, F. A. Holiday Studies of Wordsworth. 1890.
Mason, E. T. Personal Traits of British Authors. New York, 1885.
Masson, David. Wordsworth, Shelley, Keats, etc. Pp. 3–74. 1875.
Matthes, J. C. Naturbeschreibung bei Wordsworth. Dissertation. Leipzig, 1901.
Mill, J. S. Autobiography. Ed. Taylor, Helen. 1873.
Minto, W. The literature of the Georgian era. 1894.
Mitford, M. R. Recollections of a Literary Life. 1852.
Myers, F. W. H. Wordsworth. (English Men of Letters Series.) 1881.
Park, J. A Greenockian's visit to Wordsworth. 1887.
Pater, W. H. Appreciations. 1889.
Patterson, A. S. Poets and Preachers; four lectures, biographical and critical, on Wordsworth. 1862.
Pichot, A. Voyage historique et littéraire en Angleterre et en Écosse. Vol. II. pp. 363–394. Paris, 1829.
Pughe, F. H. Studien über Byron und Wordsworth. Anglistische Forschungen. Heft VIII. 1902.
Punch, C. Wordsworth: an introduction to his life and works. [1907.]
Raleigh, Sir W. A. Wordsworth. 1903.
Rannie, D. Wordsworth and his circle. 1907.
Reynolds, M. The treatment of Nature in English poetry between Pope and Wordsworth. Chicago, 1909.
Robertson, E. S. Wordsworthshire. An introduction to a poet's country. 1911.
Robertson, F. W. Lectures on the Influence of Poetry, and Wordsworth. 1906.
—— Wordsworth: a criticism. (Book Lovers' Booklets. No. 1.) [1907.]
Robinson, H. Crabb. Diary, Reminiscences and Correspondence. 3 vols. 1869. 2 vols. 1872.
Sanftleben, P. Wordsworth's Borderers und die Entwicklung der nationalen Tragödie in England im 18 Jahrh. (Rostocker dissertation.) 1907.
Sarrazin, G. La Renaissance de la Poésie anglaise. 1887.
Searle, J. Memoirs of W. Wordsworth. 1852.
Shairp, J. C. Wordsworth. Studies in Poetry and Philosophy. Edinburgh, 1872.
—— The Three Yarrows.—The White Doe of Rylstone. Aspects of Poetry. Oxford, 1881.
Shorthouse, J. H. On the Platonism of Wordsworth. [1882.]
Sneath, E. H. Wordsworth: poet of nature and poet of man. New York, 1912.
Southey, Robert. Life and Correspondence. Ed. Southey, C. C. 6 vols. 1849–50.
Stephen, Sir L. Hours in a Library. New edn. Vol. II. 1892.
—— Wordsworth's Youth. Studies of a Biographer. Vol. I. 1898.
Stuart, M. Letters from the Lake Poets. 1889.
Suddard, S. J. M. L'imagination de Wordsworth. Essais de littérature anglaise. Cambridge, 1912. (Also in English.)

Sutherland, J. M. W. Wordsworth, the story of his life. 1892.
Swinburne, A. C. Miscellanies. 1886.
Symonds, J. A. Matthew Arnold's Selections from Wordsworth. Fortnightly Review. Vol. XXXII, p. 686. 1879.
Taylor, H. Notes from Books, in four essays. 1849.
—— Works. 5 vols. 1877–8.
Ticknor, G. Life, Letters, and Journals. 2 vols. Ed. Hillard, G. S. New York, 1909.
Transactions of the Wordsworth Society. 1882, etc.
Tutin, J. R. An Index to the Animal and Vegetable Kingdoms of Wordsworth. 1892.
—— The Wordsworth Dictionary of Persons and Places. 1891.
Whipple, E. P. A review of the 1837 edition of Wordsworth's Works. North American Review. Vol. LIX, pp. 352–384. 1844.
White, W. H. A Description of the Wordsworth and Coleridge Manuscripts in the possession of Mr. T. N. Longman. 1897.
—— An Examination of the charge of Apostasy against Wordsworth. 1898.
Wilson, John. Recreations of Christopher North. 3 vols. 1842.
Wintringham, W. H. The Birds of Wordsworth. 1892.
Wordsworth, Christopher. Memoirs of W. Wordsworth. (Autobiographical memoranda dictated by Wordsworth, Nov. 1847.) 2 vols. 1851.
Wordsworth, Dorothy. Journals. Ed. Knight, W. 2 vols. 1897.
—— Recollections of a Tour made in Scotland, A.D. 1803. Ed. Shairp, J. C. Edinburgh, 1894.
Wordsworth, Elizabeth. William Wordsworth. 1891.
Wright, J. The Genius of Wordsworth harmonized with the wisdom and integrity of his reviewers. 1853.
Yarnall, E. Wordsworth and the Coleridges. 1899.

G. A. B.

CHAPTER VI

COLERIDGE

An exhaustive bibliography of the writings in prose and verse of S. T. Coleridge, by Mr. T. J. Wise, was issued by the Bibliographical Society in 1913.

I. Poetical and Dramatic Works

A. *Collected Editions*

Poetical Works. 3 vols. 1828.
—— 3 vols. 1829.
—— 3 vols. 1834.
Poems. 1844.
Poems. 1848.
Poems. Ed. Coleridge, Derwent and Sara. 1852. [Frequently rptd.]
Dramatic Works. Ed. Coleridge, Derwent. 1852. [Frequently rptd.]
Poems. Ed. Coleridge, Derwent and Sara. 1863. [Frequently rptd.]
Poems. Ed. Coleridge, Derwent and Sara. New and enlarged edn. with life of the author. 1870.
Poetical Works. Ed., with a critical memoir, by Rossetti, W. M. [1872.]
Poetical and Dramatic Works. Ed. Shepherd, R. H. 4 vols. 1877. Re-issued 1880.

Poetical and Dramatic Works. Ed. Ashe, T. 2 vols. 1885. (Aldine edn. [Frequently rptd.]
Poetical Works. Ed. with biographical introduction by Campbell, J. D. 1893. Rptd. 1899, etc.
Poems. With introduction by Coleridge, E. H. [1907.]
Poems, including poems and versions of poems now published for the first time. Ed. with textual and bibliographical notes by Coleridge, E. H. Oxford, 1912.
Complete Poetical Works. Ed. Coleridge, E. H. 2 vols. Oxford, 1912.

B. *Selections*

Christabel and the lyrical and imaginative poems of S. T. Coleridge. Arranged and introduced by Swinburne, A. C. 1869. Swinburne's introductory essay rptd. in his Essays and Studies, 1875.
The Golden Book of Coleridge. Ed. Brooke, S. A. 1895. Rptd. 1906 (Everyman's Library).
The Poetry of Samuel Taylor Coleridge. Ed. Garnett, R. 1898.
Coleridge's Poems. A facsimile reproduction of the proofs and MSS. of some of the poems. Ed. Campbell, J. D. With preface and notes by White, W. H. Westminster, 1899.
Poems. Selected and arranged with an introduction and notes by Symons, A. (1905.)
Poems. Selected with an introduction by Dowden, E. Edinburgh (1907).
The Ancient Mariner und Christabel, mit literarhistorischer Einleitung und Kommentar. Hrsg. von Eichler, A. (Wiener Beiträge zur engl. Philologie.) Vienna, 1907.
Poems of Nature and Romance, 1794-1807. Ed. Keeling, M. A. Oxford, 1910.

C. *Poems published separately.*

Poems on various subjects. 1796. 2nd edn. To which are now added Poems by Charles Lamb and Charles Lloyd. Bristol, London, 1797. 3rd edn. 1803. [Omitting the contributions of Charles Lamb and Charles Lloyd.]
Ode on the Departing year. Bristol, 1796.
The Vision of the Maid of Orleans. Contributed to Southey's Joan of Arc (1796). Republished in Sibylline Leaves (1817) and later collections as The Destiny of Nations.
[Selected Sonnets from Bowles, Lamb, and others with four Sonnets by S. T. C. and a prefatory essay on the Sonnet. Privately ptd., 1796.]
Fears in Solitude. Written in 1798 during the alarm of an invasion. To which are added France, an Ode; and Frost at Midnight. 1798. [Rptd. in The Poetical Register in 1812, and also privately in the same year.]
Lyrical Ballads, with a few other poems. [By Wordsworth and Coleridge. The Rime of the Ancient Mariner appeared here for the first time.] Bristol, 1798. Re-issued in London, 1798. Rptd., ed. Dowden, E., 1890; 2nd edn., 1891; ed. Hutchinson, T., 1898; 2nd edn., 1907; ed. Littledale, H., 1911.
Lyrical Ballads, with other poems, in two volumes. 1800, 1802, 1805.
Christabel; Kubla Khan, a vision; The Pains of Sleep. 1816. 2nd edn. 1816. 3rd edn. 1816.
Sibylline Leaves. A collection of poems. [The Rime of the Ancient Mariner was here acknowledged for the first time.] 1817.

A Hebrew Dirge chaunted in the Great Synagogue, St. James's Place, Aldgate, on the day of the funeral of the Princess Charlotte. By Hurwitz, Hyman With a translation in English verse by Coleridge, S. T. 1817.

The Tears of a Grateful People. A Hebrew Dirge and Hymn chaunted in the Great Synagogue, St. James's Place, Aldgate, on the day of the funeral of King George III. By Hurwitz, Hyman. Translated into English verse by a friend [S. T. C]. (1820.)

The Devil's Walk. A Poem by Coleridge, S. T. and Southey, R. 1830. [Originally] published anonymously and attributed to Porson.]

Christabel. Illustrated by a facsimile of the MS. and by textual and other notes by Coleridge, E. H. 1907.

A. T. B.

D. *Plays published separately*

The Fall of Robespierre. An historic drama. Cambridge, 1794. (Act I by Coleridge, Acts II, III by Southey.)

[Coleridge's contribution, obviously under the influence of Shakespeare's Julius Caesar, presents the scheming and contriving of the anti-Robespierre faction. Acts II and III by Southey depict the fall of Robespierre at the Convention. The whole play suggests that Robespierre had become a tyrant, but, with its pretentious rhetoric and lack of characterisation, it reads more like a second Reflections on the French Revolution than a drama. The poets, between them, have succeeded in suggesting no unworthy idea of the spirit of freedom finding outlet in action.]

Wallenstein. A Drama in Two Parts. 1799–1800 (*i.e.* The Piccolomini, 5 acts; The Death of Wallenstein, 5 acts. The one-act prelude, Wallenstein's Lager, making up Schiller's original trilogy, was not transl.). Rptd. 1866, 1889 (Bohn).

[This translation is Coleridge's most substantial contribution to the English stage. At a time when Ireland had nearly persuaded the public to accept arid rhetoric for Shakespeare, and "Monk" Lewis had quite persuaded them to take spectacular melodrama for tragedy, Coleridge introduced them to Schiller's virile German drama (despite its rococo love scenes), with its lesson of destiny, ambition and heroism. The rapidly moving plot, with its fine speeches and characters outlined clearly by action, must have been a revelation after Kotzebue (the only German dramatist familiar at that time to the general public), and may have helped indirectly to inspire the dramatic efforts of Shelley and Byron. Coleridge's versification is uneven, but the translation is scholarly; and English idiom is not sacrificed to literal accuracy.]

Remorse. A Tragedy in five Acts. 1813. 2nd edn. 1813. 3rd edn. 1813. (The revised version of Osorio which Coleridge had sent to Sheridan as early as 1797 and which was ptd. "from a copy recently discovered," ed. Shepherd R. H., 1873.)

[The theme, familiar to XVIII century readers (cf. Cumberland's The Brothers and Schiller's Die Räuber), is that of the elder brother returning to his betrothed and his home from which his scheming cadet has ousted him. The play has some fine passages, *e.g.* the monologue (act V, sc. I), where Alvar, in the dungeon of the Inquisition, gives expression, like Condorcet, to his dreams of the perfectibility of mankind; but Remorse is neither true tragedy nor true drama. The age still tended towards a drawing-room ideal of self-restraint and sentimentality, and Coleridge, falling, like all his con-

temporaries, under this influence, has not portrayed the complex yet primitive nature of the great passions, but only their rhetoric.]

Zapolya. A Christmas Tale in two parts. 1817.

[Described by the author as a "dramatic poem" "in humble imitation of the *Winter's Tale*." The play has more movement than Remorse and works up to an unmistakable climax, but the personages are still hardly more than declamatory rhetoricians. The character of Laska, Casimir's steward, shows some knowledge of stage requirements, but is not convincing. As in Remorse there is a romantic background of gorgeous palaces and labyrinthine caverns, and some fine poetry (*e.g.* pt. I, act I, sc. I, Kiuprili's tirade on the fallacy of popular opinion).]

H. V. Routh.

II. Prose Works

This list does not include the various prospectuses of Courses of Lectures issued by Coleridge. For these, reference should be made to Mr. Wise's Bibliography.

A Moral and Political Lecture delivered at Bristol. Bristol [1795].

Conciones ad populum or Addresses to the People. [Bristol] 1795.

The Plot discovered, or an address to the People against Ministerial treason. Bristol, 1795.

An answer to "A Letter to Edward Long Fox, M.D." [1795.]

The Watchman. 10 numbers. Bristol, 1796.

The Friend. A literary, moral, and political weekly paper, excluding personal and party politics and events of the day. 28 numbers. Penrith, 1809–10. Re-issued, with supplementary matter, 1812. New edn. 3 vols. 1818. 3rd edn. 3 vols. 1837. New edn. by Coleridge, H. N. 2 vols. 1863. Rptd., in Bohn's Standard Library, 1865; and frequently since that date.

Omniana, or Horae Otiosiores. 2 vols. 1812. [Ed. Southey, R., with numerous articles by Coleridge.]

The Statesman's Manual; or the Bible the best guide to political skill and foresight. A lay sermon addressed to the higher classes of society. 1816.

Blessed are ye that sow beside all waters. A lay sermon addressed to the higher and middle classes on the existing discontents. 1817. [This and the preceding Sermon rptd. in 1839 and 1852 with On the constitution of the Church and State.]

Biographia Literaria, or biographical sketches of my literary life and opinions. 2 vols. 1817. 2nd edn. Ed. Coleridge, H. N. and Coleridge, Sara. 1847. Rptd. with Two Lay Sermons in Bohn's Standard Library. 1865, etc. Ed. Symons, A. (Everyman's Library.) (1906.) Ed. with his aesthetical essays by Shawcross, J. 2 vols. Oxford, 1907.

Remarks on the objections which have been urged against the principle of Sir Robert Peel's Bill. [1818.]

The Grounds of Sir Robert Peel's Bill vindicated. [1818.] [This and the preceding rptd. privately 1913.]

On Method. A preliminary treatise forming the General Introduction to the Encyclopaedia Metropolitana, 1818. 5th edn. 1852. Rptd. with Whately's Logic and Rhetoric. 1855.

Aids to Reflection in the formation of a manly character, on the several grounds of prudence, morality, and religion; illustrated by select passages from our elder divines, especially from Archbishop Leighton. 1825. 5th edn. en-

larged, ed. Coleridge, H. N. 2 vols. 1843. 7th edn. Ed. Coleridge, D. 1854. New edn., with Confessions of an Inquiring Spirit and Essays on Faith and the Common Prayer-Book, in Bohn's Standard Library. 1884. Rptd. 1904, etc.

On the constitution of the Church and State, according to the idea of each; with aids toward a right judgment on the late Catholic Bill. 1830. 2nd edn. 1830. 3rd edn. 1839. 4th edn. 1852. [With the 3rd and 4th edns. were rept. the two Lay Sermons.]

Specimens of the Table-Talk of S. T. Coleridge. (Ed. Coleridge, H. N.) 2 vols. 1835. Republished as Table-Talk and Omniana of S. T. Coleridge. With additional Table-Talk from Allsop's Recollections and manuscript matter not before printed. Ed. Ashe, T. (Bohn's Standard Library.) 1884, etc.

Literary Remains. Ed. Coleridge, H. N. 4 vols. 1836–9.

Confessions of an Inquiring Spirit. Ed. from the author's MS. by Coleridge, H. N. 1840. 3rd edn. 1853.

Hints towards the formation of a more comprehensive theory of life. Ed. Watson, Seth B. 1848.

Notes and Lectures upon Shakespeare and some of the old Poets and Dramatists, with other literary remains of S. T. Coleridge. Ed. Coleridge, Mrs. H. N. 2 vols. 1849.

Essays on his own times; forming a second series of The Friend. Ed. by his daughter. 3 vols. 1850.

Notes on English Divines. Ed. Coleridge, Derwent. 2 vols. 1853.

Notes theological, political, and miscellaneous. Ed. Coleridge, Derwent. 1853.

Seven Lectures on Shakespeare and Milton, by the late S. T. Coleridge. Ed. Collier, J. P. 1856.

Notes on Stillingfleet. Privately ptd., 1875.

Lectures and Notes on Shakespeare and other English Poets. Ed. Ashe, T. (Bohn's Standard Library.) 1883, etc.

Miscellanies, aesthetic and literary; to which is added the Theory of Life. Collected and arranged by Ashe, T. (Bohn's Standard Library.) 1885, etc.

Critical Annotations. Being marginal notes inscribed in volumes formerly in his possession. Ed. Taylor, W. F. 1889.

Anima Poetae. From the unpublished note-books of S. T. Coleridge. Ed. Coleridge, E. H. 1895.

Essays and Lectures on Shakspeare and other old poets and dramatists. (Everyman's Library.) (1907.)

Coleridge's Literary Criticism. With introduction by Mackail, J. W. Oxford, 1908.

III. Correspondence

Unpublished Letters to the Rev. John Prior Estlin. Communicated to the Philobiblon Society by Bright, H. A. Vol. xv. 1877–1884.

Memorials of Coleorton: being Letters from Coleridge, Wordsworth and his sister, Southey, and Sir Walter Scott to Sir George and Lady Beaumont of Coleorton, 1803–1834. Ed. Knight, W. 2 vols. Edinburgh, 1887.

Letters, 1785–1834. Ed. Coleridge, E. H. 2 vols. 1895.

Letters from the Lake Poets to Daniel Stuart. Ed. Stuart, M. 1889.

Biographia Epistolaris: being the biographical supplement of Coleridge's Biographia Literaria, with additional letters. Ed. Turnbull, A. 2 vols. 1911.

Letters hitherto uncollected. Ed. Prideaux, W. F. Privately ptd. 1913.

IV. Biography and Criticism

See, also, the various introductions to editions of Coleridge's works mentioned under sections I, II, and III, and bibliography to chapter (V) on Wordsworth, *ante,* sect. IV.

Ainger, A. Nether Stowey.—Coleridge's Ode to Wordsworth. Lectures and Essays. Vol. II. 1905.

Allsop, T. Letters, Conversations, and Recollections of S. T. Coleridge. [Ed. Allsop, T.] 2 vols. 1836. 3rd edn. 1864.

Aynard, J. La vie d'un poète. Coleridge. Paris, 1907. [This is the most helpful account which has yet been written of Coleridge as a thinker, particularly as a political thinker.]

Brandes, G. Die Hauptströmungen der Literatur des 19 Jahrhunderts. Transl. by Strodtmann, A. Vol. IV, chap. VII. Berlin, 1876. English trans. 1901, etc.

Brandl, A. Samuel Taylor Coleridge und die englische Romantik. Berlin, 1886. English transl. by Lady Eastlake. 1887.

Brooke, Stopford A. Theology in the English Poets. Coleridge. 1874. 10th edn. 1907.

Caine, T. Hall. Life of Samuel Taylor Coleridge. (Great Writers Series.) [With useful bibliography.] 1887.

—— Recollections of D. G. Rossetti. [Rossetti's views on Coleridge.] 1882.

Calvert, G. H. Coleridge, Shelley, Goethe. Biographic aesthetic studies. (1880.)

Campbell, J. D. Samuel Taylor Coleridge. A narrative of the events of his life. 1894. 2nd edn. 1896.

Carlyle, T. Life of John Sterling. (Pt. I, chap. VIII, Coleridge.) 1851.

Cestre, C. La Révolution française et les poètes anglais (1789–1809). Paris, 1906. [This contains a luminous account of Coleridge's relations with Southey, and of his early poems and political ideals.]

Coleridge, E. H. Life of Coleridge. Chambers's Cyclopaedia of English Literature. Vol. III. 1903.

Coleridge, Hartley. Poems. Ed. with a memoir by Coleridge, Derwent. 2 vols. 1851.

Coleridge, Sara. Memoir and Letters of Sara Coleridge. Ed. by her daughter. 2 vols. 1873.

Conversations at Cambridge. S. T. Coleridge at Trinity, with specimens of his Table-Talk. [Attributed to R. A. Willmott.] 1836.

Cottle, J. Early recollections chiefly relating to the late S. T. Coleridge during his long residence in Bristol. 1837. 2nd edn. revised. 1847.

De Quincey, T. S. T. Coleridge. Collected writings. Vol. II. Edinburgh, 1889.

—— Coleridge and Opium Eating. Collected writings. Vol. V. Edinburgh, 1890.

Dowden, E. Coleridge as a Poet. New Studies in Literature. 1895.

Gilfillan, G. Samuel Taylor Coleridge. Galleries of literary portraits. Vol. I. 1856.

Gillman, A. W. The Gillmans of Highgate, with Letters from S. T. Coleridge, etc. [1895.]

Gillman, J. The Life of S. T. Coleridge. Vol. I. 1838. (No more appeared.)

Green, J. H. Spiritual Philosophy founded on the teaching of the late S. T. Coleridge. 2 vols. 1865.

Hazlitt, W. My first acquaintance with Poets. The Liberal, 1823. Works. Edd. Waller, A. R. and Glover, A. Vol. XII. 1904.
—— Mr. Coleridge. The Spirit of the Age, 1825. Works. Edd. Waller, A. R. and Glover, A. Vol. IV. 1902.
Jack, A. A. and Bradley, A. C. Short bibliography of Coleridge. English Association. Leaflet 23. 1912. [Very useful.]
Lamb, C. Christ's Hospital five and thirty years ago.—The Two Races of Men. Essays of Elia. Works. Ed. Lucas, E. V. Vol. II. 1903.
—— Letters. Ed. Lucas, E. V. 2 vols. (1912.)
Lucas, E. V. Charles Lamb and the Lloyds. 1898.
Mill, J. S. Coleridge. Dissertations and Discussions. Vol. I. 1859.
Pater, W. Coleridge. Appreciations. 1889.
Robinson, H. C. Diary. Ed. Sadler, T. 3 vols. 1869. 2 vols. 1872.
Sandford, Mrs. H. Thomas Poole and his friends. 2 vols. 1888.
Shairp, J. C. Coleridge. Studies in Poetry and Philosophy. 4th edn. Edinburgh, 1886.
Shepherd, R. H. The Bibliography of Coleridge. Revised, corrected, and enlarged by Prideaux, W. F. 1900.
Stephen, Sir L. Coleridge. Hours in a Library. Vol. III. 1892.
Traill, H. D. Coleridge. (English Men of Letters Series.) 1884.
White, W. H. A description of the Wordsworth and Coleridge MSS. in the possession of Mr. T. Norton Longman. 1897.

A. T. B.

CHAPTER VII

GEORGE CRABBE

I. Poetical Works

A. *Collected Editions*

Works. In seven volumes. 1820. Also 5 vols., 1820; 7 vols., 1822; 5 vols.,1823.
Poetical Works. Paris [1829].
Poetical Works: with his letters and journals, and his life by his Son. In eight volumes. 1834. Also (in 8 vols.) 1835, 1836, 1847; and (in 1 vol.) 1847, 1854, 1861, 1866, 1901.
Poetical Works. With a life by W. R. 1858.
Poems. Ed. Ward, A. W. . . . Cambridge. 3 vols. 1905, 1906, 1907. [Contains previously unpublished poems, and a bibliography in vol. III, pp. 554–568.]
Poetical Works. Ed. Carlyle, A. J. and Carlyle, R. M. Oxford, 1908.

B. *Selections*

Beauties of the Rev. George Crabbe. With a biographical sketch. 1832.
Cullings from Crabbe, with a memoir of his life and notices of his writings. Bath, 1832.
Readings in Crabbe's Tales of the Hall. [Selected and edited by FitzGerald, E.] Guildford, 1879. Re-issued (with an Introduction) 1882, 1883.
The English Poets. By Ward, T. H. 1883–4. (Crabbe, ed. Courthope, W. J. vol. III, p. 581.)
Poems (selected). Ed. Morley, H. 1886.
The Poetical Works of George Crabbe (selected), with prefatory notice, biographical and critical, by Lamplough, E. 1888.

Tales by George Crabbe, ed. with an introduction by Morley, H. 1891.
The Poets and the Poetry of the Century. Ed. Miles, A. H. Vol. I, pp. 1–84. [1891.]
The Poems of George Crabbe. A selection arranged by Holland, B. 1899.
English Tales in Verse. With an introduction by Herford, C. H. Pp. 155–182. 1902.
Selections from the Poems of George Crabbe, with an introduction and notes by Deane, A. 1903.

C. *Separate Poems*

For Juvenilia, consult Crabbe's Poems, ed. Ward, A. W., Cambridge, 1905–7, prefatory note to vols. I, III and bibliography.

Inebriety, a Poem, in three Parts. Ipswich, 1775.
The Candidate. A Poetical Epistle to the Authors of the Monthly Review. 1780.
The Library. A Poem. 1781. 2nd edn. 1783.
The Village: a Poem. In two books. 1783.
The News-Paper: a Poem. 1785.
Poems. 1807. 2nd edn. 1808. 3rd edn. 1808. 8th edn. 1816.
The Borough: a Poem in twenty-four letters. 1810. 2nd edn. 1810. 3rd edn. 1810. 6th edn. 1816. Ed. Williams, H. (Temple Classics.) 1903.
Tales. 1812. 2nd edn. 1812. 5th edn. 1814.
Tales of the Hall. In two volumes. 1819. 2nd edn. 1819. 3 vols. 1820.
Lines ("Of old when a Monarch of England appear'd"). [1822.]
Lines addressed to the Dowager Duchess of Rutland. The Casket, a Miscellany, consisting of unpublished poems. Pp. 142–3. 1829.
Poetical Epistles by the Rev. George Crabbe. Literary Anecdotes of the Nineteenth Century. Ed. Nicoll, W. Robertson, and Wise, T. J. Vol. II, p. 143. 1896.

D. *Translations*

For translations into foreign languages, see bibliographies in Poems, ed. Ward, *ante*, and in Huchon, Un Poète Réaliste Anglais, *post*.

II. Prose Works

For Prose Works, see bibliography in Huchon, Un Poète Réaliste Anglais, *post*.

III. Biography and Criticism

See, also, the various introductions to Crabbe's works mentioned in sect. I A, B, C, *ante*.]

Ainger, A. Crabbe. (English Men of Letters Series.) 1903.
Review in The Athenaeum, 31 Oct., 1903.
Broadley, A. M. and Jerrold, W. The Romance of an Elderly Poet. A hitherto unknown chapter in the life of George Crabbe revealed by his ten years' correspondence with Elizabeth Charter, 1815–1825. 1913.
Crabbe Celebration. Souvenir and Catalogue of the exhibits at Aldeburgh, Suffolk, 16–18 September, 1905.
Druzhinin, A. George Crabbe and his Productions. St. Petersburg, 1857. [In Russian.]
Gilfillan, G. A Second Gallery of Literary Portraits. 1850.

Huchon, R. Un Poète Réaliste Anglais. George Crabbe, 1754–1832. Paris. 1906. English translation by Clarke, Frederick. George Crabbe and his Times. 1907. [Contains a bibliography.]
Kebbel, T. E. The Life of George Crabbe. (Great Writers Series.) 1888.
Pesta, H. George Crabbe: Eine Würdigung seiner Werke. Vienna and Leipzig, 1899.
Saintsbury, G. Crabbe. Essays in English Literature, 1780–1860. 1890.
Stehlich, F. George Crabbe, ein englischer Dichter. Halle, 1875.
Stephen, Sir Leslie. Hours in a Library. Vol. II. 1892.
Woodberry, G. E. Studies in Letters and Life. P. 29, A neglected poet. Boston (Mass.), 1890.

IV. Articles in Magazines and Reviews

A complete list of the contemporary reviews of Crabbe's poems is given in Huchon, *ante*, pp. 663–5. The following may also be consulted:

Quarterly Review. Vol. L, p. 468 (Jan., 1834), Life and Poems, by Lockhart; vol. LII, p. 184 (August, 1834), Posthumous Tales, by Lockhart; vol. CXCIII, p. 21 (Jan., 1901), Crabbe, by Statham, Heathcote.
Gentleman's Magazine. New Series, vol. I, p. 253 (March, 1834), Life of Crabbe, by Mitford; vol. II, p. 563 (Dec., 1834), Posthumous Tales; vol. CCLXXXVI, p. 356, Crabbe, by Prower, Maude.
Monthly Review. Vol. III, p. 101 (Sept., 1834), On Burns's and Crabbe's Poetry.
Edinburgh Review. Vol. LX, p. 255 (Jan., 1835), Life and Poems; vol. CXCVIII, p. 30 (July, 1903), Crabbe.
National Review. Jan., 1859, p. 1, Crabbe, by Roscoe, W. C.
Nineteenth Century. Vol. XV, p. 583 (April, 1884), Wordsworth and Byron, by Swinburne, A. C. (p. 598.)
Cornhill Magazine. Vol. LXXXIII, p. 750 (June, 1901), Some memories of Crabbe, by Hutton, W. H.
Atlantic Monthly. Dec., 1901, p. 850, A Plea for Crabbe, by More, P. Elmer.
Monthly Review. March, 1904, p. 117, Two Unpublished Poems of Crabbe, by Huchon, R.
Modern Language Review. Vol. II, p. 266 (April, 1907), Review of Ward's edn. of Crabbe, by Huchon, R.

CHAPTER VIII

SOUTHEY

LESSER POETS OF THE LATER EIGHTEENTH CENTURY

I. Robert Southey

See, also, Chap. VI, *ante*, Coleridge, bibliography.

A. *Manuscripts in the British Museum*

Cataract of Lodore. Egerton 1966, f. 17.
The Curse of Kehama (an early copy, many alterations made before publication). Add. 36485.
Joan of Arc. Add. 28096.
Preface to Letters written during a short Residence in Spain and Portugal. Add. 28096, f. 1.

Roprecht the Robber. Add. 21508, f. 64.
Triumphal Ode. Add. 36961.
Letters: Add. MSS. 18204, f. 171; 21508, ff. 78, 79; 24023, f. 61; 28603; 30928; 33964, f. 378; 34046, ff. 132–148; 35343, f. 127[b]; 35344, ff. 170, 172, 174; 35350, f. 138. Egerton 2159, f. 88.

B. *Collected Poems.*

Poems: containing the Retrospect, Odes, Elegies, Sonnets, etc. By Lovell, R. and Southey, R. 1794. 1795.
Poems by Robert Southey. Bristol, London, 1797. 2nd edn. 2 vols. Bristol, 1797–9.
The Minor Poems of Robert Southey. 2 vols. Bristol, 1797. Rptd. 1815, 1823, 1854.
Poems. First American edn. Boston (Mass.), 1799.
Poetical Works of Robert Southey, complete in one volume. Paris, 1829.
Poetical Works, collected by himself. 10 vols. 1837–8.
Poetical Works, complete in one volume. 1850.
Poetical Works, with a memoir of the author (by Tuckermann, H. T.). 10 vols. Boston (Mass.). 1860.
Poems chosen and arranged by Dowden, E. 1895.
Poems . . . containing the Curse of Kehama, Roderick, Madoc, A Tale of Paraguay, and selected minor poems. Ed. Fitzgerald, M. H. Oxford, 1909.

C. *Separate Poems*

The Fall of Robespierre. An historic drama. (The first act by Coleridge, the second and third by Southey.) 1794.
Joan of Arc, an epic poem. Bristol, 1796. 2 vols. 1798.
—— Fifth edition. 2 vols. 1817. Also 1853.
Thalaba the Destroyer (a metrical romance). 2 vols. 1801. 2nd edn. 1809. 3rd edn. 1814.
Madoc. 1805. 2 vols. 1815. 1825.
The Curse of Kehama. 1810. 1818. 1853.
Roderick, the last of the Goths. 1814. 2nd edn. 2 vols. 1815. Also 1818, 1826. Translated into Dutch, with a prefatory notice by Bilderdijk, W. The Hague, 1823–4.
Odes to . . . the Prince Regent . . . the Emperor of Russia, and . . . the King of Prussia. 1814.
Carmen Nuptiale, The Lay of the Laureate. (On the marriage of Princess Charlotte.) 1816.
The Poet's Pilgrimage to Waterloo. 1816. 2nd edn. 1816.
Wat Tyler; a Dramatic Poem. Sherwin's Edition. Price Two Pence. [1817.] (Presumed the first edn.)
—— a dramatic poem in three acts. 1817. (Pirated edn.)
—— a dramatic poem, with a preface suitable to recent circumstances. 1817.
Carmen Triumphale, for the commencement of the year 1814. 1821.
A Vision of Judgment. 1821.
A Tale of Paraguay. 1825.
All for Love; and The Pilgrim to Compostella. 1829.
The Devil's Walk; a poem by S. T. Coleridge and R. Southey. 1830.
Oliver Newman: a New-England tale (unfinished); with other poetical remains. Ed. Hill, H. 1845.

Robin Hood: a fragment. By the late Robert Southey and Caroline Southey. With other fragments and poems by Robert Southey and Caroline Southey. Edinburgh and London, 1847.

D. *Prose Works*

The Flagellant. [Written by Southey and other Westminster scholars. Nine weekly parts, from March 1 to April 26, 1792. The article on corporal punishment, for which Southey was dismissed the school, is in no. v. Only the B.M. copy (C. 58. e. 21) extant. Southey's autograph is on first title-page.]

Letters written during a short Residence in Spain and Portugal. Bristol, 1797. 3rd edn. London, 1808.

Letters from England; by Don Manuel Alverez Espriella (*i.e.* Southey). . . . Translated from the Spanish. 3 vols. 1807. 1814.

History of Brazil. 3 pts. 1810–19.

Omniana, or Horae Otiosiores. 2 vols. 1812. (Nos. 87–92, 97, 98, 102, 103, 105, 106, 109–111, 113, 114, 117–129, 155, 160 vol. I, and 171, 179, 205 vol. II, by Coleridge.)

The Life of Nelson. 2 vols. 1813. 1830. 1853.

—— New edition, with additional notes and plates, and a general index. (Bohn's Illustrated Library.) 1861.

—— Ed. with introduction and notes by Butler, H. B. . . . with maps, plans, and other illustrations. 1911.

A Letter to W. Smith, Esq., M.P., from R. Southey [on occasion of certain strictures made by W. Smith in the House of Commons on the writings of Southey]. 1817.

The Life of Wesley; and the rise and progress of Methodism. 2 vols. 1820.

—— with notes by . . . Coleridge, S. T. . . . and Remarks on the life and character of J. Wesley by . . . Knox, A. Ed. Southey, C. C. 2 vols. 1846. Rptd. 1858. Translated into German. Hamburg, 1828.

The Expedition of Orsua, and the Crimes of Aguirre. 1821.

The Life of Oliver Cromwell. Quarterly Rev. no. L, July 1821.

History of the Peninsular War. 3 vols. 1823–32.

The Book of the Church. 2 vols. 1824. 1837. 1841. 1859. With notes from Vindiciae Ecclesiae Anglicanae. 1869, etc.

Vindiciae Ecclesiae Anglicanae. Letters to C. Butler, Esq. comprising Essays on the Romish Religion and vindicating The Book of the Church. 1826.

Sir Thomas More: or, Colloquies on the progress and prospects of Society. 2 vols. 1829. 2nd edn. 1831.

Essays Moral and Political . . . now first collected. 2 vols. 1832.

Lives of the British Admirals, with an introductory view of the Naval History of England. [Continued by Bell, R.] 5 vols. 1833–40.

The Doctor. 7 vols. 1834–47. (Vols. VI and VII ed. Warter, J. W.)

The Life of the Rev. Andrew Bell, comprising the history of the rise and progress of the system of Mutual Tuition. 3 vols. 1844. [First vol. only by R. Southey.]

Common Place Book. Ed. by his son-in-law Warter, J. W. (Vol. I. Choice Passages. 1849. Vol. II. Special Collections. 1849. Vol. III. Analytical Readings. 1850. Vol. IV. Original Memoranda. 1851.)

Journal of a Tour in the Netherlands in the autumn of 1815. 1902. With an introduction by Nicoll, W. R. 1903.

E. *Correspondence*

The Life and Correspondence of Robert Southey. Edited by his son, C. C. Southey. 6 vols. 1849–50.

Selections from the Letters of Robert Southey. . . . Edited . . . by Warter, J. W. 4 vols. 1856.

The Correspondence of Robert Southey with Caroline Bowles. To which are added: Correspondence with Shelley, and Southey's Dreams. Ed., with introduction, Dowden, E. Dublin, 1881.

Letters from the Lake Poets. Ed. Stuart, M. 1889.

Letters of Robert Southey. A selection, ed. with introduction and notes by Fitzgerald, M. H. Oxford, 1912. (The World's Classics.)

F. *Translated and Edited Works*

Amadis of Gaul. By Vasco Lobeira. (From the Spanish version of Garciordonez de Montalvo by R. Southey.) 1803.

The Works of Thomas Chatterton. [Edd. Cottle and Southey.] 1803.

Palmerin of England. 4 vols. (Corrected by R. Southey.) 1807.

Specimens of the later English Poets, with preliminary notices by R. Southey. 3 vols. 1807.

Chronicle of the Cid, from the Spanish, by R. Southey. 1808.

The remains of H. K. White. With an account of his life by R. Southey. 1808.

The byrth, lyf, and actes of Kyng Arthur. With an introduction and notes by R. Southey. 1817.

The Pilgrim's Progress. With a life of John Bunyan by R. Southey. 1830.

Select Works of the British Poets, from Chaucer to Jonson, with biographical sketches, by R. Southey. 1831.

Attempts in verse. . . . With some account of the writer (John Jones, Servant) written by himself, and an introductory essay on the lives and works of our uneducated poets, by R. Southey, Poet Laureate. 1831.

See, also, bibliography to chap. IV, *ante,* William Cowper, sect. II.

G. *Biography and Criticism*

See, also, the various introductions to editions of Southey's Works mentioned in sections B–E, *ante.*

Betham, E. A House of Letters: being excerpts from the correspondence of . . . Southey . . . and others with Matilda Betham. [1905.]

Browne, C. Life of Robert Southey. 1854.

Byron, Lord. Letters and Journals. 6 vols. Ed. Prothero, R. E. 1898, 1901.

Chorley, H. F. The Authors of England. 1838.

Coleridge, S. T. Biographia Literaria. 2 vols. 1817.

Cottle, J. Reminiscences of S. T. Coleridge and Robert Southey. 1847.

Courthope, W. J. A History of English Poetry. Vol. VI, chap. VIII, *also* pp. 247–8. 1910.

Dennis, J. Robert Southey. Studies in English Literature. 1876.

—— Robert Southey. The story of his life written in his letters. Boston (U.S.A.), 1887.

De Quincey, Thomas. Reminiscences of the Lake Poets. Works. Ed. Masson, D. Vol. II. Edinburgh, 1889.

Dowden, E. Southey. (English Men of Letters Series.) 1874.
Gilfillan, G. Robert Southey. Galleries of literary portraits. Vol. I. 1856.
Hazlitt, W. The Spirit of the Age: or, Contemporary Portraits. 1825.
Works. Edd. Glover, A. and Waller, A. R. Vol. IV. 1902.
Jerdan, W. Men I have known. 1866.
Knight, W. A. Memorials of Coleorton, etc. 1887.
Landor, W. S. Imaginary Conversations. 1826.
Macaulay, T. B. Critical and Historical Essays, pp. 97–120; 180–8. 1852.
Robberds, J. W. A memoir of the life and writings of the late William Taylor, of Norwich, . . . Containing his Correspondence . . . with R. S. and . . . other eminent literary men. 2 vols. 1843.
Robinson, H. Crabb. Diary. Ed. Sadler, T. 3 vols. 1869. 2 vols. 1872.
Saintsbury, G. Robert Southey. Essays in English Literature, 1780–1860. 2nd ser. 1895.
Scott, Sir Walter. Life. 10 vols. By Lockhart, John G. 1903.
—— Familiar Letters. 1894.
Smiles, Samuel. A Publisher and his friends. Memoir of the late John Murray, with an account of the origin and progress of the house, 1768–1843. 2 vols. 1891.
Stephen, Sir L. Southey's Letters. Studies of a Biographer. Vol. IV. 1902.
Symons, A. Robert Southey. Saturday Review. Vol. CII, pp. 325, 356. 1906.
Thackeray, W. M. The Four Georges. (George III.) 1861.
Watson, R. Observations on Southey's Life of Wesley. 1820.
Westminster School Register from 1764 to 1883. Compiled by Barker, G. F. R. and Stenning, A. H. 1892.

II. Lesser Poets

Christopher Anstey

Poetical Works of the late Christopher Anstey, Esq., with some Account of the Life and Writings of the Author by his Son, John Anstey, Esq. 1808.

The New Bath Guide, or Memoirs of the B-r-d (Blunderhead) Family, in a series of Poetical Epistles. 1766.
On the much lamented Death of the Marquis of Tavistock. 1767.
The Patriot, a Pindaric Address to Lord Buckhorse. Cambridge, 1767.
A Serious Alarum to the People of Bath. [1772.]
The Priest Dissected. A Poem. 1774.
An Election Ball. 1776.
A Familiar Epistle (in verse) from C. Anstey, Esq. to C. W. Bampfylde, Esq. Translated and addressed to the ladies. Dublin, 1777.
Envy. 1778.
Speculation, or a Defence of Mankind. 1780.
Liberality, or the Decayed Macaroni. 1788.
The Farmer's Daughter, a poetical tale. 1795.
Britain's Genius, a song. 1797.

Maier, Walter. Christopher Anstey und der New Bath Guide. Ein Beitrag zur Entwicklung der englischen Satire im 18 Jahrhundert. Heidelberg, 1914.

Daniel Bellamy, the elder and the younger

The Cambro-Britannic Engineer . . . a mock-heroic poem. 1722.
Love Triumphant, or the Rival Goddesses. 1722.
The Young Ladies' Miscellany. 1723.
The Rival Priests. . . . A farce. [By D. Bellamy the Elder and Younger.] 1739.
The Perjur'd Devotee . . . a comedy. [By D. Bellamy the Elder and Younger.] 1741.
Ethic Amusements by Mr. Bellamy, revised by his son D. Bellamy. 1768.
Ethic Amusements. Ethic tales . . . and fables. . . . By . . . Fenelon. . . . From the French. [1770.] (An addition, separately published, to the preceding work.)

William Lisle Bowles

The Poetical Works of W. L. B. With memoir, critical dissertation, and explanatory notes . . . by . . . G. Gilfillan. Edinburgh, 1855.

Sonnets, written chiefly on picturesque spots during a tour. 1789.
Verses to John Howard. 1789.
The Grave of Howard. A poem. Salisbury, 1790.
St. Michael's Mount. A poem. 1798.
The Battle of the Nile. 1799.
The Sorrows of Switzerland. 1801.
The Picture. 1803.
The Spirit of Discovery: or, the Conquest of the Ocean. 1804.
Bowden Hall. 1806.
The Missionary. 1813. 1815. 1816.
The Grave of the last Saxon. 1822.
Ellen Gray; or the dead maiden's curse. 1823.
Days Departed; or Banwell Hill, a lay of the Severn Sea. 1828.
St. John in Patmos; or the last Apostle. 1833.
Scenes and Shadows of Days Departed. 1837. (With an autobiographical introduction.)
The Village Verse-Book. 1837.

Samuel Boyse

Translations and Poems written on several occasions. 1738.
Deity. A poem. 1739.
The Canterbury Tales, modernis'd [by Boyse, S. etc.]. 1741.
An Historical Review of the Transactions of Europe from the Commencement of the War with Spain in 1739, to the Insurrection in Scotland in 1745. Reading, 1747.
The Praise of Peace; a poem in three cantos. 1749.

Life by Chalmers, A. The Works of the English Poets. Vol. XIV. 1810.

Sir James Bland Burges. (*Known after* 1821 *as Sir James Lamb.*)

The Birth and Triumph of Love, a poem. 1796.
Richard the First; a poem. 2 vols. 1801.

Dramas. 1817.
The Dragon Knight; a poem in twelve cantos. 1818.
Selections from the letters and correspondence of Sir J. B. Burges . . . with notices of his life. Ed. Hulton, J. 1885.

Richard Owen Cambridge (1717-1802)

Works. Ed. Cambridge, G. O. 1803.

The Scribleriad. 1751.

Hannah Cowley (1743–1809)

Life and Works. 3 vols. 1813.

The Maid of Aragon. 1780.
The Scottish Village, or Pitcairn Green. 1787.
Edwina. 1794.
The Siege of Acre. 1801.

Samuel Croxall

An original Canto of Spencer, design'd as part of his Fairy Queen, but never printed, now made publick by Nestor Ironside. 1713.
An Ode humbly inscrib'd to the King (George I). 1714.
The Vision: a poem. 1715.
Ovid's Metamorphoses, in fifteen books, translated by the most eminent hands. 1717. (The 6th book, and parts of the 8th, 10th, 11th and 13th, translated by Croxall.)
The Fair Circassian, a dramatic performance done from the original by a gentleman-commoner of Oxford. 1720. 1721. 1729, etc.
Fables of Aesop, and others, newly done into English. 1722. 3rd edn. 1731. 5th edn. 1747. 24th edn. 1836.

Jacob, G. The Poetical Register. 2 vols. 1719.

Erasmus Darwin

The Botanic Garden; a Poem, in Two Parts. Part I containing The Economy of Vegetation. Part II. The Loves of the Plants. With Philosophical Notes. 1789 etc. (Part I dated 1791, Part II 1789). Also 1791. 3rd edn. 2 vols. 1794–5. 4th edn. 2 vols. 1799.
Zoonomia, or the laws of Organic Life. 2 vols. 1794–6.
A Plan for the Conduct of Female Education in Boarding Schools. Derby, 1797.
Phytologia; or the philosophy of Agriculture. 1800.
The Temple of Nature; or the origin of Society: a poem. 1803.
Remembrance. 1812.

Brandl, L. Erasmus Darwin's Temple of Nature. Schipper's Wiener Beiträge. Vol. XVI. Vienna, 1902.
—— Erasmus Darwin's Botanic Garden. Schipper's Wiener Beiträge. Vol. XXX. Vienna, 1909.

Butler, S. Evolution, old and new. 1879.
Darwin, C. Life of Erasmus Darwin. 1887.
Dowson, J. Erasmus Darwin. 1861.
Krause, E. Erasmus Darwin. Transl. by Dallas, W. S. With preliminary note by Darwin, C. 1879.
Seward, A. Memoirs of the Life of Dr. Darwin, chiefly at Lichfield. 1804.

George Ellis

See bibliography to Chap. II, *ante*, and to Vol. X, Chap. X, *ante*.

Francis Fawkes

A description of May. From G. Douglas . . . by F. Fawkes. 1752.
Winter. From G. Douglas . . . by F. Fawkes. 1754.
The Works of Anacreon, Sappho. . . . Translated . . . [by F. Fawkes]. 1760.
Original Poems and translations. 1761.
The Complete Family Bible, with Notes Theological, Moral, Critical, etc. 1761.
The Poetical Calendar. 12 vols. 1763. (Fawkes and Woty.)
Poetical Magazine, or the Muses' Monthly Companion. Vol. I. 1764.
Partridge-shooting; an eclogue. To the Hon. Charles Yorke. 1767.
The Works of Horace in English verse by Mr. Duncombe and other hands. 4 vols. 1767.
The Idylliums of Theocritus translated by F. F. 1767.
The works of Anacreon. The works of Apollonius Rhodius. The works of Bion. The works of Moschus. The works of Musaeus. The works of Sappho. The works of Theocritus. Translated by F. F. 1793.

Hasted, E. History of Kent. 1886.
See, also, bibliography to vol. X, chap. VII, *ante*.

William Gifford

See bibliography to Chap. II, *ante*.

Constantia Grierson

Poems on several occasions. [With laudatory verses to the author (Barber, M.) by C. G.] 1734.
Poems by eminent ladies, particularly Mrs. Barber, . . . Mrs. Grierson, etc. 1755.
[Also edited Latin classics published by her husband. Virgil, 1724. Terence, 1727. Tacitus, 1730.]

Ballard, G. Memoirs of British Ladies. 1775.

William Hayley

The Poetical Works of W. Hayley. 3 vols. Dublin, 1785.
Poems and Plays. A new edn. 6 vols. 1788.
Poems on Serious and Sacred Subjects. 1818.
An Elegy on the ancient Greek Model. 1779.

Epistle to Admiral Keppel [on his acquittal]. 1779.
A poetical Epistle to an eminent Painter [Romney, G.]. 1779.
An Epistle to a friend on the death of J. Thornton. 1780.
An Essay on history; in three epistles. 1780.
An Essay on Painting. 1781.
The Triumphs of Temper. 1781.
An Essay on Epic poetry. 1782.
The Happy Prescription, or the lady relieved from her Lovers. 1785.
A philosophical Essay on Old Maids. 3 vols. 1785.
The Two Connoisseurs; a comedy in rhyme. Calcutta, 1785.
Occasional Stanzas, written at the request of the Revolution Society. 1788.
The Young Widow, or a History of Cornelia Sudley. 1789.
The Eulogies of Howard. 1791.
Life of Milton in Boydell and Nicol's edn. of Milton's Works. 1794. 2nd enlarged edn. 1796.
An Elegy on the Death of . . . Sir William Jones. 1795.
An Essay on Sculpture. 1800.
The Triumph of Music. Chichester, 1804.
Ballads. . . . Founded on anecdotes relating to animals. 1805.
The life of George Romney. 1809.
Three Plays with a Preface. Chichester, 1811.
How Cowper got his pension. From a manuscript of William Hayley. Ed. Coldicott, H. R. S. Cornhill Magazine, April, 1913.

Memoirs of the life and writings of W. H. . . . written by himself. With extracts from his private correspondence and unpublished Poetry. . . . 2 vols. Ed. Johnson, J. 1823.

See, also, bibliography to Chap. IV, *ante*, William Cowper.

James Hurdis (1763–1801)

The Village Curate, and other Poems. 1788.
Adriano, or the First of June. 1790.
The Tragedy of Sir Thomas More. 1791.

Sir William Jones (1746–1794)

Works. 13 vols. 1807.
Poetical works. 2 vols. 1810.

George Keate (1729–1797)

The Alps. 1763.
Poetical Works. 1781.
The Distressed Poet. 1787

Mary Leapor

Poems on Several Occasions. Ed. Browne, J. H. (the Elder). Vol. I. 1748. Vol. II. 1751. (A selection from M. L.'s poems contained in Mary Barber's Poems by Eminent Ladies, 1755.)

Thomas James Mathias (1754?–1835)

Works. 4 pts. Dublin, 1799.

The Pursuits of Literature. 1794–7. 5th edn. 1798. 11th edn. 1801. 16th edn. 1812.

Thomas Maurice (1754–1824)

Poems and Miscellaneous Pieces. 1779.
Poems epistolary, lyric, and elegiacal. 1800.
Select Poems. 1803.

Moses Mendez

A Collection of the most esteemed pieces of Poetry. . . . With variety of originals by the late M. M., etc. 1767. Also 1770.

The Chaplet. A musical entertainment. 1749.
Robin Hood. A musical entertainment. 1751.
The Shepherd's Lottery. A musical entertainment. 1751.
The Double Disappointment, or the fortune hunters. A comedy. 1755.

Jacobs, J. and Wolf, L. Bibliotheca Anglo-Judaica. 1888.

Robert Merry, the Della Cruscan

The Arno Miscellany. [By Merry, R. etc.] 1784.
The Florence Miscellany. [Poems by Merry, R. and others.] 1785.
Paulina: or the Russian Daughter: a poem in two books. 1787.
The Album of Streatham . . . and the ode on the restoration of his Majesty, written by Mr. Merry. 1789.
Ambitious Vengeance. 1790.
The Laurel of Liberty, a poem. 1790.
Lorenzo, a tragedy. 1791.
Ode to the Fourteenth of July . . . being the anniversary of the Revolution in France. 1791.
Fenelon, a drama. 1795.
The Wounded Soldier, a poem. [1795?]

Boaden, Jas. Memoirs . . . of Kemble. 1825.
Dunlap, W. A History of the American Theatre. 1832.
Reynolds, F. Memoirs. 1826.

Frank Sayers

Poems. Norwich, 1792.
Collective Works of the late Dr. Sayers, to which have been prefixed some biographical particulars by W. Taylor. 2 pts. Norwich, 1823.
Poetical Works of the late F. S. To which have been prefixed the connected disquisitions on the rise and progress of poetry and . . . biographical particulars . . . supplied by W. Taylor of Norwich. 1830.

Dramatic Sketches of Northern Mythology. 1790. (1792 edn. contains the ode to Pandora, and a monodrama.)
Disquisitions, metaphysical and literary. 1793.
Nugae Poeticae. 1803.
Miscellanies, antiquarian and historical. 1805.

John Hall Stevenson

The Works of John Hall Stevenson, Esq. . . . Corrected and Enlarged. With several original poems, now first printed, and explanatory notes. 3 vols. 1795.

A Nose-gay and a Simile for the Reviewers. 1760.
Two lyrical Epistles, or Margery the Cook Maid, to the Critical Reviewers. 1760.
To my Cousin Shandy on his coming to Town. 1760.
Tales for grown gentlemen. 1761.
Crazy Tales. 1762. Rptd. 1894.
A Pastoral Cordial; or an Anodyne Sermon, etc. 1763.
A Pastoral Puke; a second sermon, etc. 1764.
Makarony Fables, with the new Fable of the Bees. 1767.
Lyric Consolations, etc. 1768.
A Sentimental Dialogue . . . between an English Lady of Quality and an Irish Gentleman. 1768.
Fables for grown Gentlemen for the year 1770. 1770.
An Essay upon the King's Friends. 1776.

Cooper, W. D. Seven Letters by Sterne and his Friends. 1844.
Fitzgerald, P. Life of Sterne. 2 vols. 1864. 2nd edn. 1896.
Sterne's Works. Ed. Saintsbury, G. 6 vols. 1894.
Young, G. A history of Whitby. 2 vols. 1817.

William Tasker (1740–1800)

Ode to the Warlike Genius of Great Britain. 1778. 3rd edn., with other poems. 1779.
Ode to Curiosity. 2nd edn. 1779.

William Thompson

Poetical Works. To which is prefixed the life of the author. A complete edn. of the Poets of Great Britain. Vol. x. 1793, etc.
Poems on several occasions, to which is added Gondibert and Birtha, a tragedy. Oxford, 1757.

A Hymn to May. [1740?]
Sickness, a poem. Two Books. 1745.
Gondibert and Birtha, a tragedy. [1751.]
The Happy Life. The Magi, a sacred eclogue. The Cabinet of Poetry. Vol. v. Ed. Pratt, S. J. 1808.

John Tweddell (1769–1799)

Prolusiones Juveniles. 1792.

Paston (G.). The Romance of John Tweddell. Little Memoirs of the 18th century. 1901.

Thomas Sedgwick Whalley (1746–1828)

Edwy and Edilda. 1779.
The Castle of Montval. A tragedy in five acts. 1781.
The Fatal Kiss. 1781
Mont Blanc. 1788.
Journals and Correspondence. 1863.

Sir Charles Hanbury Williams

The works . . . of Sir C. H. W. . . . from the originals in the possession of his Grandson, the . . . Earl of Essex. With notes by H. Walpole, Earl of Orford. 3 vols. 1822.

An Ode to the Honourable H . . . y F. . x, on the marriage of the Du . . . s of M. . . r [Duchess of Manchester] to H . . . s . . . y [Hussey] Esq. 1746.
An Ode to . . . S. Poyntz, Esq. 1746.
H . . . ss . . . y [*i.e.* Hussey, afterwards Montagu, earl of Beaulieu] to Sir C. . . . H. . . . W. . . s: or the Rural Reflections of a Welch poet. 1746.
A collection of poems principally consisting of the most celebrated pieces of Sir C. H. W. 1763.
The Odes of Sir C. H. Williams. 1775.
Correspondance de Cathérine II et de Sir C. Hanbury Williams, 1756–7. (Russian and French.) Moscow, 1909.

Coxe, W. Historical Tour in Monmouthshire. 1801.
Hutchinson, John. Herefordshire Biographies. 1890.

Helen Maria Williams

Poems. 2 vols. 1786. 2nd edn. 1791.
Poems . . . and original sonnets by H. M. Williams. 1803.
Recueil de Poésies, extraites des ouvrages d' H. M. W.; traduit de l'Anglais par M.S. de Boufflers, et par M. Esménard. Paris, 1808.
Poems on various subjects. With introductory remarks on the present state of science and literature in France. 1823.

Edwin and Eltruda, a legendary tale. 1782.
An Ode on the Peace. 1783.
Peru, a poem. 1784.
Ode to Peace. [1786.]
Julia, a novel; interspersed with . . . poetical pieces. 2 vols. 1790.
Letters written in France in the summer of 1790, to a Friend in England. 1790. French edn. Paris, 1791.
A Farewell for two years to England. A poem. 1791.
Letters on the French Revolution, written in France, in the summer of 1790, to a friend in England; containing various anecdotes . . . and Memoirs of Mons. and Madame Du F. . . . Boston, 1791.
Letters containing a sketch of the Politics of France from the thirty-first of May, 1793, till the twenty-eighth of July, 1794. 2 vols. 1795. French edn. Paris [1795?].
Paul and Virginia. [Translated by H. M. W.] 1795.
A tour in Switzerland; or a view of the present state of . . . those Cantons, with comparative sketches of the present state of Paris. 2 vols. 1798.

The History of Perourou; or the Bellows-Mender. [Translated from the French by H. M. W.] Dublin, 1801.
The political and confidential correspondence of Lewis the Sixteenth. Fr. and Eng. With observations on each letter. 1803.
A refutation of the libel on the memory of the late King of France. 1804.
Verses addressed by H. M. W. to her two nephews, on Saint Helen's day. Paris, 1809.
Personal narrative of Travels to the Equinoctial Regions of the New Continent . . . translated into English by H. M. W. 1814.
Researches concerning the Institutions and Monuments of the Ancient Inhabitants of America . . . translated into English by H. M. W. 1814.
On the late persecution of the Protestants in the South of France. 1816.
The Leper of the City of Aoste. Translated from the French by H. M. W. 1817.
The Charter. Lines addressed by H. M. W. to her Nephew, A. C. L. Coquerel, on his wedding-day. Paris, 1819.
Letters on the events which have passed in France, since the Restoration in 1815. 1819.

Alger, J. E. Englishmen in the French Revolution. 1889.
Coquerel, J. G. Introduction to Souvenirs de la Révolution. 1827.

William Woty

Campanologia: a Poem in praise of ringing. 1761.
Muses' Advice addressed to the Poets of the Age. 1761.
The Blossoms of Helicon. 1763.
The Poetical Calendar. . . .Written and selected by F. Fawkes and W. Woty. 1763.
Church Langton: a poem. Leicester [1767?].
Poetical Works. 2 vols. 1770.
The Female Advocate, a poem. 1770. 1771.
The Stage; a poetical epistle to a friend. Derby [1770?].
The Estate Orator: a Town Eclogue. 1774.
Particular Providence; a poetical essay. 1774.
Poems on Several Occasions. Derby, 1780.
Fugitive and Original Poems. Derby, 1786.
Poetical Amusements. Nottingham, 1789.

G. A. B. AND A. T. B.

CHAPTER IX

BLAKE

A full bibliography of Blake's works is in preparation by Mr. Geoffrey Keynes.

I. MANUSCRIPTS AND ORIGINAL ISSUES

A. *MSS.*

Seven-page MS., without title or date, containing two prose fragments, the first and longer ptd. by W. M. Rossetti as irregular verse in The Monthly Review (August, 1903), under the title of The Passions. The remaining fragment has never been ptd. as a whole.
[An Island in the Moon]. MS. without title or date: *circa* 1784. Ptd. by Ellis, E. J., The Real Blake, pp. 67–82.

Tiriel, MS. by Mr. Blake. n.d; *circa* 1788.

The Four Zoas. The Torments of Love and Jealousy in the Death and Judgment of Albion, the Ancient Man, by William Blake, 1797. This is the revised form, made *circa* 1800. In the earlier version (1797), the title-page read: Vala or The Death and Judgment of the Ancient Man. A Dream of Nine Nights by William Blake, 1797.

Rossetti MS., also known as the MS. Book, or, less correctly, as Ideas of Good and Evil. Originally a sketch-book, it was used, from time to time during a period of some eighteen years, for the transcription or drafting of verse and prose. The principal literary contents, in chronological order, are (1) certain of the Songs of Experience and lyrics of the same period (1793–4); (2) longer and more definitely symbolic poems, and epigrams on art and artists (1800–3); (3) prose entries: Advertisement to Blake's Canterbury Pilgrims from Chaucer, containing anecdotes of Artists, printed as Public Address by D. G. Rossetti. For the year 1810: Additions to Blake's Catalogue of Pictures etc., which Rossetti has renamed A Vision of the Last Judgment; (4) The Everlasting Gospel (*circa* 1810).

A full bibliographical description of the Rossetti MS. is given in Blake's Poetical Works, ed. John Sampson, Oxford, 1905.

Pickering MS., containing fair transcripts of ten poems, written *circa* 1800–4. See Sampson, *op. cit.*

Important matter is found in Blake's *marginalia* to the following works:

Swedenborg. The Wisdom of Angels concerning Divine Love and Wisdom. Edn. of 1788. Ptd. Ellis, E. J., The Real Blake, pp. 109–115.

Lavater's Aphorisms. Edn. of 1788. Ellis, pp. 123–151.

Reynold's Discourses. 3 vols. 1798. Ellis, pp. 371–396.

Bacon's Essays. 1798. Gilchrist, vol. 1, pp. 267–9.

Wordsworth's Poems. End. of 1815. Ellis, pp. 415–419.

Dr. Thornton's pamphlet on the Lord's Prayer. 1827. Ellis, pp. 365–7.

B. *Works printed by Blake's method of relief-etching, unless otherwise stated*

There is No Natural Religion.

No perfect copy of this work is known. W. Muir in his facsimile has rearranged the plates of the copy in the Print Room of the British Museum, and an imperfect set formerly in his own possession, combining them into a tractate in two parts. Sampson (Blake's Poetical Works. Oxford edition, 1913) follows the same arrangement in the main.

All Religions are One.

A series of plates in the possession of the Linnell family, with the title-plate supplied from the set formerly owned by W. M. Muir: see Sampson, *op. cit.* pp. xxvi–xxviii.

Songs of Innocence. 1789. The Author and Printer W. Blake.

The Book of Thel. The Author and Printer Willm. Blake, 1789.

The Marriage of Heaven and Hell. No date, but apparently written in 1790.

A Song of Liberty. No date, *circa* 1792.

Visions of the Daughters of Albion. The Eye sees more than the Heart knows. Printed by Willm. Blake, 1793.

America, a Prophecy. Lambeth. Printed by William Blake in tne year 1793.

Songs of Experience. 1794. The Author and Printer, W. Blake.

Songs of Innocence and of Experience Shewing the Two Contrary States of the Human Soul. No date, but *circa* 1794.

Europe, a Prophecy. Lambeth. Printed by Will. Blake, 1794.

The First Book of Urizen. Lambeth. Printed by Will. Blake, 1794.

The Book of Los. Lambeth. Printed by W. Blake, 1795. Etched text.

The Book of Ahania. Lambeth. Printed by W. Blake, 1795. Etched text.

The Song of Los. Lambeth. Printed by W. Blake, 1795.

Milton, a Poem in 2 Books. The Author and Printer W. Blake. 1804. To Justify the Ways of God to Men.

Jerusalem, the Emanation of the Giant Albion, 1804. Printed by W. Blake, Sth Molton St. In both Milton and Jerusalem, the date on the title-page, 1804, relates to the commencement, not to the completion of the labour of engraving.

For the Sexes. The Gates of Paradise. No date. [1805–10.] A number of verses accompanying a revised issue of the earlier plates For Children. The Gates of Paradise, 1793. The symbolism of the verses is similar in character to that of Jerusalem.

[Laocoon Aphorisms], detached sentences written about a line-engraving of the Laocoon. No date, *circa* 1818.

On Homer's Poetry. On Virgil. No date, but clearly the product of Blake's latest period.

The Ghost of Abel, A Revelation In the Visions of Jehovah Seen by William Blake. Colophon: 1822. W. Blake's Original Stereotype was 1788.

C. *Works printed in ordinary type*

Poetical Sketches. By W. B. London, Printed in the Year M DCC LXXX III.

The French Revolution. A Poem, in Seven Books. Book the First. London: Printed for J. Johnson, No. 72, St. Paul's Church-yard. M DCC XCI.

A Descriptive Catalogue of Pictures, Poetical and Historical Inventions. Painted by William Blake, in Water Colours, Being the Ancient Method of Fresco Painting Restored: and Drawings, For Public Inspection, and for Sale by Private Contract. London: Printed by D. N. Shury, 7, Berwick-Street, Soho, for J. Blake, 28, Broad-Street, Golden Square, 1809.

Works lost or not identified:

Outhoun. See Gilchrist's Life, vol. II, p. 262.

Barry: a Poem. Cf. Rossetti MS., p. 60.

Book of Moonlight. *Ibid.* p. 46.

II. Modern Editions

Songs of Innocence and Experience, with a preface containing a memoir of Blake by Wilkinson, J. J. Garth. 1839.

The Marriage of Heaven and Hell. Facsimile by Hotten. 1868.

The Poems of William Blake, comprising Songs of Innocence and of Experience, together with Poetical Sketches and some copyright poems not in any other edition. [Ed., with an introduction, by Shepherd, R. H.] 1874.

The Poetical Works of William Blake, Lyrical and Miscellaneous. Ed. with a Prefatory Memoir by Rossetti, William Michael. 1874.

Jerusalem, the Emanation of the Giant Albion. Pearson's facsimile. 1877.

There is No Natural Religion. Songs of Innocence. Songs of Experience. The Book of Thel. The Marriage of Heaven and Hell. Visions of the Daughters of Albion. America, Europe. The First Book of Urizen. The Song of Los. Milton. The Gates of Paradise. On Homer. On Virgil: reproduced in facsimile by Muir, W. 1884–90.

The Book of Ahania. Facsimile by Griggs, W. 1892.

The Works of William Blake: Poetic, Symbolic, and Critical. Ed. with Lithographs of the Illustrated Prophetic Books and a Memoir and Interpretation by Ellis, E. J. and Yeats, W. B. 3 vols. 1893.

Selections from the writings of William Blake with an introductory essay by Housman, Lawrence. 1893.

The Poems of William Blake. Ed. by Yeats, W. B. [The Muses' Library.] 1893.

The Prophetic Books of William Blake. Jerusalem. Ed. by Maclagan, E. R. D. and Russell, A. G. B. 1904.

The Poetical Works of William Blake. A new and verbatim text from the manuscript, engraved, and letterpress originals, with variorum readings and bibliographical notes and prefaces by Sampson, John. Oxford, 1905.

The Lyrical Poems of Blake: text by Sampson, J., introduction by Raleigh, Walter. Oxford, 1906.

The Poetical Works of William Blake, ed. and annotated by Ellis, Edwin J. 2 vols. 1906.

The Prophetic Books of William Blake. Milton. Ed. by Maclagan, E. R. D. and Russell, A. G. B. 1907.

Die Ethik der Fruchtbarkeit. Selections from Blake's works translated into German by Taube, Otto von. Jena, 1907.

The Marriage of Heaven and Hell. Ed. Stokes, F. G. 1911.

The Poetical Works of William Blake, including the unpublished French Revolution, together with the Minor Prophetic Books, and Selections from the Four Zoas, Milton, and Jerusalem. Ed. with an Introduction and Textual Notes by Sampson, John. Oxford, 1913.

III. Biography and Criticism

Beeching, H. C. Blake's Religious Lyrics. Essays and Studies by Members of the English Association. Vol. III. 1912.

Benoit, F. Un Maître de l'Art. Blake le Visionnaire. Lille, 1906.

Berger, P. William Blake; Mysticisme et Poésie. Paris, 1907.

Blake, W. Letters, together with a life by Tatham, Frederick, ed. by Russell, A. G. B. 1906.

Brooke, Stopford A. Studies in Poetry. 1907.

Ellis, E. J. The Real Blake, a Portrait Biography. 1907.

Elton, Oliver. A Survey of English Literature, 1780–1830. 2 vols. 1912.

Garnett, Richard. William Blake, Painter and Poet. Portfolio, No. 22, 1895.

Gilchrist, Alexander. Life of Blake. 2 vols. 1863. 2nd edn. 1880. New edn. 1906.

Hewlett, Henry E. Imperfect Genius: William Blake. Contemporary Review. Vol. XXVIII, pp. 756–784. 1876.

Kassner, R. Die Mystik, die Künstler, und das Leben. Leipzig, 1900.

Moore, T. Sturge. William Blake and his Aesthetic. Art and Life. 1910.

Russell, A. G. B. The Engravings of William Blake. 1912.

Saintsbury, George. A History of English Prosody. Vol. III. 1910.

Selincourt, Basil de. William Blake. 1909.
Story, A. T. William Blake. His Life, Character, and Genius. 1893.
Swinburne, Algernon Charles. William Blake: a Critical Essay. 1868. New edn. 1906.
Symons, Arthur. William Blake. 1907. The authoritative life of Blake, with criticism, and reprints, from the following contemporary sources, of entries concerning Blake: H. C. Robinson's Diary and Reminiscences; B. H. Malkin's A Father's Memoirs of his Child, 1806; Lady Charlotte Bury's Diary, 1820; as well as J. T. Smith's Biographical Sketch in the second volume of Nollekens and his Times, 1828; and Allan Cunningham's Life in his Lives of the most eminent British Painters, Sculptors, and Architects, 1830.
Thomson, James [B. V.]. Essay on the Poems of William Blake, appended to his Shelley, a poem. 1885. Rptd. in Biographical and Critical Studies. 1896.
Wicksteed, J. H. Blake's Vision of the Book of Job. 1910.
Yeats, W. B. Ideas of Good and Evil. 1903.

CHAPTER X

BURNS

LESSER SCOTTISH VERSE

I. Robert Burns

A considerable number of the original MS. copies of Burns's poems and letters are still preserved in public libraries and other institutions. The more important public collections are those in the British Museum (including many of the songs contributed to Johnson's Scots Musical Museum). The Glenriddel MSS., formerly in the Liverpool Athenaeum, are to be placed in the custody of three trustees, and will be deposited in the cities of Edinburgh and Glasgow for alternate periods of five years each.

Bibliographies of the writings of Burns have been published as follows:

Angus, W. C. The printed works of Robert Burns. A bibliography in outline. Glasgow, 1899.
Ewing, J. C. Selected list of the works of Robert Burns, and of books upon his life and writings. 1899.
—— Bibliography of Robert Burns [to 1796]. [Privately ptd.] 1909.
M'Kie, J. The bibliography of Robert Burns. Kilmarnock, 1881.

A. *Works*

Poems chiefly in the Scottish Dialect. Kilmarnock, 1786. Facsimile reprint. Kilmarnock, 1867 and 1909.
Poems chiefly in the Scottish Dialect. Edinburgh, 1787 (two impressions, in one of which the word "skinking" occurs as "stinking").
—— The Third edition. London, 1787. Pirated edns., Belfast, 1787, and Dublin, 1789 and 1790; another edn. with Scots poems selected from the works of Ferguson, R., New York, 1788; other edns., Edinburgh, 1790 [rare], and Belfast, 1790.
The Scots Musical Museum. By Johnson, James. 5 vols. Edinburgh, 1787–1803. Ed. Stenhouse, Laing and Sharpe in 6 vols. 1833 and 4 vols. 1853. [Contains nearly two hundred songs and adaptations, contributed by Burns.]

The Prayer of Holy Willie, a canting, hypocritical Kirk elder. With quotations from the Presbyterian Eloquence. Printed in the year MDCCLXXXIX.

The Ayrshire Garland, an Excellent New Song: Tune the Vicar and Moses, 1789. [Broad Sheet. The Kirk's Alarm.]

A Selected Collection of Original Scottish Airs for the Voice by Thomson, George. 6 vols. 1793–1811. [Contains over one hundred songs and adaptations contributed by Burns.]

Poems chiefly in the Scottish Dialect. The Second Edition considerably enlarged. 2 vols. Edinburgh, London, 1793. [Also an edn., 2 vols., Belfast, 1793 (the 2nd vol. consists of the additional poems published in the Edinburgh 1793 edn.)]

Poems chiefly in the Scottish Dialect. 2 vols. A New Edition, considerably enlarged. Edinburgh, 1794. [A revised reprint of the 1793 edn.] Also Edinburgh, 1798, 1800.

Poetry Original and Select. Glasgow. [A series of chapbooks by Brash and Reid, begun *c.* 1794, in which some of Burns's songs are included. The set republished in three vols. 1796, 1797, and 1798.]

The Election. Printed for private distribution by James Hill, Esqr. W.S. 1795.

Buy braw troggin. An Excellent New Song. Tune: Buy Broom Besoms. [1796.]

Tracts published by Stewart and Meikle. No. 2. Glasgow, 1796. [An Unco Mournfu' Tale]. Also four tracts published by them in 1799, containing The Jollie Beggars, The Kirk's Alarm, Letter to a Taylor, An Unco Mournful Tale, Holy Willie's Prayer, The Epistle to John Goudie, and On Dining with Lord Daer. The whole republished in 1800 as the Poetical Miscellany: in seven numbers. [Complete sets are rare.]

The Merry Muses. [Surreptitiously printed. Original and unaltered edn.]

Tracts printed by David William, Craig's Close, for George Gray, Bookseller, North Bridge St., Edinburgh, 1799. [Several contain pieces by Burns which had appeared in Edinburgh newspapers.]

The Works of Robert Burns with an Account of his Life, and a Criticism of his Writings. To which are prefixed some observations on the character and conditions of the Scottish Peasantry. [Currie's edn., but anonymous.] 4 vols. Liverpool, London and Edinburgh, 1800. 2nd corrected edn. 1801. Frequently rptd. With the addition of Cromek's Reliques and contributions by Burns, Gilbert. 5 vols. 1814. With additional pieces. 4 vols. Montrose, 1816. With further particulars of the author's life, new notes, and many other additions by Burns, Gilbert. London, 1820.

Poems ascribed to Robert Burns, the Aryshire Bard, not contained in any edn. of his works hitherto published. Glasgow, 1801.

Stewart's edn. of Burns's Poems, including a number of original pieces never before published. With his life and character. To which is added an appendix, consisting of his correspondence with Clarinda. Glasgow, 1802.

Letters addressed to Clarinda by Robert Burns. Glasgow, 1802.

Reliques of Robert Burns, consisting chiefly of original letters, poems, and critical observations on Scottish songs. Collected and published by Cromek, R. H. 1808. 2nd edn. 1813. 3rd edn. 1817.

The Poetical Works of Robert Burns, with his life. Engravings on wood by Bewick from original designs by Thurston. 2 vols. Alnwick, 1808.

The Caledonian Musical Museum, a complete vocal library of the best Scotch songs ancient and modern. [Ed. by the eldest son of Burns, and including over two hundred of his father's songs.] 1809.

Poems, Letters, etc. ascribed to Robert Burns, the Ayrshire Bard, not contained in any edn. of his works hitherto published. 1809.

Select Scottish Songs, Ancient and Modern, with critical observations and biographical notices by Robert Burns. Ed. Cromek, R. H. 1810.

Poems by Robert Burns with an Account of his Life and Remarks on his Writings [by Walker, Josiah]. Containing also many poems and letters not in Currie's edn. 2 vols. Edinburgh, 1811. Another edn., London, 1811.

The Poems and Songs of Robert Burns with a Life of the Author etc., by Paul, Hamilton. Air [*sic*], 1819.

The Poetical Works of Robert Burns. Including several pieces not inserted in Dr. Currie's edn.; exhibited under a new plan of arrangement. 2 vols. 1819.

The Works of Robert Burns. Including his Letters to Clarinda, and the whole of his suppressed poems. 4 vols. 1821.

The Poetical Works of Robert Burns with his Songs and Fragments. To which are prefixed a History of the Poems by Burns, G., and a Sketch of his life. 2 vols. 1822.

The Songs and Ballads of Robert Burns. Including ten never before published [though privately printed in the Merry Muses]. 1823.

The Poetical Works of Robert Burns. Including all the pieces originally published by Dr. Currie, with various additions. New edn. with enlarged glossary, etc. 1824.

The Poetical Works of Robert Burns. 2 vols. 1830. 2nd edn. by Nicholas, Sir Harris. 3 vols. 1839. [Contains several pieces not previously ptd. and, also, other versions from new manuscripts giving various readings.] 3rd edn. in 3 vols. 1866.

The Works of Robert Burns, with his Life by Cunningham, Allan. 8 vols. 1834. And later edns.

The Works of Robert Burns. Ed. by the Ettrick Shepherd and Motherwell, W. 5 vols. Glasgow, 1834–6. [Originally issued in parts.]

The Works of Robert Burns. Containing his Life by Lockhart, John [*sic*]. New York, 1835.

The Works of Robert Burns with selected notes by Cunningham, A.; a biographical and critical introduction and a glossary by Wagner, Dr. A. Leipzig, 1835.

The Poetical Works of Robert Burns, to which are now added notes illustrating historical, personal and local allusions. [By Chambers, Robert.] Edinburgh, 1838.

The Prose Works of Robert Burns with the notes of Currie and Cromek and many by the present editor [Chambers, Robert]. Edinburgh, 1839.

The Correspondence between Burns and Clarinda. With a memoir of M'Lehose, Mrs. Arranged and ed. by her grandson M'Lehose, W. C. Edinburgh, 1843.

The Complete Works of Robert Burns, containing his poems, letters, songs, his letters to Clarinda and the whole of his suppressed poems, etc. 1843.

The Works of Robert Burns with Dr. Currie's memoir of the poet and an essay on his genius and character by Professor Wilson. 2 vols. Glasgow, Edinburgh and London, 1843–4.

The Poetical Works of Robert Burns. With the life and portrait of the author. Leipzig, 1845. [Tauchnitz Collection.]

The Life and Works of Robert Burns. Ed. Chambers, Robert. 4 vols. Edinburgh, 1851–2. Library edn. 4 vols. 1856–7. Another edn. 4 vols. 1859–60. Revised by Wallace, William [with new information and many new letters]. 4 vols. 1896.

Tam o'Shanter. A Characteristic Cantata by Howard Glover, poetry by Robert Burns. 1856.

The Poetical Works of Robert Burns. With memoir, critical dissertation and explanatory notes by Gilfillan, George. The text ed. by Cowden Clarke, Charles. 2 vols. Edinburgh, 1864.

The Poetical Works of Robert Burns. Ed. from the best printed and manuscript authorities, with glossarial index and a biographical memoir by Smith, Alexander. 2 vols. 1865. Frequently rptd.

Life and Works of Robert Burns, by Waddell, P. H. Glasgow, 1867.

Poems and Songs of Robert Burns, with illustrations by Herdman, R., Paton, W. H., Bough, S., Steel, G., Hill, D. O., M'Whirter, J. and other Scottish Artists. Edinburgh, 1868.

Kilmarnock Popular Edition of the Poetical Works of Robert Burns. With a memoir of the poet and new annotations by Douglas, W. S. 2 vols. Kilmarnock, 1871. Revised and extended. 2 vols. Kilmarnock, 1876. Re-edited and condensed. 1896.

Robert Burns's Common Place Book. Printed from the Original Manuscript in the possession of Adam, John. Greenock, Edinburgh [privately printed], 1872.

Some account of the Glenriddell MSS. of Burns's Poems. With several poems never before published. Ed. Bright, Henry. Liverpool [privately printed], 1874.

The Works of Robert Burns. Ed. Douglas, W. Scott. 6 vols. [Vols. I–III Poetry, vols. IV–VI Prose]. Edinburgh, 1877–9. [Contains various letters and poems not previously printed.]

The National Burns. Ed. Gilfillan, George. Including the airs of all the Songs and an original life of Burns by the editor. Glasgow, 1879–80.

The Poetical Works of Robert Burns. Ed. with a memoir by Aitken, George A. 3 vols. 1893.

The Poems of Robert Burns. Ed. Lang, Andrew and Craigie, W. A. 1896. and later edns.

The Complete Poetical Works of Robert Burns. Ed. Robertson, James Logie. 3 vols. 1896. [The Oxford Burns.]

The Poetry of Robert Burns. Ed. Henley, W. E. and Henderson, T. F. 4 vols. Edinburgh, 1896–7. [Eclectic text, with some new poems, various readings, and notes on origins, metres, etc.] Cheaper edn. 4 vols. 1901.

Correspondence of Robert Burns and Mrs. Dunlop. With elucidations by Wallace, William, 1898.

The Court of Equity on the Libel Summons by Robert Burns. [Privately printed.] 1899.

Complete Poetical Works of Robert Burns. With an appreciation by Lord Rosebery. 1902.

Poetical Works of Robert Burns with Life and Notes by Wallace, William. London and Edinburgh, 1902, and later edns.

The Songs of Robert Burns now first printed with the Melodies for which they were written. With Bibliography, Historical Notes and Glossary by Dick, James C. 1903.

Poems of Robert Burns. Selected and edited with notes by Henderson, T. F, Heidelberg, 1906.

Notes on Scottish Song. By Robert Burns. Ed. Dick, J. C. 1908.

B. *Biography and Criticism*

[*See, also,* memoirs published with works, sect. A, *ante*]

Aiken, P. F. Memorials of Robert Burns and some of his contemporaries and their descendants by the grandson of Robert Aiken. 1876.
Ainger, A. Burns. Lectures and Essays. Vol. I. 1905.
Ainslie, H. A Pilgrimage to the Land of Burns: containing anecdotes of the Bard and of the characters he immortalised, etc. Deptford and London, 1822. New edn. 1892.
Angellier, A. Robert Burns: la vie et les œuvres. 2 vols. Paris, 1893.
Annual Burns Chronicle and Club Directory. Kilmarnock. From 1892.
[Each number contains various papers of interest relating to the poet.]
Arnold, M. Essays in Criticism. Second series. 1888.
Ayr. Reminiscences of Old Ayr. Edinburgh, 1864.
Bigmore, E. C. Descriptive List of a Collection of Original Manuscript Poems by Robert Burns. 1861.
Blackie, J. Stuart. Life of Robert Burns. (Great Writers Series.) 1888.
Brooke, Stopford A. Theology in the English Poets. 1874. 10th edn. 1907.
Brown, G. D. Robert Burns. In Blackwood's Magazine, August 1896.
Burnomania: the celebrity of R.B. considered in a discourse to which are added epistles in verse respecting Peter Pindar, Burns, etc. Edinburgh, 1811.
Burns, Calendar, The. A Manual of Burnsiana: relating to events in the poet's history, and names associated with his life and writings, a concise bibliography and a record of Burns relics. Kilmarnock, 1874.
Burns Exhibition. Memorial Catalogue. Glasgow, 1896.
Burns's Calf turn'd a Bull, or some remarks on his attack of Mr * * * * when preaching from Mat. iv. 2. To which is added some observations on Dr. M'G–ll's practical essay. By a Rhymer. 1787.
Carlyle, T. Burns [a review of Lockhart's Life of Burns], in the Edinburgh Review for December, 1828. Rptd., 1854, and in his Collected Essays. Frequently rptd. singly.
—— On Heroes, Hero-Worship and the Heroic in History. 1841. Frequently rptd.
Carruthers, R. The Highland Note-Book. Edinburgh, 1843.
Chambers's Cyclopaedia of English Literature. Vol. II. Burns, by Wallace William. New edn. 1901.
Chamber's Encyclopaedia. Burns, by Lang, Andrew. New edn. 1901.
Chambers, Robert. The Picture of Scotland. 2 vols. Edinburgh, 1827.
—— A Biographical Dictionary of Eminent Scotsmen. New edn. by Thomson. T. 3 vols. 1868.
Courthope, W. J. History of English Poetry. Vol. VI. 1910.
Cox, R. An Essay on the Character and Cerebral Development of Robert Burns. Edinburgh, 1859.
Craigie, W. A. A Primer of Burns. 1896.
Cunningham, A. Landscape Illustrations of the Life and Works of Burns, with original descriptions. 1835.
Cuthbertson, J. Complete Glossary to the Poetry and Prose of Robert Burns. Paisley, 1886.
Dictionary of National Biography. Burns, by Stephen, Sir Leslie.
Edinburgh Magazine for October, November and December 1787. [Notices of the Edinburgh edn. of Burns's Poems.]

Elton, O. Survey of English Literature, 1780–1830. 2 vols. 1912.
Emerson, R. W. Miscellanies. 1884.
Encyclopaedia Britannica. 11th edn. Burns, by Professor Nichol. Cambridge, 1910.
Fitzgerald, E. Letters to Fanny Kemble, 1871–83. 1895.
[Gairdner, Mrs.] Robert Burns: an enquiry into certain aspects of his Life and Character, etc. By a Scotchwoman. 1887.
Gilfillan, G. Burns. Galleries of literary portraits. Vol. I. 1856.
Graham, H. G. Scottish Men of Letters in the Eighteenth Century. 1901.
Haddon, J. C. George Thomson, the friend of Burns, his Life and Correspondence. 1898.
Hannay, J. Satire and Satirists. 1854.
Hawthorne, N. Our Old Home. 2 vols. Vol. II. 1863.
Hazlitt, W. Burns and the Old Ballads. In Lectures on the English Poets. 1818. Works. Edd. Waller, A. R. and Glover, A. Vol. V. 1902.
Henderson, T. F. Robert Burns. (Oxford Biographies.) 1904.
—— The Auld Ayrshire of Robert Burns. 1906.
—— Scottish Vernacular Literature. 3rd edn. Edinburgh, 1910.
Henley, W. E. Burns. Life, genius, achievement, in vol. IV of the Centenary Burns. Edinburgh, 1897. Rptd. separately, 1898, and in Collected Works, vol. III, 1908.
[Hepburn, T. N.] "Gabriel Setoun." Robert Burns. (Famous Scots Series.) 1896.
Heron, R. A Memoir of the Life of the late Robert Burns. Edinburgh, 1797.
Higgins, James C. Life of Robert Burns. Edinburgh, 1893.
Hill, D. O., Wilson, John and Chambers, Robert. The Land of Burns, a Series of Landscapes and Portraits, illustrative of the Life and Writing of the Scottish Poet. 2 vols. Glasgow, 1840–1.
Howitt, W. Homes and Haunts of the most eminent British Poets. 1849. 3rd edn. 1857.
Irving, David. History of Scottish Poetry. Ed. Carlyle, J. Edinburgh, 1861.
—— Lives of Scottish Poets. 2 vols. Edinburgh, 1804. 2nd edn. 1810.
Jacks, W. Robert Burns in other Tongues. A Critical Review of the Translations of the Songs and Poems of Robert Burns. Glasgow, 1896.
Jeffrey, F. Reliques of Robert Burns, in Edinburgh Review for Jan. 1809 (vol. XIII). Rptd. in Contributions to the Edinburgh Review, vol. II, 1844. Various subsequent edns.
Jolly, W. Robert Burns at Mossgiel: with Reminisences of the Poet by his herd boy. Paisley, 1881.
Keats, John. Life, Letters and Literary Remains. Ed. Milnes. R. M. [Lord Houghton]. 1848. Various subsequent edns.
Kerr, R. Life of William Smellie [Burns's printer]. 2 vols. Edinburgh. 1811.
Lang, Andrew. Letters to Dead Authors. 1886.
Lockhart, J. G. Life of Robert Burns. 1828. 3rd edn. corrected, Edinburgh, 1830. New edn. with Notice of the Death of the Poet's Widow and a Memoir of the author. 1871. Enlarged edn. by Douglas, W. S. 1882.
[Lover, Samuel] "Ben Trovato." Rival Rhymes in honour of Burns, with curious illustrative matter. 1859.
Mac Dowall, W. Burns in Dumfriesshire. Edinburgh, 1870.
Mackay, A. Burns and his Kilmarnock Friends. Kilmarnock, 1874.
Mackenzie, H. Review of the first Edinburgh edn. of Burns's Poems in vol. III of the Lounger. Edinburgh, 1787.

Mackintosh, J. The poets of Ayrshire from the 14th century to the present day. Dumfries [1912]
Maxwell, J. Animadversions on some Poets and Poetasters. Paisley, 1788. [Contains Hamilton's answer in verse to the lines of Burns on the royal palace at Stirling.]
Meyerfeld, M. Robert Burns. Studien zu seiner dichterischen Entwicklung. Berlin, 1899.
Millar, J. H. A Literary History of Scotland. 1903.
Miller, F. The Poets of Dumfriesshire. Glasgow, 1910.
Minto, W. The Literature of the Georgian Era. Edinburgh, 1894.
Molenaar, H. Robert Burns' Beziehungen zur Litteratur. Erlangen und Leipzig, 1899.
Muir, J. Burns at Galston and Ecclefechan. Glasgow, 1896.
Munro, N. Ayrshire Idylls. 1912.
Neilson, W. A. Burns in English. Rptd. from Anniversary papers by colleagues and pupils of George Lyman Kittredge. Boston, 1913.
Nichol, J. Robert Burns. A summary of his career and genius. Edinburgh. 1882. *See, also,* Encyclopaedia Britannica.
Oliphant, M. O. The Literary History of England in the end of the eighteenth and beginning of the nineteenth century. 3 vols. Vol. I. 1882.
Parley, Peter. Famous Men of Modern Times. Philadelphia, 1846.
Paterson, J. The Contemporaries of Burns. Edinburgh, 1840.
Peterkin, A. A Review of the Life of Robert Burns, etc. Edinburgh, 1815.
Reid, J. B. A Complete Word and Phrase Concordance to the Poems and Songs of Robert Burns. Glasgow, 1899.
Ritter, O. Quellenstudien zu Robert Burns, für die Jahre 1773–83. Halle, 1899.
—— Quellenstudien zu Robert Burns, 1773–91. Berlin, 1901.
—— Charlie he's my darling, and other Burns originals. Anglia, XXIX. Halle, 1906.
Robert Burns and the Ayrshire Moderates. Edinburgh [privately printed], 1883.
Robertson, J. L. In Scottish Fields. 1890.
—— Furth in Field. 1894.
Rogers, C. Genealogical Memoirs of the Family of Robert Burns and of the Scottish House of Burns. Edinburgh, 1827.
—— The Book of Robert Burns. 3 vols. Edinburgh: printed for the Grampian Club, 1889–91.
Rosebery, Earl of. Robert Burns: Two Addresses delivered at Dumfries and Glasgow on the Centenary of the Poet's Death. Edinburgh, 1896.
Ross, J. D. Early Critical Reviews on Robert Burns. Glasgow and Edinburgh, 1900.
Rossetti, W. M. Lives of Famous Poets. 1878, and subsequent edns.
Saintsbury, G. A History of Nineteenth Century Literature, 1780–1895. 1896.
Schipper, J. M. Beiträge und Studien zur englischen Kultur- und Literatur-Geschichte. Wien und Leipzig, 1908.
Scots, Society of Ancient. Lives of Eminent Scotsmen. 3 vols. Vol. I. 1821.
Scott, Sir W. Review of Cromek's Reliques in vol. I (1809) of the Quarterly Review.
Service, John. Burns. In vol. III of the English Poets. Ed. Ward, T. H. 1880.
Shairp, J. C. On the Poetic Interpretation of Nature. Edinburgh, 1877.
—— Robert Burns. (English Men of Letters Series.) 1879.

Shairp, J. C. Aspects of Poetry, being Lectures delivered at Oxford. Oxford, 1881.
—— Sketches in History and Poetry. Ed. Veitch, John. Edinburgh, 1887.
Shelley, H. C. The Ayrshire Homes and Haunts of Burns. New York and London, 1897.
Sterling, J. Essays and Tales. 2 vols. Vol I. 1848.
Stevenson, R. L. Some Aspects of Robert Burns. In Cornhill Magazine. Vol. XL (1879). Rptd. in Familiar Studies of Men and Books, 1882.
Swinburne, A. C. Poem on Burns. In the Nineteenth Century, February, 1896.
Taine, H. A. Histoire de la Littérature Anglaise. 4 vols. Vol. III. Paris, 1863–4.
Tait, A. Poems and Songs. Printed for and Sold by the author only, 1796. [Contains Diatribes on Burns and the Burns family.]
Walker, Hugh. Three Centuries of Scottish Literature. 2 vols. Vol. II. Glasgow, 1893.
Walker, Josiah. An account of the Life and Character of Robert Burns. In his edn. of Burns, 1811. Published separately. Edinburgh, 1811.
Wallace, William. The Character and Genius of Burns. In vol. IV of his edn. of Chambers's Burns. Edinburgh, 1896.
Wilson, J. Essays Critical and Imaginative. 4 vols. Vol. III. Edinburgh, 1857.
Winter, A, with Robert Burns. Being Annals of his Patrons and Associates in Edinburgh during the year 1786–7, etc. 1826.
Wordsworth, D. Recollections of a Tour made in Scotland, A.D. 1803. Edinburgh, 1874.
Wordsworth, W. Letter to a Friend of Robert Burns. 1816.

II. Lesser Scottish Verse

See, also, ante, Vol. IX, Chap. XIV, bibliography.

A. *Texts*

Albyn's Anthology. Ed. Campbell, A. 2 vols. Edinburgh, 1816–18.
Ayrshire Ballads and Songs. Edinburgh, 1847.
Baillie, Joanna. Fugitive Verses. 1790. New edn. 1842.
—— Metrical Legends. 1821.
—— Poetical Miscellanies [containing also poems by Sir Walter Scott, Mrs. Hemans, etc.] 1822.
—— Dramatic and Poetical Works. 1853.
Gilfillan, G. Joanna Baillie. Galleries of literary portraits. Vol. I. 1856.
Barnard, Lady Anne. Auld Robin Gray. Ed. Scott, Sir Walter. Edinburgh (Bannatyne Club), 1825.
Blacklock, Thomas. Poems. Edinburgh, 1746. 2nd edn. 1753. 3rd edn. with an account of his life. 1756.
—— Pastoral Song, set to Music by himself. Edinburgh, 1774.
—— The Graham, an heroic ballad in four Cantos. Edinburgh, 1774.
—— Poems, with Life by Henry Mackenzie. Edinburgh, 1793.
Boswell, Sir Alexander. Songs Chiefly in the Scottish Dialect. Edinburgh, 1803.
—— The Spirit of Tintoc or Johnny Bell and the Kelpie. Edinburgh, 1803.
—— Epistle to the Edinburgh Reviewers, by A. B. Edinburgh, 1803.

Boswell, Sir Alexander. Clan Alpin's Vow. A Fragment. Edinburgh, 1811. 2nd edn. London, 1813.
—— The Tyrant's Fall. A Poem on Waterloo. Auchinleck, 1815.
—— Sheldon Haugh's or the Sow Flitted. Auchinleck, 1816.
—— The Woo' Creel or the Bull of Bashun. Auchinleck, 1816.
—— Song. Harveian Anniversary. Edinburgh, 1816.
—— Elegiac Ode to the memory of Dr. Harvey, in Andrew Duncan's Tribute to Raeburn. Edinburgh, 1824.
—— Poetical Works. Ed. Smith, S. H. Glasgow, 1871.
Bruce, Michael. Poems on Several occasions. Ed. Logan, J. Edinburgh 1770. 2nd edn. 1796. Ed. Baird with additions from the author's MS. supplied by his Mother. 3rd edn. 1807. Under the titles Lochleven and other Poems. With memoir by Dr. Mackelvie. 1837. Miscellaneous Poems. Belfast, 1854. Works, with memoir by Grosart, A. B. Edinburgh, 1865. Poetical Works. Paisley, 1895.
Caledonian Musical Museum. 1801.
Caledonian Musical Repository. London, 1806. Edinburgh, 1809 and 1811.
Chambers, Robert. Scottish Songs. 2 vols. 1829–32.
—— Miscellany of Popular Scottish Songs. Edinburgh, 1841.
Cromek, R. H. Select Scottish Songs Ancient and Modern. 2 vols. 1810.
—— Remains of Nithsdale and Galloway Song. 1810. Rptd. Paisley, 1880.
Cunningham, Allan. The Magic Bridle. n.p. n.d.
—— Songs chiefly in the rural Dialect of Scotland. 1813.
—— Sir Marmaduke Maxwell. 1822.
—— The Songs of Scotland Ancient and Modern. 4 vols. 1825.
—— Songs and Poems with preface by Cunningham, Peter. 1847. New edn. 1875.
Gilfillan, G. Alan Cunningham. Galleries of literary portraits. Vol. 1. 1856.
Dixon, W. M. Edinburgh Book of Scottish Verse. Edinburgh, 1910.
Douglas, Sir George. Poems of the Scottish Minor Poets. 1891.
—— The Book of Scottish Poetry. 1910.
Gall, Richard. Poems and Songs, with memoir of the author. 1819.
Gilfillan, Robert. Original Songs. Edinburgh, 1831. 2nd edn. 1835. 4th edn. as Poems and Songs. 1851.
Glen, William. Poems chiefly Lyrical. Glasgow, 1815.
—— The Star of Brunswick. Lanark, 1818.
—— Poetical Remains with memoir by Rogers, Charles. Edinburgh, 1874.
Grahame, James. Poems in English, Scotch, and Latin. Paisley, 1794.
—— Rural Calender. Paisley, 1797.
—— Mary Stewart, Queen of Scots, a Dramatic Poem. Edinburgh, 1801.
—— The Sabbath. [Anonymous.] Edinburgh, 1804. New edn. with Sabbath walks. 1806. Frequently rptd.
—— Biblical Pictures. Edinburgh, 1806.
—— The Birds of Scotland and other Poems. Edinburgh, 1806.
—— Poems. In 2 vols. Glasgow and Edinburgh, 1807.
—— British Georgics. Edinburgh, 1809. 2nd edn. 1812.
—— Poems on the Abolition of the Slave Trade. Edinburgh, 1810.
—— Poetical Works. Ed. Gilfillan, G. Edinburgh, 1856.
Grant, Mrs. of Laggan. Poems on various Subjects. Edinburgh, 1803.
—— The Highlands and other Poems. 2nd edn. London, 1808. 3rd edn. Edinburgh, 1810.

Grant. Mrs. of Laggan. Eighteen Hundred and thirteen, a Poem. Edinburgh, 1844.

"Paston, G." Mrs. Grant of Laggan. Little memoirs of the eighteenth century. 1901.

Harp of Caledonia. 3 vols. Glasgow, 1819–81.

Harp of Renfrewshire. Ed. by Motherwell, W. Glasgow, 1820. Rptd. Paisley, 1872.

Hogg, James. Scottish Pastoral Poems, Songs, etc. Edinburgh, 1801. [Full of typographical and other errors. Now very rare.]

—— The Mountain Bard. Edinburgh, 1807.

—— The Forest Minstrel. Edinburgh, 1810.

—— The Queen's Wake. Edinburgh and London, 1813. Illustrated edn. dedicated to the Princess Charlotte, 1818.

—— The Pilgrims of the Sun. Edinburgh and London, 1815.

—— Madoc of the Moor. Edinburgh and London, 1816.

—— Jacobite Relics of Scotland. 2 vols. 1819–21. Rptd. Paisley, 1874.

—— Poems. [Selected from those previously published.] 4 vols. Edinburgh, 1822.

—— Queen Hynde. 1826.

—— Songs. Edinburgh, 1829.

—— Works. Ed. Thomson, T. 2 vols. London, Edinburgh and Glasgow, 1865.

—— Works. Centenary Illustrated edn. 1876.

Saintsbury, G. Essays in English Literature, 1780–1860. 1890.

Hunter, Mrs. Anne. Poems. 1802.

Johnson, James (d. 1811). The Scots Musical Museum. 5 vols. 1787–1803. Rptd., 6 vols., Edinburgh, 1833; 4 vols., with notes by Stenhouse, William, Laing, David, and Sharpe, C. K., Edinburgh, 1853.

Leyden, John. Scenes of Infancy. Edinburgh, 1803. Jedburgh, 1844. With memoir by Tulloch, W. W. Edinburgh, 1875.

—— Scottish Descriptive Poems. Edinburgh, 1803.

—— Poetical Remains with memoir by Morton, J. 1819.

—— Poems and Ballads. Ed. White, R. Kelso, 1858 and 1875.

—— Poetical Works. London and Edinburgh, 1875.

Logan, Rev. John. Poems. 1781. 2nd edn. 1782. 3rd. edn. 1789.

—— Poems and Runnymede, a tragedy. Edinburgh, 1807 and 1812.

—— Poetical Works. 1807.

Mac Neil, Hector. The Harp, a Legendary Tale. Edinburgh, 1789.

—— Scotland's Scaith or the History of Will and Jean. Edinburgh, 1795.

—— The Waes of War, or the Upshot of the History of Will and Jean. Edinburgh, 1796.

—— The Links O'Forth, or a Parting Peep at the Carse of Stirling. Edinburgh, 1795.

—— Poetical Works. 2 vols. London, 1801. 2nd edn. Edinburgh, 1806. 3rd edn., with portrait, and plates by Stothard. Edinburgh, 1812. Rptd. in one vol., 1856.

—— The Pastoral or Lyric Muse of Scotland. Edinburgh, 1810.

—— Town Fashions, etc. Edinburgh, 1810.

Mayne, John. The Siller Gunn. Dumfries, 1777. Extended to two Cantos, 1779; to three Cantos, 1780; to four Cantos, London, 1808; to five Cantos, London, 1836.

—— Glasgow, a poem. 1803.

Miscellany of Popular Scottish Poems. Edinburgh, 1841.

Motherwell, William. Minstrelsy Ancient and Modern. Glasgow, 1827 Paisley, 1873.
—— Poems. Narrative and Lyrical. 2nd edn. Glasgow, 1847. 3rd edn. 1844. Rptd. Paisley, 1881.
Musical Repository, The. Glasgow, 1799. Edinburgh, 1802.
Nairne, Caroline Oliphant, Baroness. Lays from Strathearn, by Caroline, Baroness Nairn. Arranged with Symphonies and accompaniments for the Pianoforte. n.d.
—— The Life and Songs of the Baroness Nairne. Ed. Rogers, Charles. Edinburgh, 1872.
—— Lady Nairne and her Songs, by Henderson, G. 1900. 2nd edn. 1901. Illust. edn. 1905.
Nicholson, William. Tales in Verse. Edinburgh, 1814. 2nd edn. Edinburgh 1828.
—— Poetical Works. Dalbeattie, 1895.
Nicoll, Robert. Poems and Lyrics. Edinburgh, 1835. 4th edn. Glasgow, 1852. 5th edn. Paisley, 1877. Centenary edition. Paisley, 1914.
Nithsdale Minstrel. Dumfries, 1805.
Pollok, Robert. The Course of Time. Edinburgh, 1827. Frequently rptd.
Rogers, Charles. The Modern Scottish Minstrel. 6 vols. Edinburgh, 1856–7.
Scots Minstrelsie. A National Monument of Scottish Song. Ed. and arranged by Greig, John. 6 vols. Edinburgh, 1893.
Scott, Sir Walter. Minstrelsy of the Scottish Border. 2 vols. 1802. Vol. III. 1803. Frequently rptd.
Smith, R. A. The Scottish Minstrel. 6 vols. Edinburgh, 1821–4.
Tannahill, R. Poems and Songs. Glasgow, 1807.
—— Poems, with Life. Glasgow, 1815.
—— Works. Edinburgh, 1835. With Life. London, 1857.
—— Poems and Songs, with Life of Tannahill and R. A. Smith. Glasgow. 1838. Centenary edn. Paisley, 1874. With Life, by Semple, D. 1900.
—— Political Songs, Ballads and Fragments. Ed. Laing, A. Brechin, n.d.
Tennant, William. Austin Fair. Edinburgh, 1812. New and revised edn. 1814. With other poems. 1838.
—— Papistry Stormed or the Dinging doun o' the Cathedral. Edinburgh, 1821.
—— The Thane of Fife. Edinburgh, 1822.
—— Cardinal Bethune: a drama in five acts. Edinburgh, 1823.
—— John Baliol: a historical drama. Edinburgh, 1828.
—— Hebrew Dramas. Edinburgh, 1845.
—— Life and Writings. Ed. Conolly, M. F. 1861.
Thom, William. Rhymes and Recollections of a hand loom weaver. London, 1844 and 1847. Ed. by Skinner, W. Paisley, 1880.
Thomson, George. Select Collection of Original Scottish airs for the voice, etc. With select and characteristic Verses by the most admired Scottish poets. 5 vols. Edinburgh, 1799–1818.
Watt, William. Comus and Cupid. Glasgow, 1835. 2nd edn. 1844. 3rd edn. as Poems and Songs. Glasgow, 1860.
Wilson, Alexander. Poems. Paisley, 1790. Poems with a Journal. Paisley, 1790. Poems humorous, satirical and serious. 2nd edn. Edinburgh, 1791. London, 1816. Paisley, 1816.
—— Poetical Works. Paisley, 1857.
—— Poems, with an Account of his Life and Works. Paisley, 1876.

Wilson, Alexander. Poems and Literary Passages. Ed. Grosart, A. B. Paisley, 1876.
—— The Shark, or Lang Mills Detected. n.p. 1792; rptd. as Lang Mills Detected. Paisley, 1832.
—— Watty and Meg. [Anonymous.] n.p. 1795. Newcastle, 1801.
—— The Spouter, a true Tale. Belfast, 1847.
—— Whistle Binkie. Glasgow, 1832–47 and subsequent edns.
Wilson, James Grant. The Poets and Poetry of Scotland. 1876.

B. *Historical and Critical Writings*

Auld Robin Gray, History of. n.p. n.d. *See, also, under* Lady Anne Barnard, *ante.*
Ayrshire Ballads and Songs. Edinburgh, 1847.
Borland, R. Yarrow, its Poets and Poetry. Dalbeattie, 1890.
Borthwick, J. D. History of Scottish Song. Montreal, 1874.
Burns, R. Notes on Scottish Song. Ed. Dick, J. C. 1908.
Chambers's Cyclopaedia of English Literature. Vol. II. New edn. 1901.
Grant, W. What still remains to be done for the Scottish dialects. English Assoc. pamphlet. [19—.]
Hecht, H. Neuere Literatur zur englisch-schottischen Balladendichtung. Englische Studien. Vol. XXXVI. Leipzig, 1906.
Henderson, T. F. Scottish Vernacular Literature. 3rd edn. Edinburgh, 1910.
Irving, D. History of Scottish Poetry. Ed. Carlyle, Alexander. Edinburgh, 1861.
—— Lives of Scottish Poets. 2 vols. Edinburgh, 1804. 2nd edn. 1810.
Maclagan, D. J. Scottish Paraphrases. Edinburgh, 1889.
Millar, J. H. A Literary History of Scotland. 1903.
—— Scottish Prose of the XVII and XVIII centuries. Glasgow, 1912.
Miller, F. The Poets of Dumfriesshire. Glasgow, 1910.
Murray, T. Literary History of Galloway. Edinburgh, 1823.
Ross, J. D. The Book of Scottish Poems, Ancient and Modern. 2 vols. Vol. II, Paisley, 1882.
Sibbald, J. Chronicle of Scottish Poetry, 4 vols. 1802.
Smith, J. C. Some characteristics of Scots literature. English Assoc. pamphlet [19—.]
Stenhouse, W. Illustrations of the Lyric Poetry and Music of Scotland. With additional Notes and Illustrations [by Laing, David]. Edinburgh, 1853.
Tytler, S. and Watson, J. L. Songstresses of Scotland. 2 vols. 1871.
Todd, G. E. Scottish Poetry of the Eighteenth Century. (Abbotsford Ser.) 2 vols. Glasgow, 1896.
Veitch, John. The Feeling for Nature in Scottish Poetry. 2 vols. Vol. II. Edinburgh, 1887.
—— History and Poetry of the Scottish Border. 2 vols. Vol. II. Edinburgh, 1893.
Watt, Lauchlan, M. Scottish Life and Poetry. 1812.

CHAPTER XI

THE PROSODY OF THE EIGHTEENTH CENTURY

Bright, J. W. and Miller, R. D. Elements of English versification. 1910.
English Prosody. Quarterly Review, July 1911.
Guest, E. History of English Rhythms. 1882.

Lewis, Charlton M. The foreign sources of modern English versification. (Yale Studies in English.) 1898.
Mayor, J. B. Chapters on English Metre. 1901.
Morton, E. P. The Spenserian Stanza in the Eighteenth Century. Modern Philology. Jan. 1913.
Omond, T. S. English Metrists in the Eighteenth and Nineteenth Centuries. 1907.
Saintsbury, G. History of English Prosody from the 12th Century to the present day. 3 vols. 1906–10.
—— Historical Manual of English Prosody. 1910.
Schipper, J. History of English versification. 1910.
Stone, W. J. On the Use of Classical Metres in English. 1899.
Verrier, P. Essai sur les Principes de la Métrique Anglaise. 3 vols. Paris, 1909–10.
Brown, T. B. Rudmose. Verrier's Essai sur les Principes de la Métrique Anglaise. Modern Language Review. Vol. VI, pp. 230–240. 1911.
——Questions de Métrique Anglaise. Paris, 1912.
—— English Metric. Modern Language Review. Vol. VII, pp. 522–535. 1912.

G. A. B.

CHAPTER XII

THE GEORGIAN DRAMA

I. Modern Authorities on the Theatre

Archer, W. Eminent Actors. Macready (by Archer, W.), Betterton (by Lowe, R. W.), Macklin (by Parry, E. A.). 1890–1.
—— Play-Making. 1912.
Armstrong, C. F. Shakespeare to Shaw. 1913.
Bernard, J. Retrospections of the Stage. 1830. [Posthumous: John Bernard, actor and manager in America and England, 1756–1828.]
Courtney, W. L. The Idea of Comedy. Lectures delivered to Royal Society of Literature. 1914.
Dibdin, T. J. Reminiscences of the Theatre Royal, Covent Garden, Drury Lane, etc. 2 vols. 1827.
Doran, J. Their Majesties Servants. Edn. revised by Lowe, R. W. 1888 Popular edn. 1897.
Dramaticus. An Impartial View of the Stage . . . of the Causes of its degenerated and declining State. 1816.
Fitzgerald, P. The Book of Theatrical Anecdotes. [1874?]
—— Romance of the English Stage. 1874.
—— The World behind the Scenes. 1881.
—— A new History of the English Stage from the Restoration to the Liberty of the Theatres. 1882.
—— Principles of Comedy. 1870.
—— Art of the Stage as set out in Lamb's dramatic Essays. 1885.
—— Shakespearean Representation. 1908.
Gaiffe, F. Le Drame en France au Dix-huitième Siècle. 1910.
Gayley, C. M. Plays of our Forefathers. 1909.
Genest, J. Some account of the English Stage, 1660–1830. 10 vols. Bath, 1832.
Hale, E. E. Dramatists of To-Day. 6th edn. 1911.

Hazlitt, W. Lectures on the English Comic Writers. 1st edn. 1819. 3rd edn. by his son. 1841. Works. Edd. Waller, A. R. and Glover, A. Vol. VIII. 1903.
Jullien, A. L'Histoire du Costume au Théâtre. 1880.
Lawrence, W. J. The Elizabethan Playhouse and other Studies. Second Series. 1913.
Lee, Sir S. Shakespeare and the Modern Stage. Reissue. 1907.
Lowe, R. W. A Bibliographical Account of English Theatrical Literature. 1888.
Mantzius, K. History of Theatrical Art (transl. Cassel, L. von). Vol. v. 1909.
Matthew, Brander. Actors and Actresses of Great Britain and the United States. 1886.
Meredith, G. An Essay on Comedy and the Comic Spirit. 1897.
Nettleton, G. H. English Drama of the Restoration and Eighteenth Century (1642–1780). 1914.
Oliver, D. E. The English Stage. Its Origin and Developments. [1912.]
Palmer, J. The Future of the Theatre. 1913.
Poel, W. Shakespeare in the Theatre. 1913.
Russell, W. Clark. Representative Actors. n.d.
Scheurer, C. M. An early Sentimental Comedy. Anglia. Vol. XXXVII. Halle, 1913.
Schlegel, A. W. Dramatic Art and Literature. Trans. 1894.
Seccombe, T. The Age of Johnson. 1748–1798. 1900.
Sharp, B. F. Short History of the English Stage. 1909.
Spingarn, J. E. A Note on Dramatic Criticism. Essays and Studies by members of the English Association. Vol. IV. 1913.
Waterhouse, O. The Development of English Sentimental Comedy in the Eighteenth Century. Anglia. Vol. XXX. Halle, 1907.
Wyndham, H. S. The Annals of Covent Garden Theatre, 1732–1897. 1906.

II. Contemporary Treatises and Comments on the Theatre

Illustrating the antiquarian and academic interest in the theatre which accompanied its decadence.

Bellamy, T. The London Theatres; a poem. . . . 1795.
Berington, J. Miscellaneous Dissertations . . . on the Origin and Antiquity of Masquerades, Plays. . . . 1757.
Brooke, Mrs. Frances. Excursion. 1777. (Garrick attacked Bk. v., pp. 20–31.)
Chetwood, W. R. The British Theatre. Containing the lives of the English dramatic poets; with an account of all their plays. Together with the lives of most of the principal actors. . . . To which is prefixed a short view of the rise and progress of the English Stage. 1750.
Churchill, C. *See under* Theatrical Pamphlets, sect. III.
Cooke, W. The Elements of dramatic criticism. . . . Containing an analysis of the Stage. . . . 1775.
Colman, G. and Thornton, B. The Connoisseur. No. 34, The Juggle of the Theatre. No. 43, A typical theatrical audience. No. 47, Suggestions for making the theatre a moral influence. All in 1754.
Cumberland, R. The Observer. No. 29, The merits and accomplishments of actors. [1785?]
Davies, T. Dramatic Miscellanies. 1784.
Derrick, S. A general view of the Stage. By Mr. Wilkes. 1759. [*See* Lowe, R. W., English Theatrical Literature, for attributing the work to S. D.]

Dibdin, C. A. A complete History of the English Stage. Introduced by a comparative and comprehensive review of the Asiatic, the Grecian, the Roman, the Spanish, the Italian, the Portuguese, the German, the French and other theatres. . . . [1800.]

Diderot, D. Paradoxe sur le Comédien. (Ed. Dupuy, E.) 1902. Transl. Pollock, W. H., with preface by Irving, H. 1883.

Dramaticus, Censor. A Complete History of the Drama. 1793.

Egerton, T. and J. The Theatrical Remembrancer, containing a complete list of all the dramatic performances in the English language . . . and a catalogue of such Latin plays as have been written by English authors, from the earliest production of the English drama to the end of the year 1787. To which are added Notitia Dramatica, being a chronological account of events relative to the English stage. 1788.

Fielding, H. Tom Jones, Bk. v., chap. i, Bk. viii, chap. i.

Foote, S. The Roman and English Comedy consider'd and compar'd . . . and an examen into the merits of the present comic actors. 1747.

Goldsmith, O. The Citizen of the World. See *ante*, Vol. x, Chap. ix, bibliography.

Hill, J. The Actor: A treatise on the Art of Playing. 1750.

The Actor . . . a new work . . . adapted to the present State of the Theatres 1755.

Transl. Sticotti, A. F. David Garrick, ou les Acteurs anglais. 1769.

Hunt, Leigh. Critical Essays on the Performers of the London Theatres, including general observations on the Practice and Genius of the Stage. 1807. [*See, also*, by the same author: Autobiography, 1850, chaps. vi, vii.]

Hurd, R. A Dissertation on the Provinces of the Drama. Complete Works. 1811.

Johnson, S. The Idler. No. 25, New Actors. (1758.)

Lessing, G. E. Hamburgische Dramaturgie. 1767–8.

Mackenzie, T. The Lounger. No. 6, Interruptions by the Audience. (1785.) No. 80, Turbulent applause. (1786.)

Percy, Bp. An Essay on the Origin of the English Stage. 1793.

Shirley, W. Brief Remarks on the Original and present State of the Drama. 1758.

Simpson, D. A Dissertation on Stage Entertainments. Birmingham, 1788.

Smollett, T. Roderick Random. Chap. lxii. Peregrine Pickle. Chaps. lxi, xciv. [After Garrick had accepted Reprisal (1757), the novelist relented, *see* his History of England, Book, iii, chap. xvi, § xxviii.]

Victor, B. The History of the Theatres of London and Dublin from the year 1730 to the present Time. 1761. [Author brought out sequel bringing history up to 1771. Record was continued to 1796 and then to 1817 by Oulton, W. C.]

Walpole, H. Letters, *passim*, esp. To the Earl of Hertford, 26 March, 1765, in which Walpole compares Garrick's style with that of his most illustrious contemporaries. Ed. Toynbee, Mrs. Paget. Vol. vi, p. 202 f. Oxford, 1904.

Walwyn, B. An Essay on Comedy. 1782.

Wilkinson, Tate. The Wandering patentee; or, a history of the Yorkshire Theatres, from 1770 to the present time. . . . 1795.

Anon. A Letter to my Lord . . . on the present diversions of the Town. With the true reason of the decay of our dramatic entertainments. 1725.

Anon. A Companion to the Theatre; or a review of our most celebrated dramatic pieces. . . . 1747.

Anon. The present State of the Stage in Great-Britain and Ireland. And the theatrical characters of the principal performers . . . impartially considered. 1753.

Anon. The battle of the players. In imitation of Dean Swift's Battle of the books. . . . 1763.

Anon. The new Thespian Oracle; containing original Strictures on oratory and acting. And a select collection of all the modern prologues and epilogues. . . . 1791.

Anon. Observations on the effect of Theatrical Representations. 1804.

III. Theatrical Pamphlets

Abridged list, showing how paper warfare, reminiscent of 16th century flytings, still raged round the public characters of the theatre, and supplying evidence of the grievances of authors against actors and managers. See Lowe, R. W., A Bibliographical Account of English Theatrical Literature, 1888.

The Case of Charles Macklin. 1743.

[Garrick and his fellow actors having seceded from Drury lane owing to Fleetwood's extravagance, but failing to obtain a licence for another theatre, returned to their manager, though he specifically excluded Macklin, and though the actors had promised to hold together. The above pamphlet came out on the day on which Garrick appeared in the theatre (6 Dec., 1743) and a riot ensued. Garrick replied with]

Answer to Mr. Macklin's Case. 1743.

An Essay on Acting, in which will be considered the Mimical behaviour of a certain fashionable, faulty actor. . . . 1744.

[Criticism by Garrick on his own Macbeth to disarm censure.]

Prologue and Epilogue at the opening of Drury Lane Theatre. 1747.

[The beginning of Garrick's management. Prologue by Dr. Johnson.]

A Letter to Mr. G—k, relative to his treble capacity of manager, actor and author: with some remarks on Lethe. 1749.

[Unfavourable: answered by] Lethe rehearsed; or a critical discussion of the beauties and blemishes of that performance . . . 1749.

An Examen of the historical Play of Edward the Black Prince. . . . With a critical Review of Mr. Barry, in the character of Ribemont. 1750.

The Visitation; or, an interview between the Ghost of Shakespeare and D—v—d G—rr—k, Esq. . . . 1755. [Condemns dances and pantomimes.]

The Morality of Stage-plays seriously considered. 1757.

[Defence of Home's Douglas. Attributed to Ferguson, A.]

The Theatrical Examiner: An enquiry into the merits and demerits of the present English performers in general. . . . 1757.

[Garrick, Barry, Mossop and others criticised.]

Case of Authors by Profession. 1758. [By Ralph, J.]

A Letter to Mr. Garrick on the opening of the theatre, with observations on the conduct of managers to actors, authors and audiences. . . . 1758.

Observations on Mr. Garrick's Acting. 1758.

[By Pittard, J. Praises Garrick's King Lear.]

An Estimate of the theatrical merits of the Two Tragedians of Crow Street. . . . 1760.

[Comparison of Barry with Mossop to the former's advantage.]

An enquiry into the real merit of a certain popular performer. 1760.

[By Fitzpatrick, T., one of the bitterest enemies of Garrick, who retorted with]

The Fribbleriad. 1761.

The Rosciad. 1761.

[By Churchill, C. 9th edn., 1765, with names in full. Followed by]

The Apology. Addressed to the critical Reviewers. 1761.

[These two poems by Churchill occasioned about a dozen replies, Anti-Rosciads, Churchiliads, etc. As to Churchill, *see*, *also*, *ante*, Vol. X, Chap. XVII, bibliography.]

The Rosciad of Covent-Garden. 1762.

The Sick Monkey. n.d.

[By Garrick on himself to announce his return to England and to disarm criticism by anticipating it.]

An historical and succinct account of the late riot at the Theatres of Drury Lane and Covent Garden. 1763.

Theatrical disquisitions . . . with a short appendix, relative to the more flagrant disturbance committed at Covent Garden Theatre. 1763.

[Both pamphlets refer to demonstrations led by Fitzpatrick against Garrick, who again attacked his enemy in] Fitzgig, or the Modern Quixote, . . . 1763.

Miss C—y's Cabinet of Curiosities; or the Green-Room broke open. By Tristram Shandy. 1765.

[Concerns Ann Catley, actress and singer.]

Thespis: or, a critical examination into the merits of all the principal performers belonging to Covent Garden. 1766.

[By Kelly, H., who followed up the pamphlet with]

Thespis: or . . . examination . . . of . . . Drury Lane. 1767.

[Both pamphlets provoked replies, especially]

The Kellyad. By Louis Stamma. 1767.

The Stage the high road to Hell: being an essay on the pernicious nature of theatrical entertainments. . . . With strictures on the vicious and dissolute characters of the most eminent performers of both sexes. 1767.

Momus, a poem; or, a critical examination into the merits of the performers and comic pieces at the Theatre Royal in the Haymarket. n.d.

[Attributed to Carey, G. S. Attack on Foote and his company.]

The Theatrical Campaign for MDCCLXVI and MDCCLXVII.

A Narration of the rise and progress of the disputes subsisting between the patentees of Covent Garden Theatre. 1768.

A true state of the differences subsisting between the proprietors of Covent Garden Theatre. . . . 1768.

[Harris attempted to compel Mrs. Lessingham to act unsuitable parts. Legal proceedings were taken and many other pamphlets produced on this dispute and H.'s management in general, especially.]

The Managers managed; or, the characters of the four Kings of Brentford.

An ode upon dedicating a building and erecting a statue to Shakespeare, at Stratford upon Avon. 1769.

[By Garrick. Among the pamphlets occasioned by the same event are]

Anti-midas: a Jubilee preservative for unclassical, ignorant, false, and invidious criticism. 1769.

Garrick's Vagary: or, England run mad. With particulars of the Stratford Jubilee. 1769.

Trinculo's trip to the Jubilee. 1769.

The Theatre licentious and perverted. . . . Inscribed to Samuel Foote. 1770.

[Foote's Minor, an attack on methodists, was produced in Dublin, 1760, without success; but, on being expanded from two to three acts and produced at the Haymarket (summer of same year), it met with a good reception. The farce occasioned about two dozen pamphlets of which the above is one.]

Love in the Suds; being the Lamentation of Roscius for the loss of his Nyky. A Town Eclogue. 1772.

[Insinuations by Kenrick on Garrick's moral character, when Bickerstaffe had to flee from London.]

A mob in the pit: or, lines addressed to the D—ch—ss of A—ll. 1773.

[Attack on the duchess of Argyll, born Gunning, for insisting on the expulsion of a man who occupied the theatre box which she relinquished to visit the pit.]

Resignation; or majesty in the dumps; an ode. Addressed to George Colman. 1774.

The Drama, a poem. 1775.

[Attributed to Pilon, F. and to Downman, H.]

The Contrast: or, New Mode of Management. Being a peep behind the curtain of the Salisbury Theatre in 1776.

[By Brownsmith, J. An attack on managers.]

A serious dissuasive from frequenting the play-house. 1776. [By Orton, J.]

Coalition: a farce . . . performed . . . under the joint inspection of the managers of both theatres. The Second edition. Dramatis personae: Brainsley senior, Brainsley junior, Harrass, Tickler, Lyric, a pragmatic poet, Servants, Bailiffs. . . . 1779.

[In 1778, the patentees of Drury lane and Covent garden coalesced and members of the two companies were exchanged. In the above pamphlet, Brainsley is Sheridan and Harrass is Harris.]

An Essay on the preeminence of comic genius: with observations on the several characters Mrs. Jordan has appeared in. 1786.

[Mrs. Jordan (born Bland) a famous *comedienne* in romp and "breeches" parts.]

A Review of the present Contest between the Managers of the Winter Theatres, the Little Theatre in the Hay-market and the Royalty Theatre in Well-Close Square. 1787.

[John Palmer, actor, opened the Royalty theatre in Well-Close square with licence from local magistrates but without authority of lord chamberlain. Theatre opened 20 June 1787, but performances were suspended; reopened 3 July, with irregular pieces. The episode occasioned many pamphlets, especially the above, which was favourable to Palmer and was answered (probably by Colman) with]

A very plain State of the Case, or the Royalty Theatre versus the Theatres Royal. 1787.

The Cap. A Satiric poem. Including most of the dramatic writers of the present day. By Peter Pindar, Esq. . . . Dedicated to Richard Brinsley Sheridan, Esq. n.d.

[Attack on contemporary dramatists. Cap of folly won by Boaden.]

A Vindication of a right in the public to a one shilling gallery either at the new Theatre Royal in Covent Garden, or somewhere else. 1792.

[Harris made *2s.* the lowest admission but was forced to build a *1s.* gallery.]

The Druriad: or, Strictures on the principal performers of Drury Lane Theatre. 1798.

The Histrionade: or, theatric tribunal; a poem descriptive of the principal performers at both houses. By Marmaduke Myrtle. 1802.

Observations on the effect of Theatrical Representations. 1804.

An Answer to some strictures on the profession of an Actor, published in the Morning Post, on the 19th August, by a gentleman under the signature of Crito. 1805.

[Crito, whose letter is quoted, complains amongst other things of actors intruding their private disputes on the public. *See* Lowe, R. W., *ante.*]

Bisset, J. Critical essays on the dramatic excellencies of the young Roscius, by gentlemen of distinguished literary talents and theatrical amateurs, opposed to the hypercriticisms of anonymous writers. n.d.

[A laudation of Betty, W. H. W. (1791–1874) the boy actor who took London by storm 1804–5 at both Drury lane and Covent garden. A virulent controversy arose for and against his merits of which the following are specimens.]

Harley, G. D. An authentic biographical sketch of the life, education and personal character of William Henry West Betty. . . . 1804.

Harral, T. . . .The Infant Roscius; or, an inquiry into the requisites of an actor. n.d.

Jackson, J. Strictures upon the merits of young Roscius. 1804.

[Eulogistic. Answered by]

Animadversions on Mr. J. Jackson's Dramatic Strictures upon the merits of young Roscius. By the editor of The Glasgow Theatrical Register. 1804.

R., W. P. An easy cure for popular phrenzy in theatrical concerns. Having reference to the indecent plaudits and exhorbitant recompense bestowed . . . on that puerile performer, called the young Roscius. . . . 1804.

Woodward, G. M. The Bettyana, a poem, descriptive of the progress of the young Roscius. 1805.

[About ten other pamphlets on the subject extant.]

IV. Memoirs, Anecdotes and Autobiographies

Records which contain valuable side lights on the development of the stage and illustrate public interest in actors and the popular belief in their immorality.

Bellamy, George Anne, Apology for the Life of, late of Covent Garden Theatre. Written by herself. 1785. [Materials arranged by Bicknell at commission of Bell the bookseller.]

Biographia dramatica, or, a Companion to the playhouse: Containing historical and critical memoirs and original anecdotes. . . . 1782. [By Reed, I. Really 2nd edn. of The Companion to the play-houses. . . . 1764. By Baker, D. E. New edn. brought down to 1811. By Jones, S. 1812.]

C—y's, Miss, Cabinet of Curiosities; or the Green-Room broke open. By Tristram Shandy. 1765. [Concerns Ann Catley.]

Catley, Miss Ann, The Life and memoirs of the late. n.d.

Cibber, T. The Lives and Characters of the most eminent actors and actresses of Great Britain and Ireland. 1753.

Colman the Younger. Random Records. 1830.

Cooke, G. F. Memoirs of the life of. By Dunlap, W. 2nd edn. 2 vols. 1815.

Dibdin, Mr., The professional Life of, written by himself. Together with the words of six hundred songs selected from his works. . . . 1803.

Edwin's pills to purge melancholy: containing all the songs sung by Mr. Edwin of Covent Garden Theatre since his first appearance in London. . . 1788.

Edwin's last legacy. Containing a Collection of his Oddities, Songs and various efforts of humour. n.d.

Foote, Samuel, Memoirs of the life and writings of. To which are added the bon-mots, repartees and good things said by that great wit and excentrical genius. n.d.

Garrick, David. *See, also*, sects. I, II and III, *ante*.

Lichtenberg, G. C. Ausgewählte Schriften. His account of Garrick written in 1775.

Memoirs of the Life of Garrick. 1780.

Davies, T. Life of Garrick. New edn. 1780.

Murphy, A. Life of Garrick. 2 vols. 1801. French trans. 1822.

Private Correspondence of David Garrick. Ed. Boaden, J. 1831–2.

Fitzgerald, P. The Life of David Garrick. 1868. Revised edn. 1899.

Hitchman, F. David Garrick. Eighteenth Century Studies. 1881.

Lamb, C. The Tragedies of Shakespeare, considered with reference to their fitness for stage representation. In The Art of the Stage as set forth in the dramatic essays of Charles Lamb. Ed. Fitzgerald, P. 1885.

Knight, J. David Garrick. 1894.

Gaehde, C. David Garrick als Shakespeare-Darsteller. Berlin, 1904.

Martin, Sir T. Monographs. 1906. [Collection of articles from Quarterly Review.]

Parsons, Mrs. C. Garrick and his Circle. 1906.

Baker, G. P. Unpublished Correspondence of David Garrick. 1907.

Hedgcock, F. A. David Garrick et ses Amis Français. Paris, 1911.

Green Rooms, The Secret history of the: containing authentic and entertaining memoirs of the actors and actresses in the Three Theatres Royal. Vol. I. Drury Lane. 1790. Vol. II. Covent Garden and Haymarket. 3rd edn. 1793.

Henderson, John, Letters and poems by the late. With anecdotes of his life. By Ireland, J. 1786.

Holcroft, Thomas, Memoirs of the late; written by himself and continued to the time of his death, from his diary, notes and other papers, by Hazlitt, W. 3 vols. 1816. Hazlitt's Works. Edd. Waller, A. R. and Glover, A. Vol. II. 1902.

Inchbald, Mrs., Memoirs of, by Boaden, J. 1833.

Jordan, Mrs., Life of, by Boaden, J. 2 vols. 1831.

Kelly, Michael. Reminiscences. 2 vols. 1826.

Kemble, J. P., Memoirs of the life of. 2 vols. 1825.

Macklin, Charles, Memoirs of the life of, principally compiled from his own papers and memorandums; which contain his criticisms on and characters and anecdotes of Betterton, Booth, Wilks, Cibber, Garrick, Barry, Mossop, Sheridan, Foote, Quin . . . together with his valuable observations on the drama, on the science of acting and on various other subjects. . . . By Kirkman, J. 1799.

Munden, Joseph Shepherd, Memoirs of. By his son. 1844.

O'Keeffe, J. Recollections. 2 vols. 1826.

Palmer, Mr. John, A Sketch of the theatrical life of the late; containing an accurate and impartial summary of the incidents of his public life. . . . 1798.

[Palmer (1742–98) the creator of Joseph Surface.]

Siddons, Mrs., Memoirs of. Interspersed with anecdotes of authors and actors. By Boaden, J. 1827.

Tobin, Mr. John, Memoirs of, author of The Honeymoon. With a selection from his unpublished writings. 1820.

Wilkinson, Tate. Memoirs of his own Life. 1790.

Anon. Original anecdotes respecting the stage and the actors of the Old School. . . . 1805.

V. Writers for the Stage

A. *Sheridan*

1. Collected editions

Moore, T., Leipzig, 1833; Hunt, Leigh, 1840; S., G. G. (*i.e.* Sigmond), 1848 (rptd. 1902); Browne, J. P., 1873; Stainforth, F., 1874; Dircks, R., Camelot Classics, 1891; Matthews, B., The Rivals and The School for Scandal, 1885; Pollard, A. W., 1900; Rae, W. Fraser, 1902 (includes important textual corrections and his mother's unpublished A Journey to Bath); Goose, E., Favourite Classics, 1905; Knight, J., World's Classics, 1906; Nettleton, G. H., Athenaeum Press Series, 1906.

Transl. Duval, G. Paris, 1891.

2. Separate Plays

The Rivals, a Comedy. As it is Acted at the Theatre-Royal in Covent-Garden. 1775.

Innumerable rpts. and annotated edns. *e.g.* Short, J., Truchy's edn. . . . with a key to the proper names of the dramatis personae . . . . French notes, Paris, 1861; Low, W. H., Tutorial Series, 1891; Aitken, G. A., Temple Dramatists, 1897; Adams, J. Q., The Riverside Literature Series, 1910; Balston, T., Clarendon Press, 1913.

See, also, Adams, J. Q., The Nation, vol. xc, no. 2337 on the Original Performances of The Rivals, and Modern Language Notes, vol. xxv, pp. 171–3 on the text of The Rivals; Brereton, A., Haymarket Theatre, A short history of . . . The Rivals, 1900.

Transls. Engelbrecht, J. A., Die Nebenbuhler in Schroeder, F. L.: Hamburgisches Theatre, Bd. 1, 1776; Béverlei, tragédie bourgeoise, 1813; Bertin, T. P., Délia ou les deux cousines, par Brinsley Sheridan . . . Traduction libre de l'anglais (in form of novel), 1817.

St. Patrick's Day, or the Scheming Lieutenant; a comic piece in one act. Cumberland's British Theatre. Vol. xxviii. 1829. [Produced 1775.] Annotated edn. by G(eorge) D(aniel). Lacy's Acting Edn. of Plays. Vol. cxiv. 1879.

The Duenna; or the Double Elopement. A Comic Opera; as it is acted at the Theatre, Smoke-alley, Dublin. 1785, 1794. [Produced 1775.]

Transl. Châteauneuf, A. H. La Duègne et le Juif Portugais. 1827.

A Trip to Scarborough. A Comedy altered from Vanbrugh's Relapse. 1781, 1786. [Produced 1777.]

The School for Scandal, a Comedy. n.d. [1778?]. [Produced 1777.]

Rpts. 1781, 1782, 1783. A Volume of Plays . . . Containing The School for Scandal. Theatre Royal, Smoke-Alley, Dublin. 1785, etc.

Annotated edns. Lake, J. W., The School for Scandal . . . with a biographical sketch, critical notice, vocabulary of difficult words, a key to the proper names of the dramatis personae and of those mentioned in the course of the play, 1853; Westley, R. H., The School for Scandal, No. 5, Masterpieces of English Literature, 1861; Aitken, G. A., The School for Scandal, Temple Dramatists, 1897.

French Translations and Adaptations. 1788; Delille, Brunel, 1789; Famin, P. N., 1807; Villemain, A. F., Chefs-d'œuvre des Théâtres étrangers, tom. I, 1822; L'École du Scandale . . . imitée . . . par Crosnier, Jouslin de Lasalle et Saint M[aurice], 1824; Châteauneuf, A. H., 1824; Pichot, M. A., 1852; Fougas, Tapon, 1863.

German Translations. Leonhardi, 1782; Meissner, C., 1863; No. 30 Classische Theater-Bibliothek aller Nationen, 1868; Klapperich, J., Zur Sprache des Lustspieldichters, R. B. Sheridan, 1892.

Italian Translations. Anno Teatrale. Anno Secondo, tom. III, 1796; Leoni, M., 1818.

The Critic, or, A Tragedy Rehearsed. A dramatic piece in three Acts, as it is performed at the Theatre Royal in Drury Lane. 1781. [Produced 1779.]

Rpts. Aitken, G. A. Temple Dramatists. 1897. Carr, P. Mermaid Repertory Theatre Acting Edn. 1905.

The Critick Anticipated; or, the Humours of the Green Room. A farce. 1779. [An imitation which anticipated Sheridan's burlesque.]

The Critic: or, A Tragedy Rehearsed: a new dramatic piece in three acts; as it is performed by His Majesty's Servants, with the greatest applause. By the author of the Duenna. 1780. [Really a political squib on the Government.]

Pizarro; a tragedy in five acts . . . taken from the German drama of Kotzebue and adapted to the English Stage. 1799.

Rpts. Kean, C. Sheridan's Play of Pizarro . . . arranged for representation . . . with notes. 1856. Dicks, J. Dicks' Standard Plays. No. XV. 1883.

Sheridan's Address to the People, *i.e.* The Speech of Rolla in Pizarro, rptd. on the occasion of the threatened invasion. 1803.

Bahlsen, L. Kotzebue und Sheridan. 1889.

The Forty Thieves; a romantic drama in two acts by Sheridan, R. B. and Colman the younger. Duncombe's edn. of the British Theatre. Vol. II. 1825.

3. Poems

Clio's Protest, or the Picture Varnished. 1771, 1819.

The General Fast; a lyric ode. 1775.

Ode to Scandal. 1819.

4. Biography and Criticism

All the best edns. and commentaries quoted above have also appreciations.

Besser, R. R. B. Sheridan. Die Neueren Sprachen. Vol. XIX. Marburg, 1911–12.

Earle, W. Sheridan's Life and Times, by an Octogenarian. 1859.

Fitzgerald, P. Lives of the Sheridans. 1887.

Moore, T. Life of Sheridan. 1825.

Oliphant, Mrs. Sheridan. (English Men of Letters Series.) 1883.
Pearson, C. H. Sheridan. Reviews and Critical Essays. 1896.
Rae, W. Fraser. Sheridan: a Biography. 1896.
Sanders, L. C. A life of Richard Brinsley Sheridan. (Great Writers Series.) 1890. [Contains a bibliography of Sheridan's writings by Anderson, J. P.]
Sichel, W. Sheridan from new and original material: including a manuscript diary by Georgiana Duchess of Devonshire. 2 vols. 1909. [Contains a full bibliography of Sheridan's works.]
Smyth, W. A Memoir of Mr. Sheridan. 1840.
Watkins, J. Life of Sheridan. 1816.

B. *Minor Writers for the Stage*

Abridged list. For comprehensive and fairly accurate record of theatrical activity from 1660 to 1830 *see* Genest, J., History of the English Stage, 1832. Most of the later eighteenth century plays have never been rptd. The best are preserved by Inchbald, Mrs. E., in The British Theatre, 1806–9 (25 vols.); The Modern Theatre, 1809 (10 vols.); A Collection of Farces, 1809 (7 vols.). For early and mid eighteenth century plays see Bell's British Theatre, 1797 (began to appear 4 May, 1796).

Baillie, Joanna. A Series of Plays; in which it is attempted to delineate the strange passions of the mind, each passion being the subject of a tragedy and a comedy, 1798 (includes De Montfort, which Kemble produced in 1800). Plays on the Passions, vol. II, 1802 (sequel to the above). Miscellaneous Plays, 1804. (On more conventional lines.) Family Legend, 1810. Plays on the Passions, vol. III, 1812. Miscellaneous Plays. 3 vols. 1836. *See* Dramatic and Poetical Works of Joanna Baillie; Annual Register, 1851. *See, also,* bibliography to Chap. X, *ante.*

Bentley, R. (youngest son of the scholar). The Wishes, acted Drury Lane, 1761. Philodamus (tragedy), 1767. The Prophet (comedy), acted posthumously, 1788.

Bickerstaff, Isaac. *See* bibliography to Vol. X, Chap. IV, *ante.*

Brand, Miss Hannah (d. 1821). Huniades (tragedy). Acted, 1792. Dramatic and Poetical Works, 1798. Dramatic and Poetical Works. 2 vols. 1808.

Burgoyne, General J. The Maid of the Oaks, 1774. Acted, Drury Lane, 1775. Lord of the Manor (comic opera), 1780. The Heiress, 1786.

Fonblanque, E. B. de. Political and military episodes from the life and correspondence of General Burgoyne. 1876.

Celesia, Dorothea (born Mallet). *See* bibliography to Vol. X. Chap. IV, sect. II, *ante.*

Cobb, J. The Contract, or Female Captain, 1779. The Humourist, 1785. Strangers at Home (opera), 1785. The Haunted Tower, 1789. Many other productions, mostly operatic.

Colman, G., the elder. *See* bibliography to Vol. X, Chap. IV, *ante.*

Colman, G., the younger. Two to One, 1784. Turk and no Turk, 1785. Inkle and Yarico (1787). The Battle of Hexham, acted 1789, ptd. 1808. The Surrender of Calais, acted 1791, ptd. 1808. The Mountaineers, 1793. The Iron Chest, 1796. The Heir at Law, acted 1797, ptd. 1808. John Bull, acted 1803, ptd. 1805.

Cowley, Hannah. *See* bibliography to Chap. VIII, *ante.*

Cradock, J. *See* bibliography to Vol. X, Chap. IV, sect. II, *ante.*

Cumberland, R. The Brothers (comedy), 1769. The West Indian (comedy), 1771. Timon of Athens (tragedy, adapted from Shakespeare), 1771. The Fashionable Lover (comedy), 1772. The Choleric Man (comedy), 1774. Arundel (novel), 1789. The Jew (comedy), 1794. Henry (novel), 1795. *See* Mudford, W., Critical Examination of the writings of R. Cumberland, 1812. Also, Paston, G., Richard Cumberland, Little Memoirs of the Eighteenth Century, 1901.

Delap, J. Hecuba, acted 1761, ptd. 1762. The Royal Suppliants, acted and ptd. 1781. The Captains, 1786. Dramatic Poems: Gunilda, Usurper, Matilda, and Abdalla, 1803.

Dibdin, C. Actor and dramatist. Best known for his sea songs. His innumerable farces and operettas almost worthless.

Foote, S. *See* bibliography to Vol. X, Chap. IV, *ante.*

Garrick, David. *See* bibliography to Vol. X, Chap. IV, *ante.*

Goldsmith, Oliver. *See* bibliography to Vol. X, Chap. IX, *ante.*

Griffith, Elizabeth. A Double Mistake, 1765. The School for Rakes, 1769. A Wife in the Right, 1772.

Hawkesworth, John (1715?–1773). Edgar and Emmeline, 1761.

Hayley, W. (1745–1820). Plays of three Acts and in Verse, written for a Private Theatre. 1784. *See, also,* bibliography to Chap. VIII, *ante.*

Hoare, P. Such Things Were (Trag.), 1788. No Song, No Supper (Farce), 1790. Many musical farces and operas.

Holcroft, T. The Crisis, acted 1778. Duplicity, 1781. The Road to Ruin, 1792, The Deserted Daughter, 1795. *See, also,* bibliography to Chap. XIII, *post.*

Home, J. Agis. (Trag.) completed 1747 but not acted. Douglas acted in Edinburgh 1756, London 1757. (Many pamphlets on subject of a minister writing plays.) Agis, acted 1758. Siege of Aquileia, acted 1760. The Tragedies. published 1760. Alfred, acted 1778. Collected Works, by Mackenzie, H., 1822.

Hoole, John. *See* bibliography to Vol. X, Chap. IV, *ante.*

Hull, Thomas (1728–1808). Henry the Second, or the Fall of Rosamond (tragedy), 1774. The History of Sir William Harrington (novel), 4 vols., 1771.

Inchbald, Mrs. E. The Mogul Tale, acted 1784, ptd. 1824. I'll tell you What, acted 1785, ptd. 1786. Such Things Are, acted 1787, ptd. 1788. Everyone has his Fault, 1793. Wives as they Were, 1797. To Marry or not to Marry, 1805. *See, also,* bibliography to Chap. XIII, *post.*

Ireland, W. H. (1777–1835). Literary forger. Composed Vortigern and Rowena, also Henry II, alleging both to be by Shakespeare. Sheridan produced Vortigern and Rowena at Drury lane, 1795. Many pamphlets occasioned by the forgeries.

Jephson, Robert. Braganza, 1775. The Count of Narbonne, 1781. Julia, or the Italian Lover, 1787. Roman Portraits, 1794. The Conspiracy, 1796.

Kelly, Hugh. *See* bibliography to Vol. X, Chap. IV, *ante.*

Kemble, J. P. Belisarius, acted 1778. The Farm House, 1789. Love in Many Masks, 1790. For a full list of Kemble's adaptations, chiefly from Shakespeare, *see* Biographia dramatica, ed. Jones, Stephen, vol. I, pt. II, 1812.

Kenrick, W. (1725?–1779). The Duellist, 1773. *See, also,* bibliography to Chap. XIV, sect. V. *post.*

Lewis, M. G. The Castle Spectre, 1797. The East Indian, 1799. Adelmorn. or, The Outlaw, 1801. Alfonso, King of Castile, 1802. Adelgitha, 1807, Venoni, or The Novice of St. Mark's, 1808. (Partly transl. from Les

Victimes Cloistrées and anticipates a situation in Monte Cristo.) Timour the Tartar, 1811. *See, also,* bibliography to Chap. XIII, *post.*

Macnally, L. Retaliation, 1782. Coalition, 1783. Fashionable Levities, 1785.

Mason, W. Elfrida. 1752 (dramatic poem). Caractacus, 1759. Sappho, 1797 (lyrical drama). *See, also,* bibliography to Vol. X, Chap. XVII.

More, Hannah. The Search after Happiness, 1762 (pastoral drama for children). The Inflexible Captive, 1774. Percy, produced by Garrick, 1777, published 1785. The Fatal Falsehood produced 1779. Sacred Dramas published 1782. Collected Works, 1834. *See* Meakin, A. M. B., Hannah More, a biographical study, 1911. *See, also,* Bibliography to Chap. XV, *post.*

Morton, T. Columbus, 1792. The Way to get Married, 1796. A Cure for the Heartache, 1797. Speed the Plough, 1798. The School for Reform, 1805.

O'Brien, W. Actor and dramatist. Cross Purposes, 1772. The Duel, 1773. Both adaptations from French.

O'Keeffe, J. Actor and dramatist. Composed about fifty musical pieces, of which the songs are the best part. Wild Oats, 1791.

Reynolds, F. Werter, 1785 (tragedy). Eloisa, 1786 (tragedy). The Dramatist, 1789 (comedy). Produced about a hundred other comedies and tragedies.

Tobin, John (1770–1804). The Honey Moon, 1805. The Curfew, 1807. The School for Authors, 1808.

Vaughan, T. Love's Metamorphoses, acted 1776, ptd. as Love's Vagaries, 1791. The Hotel, 1776.

CHAPTER XIII

THE GROWTH OF THE LATER NOVEL

I. General Works

Beers, H. A. History of English Romanticism in the 18th century. 1899.

—— History of English Romanticism in the 19th century. 1902.

Conant, Martha P. The Oriental tale in England in the 18th century. New York, 1908. [With good bibliography of the subject.]

D'Arblay, Frances Burney, Madame. Diary and Letters of Madame D'Arblay (1778–1840). 6 vols. Ed. Dobson, A. 1905.

Dibelius, W. Englische Romankunst. Die Technik des englischen Romans im XVIIIten und zu Anfang des XIXten Jahrh. Vol. I. (Palaestra. Vol. XCII.) Berlin, 1910.

Ker, W. P. Romance. English Assoc. pamphlet. 1909. Rptd. 1913.

L'Estrange, A. G. K. The Life of M. R. Mitford. 1870.

—— The Friendships of M. R. Mitford as recorded in letters from her literary correspondents. 2 vols. 1882.

Loliée, F. Short history of comparative literature. Trans. Power, M.D. 1906.

Mitford, Mary R. Recollections of a Literary Life. 3 vols. 1882.

Nichols, John. Literary Anecdotes of the Eighteenth Century and Illustrations of the literary history of the Eighteenth Century. 17 vols. 1812–58.

Raleigh, Sir W. The English Novel. 5th edn. 1904.

Saintsbury, G. The English Novel. 1913.

Scott, Sir Walter. Familiar Letters of Sir W. S. 2 vols. Edinburgh, 1894.

—— Life. By Lockhart, J. G. 10 vols. Edinburgh, 1903.

Seward, Anna. Letters of A. S. written between the years 1784 and 1807. Ed. Constable, A. 6 vols. Edinburgh, 1811.

Seward, Anna. A Swan and her friends. Ed. Lucas, E. V. 1907.

The indexes of the following periodical publications should also be consulted for the periods coinciding with the dates of publication of the various authors' works: Blackwood's Magazine; Edinburgh Review; English Review; Gentleman's Magazine; and Quarterly Review.

II. Particular Authors

Thomas Amory

See bibliography to Vol. X, Chap. III, *ante*.

Robert Bage

See, also, bibliography to Vol. X, Chap. III, *ante*.

Novels. [With a prefatory notice of the author by Scott, Sir Walter.] 1824. (Ballantyne's Novelists' Library.)

Eaton Stannard Barrett

The Heroine, or Adventures of Cherubina. 3 vols. 1813. Rptd., with an introduction by Raleigh, Sir W. 1909.

Gentleman's Magazine. Vol. xc, pt. I, p. 377. April, 1820.

William Beckford

See, also, bibliography to Vol. X, Chap. III, *ante*.

Le Vathek de Beckford. Réimprimé sur l'édition française originale avec préface par Mallarmé, Stephane. Paris, 1876. [With a bibliography.]
The Episodes of Vathek. Translated by Marzials, Sir F. T. Introd. by Melville, L. 1912. [Includes the French text of the Episodes.]

Poole, S. Lane. The Author of Vathek. Quarterly Review, October, 1910.
Redding, Cyrus. Memoirs of William Beckford of Fonthill. 2 vols. 1859.

Mary Brunton (1778–1818)

Self Control. 1810.
Discipline. 1814.

Francis Coventry (d. 1759?)

The History of Pompey the Little, or the Life and Adventures of a Lap-Dog. 1751.

Richard Cumberland (1732–1811)

See bibliography to Chap. XII, *ante*.

Maria Edgeworth

A. Manuscripts

B. M. Add. MSS. 18204, 33964, 37185, 37186 contain letters, and 28524–5 the MS. of Helen.

B. Collected Works

Tales and Miscellaneous Pieces. 14 vols. 1825.

Tales and Novels. 18 vols. 1832–3.
Novels. 12 vols. 1893.

C. Separate Works

Letters for Literary Ladies. 1795.
Parents' Assistant, or Stories for Children (first part). 1796. 6 vols. 1800. [Little Plays afterwards added as a seventh vol.]
Practical Education. 1798.
Castle Rackrent: an Hibernian Tale. 1800.
Early Lessons. 1801.
Belinda. 1801.
Moral Tales. 1801.
Irish Bulls. 1802.
Popular Tales. 1804.
Modern Griselda. 1804.
Leonora. 2 vols. 1806.
Tales of Fashionable Life. (First series: Eunice, The Dun, Manoeuvring, Almeria.) 1809. (Second series: Vivian, The Absentee, Madame de Fleury, Emilie de Coulanges.) 1812.
Patronage. 4 vols. 1814.
Continuation of Early Lessons. 2 vols. 1815.
Harrington, a tale; and Ormond, a tale. 1817.
Comic Dramas. 1817.
Memoirs of R. L. Edgeworth (vol. II by Maria). 1820.
Frank. A Sequel to Frank, in Early Lessons. 1822.
Harry and Lucy, concluded; being the last part of Early Lessons. 4 vols. 1825.
Garry Owen, or the Snow-Woman: and Poor Bob, the Chimney-Sweeper. 1832.
Helen. A Tale. 3 vols. 1834.
Orlandino. 1834.
The Good Aunt. A tale. Paris, 1834.

D. Biography and Criticism

Edgeworth, F. A. A Memoir of Maria Edgeworth, with a selection from her letters. 3 vols. 1867. [Unpublished, possibly only B. M. copy extant.]
Hare, A. J. C. The Life and Letters of Maria Edgeworth. 1894.
Hill, Constance. Maria Edgeworth and her circle in the days of Buonaparte and Bourbon. 1909.
Zimmern, Helen. Maria Edgeworth. (Eminent Women Series.) 1883.

William Godwin

See bibliography to Chap. III, *ante*.

Elizabeth Hamilton (1758–1816)

The Hindoo Rajah. 1796.
Memoirs of Modern Philosophers. 1800.
The Cottagers of Glenburnie. 1808.

Benger, Miss. Memoirs of Eliz. Hamilton. 1815.

Samuel Henley

See bibliography to Vol. X, Chap. III, *ante*, *s.v.* William Beckford.

Thomas Holcroft

Alwyn; or, the Gentleman Comedian. 1780.
Human Happiness; or the Sceptic. A poem in six cantos. 1783.
The Family Picture; or domestic dialogues on amiable . . . subjects. 1783.
Tales of the Castle . . . . Translated . . . by T. Holcroft. 1785.
The Life of Baron Frederic Trenck; . . .Translated from the German, by T. Holcroft. 1792.
Anna St. Ives; a novel. 7 vols. 1792.
The Adventures of Hugh Trevor, etc. 6 vols. 1794–7.
Travels from Hamburg, through Westphalia, Holland and the Netherlands to Paris. 2 vols. 1804.
Memoirs of Bryan Perdue: a novel. 3 vols. 1805.
Tales in verse; critical, satirical and humorous. 2 vols. 1806.

Memoirs of the late T. Holcroft. Ed. Hazlitt, W. 3 vols. 1816. Hazlitt's Works. Edd. Waller, A. R. and Glover, A. Vol. 11. 1902.
Mitford, Mary R. Recollections of a Literary Life. 3 vols. 1852.

For Holcroft's dramatic productions, *see* bibliography to Chap. XII, *ante*.

Thomas Hope

Anastasius, or Memoirs of a Greek written at the close of the Eighteenth Century. 1819. 2 vols. 1836.

See D. of N.B. for Hope's works on Costume and Art.

Blackwood's Magazine. Vol. X, p. 200; vol. XI, p. 312. 1821–2.
Quarterly Review. Vol. XXIV, pp. 511 ff. January 1821.
Smith, Sydney. Edinburgh Review. Vol. XXXV, pp. 92 ff. 1821.

Elizabeth Inchbald

A Simple Story. 4 vols. 1791.
Nature and Art. 2 vols. 1796.

For dramatic works, *see* bibliography to Chap. XII, *ante*.

Boaden, J. Memoirs of Mrs. Inchbald, including her familiar correspondence with the most distinguished persons of her time. 2 vols. 1833.

Charles Johnstone (1719?–1800?)

Chrysal, or the Adventures of a Guinea. 4 vols. 1760–5. Rptd. with introd. by Baker, E. A. 1908.
The History of Arbases, Prince of Betlis. 4 vols. 1774.

Harriet Lee

Clara Lennox. 2 vols. 1797.
The Canterbury Tales. 1797–8.

Bristol Journal, 9 August, 1851.

Sophia Lee

The Recess, or a Tale of other Times. 1785. [Also wrote the introduction to vol. I of Harriet Lee's Canterbury Tales, and in later vols. the two tales: The Young Lady's Tale, or, the Two Emilys; and The Clergyman's Tale.]

Boaden, J. Memoirs of Mrs. Siddons. 2 vols. 1827.

Charlotte Lennox (1720–1804)

The Life of Harriot Stuart. 1751.
The Female Quixote. 2 vols. 1752.
The Sister. A Comedy. 1769.
Euphemia. 4 vols. 1790.

Matthew Gregory Lewis

Ambrosio, or, the Monk. 1795. Entitled in 2nd edn. The Monk; a romance. [With several passages expunged.] 1796. Ed. Baker, E. A. 1907.
Alonzo the Brave and Fair Imogine. A ballad. [From The Monk.] [1800?]
Tales of Terror, with an introductory dialogue. [In verse.] 1801.
Tales of Wonder. [In verse.] Written and collected by M. G. Lewis. 2 vols. 1801.
The Bravo of Venice, translated from the German. 1805.
Feudal Tyrants; or, the Counts of Carlsheim and Sargans. A romance. Taken from the German. 4 vols. 1806.
Romantic Tales. 4 vols. 1808.
Journal of a West Indian Proprietor, kept during a residence in . . . Jamaica. 1834.

Baron-Wilson, Margaret. The Life and Correspondence of M. G. Lewis. With many pieces in prose and verse never before published. 2 vols. 1839.

Charles Robert Maturin

Fatal Revenge, or, The Family of Montorio. 1807.
The Wild Irish Boy. 1808.
The Milesian Chief. 1812.
Bertram; or the Castle of St. Aldobrand. A tragedy in five acts. 1816.
Manuel, a tragedy. 1817.
Women, or Pour et Contre. A tale. 1818.
Fredolfo; a tragedy, in five acts. 1819.
Melmoth the Wanderer; a tale. 1820. New edn. from the original text, with a memoir and bibliography of Maturin's works. 3 vols. 1892.
The Albigenses, a romance. 1824.

John Moore (1729–1802)

Zeluco. 1786.
Edward. 1796.
Mordaunt. 1800.

Amelia Opie (1769–1853)

Father and Daughter. 1801.
Poems. 1802.
Adeline Mowbray, or the Mother and Daughter. 1804.
Simple Tales. 1806.
Lays for the Dead. 1833.

Thomas Love Peacock

A. Collected Editions

Works, including his novels, poems, fugitive pieces, criticisms, etc., with a preface by the Rt. Hon. Lord Houghton, a biographical notice by his granddaughter Edith Nicolls. . . . Ed. Cole, H. 3 vols. 1873.

Collected Prose Works. Ed. Garnett, R. 10 vols. 1891.
Poems. Ed. Johnson, R. B. (The New Universal Library.) 1906.
Plays published for the first time. Ed. Young, A. B. 1910.

B. Separate Works

1. Novels

Headlong Hall. 1816.
Melincourt. 1817.
Nightmare Abbey. 1818.
Maid Marion. 1822.
The Misfortunes of Elphin. 1829.
Crotchet Castle. 1831.
Gryll Grange. 1861.

2. Poems

The Monks of St. Mark. 1804.
Palmyra. 1806.
The Genius of the Thames. 1810.
Rhododaphne, or the Thessalian Spell. 1818.
Paper Money Lyrics and other Poems. 1837.

C. Biography and Criticism

See, also, the biographical notices prefixed to edns. mentioned in sect. A, *ante.*

Buchanan, R. W. New Quarterly Magazine. Vol. IV. 1874.
Freeman, A. M. Thomas Love Peacock. A critical survey. 1911.
Hannay, J. North British Review. Vol. XLV. 1866.
Paul, H. The Novels of Peacock. Stray Leaves. 1906.
Peacock, T. L. Memoirs of Shelley, with Shelley's letters to Peacock. Ed. Brett-Smith, H. F. B. 1909.
—— Letters to Edward Hookham and Percy B. Shelley, with fragments of unpublished MS. Ed. Garnett, R. 1910.
Saintsbury, G. Peacock. Essays in English Literature, 1780–1860. 1890.
—— Macmillan's Magazine. Vol. LIII. 1886.
Spedding, J. Edinburgh Review. Vol. LXVIII. 1839.
Van Doren, C. Life of T. L. Peacock. 1911.

Anna Maria Porter

Artless Tales. 2 vols. Vol. I. 1793. Vol. II. 1795.
Tales of Pity. n.d.
Walsh Colville. 1797.
Octavia. 3 vols. 1798.
Lake of Killarney. 3 vols. 1804. Last edn. entitled Rose de Blaquière. 1856.
A Sailor's Friendship and A Soldier's Love. 2 vols. 1805.
The Hungarian Brothers. 3 vols. 1807.
Don Sebastian; or, the House of Braganza. An historical romance. 4 vols. 1809.
Ballad Romances, and other Poems. 1811.
The Recluse of Norway. 1814.
The Knight of St. John. 3 vols. 1817.
The Fast of St. Magdalen. 3 vols. 1818.

The Village of Mariendorpt. 4 vols. 1821.
Roche-Blanche; or, the Hunters of the Pyrenees. A romance. 1822.
Tales round a Winter Hearth (Glenowan, Lord Howch, and Jeanie Halliday by Anna Maria Porter). 2 vols. 1826.
Honor O'Hara. 3 vols. 1826.
Coming Out; and The Field of Forty Footsteps. 2 vols. 1828. [Former only by Anna Maria Porter.]
The Barony. 3 vols. 1830.
Elwood Anne K. Memoirs of the literary ladies of England. 2 vols. 1843.
Jerdan, W. National Portrait Gallery. 5 vols. 1830–4.

Jane Porter

Thaddeus of Warsaw. 4 vols. 1803.
Aphorisms of Sir Philip Sidney; with Remarks. 2 vols. 1807.
The Scottish Chiefs. 5 vols. 1810.
The Pastor's Fireside. 3 vols. 1815.
Duke Christian of Luneburgh; or, Traditions from the Hartz. 3 vols. 1824.
Tales round a Winter Hearth. 2 vols. 1826.
Coming Out; and The Field of Forty Footsteps. 3 vols. 1828. [Latter only by Jane Porter.]
Sir Edward Seaward's Narrative of his Shipwreck and Consequent Discovery of certain Islands in the Caribbean Sea; with a Detail of many extraordinary and highly interesting Events in his Life, from the Year 1733 to 1749; as written in his own Diary; edited by Miss Jane Porter. 3 vols. 1831.
Elwood, Anne K. Memoirs of the literary ladies of England. 2 vols. 1843.

Ann Radcliffe

The Novels of Mrs. Ann Radcliffe. To which is prefixed, A memoir of the Life of the Author [by Scott, Sir Walter]. (Ballantyne's Novelists' Library, vol. x.) 1824.
The Castles of Athlin and Dunbayne; a Highland Story. 1789.
A Sicilian Romance. 2 vols. 1790.
The Romance of the Forest: interspersed with some pieces of poetry. 3 vols. 1791.
The Mysteries of Udolpho; a romance interspersed with some pieces of poetry. 4 vols. 1794.
A Journey made in the Summer of 1794 through Holland and the Western Frontier of Germany. 1795.
The Italian, or, the Confessional of the Black Penitents. 3 vols. 1797.
Poems. 1815. 1816. 2 vols. 1834. Also 1845.
Gaston de Blondeville, or, the Court of Henry III keeping Festival in Ardenne 4 vols. 1826. [With a memoir of the authoress.]
Jeaffreson, J. C. Novels and Novelists from Elizabeth to Victoria. 1858.
Le Fêvre-Deumier, J. Célébrités anglaises. 1895.

Clara Reeve

The Champion of Virtue, a Gothic Story. 1777. Title changed to The Old English Baron in second (1778) and all later edns. Rptd., with memoir by Scott, Sir W., 1823 and 1883.
The Progress of Romance through Times, Countries, and Manners. 2 vols. Colchester, 1785.

See, also, bibliography to Vol. X, Chap. III, *ante.*

Regina Maria Roche

The Vicar of Lansdowne; or, Country Quarters. 2 vols. 1793.
The Maid of the Hamlet. 3 vols. 1793.
The Children of the Abbey. 4 vols. 1798.
Clermont. 4 vols. 1798.
The Nocturnal Visit. 4 vols. 1800.
The Discarded Son, or, the Haunt of the Banditti. 5 vols. 1807.
The Houses of Osma and Almeria, or the Convent of St. Ildefonso. 3 vols. 1810.
The Monastery of St. Colomba. 5 vols. 1812.
Trecothiek Bower. 3 vols. 1813.
London Tales; or, Reflected Portraits. 1814.
The Munster Cottage Boy. 4 vols. 1819.
Bridal of Dunamore; and Lost and Won. Two tales. 3 vols. 1823.
The Tradition of the Castle, or Scenes in the Emerald Isle. 4 vols. 1824.
The Castle Chapel. 3 vols. 1825.
Contrast. 3 vols. 1828.
The Nun's Picture. A Tale. 3 vols. 1834.

Gentleman's Magazine. Vol. II, p. 86. 1845.

Charlotte Turner Smith

Elegiac Sonnets, and other essays. 1784.
Translation of Manon L'Escaut from the French of the Abbé Prevost. 1786.
The Romance of Real Life. [A collection of tales.] 3 vols. 1787.
Emmeline, the Orphan of the Castle. 4 vols. 1788.
Ethelinde; or the Recluse of the Lake. 5 vols. 1790.
Celestina. A novel. 4 vols. 1791.
Desmond. A novel. 3 vols. 1792.
The Old Manor House. 1793.
The Emigrants, a poem in two books. 1793.
The Banished Man. A novel. 4 vols. 1794.
The Wanderings of Warwick. 1794.
Rural Walks: in dialogues . . . for . . . young persons. 2 vols. 1795.
Rambles farther: a continuation of Rural Walks. 2 vols. 1796.
Marchmont, a novel. 4 vols. 1796.
A Narrative of the loss of the Catherine, Venus and Piedmont transports, and the Thomas, Golden Grove and Aeolus merchant ships near Weymouth . . . 18 Nov. [1796]. 1796.
Minor Morals, interpersed with sketches of natural history . . . and original stories. 2 vols. 1798.
The Young Philosopher: a novel. 1798.
What is She? A comedy. 1799.
Letters of a Solitary Wanderer. 5 vols. 1801.
Conversations introducing poetry; chiefly on subjects of natural history. 2 vols. [1804.]
Beachy Head; with other poems. 1807.
The Natural History of Birds. 2 vols. 1807.

Elwood, Anne K. Memoirs of the literary ladies of England. 2 vols. 1843.
L'Estrange, A. G. K. The Life of M. R. Mitford. 1870.

Horace Walpole, Fourth Earl of Orford

The Castle of Otranto. A Story. Translated by William Marshall, Gent. From the Original Italian of Onuphrio Muralto, Canon of the Church of St. Nicholas at Otranto. 1765. [Written 1764.] 2nd edn. 1765. 5th edn. 1786. 6th edn. Parma, 1791. Rptd. with a Memoir by Scott, Sir W., 1823 and 1883. Transl. into French, 1767; into Italian, 1795.

G. A. B. AND A. T. B.

CHAPTER XIV

BOOK PRODUCTION AND DISTRIBUTION, 1625–1800

In addition to the books mentioned in this list, the lives and correspondence of the prominent literary men of the time—Dryden, Swift, Pope, Johnson, Goldsmith and others—contain much information respecting the relations between author and publisher during this period. The D. of N. B. should also be consulted for notices of the more important publishers and booksellers, and for sources of fuller information. For a list of works on bookbindings, see the bibliography to Chap. XVIII in Vol. IV, *ante* (p. 620).

I. General Works

Barwick, G. F. Some magazines of the eighteenth century. Bibliographical Soc. Trans. Vol. X. 1910.

Beljame, A. Le public et les hommes de lettres en Angleterre, 1660–1744. Paris, 1883.

Birrell, A. Seven lectures on the law and history of copyright in books. 1899.

Boswell's Life of Johnson. Ed. Hill, G. B. 6 vols. Oxford, 1887.

Copinger, W. A. The law of copyright. 4th edn. 1904.

Curwen, H. A history of booksellers. [1873.]

Decree of Starre-Chamber, concerning printing, made the eleventh day of July last past. 1637.

Dictionary of printers and booksellers in England, Scotland, and Ireland, 1557–1640. Ed. McKerrow, R. B. Bibliographical Society. 1910.

Dictionary of the booksellers and printers at work in England, Scotland, and Ireland, 1641–1667. By Plomer, H. R. Bibliographical Society. 1907.

D'Israeli I. Calamities and quarrels of authors. New edn. [1881.]

Grub-Street Journal. 1730–7. [A satire on hackwriters and their works. The chief contributors were Russell, R., Martyn, J., and Pope, Alex.]

Memoirs of the Society of Grub-Street. 2 vols. 1737. [Selections from The Grub-Street Journal.]

History of bookselling in England. Quarterly Review. Vol. CLXXIV. 1892.

Knight, C. Shadows of the old booksellers. 1865.

L'Estrange, Sir Roger. Considerations and proposals in order to the regulation of the press. 1663.

Kitchin, G. Sir Roger L'Estrange: a contribution to the history of the press in the seventeenth century. 1913.

See, also, bibliographies to Vol. VII, Chap. XV, and Vol. IX, Chap. I, *ante*.

Macfarlane, J. The paper duties of 1696-1713. The Library, 1900.

—— Pamphlets and the pamphlet duty of 1712. The Library, 1900.

Marston, E. Sketches of booksellers of other days. 1901.

—— Sketches of some booksellers of the time of Dr. Samuel Johnson. 1902.

Masson, D. The life of John Milton. 6 vols. 1859–80. [For booksellers and press censorship of the time.]
Milton, John. Areopagitica; a speech for the liberty of unlicenc'd printing. 1644. Ed. Arber, E. 1868.
Mumby, F. A. The romance of bookselling: a history from the earliest times to the twentieth century. 1910. [Contains a full bibliography of the subject by Peet, W. H.]
Nichols, J. Literary anecdotes of the eighteenth century. 9 vols. 1812–15.
—— Illustrations of the literary history of the eighteenth century. 8 vols. 1817–58.
Plomer, H. R. A short history of English printing, 1476–1898. 1900.
—— The booksellers of London Bridge. The Library, 1903.
—— The church of St. Magnus and the booksellers of London Bridge. The Library, 1911.
—— Westminster Hall and its booksellers. The Library, 1905.
Ralph, J. The case of authors by profession or trade, stated. With regard to booksellers, the stage, and the public. 1758. Another edn., with the author's name. 1762.
Roberts, W. The earlier history of English bookselling. 1889. [To the beginning of the 18th century.]
Shaylor, J. The Fascination of Books, with other papers on books and bookselling. 1912.
Spence, J. Anecdotes, observations and characters of books and men. 1820.
The Times Printing Number: reprinted from the 40,000th issue of The Times 1912.
Timperley, C. H. Encyclopaedia of literary and typographical anecdote. 1842.
Transcript of the Registers of the Company of Stationers, 1554–1640. Ed. Arber, E. 5 vols. Privately printed, 1875–94.
Transcript of the Registers of the Worshipful Company of Stationers; from 1640–1708. 3 vols. Vol. I (1640–1655). Roxburghe Club. 1913.
Watt, R. Bibliotheca Britannica; or a general index to British and foreign literature. 4 vols. Edinburgh, 1824.
Wheatley, H. B. The dedication of books to patron and friend. 1887.
—— Prices of books: an inquiry into the changes in the price of books which have occurred in England at different periods. 1898.

Lawler, J. Book auctions in England in the seventeenth century (1676–1700). 1898.
Pollard, A. W. English book-sales, 1676–1680. Bibliographica, vol. I, 1895.
A list of the original catalogues of the principal libraries which have been sold by auction by Mr. Samuel Baker [and his successors] from 1744 to 1828. 1828.
Axon, W. E. A. A London circulating library of 1743. The Library, 1900.
Clarke, A. The reputed first circulating subscription library in London. The Library, 1900.
Barwick, G. F. Humfrey Wanley and the Harleian library. The Library, 1902.

II. Particular Booksellers

Cave, Edward. The rise and progress of the Gentleman's Magazine: with anecdotes of the projector and his early associates. By John Nichols. 1821.
Cruden, Alexander. Life, by Alexander Chalmers. Prefixed to an edn. of the Concordance published in 1824, and frequently rptd. in later edns.

Curll, Edmund. The Curliad. A hypercritic upon the Dunciad Variorum. With a farther key to the new characters. 1792. [An attack upon Pope, by Curll.]

—— A full and true account of a horrid and barbarous revenge by poison on the body of Mr. Edmund Curll, bookseller: with a faithfull copy of his will and testament. [By Alex. Pope.] 1716.

—— Curll Papers: stray notes on the life and publications of E. Curll. By W. J. Thoms. Privately reprinted from Notes and Queries. 1879.

For a list of Curll's writings see D. of N. B.

Dodsley. Robert Dodsley, poet, publisher, and playwright. By Straus, R. S. 1910. [With a full bibliography.]

—— At "Tully's Head." By Austin Dobson. In Eighteenth Century Vignettes. 2nd ser. 1894.

See, also, bibliography to Vol. IX, Chap. VI, p. 534, *ante.*

Dunton. The life and errors of John Dunton. 1705. New edn. (enlarged). 2 vols. 1818.

—— The Dublin Scuffle: being a challenge sent by John Dunton, citizen of London, to Patrick Campbell, bookseller in Dublin. Together with the small skirmishes of bills and advertisements. 1699.

For a list of Dunton's numerous other writings see D. of N. B.

Lackington. Memoirs of the first forty-five years of the life of James Lackington . . . bookseller. . . . Written by himself. [1791.] New edn. enlarged. 1792. Further enlarged. 1794. 13th edn. [1810].

—— The confessions of James Lackington. 1804.

—— James Lackington. By George Paston. In Little Memoirs of the Eighteenth Century. 1901.

Murray. A publisher and his friends (The House of Murray, 1768–1843). By Samuel Smiles. 2 vols. 1891.

Newbery, Francis. An old London bookseller. By Austin Dobson. In Eighteenth Century Vignettes. 1st ser. 1892.

Newbery, John. A bookseller of the last century, being some account of the life of John Newbery. By Charles Welsh. 1885.

Payne. The two Paynes (Thomas Payne, bookseller, and Roger Payne, bookbinder). By Austin Dobson. In Eighteenth Century Vignettes. 2nd ser. 1894.

Richardson. A famous printer: Samuel Richardson. By Thorne, W. B. The Library, 1901.

See, also, bibliography to Vol. X, Chap. I, p. 465, *ante.*

Rivington. The publishing house of Rivington. By Septimus Rivington. 1894.

Thomason, George. See biographical notice by G. K. Fortescue, prefixed to the Catalogue of the Thomason collection of pamphlets in the British Museum. 2 vols. 1908.

III. The Provinces. Scotland. Ireland

Allnutt, W. H. English provincial presses [to 1750]. Bibliographica. Vol. II. 1896.

—— Notes on the introduction of printing-presses into the smaller towns of England and Wales after 1750 to the end of the eighteenth century. The Library, 1901.

Bowes, R. A catalogue of books printed at or relating to Cambridge, 1521–1893. With index by Worman, E. J. 2 vols. Cambridge, 1894.

Bowes, R. Biographical notes on the [Cambridge] University printers. Cambridge Antiquarian Soc. Communications. Vol. v. 1886.

Cottle, Joseph. Reminiscences of Coleridge and Southey. 1847. Cottle also published the following poems: Malvern Hills, 1798; John the Baptist, 1801; Alfred, 1801; The Fall of Cambria, 1809; Messiah, 1815.

Cotton, H. A typographical gazetteer. 2 vols. Oxford, 1831–66.

Davies, R. A memoir of the York press . . . in the 16th, 17th, and 18th centuries. 1868.

Dredge, J. I. Devon booksellers and printers in the 17th and 18th centuries. Privately printed, Plymouth, 1885. Rptd. from The Western Antiquary.

Gent, T. The Life of Mr. Thomas Gent, printer of York. Written by himself [in 1746.] 1832.

—— Thomas Gent, printer. By Austin Dobson. In Eighteenth Century Vignettes. 3rd ser. 1896.

For a list of Gent's topographical and other writings see D. of N. B.

Hester, Giles. Nevill Simmons, bookseller and publisher (in Sheffield). 1893.

Hill, Joseph. The book-makers of Old Birmingham. Birmingham, 1907.

Hindley, C. The history of the Catnach press (John and James Catnach, 1769–1841) at Berwick-upon-Tweed, Alnwick, and Newcastle-upon-Tyne, in Northumberland, and Seven Dials, London. 1886.

Hutton, W. The life of William Hutton [bookseller in Birmingham] . . . written by himself and published by his daughter, Catharine Hutton. 1816. 4th edn., ed. by Jewitt, L. [1872.]

—— An history of Birmingham to the end of the year 1780. Birmingham, 1781. 6th edn., with additions. 1835.

—— Works. 8 vols. 1817.

Madan, F. A brief account of the University Press at Oxford. Oxford, 1908.

—— A chart of Oxford printing, "1468"–1900. Bibliographical Society. 1904.

Plomer, H. R. A Chester bookseller, 1667–1700. The Library, 1903.

Rylands, W. H. Booksellers in Warrington, 1639 to 1657. With the full list of the contents of a stationer's shop there in 1647. Proc. of the Historic Soc. of Lancashire and Cheshire. Vol. xxxvii. [1888].

Straus, R. and Dent, R. K. John Baskerville: a memoir. Cambridge, 1907.

Wheatley, H. B. The Strawberry Hill press. Bibliographica. Vol. iii. 1897.

See, also, Dobson, A., Horace Walpole, 2nd edn., 1893. [With a list of books printed at the Strawberry Hill press.]

Aldis, H. G. A list of books printed in Scotland before 1700; with brief notes on the printers and stationers. Edinburgh Bibliographical Society. 1904.

Archibald Constable and his literary correspondents. By his son Thomas Constable. 3 vols. Edinburgh, 1873. [Appendix to vol. i contains notes on Edinburgh booksellers at the end of the 18th century.]

Couper, W. J. The Edinburgh Periodical Press from the earliest times to 1800. 2 vols. Stirling, 1908.

Edinburgh Bibliographical Society Papers. 1896 ff.

Lee, J. Memorial for the Bible Societies in Scotland. Edinburgh, 1824. Additional memorial. Edinburgh, 1826. [Contain information relating to Scottish printers.]

Aberdeen printers, 1620–1736. By Edmond, J. P. Aberdeen, 1886. Last notes on the Aberdeen printers. By E., J. P. 1888.

Ballantyne. The Ballantyne press and its founders, 1796–1908. By Dobson, W. T. and Carrie, W. L. Edinburgh, 1909.

The first book printed by James Ballantyne: being An Apology for Tales of Terror. By Johnston, G. P. Edinburgh Bibliographical Soc. Papers. Vol. I. 1896.

Creech, William. Edinburgh fugitive pieces. Edinburgh, 1791. New edn., with memoir. Edinburgh, 1815.

William Creech, Robert Burns's best friend. By Carrick, J. C. Dalkeith, 1903.

Foulis. The Brothers Foulis and early Glasgow printing. The Library, March, 1889.

—— The Foulis press. By Macleod, R. D. The Library, 1910.

—— Robert and Andrew Foulis and the Glasgow Press, with some account of the Glasgow Academy of the Fine Arts. By Murray, David. Glasgow, 1913.

Holyrood press, The. By Cowan, W. Edinburgh Bibliographical Soc. Papers. Vol. VI. 1906.

Morison. A notable publishing house: the Morisons of Perth. By Minto, J. The Library, 1900.

Rae. The Rae press at Kirkbride and Dumfries (1711–1720). By Stewart, W. Edinburgh Bibliographical Soc. Papers. Vol. VI. 1906.

Ruddiman. The life of Thomas Ruddiman. By Chalmers, G. 1794.

Smellie. Memoirs of the life, writings, and correspondence of William Smellie, late printer in Edinburgh. By Kerr, R. 2 vols. Edinburgh, 1811.

Watson. James Watson, printer. By Gibb, J. S. Edinburgh Bibliographical Soc. Papers. Vol. I. 1896.

—— James Watson, king's printer. By Couper, W. J. Scottish Historical Review. Vol. VII. 1910.

—— Watson's History of Printing. By Couper, W. J. The Library, 1910.

—— History of the art of printing. By Watson, James. Edinburgh, 1713.

Catalogue of books printed in Ireland, and published in Dublin, from 1700. Dublin, 1791.

Anderson, J. Catalogue of early Belfast printed books, 1694 to 1830. New edn. Belfast, 1890. Supplements. 1894, 1902.

Dix, E. R. McC. A list of Irish towns and the dates of earliest printing in each. 2nd edn. Dublin, 1909.

—— Books printed in Dublin in the 17th century. 5 parts. Dublin, 1898–1912.

—— Irish bibliography. [Lists of books, etc. printed at provincial presses to 1800.] Nos. 1–8. Dublin, etc., 1901–12.

—— List of books, pamphlets, etc., printed wholly, or partly, in Irish. Dublin, 1905.

IV. Catalogues

Arber, E. Contemporary printed lists of books produced in England. Bibliographica. Vol. III. 1897.

Growoll, A. Three centuries of English booktrade bibliography. New York, 1903. [Contains a list, by Eames, W., of the catalogues, etc., published for the English book trade from 1595 to 1902.]

Catalogue of the pamphlets, books, newspapers, and manuscripts relating to the Civil War, the Commonwealth, and Restoration, collected by George Thomason, 1640–1661. [Now in the British Museum.] 2 vols. 1908.

A catalogue of the most approved divinity-books, which have been printed or reprinted about twenty years past, and continued down to this present year, 1655. London, printed for John Rothwell. 1655. Enlarged edn., continued to 1657. 1657.

A catalogue of the most vendible books in England, orderly and alphabetically digested. . . . All to be sold by the Author at his shop in Newcastle. [By London, William.] 1657. A second edn., with supplement, was issued in 1658; and a further supplement in 1660.

Mercurius Librarius, or, a catalogue of books published in Michaelmas term, 1668. Continued quarterly to Midsummer term 1670. 8 nos.

A catalogue of books continued, printed and published at London in Easter term 1670. Continued quarterly to Trinity term 1709.

The Term Catalogues 1668–1709: a contemporary bibliography of English literature. Edited by Arber, Edward. 3 vols. Privately printed, 1903–6. [A reprint of the two preceding entries.]

A catalogue of all the books printed in England since the dreadful fire of London, in 1666. To the end of Michaelmas term, 1672. . . . Collected by Robert Clavell. 1673. 2nd edn. 1675. 3rd edn. 1680. 4th edn. 1696.

A general catalogue of all the stitch'd books and single sheets etc., printed the two last years, commencing from the first discovery of the Popish Plot (September, 1678). And continued to Michaelmas term 1680. 1680.

The Compleat Library . . . an historical account of the choicest books. . . . Published monthly. 3 vols. May, 1692, to April, 1694.

Bibliotheca Annua: or, the Annual Catalogue for the year, 1699. . . . Published . . . by A. Roper and W. Turner. [Vol. I.] 1700. [Continued, in 3 vols., to 25 March, 1704.]

The Monthly Catalogue. To be continued monthly. May, 1714–December, 1714. 8 nos. Printed for Bernard Lintott. 1714.

The Monthly Catalogue: being an exact account of all books and pamphlets published in March, 1723. [Published by Wilford, John, and continued to December, 1729.]

A complete catalogue of modern books, published from the beginning of this century to the present time. 1766.

The London Catalogue of books in all languages, arts and sciences, that have been printed in Great Britain, since the year 1700. 1773.

A general catalogue of books in all languages, arts, and sciences, printed in Great Britain, and published in London. From the year 1700 to 1786. Printed for W. Bent. 1786.

The London Catalogue of books, selected from the General Catalogue published in 1786, and including the additions and alterations to September, 1791. Printed for W. Bent. 1791. Another edn., corrected to September, 1799. 1799.

For a fuller list of trade catalogues of this period, *see* the bibliography in Growoll's Three centuries of English booktrade bibliography (mentioned above).

V. Miscellaneous Writers

Bowyer, William (1699–1777). Remarks on Mr. Bowman's Visitation Sermon. 1731.

—— Conjectural Emendations [of the Greek Testament]. 1763. And later edns.

—— The Origin of Printing. 1774. 2nd edn. 1776.

Brice, Andrew (1690–1773). Grand Gazetteer or Topographic Dictionary. 1759.

Brydges, Sir S. E. (1762–1837). Poems. 1785. 4th edn. 1807.
—— Mary de Clifford. 1792.
—— Arthur Fitzalbini. 1798.
—— Censura literaria. 1805–9.
—— The British Bibliographer. 1810–14.
—— Restituta. 4 vols. 1814–16.
—— Cimelia. Geneva, 1823.
—— Autobiography. 2 vols. 1834.
Byerley, T. (d.1826). The Percy Anecdotes. 1821–3.
—— Relics of Literature. 1823.
—— London Memorials. 1823.
Harte, W. (1709–1774). Essay on Reason. 1735.
—— History of the Life of Gustavus Adolphus. 2 vols. 1759.
—— Essays on Husbandry. 1764. 1770.
—— The Amaranth. 1767.
Heron, R., (1764–1807). General View of the Natural Circumstances of the Hebrides. 1794.
—— History of Scotland. 6 vols. 1794–9.
—— Life of Robert Burns. 1797.
—— Pizarro. A tragedy. 1799.
Graham, H. G. Robert Heron. A literary waif. Literary and Historical Essays. 1908.
Hill, John (1716?–1775). Orpheus. An opera. 1740.
—— A General Natural History. 3 vols. 1748–52.
—— Lucina sine concubitu. 1750.
—— Cautions against the immoderate use of snuff. 1759.
—— Hortus Kewensis. 1768.
Kenrick, W. (1725?–1779). The Town. 1748.
—— The Pasquinade. 1753.
—— Review of Dr. Johnson's Shakespeare. 1765.
—— Poems. 1768. 1770.
Kippis, A. (1725–1795). Biographia Britannica. 2nd edn. Vols. I–V(no more published). 1778–93.
Knox, V. (1752–1821). Elegant Extracts, or useful and entertaining passages in prose. 1783.
—— Elegant Extracts, or useful and entertaining pieces of poetry. 1789.
—— Elegant Epistles. 1790.
Lemoine, H. (1756–1812). The Kentish Curate. 1786.
—— Typographical Antiquities. 1797. 2nd edn. 1801.
—— Lackington's Confessions rendered into narrative. 1804.
Northcote, J. (1746–1831). Memoirs of Sir Joshua Reynolds. 1813.
—— One Hundred Fables. 1828.
Palmer, Mary (1716–1794). A Devonshire Dialogue. Written in the middle of the 18th century. First complete edn. 1839.
Price, Sir U. (1747–1829). An Essay on the Picturesque. 1794. 2nd edn. 1796–8. Another edn. 1810.
Stevens, G. A. (1710–1784). The History of Tom Fool. 1760.
—— A Lecture upon Heads. [1764.] First authentic edn. 1785.
Weber, H. W. (1783–1818). Metrical Romances of the 13th, 14th, and 16th centuries. 3 vols. 1810.
—— Illustrations of Northern Antiquities. 1814.
Williams, John (1761–1818). The Royal Academicians. 1786.

Williams John, (1761–1818). Poems by Anthony Pasquin. 2 vols. 1789.
—— Legislative Biography. 1795.

CHAPTER XV

THE BLUESTOCKINGS

I. General Works

Arblay, Mme. d' (Frances Burney). Memoirs of Dr. Burney. 3 vols. 1832.
Boswell's Life of Johnson. Ed. Hill, G. B. 6 vols. Oxford, 1887.
Delany, Mary. The autobiography and correspondence of Mary Granville, Mrs. Delany. Ed. by Lady Llanover. 6 vols. 1861–2.
Elwood, Anne K. Memoirs of the Literary Ladies of England from the commencement of the last century. 2 vols. 1843.
Gaussen, Alice C. C. A later Pepys: the correspondence of Sir William Weller Pepys, Bart., Master in Chancery, 1758–1825. 2 vols. 1904.
Hawkins, Laetitia M. Anecdotes, biographical sketches, and memoirs. 1822.
—— Memoirs, anecdotes, facts, and opinions. 2 vols. 1824.
Lobban, J. H. The Blue-Stockings. Blackwood's Magazine. Vol. CLXXX. Oct., 1906.
Wheeler, Ethel Rolt. Famous Blue-Stockings. 1910.

II. Particular Authors

Frances Burney, Mme. d'Arblay

See ante, Vol. X, bibliographies to Chaps. III and XI.

Elizabeth Carter

Poems upon particular occasions. 1738.
An examination of Mr. Pope's Essay on Man, translated from the French of M. Crousaz. 1739.
Sir Isaac Newton's philosophy explain'd for the use of the ladies. From the Italian of Sig. Algarotti. 2 vols. 1739.
All the works of Epictetus, which are now extant. Translated from the original Greek. 1758. 4th edn. 1807. Temple Classics. 2 vols. [1899.]
Poems on several occasions. 1762. 4th edn. 1789.
A series of letters between Mrs. Elizabeth Carter and Miss Catherine Talbot, from 1741 to 1770. To which are added, letters from Mrs. Elizabeth Carter to Mrs. Vesey between 1763 and 1787. Ed. by Pennington, M. 4 vols. 1809.
Letters from Mrs. Elizabeth Carter to Mrs. Montagu, between 1755 and 1800, chiefly upon literary and moral subjects. 3 vols. 1817.

Gaussen, Alice C. C. A woman of wit and wisdom: a memoir of Elizabeth Carter, one of the "Blas Bleu" society. 1906.
Pennington, Montagu. Memoirs of the life of Mrs. Elizabeth Carter: with a new edition of her poems; to which are added, some miscellaneous essays in prose, together with her notes on the Bible, and answers to objections concerning the Christian religion. 1807. 3rd edn. 2 vols. 1816.

Hester Chapone

Works, with a life drawn up by her own family. 4 vols. 1807.

Miscellanies in prose and verse. 1775.

A letter to a new-married lady. 1777.
Letters on the improvement of the mind. 2 vols. 1777. Frequently rptd.
Posthumous works: containing her correspondence with Mr. Richardson, a series of letters to Mrs. Elizabeth Carter, and some fugitive pieces. Together with an account of her life and character. 2 vols. 1807.
Cole, John. Memoirs of Mrs. Chapone . . . embracing an account of the literature of the age in which she lived. 1839.

Elizabeth Montagu

An essay on the writings and genius of Shakespear compared with the Greek and French dramatic poets, with some remarks upon the misrepresentations of Mons. de Voltaire. 1769. 6th edn. 1810.
The letters of Elizabeth Montagu, with some of the letters of her correspondents. Published by Matthew Montagu. 4 vols. 1809–13.
Mrs. Montagu also contributed three dialogues (nos. 26, 27, 28) to Lyttelton's Dialogues of the Dead, 1760.
Climenson, Emily J. Elizabeth Montagu, the Queen of the Bluestockings: her correspondence from 1720 to 1761. 2 vols. 1906.
Doran, John. A lady of the last century (Mrs. Elizabeth Montagu), illustrated in her unpublished letters. With a chapter on Blue Stockings. 1873.
Huchon, R. Mrs. Montagu, 1720–1800. 1907.

Hannah More

Works. 8 vols. 1801. 19 vols. 1818–19. 11 vols. 1830.
Poems. 1816 and 1829.
The Search after Happiness, a pastoral drama. Bristol, 1773. 11th edn. 1796.
The Inflexible Captive. Bristol, 1774.
Sir Eldred of the Bower, and The Bleeding Rock: two legendary tales. 1776.
Percy, a tragedy. 1777. 5th edn. 1812.
Essays on various subjects, principally designed for young ladies. 1777. 5th edn. 1791.
The Fatal Falsehood, a tragedy. 1779.
Sacred Dramas, chiefly intended for young persons; to which is added Sensibility, a poem. 1782. 24th edn. 1850.
Florio, a tale for fine gentlemen and fine ladies; and, The Bas Bleu, or Conversation: two poems. 1786.
Slavery, a poem. 1788.
Thoughts on the Importance of the Manners of the Great to General Society. 1788. 8th edn. 1792.
An Estimate of the Religion of the Fashionable World. 1790. 5th edn. 1793.
Remarks on the speech of M. Dupont . . . on the subjects of Religion and Public Education. 1793.
Village Politics, by Will Chip. 1793.
Cheap Repository Tracts. 1795–8. [Those signed "Z" were written by Hannah More.]
Strictures on the Modern System of Female Education. 2 vols. 1799. 13th edn. 1826.
Hints towards forming the character of a Young Princess. 2 vols. 1805.
Cœlebs in Search of a Wife. 2 vols. 1809. 16th edn. 1826.
Practical Piety, or the influence of Religion of the Heart on the Life and Manners. 2 vols. 1811. 19th edn. 1850.
Christian Morals. 2 vols. 1813. 9th edn. 1826.

Essay on the character and practical writings of St. Paul. 2 vols. 1815. 5th edn. 1851.
Stories for the Middle Ranks of Society, and Tales for the Common People. 1819. [Reprints of Cheap Repository Tracts, etc.]
Moral Sketches of prevailing opinions of Manners, foreign and domestic; with Reflections on Prayer. 1819. 10th edn. 1830.
Bible Rhymes on the names of all the books of the Old and New Testaments. 1821.
The Spirit of Prayer. 1825. 12th edn. 1849. [Selections from her published works.]
The Feast of Freedom; or, the Abolition of Domestic Slavery in Ceylon. 1827.
Letters of Hannah More to Zachary Macaulay. Ed. Roberts, Arthur. 1860.

Harland, Marion. Hannah More. (Literary Hearthstones.) 1900.
Meakin, Annette M. B. Hannah More, a biographical study. 1911.
Roberts, William. Memoirs of the life and correspondence of Mrs. Hannah More. 2 vols. 1834. 4 vols. 1838.
Thompson, Henry. Life of Hannah More, with notices of her sisters. 1838.
Yonge, Charlotte M. Hannah More. (Eminent Women Series.) 1888.

Catherine Talbot

Works. 1772. 9th edn. 1819.
Reflections on the Seven Days of the Week. 1770. Frequently rptd.
Essays on various subjects. 2 vols. 1772.
Correspondence. *See* Carter, Elizabeth.

Catherine Talbot wrote one paper for Johnson's Rambler (no. xxx, 30 June, 1750), and was also a contributor to Athenian Letters, ed. by Birch, Thomas, 4 vols., 1741–3.

CHAPTER XVI

CHILDREN'S BOOKS

I. History and Criticism

Ainger, Alfred. Lectures and Essays. Vol. I. 1905.
Ashton, John. Chapbooks of the Eighteenth Century. 1882.
Dobson, H. Austin. De Libris. 1908. [On Maria Edgeworth and Kate Greenaway.]
Eckenstein, Lina. Comparative Studies in Nursery Rhymes. 1906.
Field, E. M. The Child and his Book. 1892.
Godfrey, Elizabeth. English Children in the Olden Time. 1907.
Halliwell-Phillipps, J. O. Catalogue of Chapbooks, Garlands, and Popular Histories. Privately ptd. 1849.
—— The Nursery Rhymes of England. (Percy Society. Early English Poetry, vol. IV.) 1842–3. Enlarged edn. 1846.
—— Popular Rhymes and Nursery Tales. 1849.
Keightley, Thomas. Fairy Mythology. 1828. Enlarged edn. (Bohn.) 1847.
Lucas, E. V. Forgotten Tales of Long Ago. 1906. Selections, with introduction.
—— Old-Fashioned Tales. 1905. Selections, with introduction.
Mackarness, Mrs. H. (born Planché). Children of the Olden Time. 1874.

Pearson, Edwin. Banbury Chapbooks and Nursery Toy Book Literature of the Eighteenth and early Nineteenth Centuries. (Limited edn., privately ptd.) 1890.
Salmon, Edward. Juvenile Literature as it is. 1888.
Tuer, A. W. The History of the Horn-Book. 2 vols. 1896.
—— Pages and Pictures from Forgotten Children's Books. 1898–9.
—— Stories from Old-Fashioned Children's Books. 1899–1900.
See, also, his introduction to reprint of Lamb's Prince Dorus, 1889.
Tytler, Sarah (Keddie, Henrietta). Childhood a Hundred Years Ago. 1877.
Welsh, Charles. A Bookseller of the Last Century [*i.e.* John Newbery]. 1885.
See, also, his introductions to A. Berquin's Looking-Glass for the Mind; to W. Roscoe's Butterfly's Ball; to Mrs. C. A. Dorset's The Peacock "At Home," and The Lion's Masquerade; and to Elizabeth Turner's The Daisy and The Cowslip; of which details are given below.
Yonge, C. M. A Storehouse of Stories. 2 vols. 1870. Selections, with introduction.

A work which cannot be overlooked by anyone who studies the subject, but which defies classification, is The Story of Pet Marjorie [Fleming], with her Journals. Ed. Macbean, L. 1905. *See, also*, Marjorie Fleming, a sketch. By Brown, John. 1863.

Magazine Articles

The Atlantic Monthly, 1888; Chambers's Journal, 1855, 1862 (on chapbooks); The Cornhill Magazine, 1900; The English Illustrated Magazine, 1883 (The New Hero, by Watts-Dunton, Theodore); Fraser's Magazine, 1846 (Thackeray, W. M.); The Guardian of Education, 1802–4(a retrospect by Trimmer, Mrs.); The Imprint, 1913 (Crane, Walter, and others); The Library, 1901; Macmillan's Magazine, 1869 (Yonge, Charlotte M.); National Review, 1905; Newbery House Magazine, 1890–1 (Welsh, Charles); Notes and Queries, 1913 (11th series, vol. VII: largely bibliographical); Opuscula of the Sette of Odd Volumes, nos. 11 and 13 (privately ptd., by Welsh, Charles); Quarterly Review, vols. LXXI, LXXIV, CLXII, CLXXXIII, CXCII, CXCIV; The Studio (Winter Number), 1897–8 (White, Gleeson); The Sunday at Home (1894).

Germane to the subject, but not concerned with it primarily, are very many works on education (for which see the various chapters in this History), many on morals and the state of society (particularly in the reign of George III) and many biographies. Most of the introductions to reprints of individual works contain historical matter. Welsh's Bookseller of the Last Century gives a full bibliography of all Newbery's publications from 1740 to 1802. Eckenstein's Comparative Studies in Nursery Rhymes contains a brief list of works on this highly-specialised branch of the subject: except for the few convenient summary treatises mentioned above, books on folk-lore pure and simple are not included here. The Folk-lore Society has traced most fairy tales to their oral appearance all over the world.

For early writers whose works passed into the hands of children, see the references in the text to previous volumes of this History. "Adult" writers who also wrote books for children are mentioned below.

II. Chapbook Editions

These often piratical productions not merely included any new popular work of the day, but preserved many traditional tales and rimes not otherwise recorded in

print. They were seldom dated, and the old blocks were used over and over again, in different circumstances. It is impossible to give a strict bibliography of them. So far as children are concerned, they began about 1700 and died out about 1820; towards the end of that period, the more responsible publishers copied the chapbook format to some extent, but provided new type, new blocks and good paper. The chief works regularly produced in chapbook form were: Bevis of Southampton; The Children (or Babes) in the Wood; Cock Robin; Cries of London; Cries of York; Robinson Crusoe; Eastern Tales (Arabian Nights and similar stories); Fables; Fairy Tales (Perrault, etc.; usually single tales, not collections); John Gilpin; Guy of Warwick; Tom Hickathrift; The House that Jack Built; Mother Hubbard; Jack The Giant-Killer; Jack and Jill; Nursery Rimes of all kinds, under various titles; Adventures of Philip Quarll; Riddle-books; The Seven Champions of Christendom; Tom Thumb; Valentine and Orson; Dr. Watts's poems, under various titles; Sir Richard Whittington.

III. Selected Authors

In this and the following section only the most important authors and works are included. In some cases, the first edition cannot be traced, though it is known that existing editions are not the first. Where dates are given in brackets, they are those which are certified by the known facts of the author's life, or by the work of an illustrator, or by the publisher's name.

(Anonymous works are included among Minor Writers, below.)

Aikin, Anna Laetitia (afterwards Mrs. Barbauld). Hymns in Prose for Children. 1781.

—— Lessons for Children. 4 parts. 1808.

—— Life of. Memoir of Mrs. B., by Le Breton, A. L. 1874.

Aikin, A. L. and J. (Barbauld and Aikin). Evenings at Home. 6 vols. 1792–6.

Aikin, John. The Calendar of Nature. 2nd edn. 1785.

Aikin, Lucy. Juvenile Correspondence. 2nd edn. 1816.

—— Poetry for Children. (Selected by L. A.) 1803.

—— Memoirs etc. of. Ed. by Le Breton, P. H. 1864.

Also many versions of classical works in words of one syllable, under *pseud.* Godolphin, Mary.

Argus, Arabella. The Adventures of a Donkey. 1815. Further Adventures. 1821.

—— The Juvenile Spectator. 2 parts. 1810.

—— Ostentation and Liberality. 2 vols. 1821.

Ballantyne, Robert Michael. *See* Reference Catalogue of current literature, issued annually (J. Whitaker and Sons), and the D. of N. B.

Barbauld, Mrs. *See* Aikin, A. L., *ante.*

Belson, Mary. *See* Elliott, Mary, *post.*

Blake, William. Songs of Innocence. *See*, Chap. IX, *ante.*

Bunyan, John. A Book for Boys and Girls: or, Country Rhimes for Children. By J. B. 1686. A Book for Boys and Girls: or, Temporal Things Spiritualised (a revised and shortened version of the first edn.), 1701; 3rd edn., 1707; 9th edn., as Divine Emblems or Temporal Things Spiritualised, 1724. Facsimile reprint of 1st edn., with introd. by Brown, John, 1889.

Burton, Richard *See* Crouch, N., *post.*

"Carroll, Lewis" (*i.e.* Dodgson, Charles Lutwidge). Alice's Adventures in Wonderland. 1865. Through the Looking-Glass and what Alice found there. 1871. Both illustrated by Tenniel, Sir John. The Nursery Alice. 1890.

"Carroll, Lewis." The Hunting of the Snark. 1876.
—— Sylvie and Bruno. 1889.
—— Sylvie and Bruno concluded. 1893.
—— Life and Letters of. By Collingwood, Stuart Dodgson. 1898.
Chapone, Mrs. *See ante*, Chap. xv.
Cole, Sir Henry (*pseud.* Summerley, Felix). The Home Treasury. 12 vols. 1843–55.
Crossman, Samuel. The Young Man's Calling: or the Whole Duty of Youth. . . . And also, Divine Poems. 1685. Other edns.: 1695, 1725.
Crouch, N. (*pseud.* Burton, Richard, or B., R.). Winter Evening Entertainments; in two Parts. 6th edn. 1737. [The first edition appears to have been published at least by 1685.]
—— Youth's Divine Pastime. 3rd edn. 1691.
Day, Thomas. The Children's Miscellany: in which is included the History of Little Jack. 1787.
—— The History of Sandford and Merton. 3 vols. Vol. I. 1783. Vol. II. 1786. Vol. III. 1789. Translated into French, "An VI de la République."
Dickens, Charles. Holiday Romance. 1868.
Dodgson, Charles Lutwidge. *See* "Carroll, Lewis," *ante.*
Dorset, Mrs. C. A. The Lion's Masquerade. 1807. Facsimile rpt. ed. Welsh, C. 1883.
—— The Peacock "At Home." By a Lady. 1807. Facsimile rpt., ed. Welsh, C. 1883.
—— The Peacock and Parrot on their Tour. 1816.
——Think before you Speak, or The Three Wishes. 1809.
Edgeworth, Maria. *See ante*, Chap. xiii.
Elliott, Mary (born Belson). (Where no dates are given, the publishers' names necessitate a date between 1805 and 1825.)
—— The Adventures of Thomas Two Shoes: being a sequel to The modern Goody Two Shoes (v. *post*). n.d. [not later than 1818].
—— Confidential Memoirs, or the Adventures of a Parrot, a Greyhound, a Cat, and a Monkey. 1821.
—— Grateful Tributes: or Recollections of Infancy. n.d.[not later than 1816].
—— Idle Ann, or the Dunce Reclaimed. n.d.
—— Industry and Idleness: a pleasing and instructive tale. 1811.
—— The Modern Goody Two Shoes. n.d. [not later than 1818].
—— The Orphan Boy, or a Journey to Bath. n.d. [not later than 1816].
—— Precept and Example, or Midsummer Holidays. n.d. [not later than 1812].
—— The Rambles of a Butterfly. 1819.
—— Simple Truths in Verse. n.d. [not later than 1816].
—— The Sunflower, or Poetical Truths for Young Minds. 1822.
—— Tales for Boys. n.d.
—— Tales for Girls. n.d.
—— Tales of Truth. n.d.
—— Truth our Best Friend. 1825.
[A majority of the above were translated into French soon after publication.]
Ewing, Juliana Horatia. The Brownies, and Other Tales. Illustd. by Cruikshank. 1870.
—— Daddy Darwin's Dovecot. Illustd. by Caldecott, R. 1884.
—— A Flat Iron for a Farthing. 1873.
—— Jackanapes. Illustd. by Caldecott, R. 1884.

Ewing, Juliana Horatia. Lob-lie-by-the-Fire, and Other Tales. Illustd. by Cruikshank, G. 1873. Illustd. by Caldecott, R. 1885.
—— Mrs. Overtheway's Remembrances. 1869.
—— Old-Fashioned Fairy Tales. 1882.
—— Six to Sixteen. 1876.
—— The Story of a Short Life. 1885.
—— Life of J. H. E. and her Books, by Gatty, Horatia K. F. 1885.
Fables. It is impossible to trace the exact descent of fables into children's literature. The translations under the name of Aesop (*q. v.* under Foreign Works, sect. v, *post*) gave them literary currency, and Gay's metrical versions stereotyped them. (For Gay, *see ante*, Vol. IX, Chap. vi.) There do not seem to have been any definite early versions (for children) of Bidpai ("Pilpay"), Babrius, or Phaedrus. Probably a certain amount of oral tradition entered into the chapbook versions, of which there were many. *See, also, s. v.* La Fountaine (Foreign Works, sect. v. *post*).
Fairy Tales. *See* Ewing, J. H., Jacobs, J., Lang, A. (in this sect.); The Court of Oberon, Mother Bunch, Mulock, D. M. (in sect. iv); and under Foreign Works, *s.v.* Asbjörnsen, Grimm, Perrault: *see, also*, History and Criticism, sect. i, *ante*.
Fenn, Eleanor (Lady F.). Cobwebs to Catch Flies. 2 vols. n.d.
—— Fables in Monosyllables. n.d.
—— The Fairy Spectator. 1789.
—— The Juvenile Tatler. 1789.
—— Mrs. Lovechild's Golden Present. n.d. [Published by Newbery, John.]
—— Short Sermons for Young Persons. By Lovechild, Mrs. n.d. [Newbery.]
[Lady F. also wrote as Mrs. Teachwell, but, it is not possible to identify the exact works certainly. It was a common pseudonym 1750–1820.]
—— Life of. *See* Unstoried in History. By Festing, Gabrielle. 1901.
[Chap. vi deals with Lady F. and her sister, from family documents.]
Fielding, Sarah. The Governess, or Little Female Academy. [Anonymous.] 2nd edn. 1749. Revised, and practically rewritten and remodelled, by Mrs. Sherwood in 1820. [Sometimes quoted as Mrs. Teachum.]
Gatty, Margaret. Aunt Judy's Tales. 1859.
—— The Fairy Godmothers and other Tales. 1851.
—— Parables from Nature. 1855–71. Complete edn., with memoir by Ewing, J. H. 1880.
Gay, John. (Fables.) *See ante*, Vol. IX, Chap. vi.
Godolphin, Mary. *See* Aikin, Lucy, *ante*.
Goldsmith, Oliver (?). Goody Two Shoes. (The History of Mrs. Margery Two-Shoes.) 1766. Facsimile rpt. of earliest extant edn., ed. by Welsh, C., with introd. 1881.
For Goldsmith's undoubted works, *see ante*, Vol. X, Chap. ix.
Guyse, John, D. D. Youth's Monitor. 3rd edn. 1747.
—— Youth reminded of a Judgment to Come. 1729.
Hack, Maria. English Stories. 1820. 2nd series. 1820. 3rd series. 1825.
—— Grecian Stories. 1819.
—— Harry Beaufoy. 1821.
—— Lectures at Home. 2nd edn. 1841.
—— Tales of the Great and Brave. n.d.
—— Winter Evenings. 4 vols. 1818–19.
Havergal, Frances Ridley. Bruey. 2nd edn. 1873.
—— Little Pillows. 1875.

Havergal, Frances Ridley. Morning Bells. 1875.
—— Autobiographical Sketch. 1881.
Henty, George Alfred. *See* Reference Catalogue of current literature issued annually (J. Whitaker and Sons) and D. of N. B.
Hofland, Mrs. Alfred Campbell, the Young Pilgrim, 1825. [Also known as The Young Pilgrim.]
—— The Daughter of a Genius. 1823.
—— The History of a Clergyman's Widow and her young Family. 1812.
—— Matilda; or, The Barbadoes Girl. 1816. [Also known by its sub-title.]
—— The Son of a Genius. n.d.
—— William and his Uncle Ben. 1826.
Howard, the Hon. Edward Granville Legge. Rattlin the Reefer. 2nd edn. 1836. [Ed. by Marryat, Capt.]
Howitt, Mary and William. The Boy's Country Book. 1839.
—— The Children's Year. 1847.
—— The Childhood of Mary Leeson. 1848.
—— Hymns and Fireside Verses. 1839.
—— The Picture Book for the Young. 1855.
—— Tales in Prose for Young People. 1864.
—— Tales in Verse for Young People. 1864.
See, also, Andersen, H. C., sect. v, Foreign Works, *post.*
Hughes, Mary, born Robson. *See* Robson, Mary, *post.*
Hughes, Thomas. Tom Brown's School Days. 1857. Many edns., esp. 1911 (introd. by Howells, W. D.) and 1913 (ed. by Sidgwick, F.)
—— The Scouring of the White Horse. 1859. Illustd. by Doyle, R.
Jacobs, Joseph (Ed. by). The Book of Wonder Voyages. 1896.
—— Celtic Fairy Tales. 1891. More C. F. T. 1894.
—— English Fairy Tales. 1890. More E. F. T. 1893.
—— Indian Fairy Tales. 1892.
See, also, Aesop, sect. v, Foreign Works, *post.*
Janeway, James. A Token for Children, being an Exact Account of the Conversion, Holy and Exemplary Lives, and Joyful Deaths of several young Children. 1671–2.
Jefferies, Richard. Bevis. 1882.
—— The Story of my Heart. 1883.
Keary, Annie. The Heroes of Asgard. 1857. [By A. K. and her sister, E. K.]
—— Little Wanderlin and other Fairy Tales. 1865.
Kendall, Edward Augustus. Keeper's Travels in Search of his Master. 1798.
—— Parental Education; or Domestic Lessons . . . for Youth. 1803.
—— The Stories of Senex, or Little Histories of Little People. 1800.
Kilner, Dorothy. (There is some obscurity as to her work. According to family tradition, she wrote all children's books signed M. P., and her sister-in-law, M. J. K. (*see post*), wrote those signed S. S. They are often allocated otherwise, however, and some are attributed to Lady Fenn, *q.v. ante.*)
—— Anecdotes of a Boarding School. 2 vols. n.d. [1790?].
—— Anecdotes of a Little Family. n.d.
—— Ellen Harding, or the Tell-Tale. 1849. [? Earlier edns.]
—— The Holiday Present. n.d.
—— Letters from a Mother to her Children. 2 vols. 2nd edn. 1787.
—— The Life and Perambulations of a Mouse. 2 vols. [1775?]
—— The Rotchfords. [1800?]

Kilner, Dorothy. Sunday School Dialogues. [1790?] [Attributed in Brit. Mus. catalogue to Lady Fenn.]
—— The Village School. n.d.
Kilner, Mary Jane (*pseud.* S. S.; *see ante, s.v.* Kilner, Dorothy). The Adventures of a Pin-Cushion. n.d.
—— The Adventures of a Silver Penny. 1787.
—— Jemima Placid. 1813.
—— The Memoirs of a Peg Top. New edn. 1828.
—— A Course of Lectures for Sunday Evenings. . . . 1783.
Kingsley, Charles. Glaucus, or the Wonders of the Shore. 1855.
—— The Heroes. 1856.
—— The Water Babies. 1863.
Kingston, William Henry Giles. *See* Reference Catalogue of current literature, issued annually (J. Whitaker and Sons) and D. of N. B.
Lamb, Charles and Mary. Tales from Shakespeare. 1807.
Lang, Andrew (Ed. by). The Blue Fairy Book. 1889. Followed by many other volumes under the title of the cover colour.
—— The Blue Poetry Book. 1891.
—— The Nursery Rhyme Book. 1897.
See, also, s.v. Grimm, Perrault, sect. v. Foreign Works, *post.*
Lear, Edward. Book of Nonsense. 1846. 2nd edn. 1862.
—— Nonsense Songs, Stories, Botany, and Alphabets. 1871.
—— More Nonsense Songs. 1872.
—— Letters of, ed. by Strachey, Lady. 1907. Later Letters. 1911.
Macdonald, George. At the Back of the North Wind. 1871.
—— The Princess and the Goblin. 1872.
Macleod, Norman. The Gold Thread. 1861. Other edns., especially 1907 (with introd.) and 1911.
Marryat, Frederick. The Children of the New Forest. 1847.
—— Masterman Ready, or the Wreck of the Pacific. 1841.
—— The Settlers in Canada. 1844.
[Marryat's even better-known books, Midshipman Easy, Peter Simple, and their companions, were written in the first place as novels, though they have become established as books for boys.]
Martineau, Harriet. The Playfellow. 4 pts. 1841. [Includes The Settlers at Home, The Peasant and the Prince, Feats on the Fiord, and The Crofton Boys, all of which went into many edns. in a separate form.]
—— Autobiography. 3 vols. 1877.
More, Hannah. *See ante*, Chap. xv.
Mortimer, Favell Lee, Mrs. Far Off. 1852.
—— Line upon Line. 1837.
—— Near Home. 1849.
—— The Peep of Day. 1873.
—— Reading without Tears. 1857.
Nursery Rimes. *See* Eckenstein, L., and Halliwell-Phillipps, J. O., sect. I, History and Criticism, *ante*. *See, also,* Mother Goose, and The Top-Book of All, sect. IV. *post.*
Osborne, Francis. Advice to a Son. 1656. Pt. II. 1658.
Parley, Peter. This pseudonym was adopted by several writers, who cannot all be identified. The most voluminous users of it were Goodrich, Samuel Griswold, and Martin, William. An alleged Rev. T. Wilson, to whom

many Peter Parley books have frequently been attributed, never existed: he was a figment created by the publishers (Darton and Clarke), Doctor Samuel Clarke being the chief, but not the only, participant in the works to which the fictitious name was attached.

The chief works published under the pseudonym were:

—— Parley's Cabinet Library. 2 vols. A miscellany. n.d. [1840–50].
—— Persevere and Prosper. n.d. New edn. 1864.
—— P. P.'s Illustrations of Commerce; of the Animal Kingdom· etc. [1840–50.]
—— P.P.'s Tales about Africa. [Other vols. about other countries.] Various dates: same period. [These were "ed." by Goodrich, S. G. Also re-ed. by Mogridge, George.]
—— The Hatchups. 1858.
—— The Holiday Keepsake. 1865. [These were mainly by Martin, W.]
—— P.P.'s Wonders of the Earth, Sea, and Sky. 1837. ["Ed." by "Wilson, T."]

Pilkington, Mrs. M. S. Biography for Boys. n.d.
—— Biography for Girls. 1799.
—— Marvellous Adventures, or The Vicissitudes of a Cat. 1802.
—— A Mirror for the Female Sex. 2nd edn. 1799.
—— Obedience Rewarded, and Prejudice Conquered: or, the History of Mortimer Lascelles. 1797.

[Mrs. P. also translated parts of Mme. de Genlis's Vieilles du Château, and Marmontel's Tales. *See* sect. v, Foreign Works, *post.*]

P., M. *See ante*, Kilner, Dorothy.

Reed, Talbot Baines. *See* Reference Catalogue of current literature, issued annually (J. Whitaker and Sons) and D. of N. B.

Reid, Mayne. *See* Reference Catalogue of current literature, issued annually (J. Whitaker and Sons) and D. of N. B.

Robson, Mary (afterwards Hughes or Hughs). The Alchemist. 1818.
—— The Orphan Girl. 1819
—— The Ornaments Discovered.
—— Something New from Aunt Mary. 1820.

Ronksley, William. The Child's Week's Work. . . . 1712.

Roscoe, William. The Butterfly's Ball and the Grasshopper's Feast. 1807. Facsimile rpt. ed. Welsh, C. 1883.

Rossetti, Christina. Sing-Song. 1872.

Ruskin, John. The King of the Golden River. 1851. *See, also*, sect. IV, *post, s.v.* Dame Wiggins.

Sherwood, Martha Mary. The Governess. *See* Fielding, Sarah, *ante.*
—— The History of the Fairchild Family. 3 parts. 1818–47. This work has never been out of print since 1818. Chief modern edns. (revised), ed. Palgrave, M. E., 1899; ed. Strachey, Lady, 1913.
—— The History of Henry Milner. 4 parts. 1823–7.
—— The History of Little Henry and his Bearer. 1832.
—— The History of Susan Grey. 1815.
—— The Infant's Progress from the Valley of Destruction to Everlasting Glory. 1821.
—— The Little Woodman and his Dog Caesar.
—— The Lady of the Manor. 1825–9.

[Some minor works (chiefly tracts) published under Mrs. S.'s name were not entirely by her, but were written by her daughter, Mrs. Kelly, under her inspiration.]

Sherwood, Martha Mary. Life. Ed. by her daughter, Kelly, Sophia. 1854. Re-written from original MS. autobiography by Darton, F. J. H. 1910.
Sinclair, Catherine. Holiday House. 1839.
S., S. *See ante*, Kilner, Dorothy.
Strickland, Agnes. The Juvenile Forget-me-not. 1827.
—— The Moss-House. [Anon.] 1822.
—— The Rival Crusoes. 1826.
—— Tales of the School-Room. [1835?]
—— The Tell-Tale. 1823.
—— The Young Emigrant. 1826.
Summerley, Felix. *See* Cole, Sir Henry, *ante*.
Taylor, Ann (Mrs. T., of Ongar). The Family Mansion. 2nd edn. 1820.
——Maternal Solicitude. 3rd edn. 1814.
Taylor, Ann (daughter of Mrs. T. of Ongar: afterwards Mrs. Gilbert). Autobiography. 2 vols. 1874.
—— Signor Topsy-Turvy's Wonderful Magic Lantern etc. 1810. [The title-page attributes this to A. T. alone. But her sister and her brother Jefferys had a share in it.]
—— The Wedding Among the Flowers. 1808.
Taylor, Ann and Jane. City Scenes. [An older anonymous book rewritten by A. and J. T.] First edn. apparently 1809; rewritten in or before an edn. of 1828.
—— Hymns for Infant Minds. 1808.
—— Limed Twigs to catch Young Birds. 3rd edn. 1815.
—— Original Poems. [Contains, also, work by Adelaide O'Keeffe, *q.v.*, sect. IV, *post*, Bernard Barton, and Isaac Taylor, sen. and jr.] 1804. Many later edns. Illustd. by Gilbert, John, Greenaway, Kate, etc. Centenary edn., ed. with Introd. by Lucas, E. V. 1904.
—— Rhymes for the Nursery. 1806. [Included in Centenary edn. of Original Poems.]
—— Rural Scenes. 1806. [Rewritten, like City Scenes.] A greatly revised edn. [1813 ?]
Taylor, Isaac. (Father of A. and J. T.) Advice to the Teens. 2nd edn. 1818.
—— Scenes in America. 1825. [Also Scenes in Africa, Asia, England, Europe.]
—— Self-Cultivation Recommended; or, Hints to a Youth. 1817.
Taylor, Jane. The Contributions of Q. Q. 2 vols. 1824. [Posthumous.]
—— Display, a Tale. 1815.
—— Essays in Rhyme. 1816.
—— Life and Letters. By Knight, Mrs. H. C.
—— Memoirs and Poetical Remains. By Taylor, Isaac, jr. (her brother). 1825. *See, also*, Twelve English Authoresses. By Walford, L. B. 1892.
Taylor, Jefferys. Aesop in Rhyme, with some originals. 1820.
—— Harry's Holiday; or The Doings of One Who had Nothing To Do. 3rd edn. 1822.
—— The Little Historians. 3 vols. 1824.
—— Ralph Richards, the Miser. 1821.
Taylor, Joseph. The Wonders of Trees, Plants, and Shrubs, etc. 1823.
Taylor (family of). The Family Pen. Ed. by Taylor, Isaac, jr. 2 vols. 1867.
Teachwell, Mrs. *See* Fenn, Lady, *ante*.
Thackeray, William Makepeace. The Rose and the Ring. 1855.

Trimmer, Sarah. A Comment on Dr. Watts's Divine Songs for Children. 1789.
—— Fabulous Histories. 1786. [The title was changed subsequently to the History of the Robins, by which the book is still known.]
—— The Family Magazine. 1788. [Apparently issued for one year only.]
—— The Guardian of Education, a Periodical Work. 1802–4. [Ed. and very largely written by Mrs. T.]
—— The Two Farmers: an Exemplary Tale. 1787.
—— Life. Some Account of the Life and Writings of Mrs. T. 2 vols. 1814. Anon. Contains Mrs. T.'s private journal.
[For her position in regard to the history of Education, *see* Sydney Smith's Essays and The Fortnightly Review, 1909 (Bell and the Dragon).]
Turner, Elizabeth. The Cowslip; or more Cautionary Stories in Verse. 1811. Facsimile rpt. of 1830 edn., illustd. by Williams, S., ed. Welsh, C., 1885.
—— The Daisy; or, Cautionary Stories in Verse. 1807. Facsimile rpt. of 1830 edn., ed. Welsh, C., 1885.
Wakefield, Priscilla. Domestic Recreation. 1805.
—— Excursions in North America. 1806.
—— A Family Tour through the British Isles. [Probably 1804.] 8th edn. 1812.
—— Instinct Displayed. 1811. 4th edn. 1821.
—— Introduction to Botany. 1796. 7th edn. 1816.
—— Juvenile Anecdotes. 2 vols. 1795 and 1798. 4th edn. 1803.
—— The Juvenile Travellers. 1801. 11 edns. before 1818.
—— Leisure Hours. 2 vols. 1794–6. 7th edn. 1821.
—— Mental Improvement. 2 vols. 1794–5. 8th edn. 1818.
—— Sketches of Human Manners. 1807. 5th edn. 1817.
—— The Traveller in Africa. 1814. In Asia. 1807.
—— Variety. 1809.
Watts, Isaac. Divine and Moral Songs. *See ante*, Vol. IX, Chap. VI.
White, Thomas. A Little Book for Little Children, wherein are set down Several Directions. 12th edn. 1702.
—— A Little Book for Little Children: wherein are set down, in a plain and pleasant way, Directions for spelling, and other remarkable matters. n. d. [Frontispiece, queen Anne.] [A different work from the same author's other Little Book.]
Yonge, Charlotte Mary. The Chaplet of Pearls. 1868.
—— Countess Kate. 1862.
—— The Daisy Chain. 1856.
—— The Dove in the Eagle's Nest. 1866.
—— The Heir of Redclyffe. 1853.
—— The Lances of Lynwood. 1855.
—— The Little Duke.
—— The Pillars of the House. 4 vols. 1873.
—— A Storehouse of Stories. 2 vols. 1870–2. [Rpts. of old tales, chiefly eighteenth century, ed. Yonge, C. M.]
——Life of. By Coleridge, C. R. 1903.

IV. Selected Minor Writers and Anonymous Works

The Academy, or a Picture of Youth. 1808. [*See* Lucas, E. V., Old Fashioned Tales.]
—— Author of. The Rector and his pupils. A sequel. 1810.
The Adventures of a Silver Threepence. . . . By Mr. Truelove. n.d. [before 1800].

Advice from a Lady of Quality to her Children. . . . 2 vols. Gloucester, 1778.
Aldiborontiphoskyphorniostikos. n.d. [1800–20]. [A nonsense book.]
Amusing Instructor, The. 1777.
Anecdotes and Adventures of Fifteen Young Ladies. n.d. [before 1820]. [The first "Limericks."]
Barnard, Caroline. The Parent's Offering. 2 vols. 1813.
—— The Prize: or, The Lace-makers of Missenden. 1817.
Bishop, J. The Child's Toy Book. n.d. [1800–20].
—— Pleasing Tales for Little Folks. n.d. 1800–20].
Bisset, J. Juvenile Reduplications: or, the New "House that Jack Built." Birmingham, 1800.
Bloomfield, Robert. The History of Little Davy's New Hat. 3rd ed. 1824.
Book of Trades, The, or Library of the Useful Arts. 1807.
Bowman, Anne. The Boy Voyagers. 1859.
—— The Castaways. 1857.
—— The Young Exiles. 1858.
Brewer, George. The Juvenile Lavater; or, a Familiar Explanation of the Passions of Le Brun. n.d. [1800–20].
Brothers, The; A Novel, for Children. Henley, 1794.
Bunch, Mother. *See* Mother Bunch, *post.*
B., W. The Elephant's Ball and Grand Fête Champêtre. By W. B. 1807. Facsimile rpt., ed. Welsh, C., 1883.
Cameron, Lucy Lyttelton. The Caskets. 12th edn. 1833.
—— The History of Margaret Whyte.
—— The Two Lambs. 1821.
—— Life of. Ed. by her son. n.d.
Carey, J. Learning Better than House and Land, as exemplified in the History of Harry Johnson and Dick Hobson. 1824.
Children's Magazine, The, or, Monthly Repository.
[It is not clear how long this lasted. The writer's copy is July–Dec. 1800, and a story in the Dec. no. is "To be continued."]
Church, Alfred John. *See* Reference Catalogue of current literature, issued annually (J. Whitaker and Sons).
Circle of the Sciences, The. Newbery. 7 vols. 1745–6. *See* full bibliography in Welsh, C., *op. cit.* sect. 1, *ante.*
Clarke, Mary Cowden. The Girlhood of Shakespeare's Heroines. 3 vols. 1851–2.
Cooper, W. D. The Blossoms of Morality. 1789. 6th edn. illustd. by Bewick, T. 1814.
Copley, Esther. *See* Hewlett, Esther.
Court of Oberon, The, or Temple of the Fairies. 1823. [An early "complete" fairy tale book. Contains Perrault, d'Aulnoy, Eastern Tales, and English traditional tales.]
Crabb, Maria Joseph. Tales for Children in a Familiar Style. 1805.
Craik, Georgiana Marion. Miss Moore. 1873.
——My First Journal. 1860.
—— Playroom Stories. 1863.
Craik, Mrs. *See* Mulock, D. M., *post.*
Crake, Augustine David. Aemilius: a Tale of the Decian and Valerian Persecutions. 1871.
—— The Doomed City. 1885.
—— Edwy the Fair: or, the First Chronicle of Aescendune. 1874.

Crompton, Sarah. Tales that are True, in short words. 1853.
Dame Truelove's Tales. n.d. [1800–20].
Dame Wiggins of Lee and her Seven Wonderful Cats . . . written principally by a Lady of Ninety. 1823. Ed. by Ruskin, J. [illustd. by Greenaway, Kate]. 1885. Rpt. of 1823 edn., 1887.
Darton, William. Little Truths. 2 vols. 1788.
—— A Present for a little Boy. 1798.
—— A Present for a Little Girl. 1803.
Dasent, Sir G. *See* Asbjörnsen, P. C., sect. v, *post* (Foreign Works).
Day, Isaac. Scenes for the Young, or Pleasing Tales. 1807.
Deborah Dent and her Donkey. n.d. [1800–20].
Dick, the Little Poney. n.d.
—— Author of. The Dog of Knowledge; or, Memoirs of Bob, the Spotted Terrier. 1801.
Dorrington, Edward. *See* Quarll, Philip, *post.*
Early Impressions, or Moral and Instructive Entertainment . . . with Designs by Dighton. 1828.
Easter Gift, The: or, The way to be very good. A Book very much wanted. 1787.
Ellen, or The Naughty Girl Reclaimed. 1811.
[A story illustrated with pictures the chief feature of which was a cut-out head, to be placed successively in each picture, through a slit. The first of several such works.]
Entertaining instructions . . . interspersed with Original Fables . . . by a Lady. 1807.
Evans, John. Juvenile Pieces . . . to which is prefixed an Essay on the Education of Youth. 4th edn. 1804.
Excellent example, An, to all Young men . . . a dialogue betwixt Guilt and Conscience and Satan. 1684. [Also in other forms.]
Fallowfield, John. The Moral Instructor, . . . Essays, Poems, Anecdotes, Maxims etc. . . . Penrith. 1795.
False Alarms; or, The Mischievous Doctrine of Ghosts . . . exploded from the Minds of every Miss and Master. 1770.
Felissa, or, The Life and Opinions of a Kitten of Sentiment. 1811. Rptd. 1903.
Female Guardian, The. . . . By a Lady. 1784.
Fenwick, Mrs. Infantine Stories. 1810.
—— Lessons for Children. 1809.
—— The Life of Carlo. n.d.
—— Mary and her Cat. n.d.
—— A Visit to the Juvenile Library. n.d.[1800–20].
Fenn, George Manville. *See* Reference Catalogue of current literature, issued annually (J. Whitaker and Sons), and D. of N. B.
Filial Duty, Recommended and Enforc'd. . . . n.d. [not later than 1782].
Fisher, A. The Pleasing Instructor, or Entertaining Moralist. 1780.
Gammer Gurton's Garland: or, the Nursery Parnassus. Stockton, n.d. "A new edition, with additions." [Probably before 1800.]
Gaping, Wide-mouth, Waddling Frog, The. . . . n.d. [1800–25].
Goody Two-Shoes. *See* Goldsmith, Oliver (?), sect. III, *ante.*
Goose, Mother. *See* Mother Goose, *post.*
Gregory, John, M.D. A Father's Legacy to his Daughters. 1774. Trans. into French, 1774.
Halifax, George Savile, Marquis of. *See* Savile.

Hall, Anna Maria (Mrs. S. C. H.). The Hartopp Jubilee. n.d. [?1840].
—— The Juvenile Forget-me-not. 1862.
Hedge, Mary Ann. Affection's Gift to a beloved God-child. 1819.
—— Radama; or, The Enlightened African. 1824.
—— Samboe; or, The African Boy. 1823.
Helme, Elizabeth. Instructive Rambles in London and the Adjacent Villages. 1798.
—— James Manners, Little John, and their Dog Bluff. 3rd edn. 1807.
Hewlett, Esther (afterwards Copley). The Old Man's Head. n.d. [1815–25].
—— The Young Reviewers. n.d.
Heywood, Oliver. Advice to an only Child. 1693.
History of the Enchanted Castle, The; or, The Prettiest Book for Children. 1777.
History of Sixteen Wonderful Old Women, The. 1820. ("Limericks." *See, also,* Anecdotes and Adventures, *ante.*)
Hobby Horse, The; or, Christmas Companion by Toby Ticklepitcher. (?1775.)
Hugessen, Edward Hugessen Knatchbull-, Baron Brabourne. Higgledy-Piggledy. 1875.
—— Tales at Tea-Time. 1872.
Hurry, Mrs. (born Mitchell). Moral Tales for Young People. 1807.
—— Rational Amusement for Leisure Hours. . . . 1804.
Infant's Library, The. [A complete copy does not seem to exist. In several parts. 1780? More than one edn.]
Ingelow, Jean. Mopsa the Fairy. 1869.
—— Stories Told to a Child. 1865.
—— Life. Some Recollections of J. I. Anon. 1901.
J., W. The Father's Blessing Penn'd for the instruction of his Children. n.d. [prob. temp. William III].
Jameson, Anna Brownell (Mrs). Characteristics of Women. 2 vols. 1832.
Juvenile Encyclopaedia, The. n.d. [Vol. IV. 1801–2.] [A magazine, offering prizes to readers.]
Juvenile Magazine. 1788. 2 vols. [Appeared for one year only. Apparently all by one hand.]
—— The "Author" of. The Little Emigrant. 1799.
—— —— The Six Princesses of Babylon. n.d.
—— —— The Visits for a Week. n.d.
Juvenile Mirror, The . . . . Moral and Instructive Tales . . . with Interesting Biography. . . . n.d. [1800–20].
Juvenile Trials for Telling Fibs, robbing orchards, and other offences. Revised edn. 1803. Another edn. 1816.
Leathley, Mrs. Chick-Seed without Chick-Weed. [New edn. 1860. The first edn. seems to have disappeared. Over 250,000 copies of the book were sold.]
Lee Boo, Prince. The Interesting and Affecting History of Prince Lee Boo of the Pelew Islands. 1789.
Lemon, Mark. The Enchanted Doll. Illustd. by Doyle, Richard. 1849.
Letters from a Tutor to his Pupils. 1780.
Letters to a Young Nobleman. 1763.
Life and Adventures of a Fly, The. 1789. Illustd. by Bewick.
Life and History of A. Apple-Pie, The, who was cut to pieces, etc. n.d. [1800–25].
Little Jack of all Trades. . . . 1810. 2 parts.

Lilliputian Library, The; or, Gulliver's Museum. . . . By Lilliputius Gulliver. . . . 10 vols. [5*s*. "But little Masters and Misses may be supplied with one or more volumes, weekly or monthly, till the whole work is completed, at Sixpence each."] n.d. [probably before 1800]. [Apparently an imitation of Newbery's publications.]

Lilliputian Magazine, The. 1752. [In its volume form this periodical, which apparently ran for about a year in monthly numbers, went into several edns.]

Lilliputian Story Teller, The. 1785.

Lioness's Ball, The; Being a Companion to the Lion's Masquerade. n.d. [Almost certainly 1807. Dedicated to Mrs. Dorset, *q.v.*]

Little Female Orators, The. 1770.

Little Moralists, The, or the History of Amintor & Florella, the Pretty Little Shepherd and Shepherdess of the Vale of Evesham. [Illustd. by Bewick.] 1786. Other edns.

Little Pretty Pocket-Book, A. 1744.

Lobster's Voyage to the Brazils, The. 1807. [One of The "Butterfly's Ball" series.]

London Cries, The, for the amusement of all the good children throughout the World. 1770. Also a variant, The Cries of London. [Both went into many edns., authorised and pirated, until about 1825.]

Lovechild, Mrs., or Nurse. Many little collections of rimes, spelling books, and short stories were issued under this pseudonym, 1750–1820. The best were those by Fenn, Lady, *q.v.*

Mant, Alicia Catherine. Caroline Lismore: or, the Errors of Fashion. 1815.

—— The Cottage in the Chalk-pit. 1822.

—— Ellen: or, The Young Godmother. 1812.

—— Margaret Melville . . . or Juvenile Memoirs. 1818.

—— Tales for Ellen. 1825.

See, also, Lucas, E. V., Old Fashioned Tales.

Marchant, John. Puerilia: or, Amusements for the Young, consisting of a Collection of Songs. . . . 1751.

—— Lusus Juveniles. 1753.

Marshall, Mrs. Henwick Tales. 3rd edn. 1818.

Masquerade, The . . . to Amuse and Instruct all the Good Boys and Girls in the Kingdom. n.d. [1770–1800].

Mavor, William (author of many educational works). The Juvenile Olio, or Mental Medley. 1796.

—— Youth's Miscellany. 1798.

Meeke, Mrs. The Birth-day Present. n.d. [1800–25].

—— Mamma's Gift; or, Pleasing Lessons. n.d.

Memoirs of the Little Man and the Little Maid. 1808.

Minor's Magazine, The, and Epitome of Knowledge and Entertainment. 4 vols. n.d.[1800–20].

Mister, Mary. The Adventures of a Doll. 1816.

—— Little Anecdotes for Little People. 1817.

—— Mungo the Little Traveller. n.d.

—— Tales from the Mountains. n.d.

Mitchell, Miss. Tales of Instruction and Amusement. 1795.

Mother, A. Always Happy. 7th edn. revised. 1819.

—— Right and Wrong. 4th edn. revised. 1825.

Mother Bunch's Fairy Tales. 2 pts. [1777?]

Mother Goose's Melody; or, Sonnets for the Cradle. In Two Parts . . . 1791.
[This is the earliest extant English edn., though probably Newbery produced it originally about 1760. An American edn. of 1785, published at Worchester, Mass., is in existence. For all that is known of the book see the introductions to the two following rpts. The rimes have appeared in innumerable edns. and forms ever since the eighteenth century.]
—— A Facsimile Reproduction of the earliest known [English] edn., with Introd. by Prideaux, Col. W. F., C. S.I. 1904.
—— The Original Mother Goose's Melody. . . . With Introductory notes by Whitmore, William H. Albany, U.S.A., 1889. Revised edn. [London: but printed in U.S.A.], 1892.
Mother's Gift, The; or, a Present for Little Children who are good. 1770.
Mulock, Dinah Maria (afterwards Mrs. Craik). Children's Poetry. 1881.
—— The Fairy Book. 1863. [Tales selected by D. M. M.]
—— The Little Lame Prince. 1875.
Museum, The, for Young Gentlemen and Ladies. 1758.
My Real Friend, or, Incidents in Life, founded on Truth. . . . 1812.
Neale, John Mason. Hymns for the Young. 2 parts. 1843.
—— Sermons for Children. 1867.
—— The Triumphs of the Cross. 1845.
Nurse Truelove. N. T.'s New Year's Gift. 1760.
—— N. T.'s Christmas Box. 1760. [1750?]
O'Keeffe (or O'Keefe), Adelaide. (Part author of Original Poems: *see* Taylor, Ann and Jane.) National Characters exhibited in 40 Geographical Poems. London and Lymington, 1818.
—— Original Poems. 1808.
—— Poems for Young Children. n.d.
Pastoral Lessons and Parental Conversations. Intended as a companion to E. Barbauld's "Hymns in Prose." 1797.
Paths, The, of Learning Strewed with Flowers. 1820.
Peacock, Thomas Love. Sir Hornbook; or, Childe Lancelot's Expedition; a Grammatico-Allegorical Ballad. (Anonymous.) 1813.
Percival, Thomas, M.D., F.R.S. A Father's Instructions . . . to promote the Love of Virtue, a Taste for Knowledge, and an early acquaintance with . . . . Nature. New edn. 1789.
Personal Nobility: or, Letters to a Young Nobleman. . . . 1794.
Pinchard, Mrs. The Blind Child. 1791.
—— Dramatic Dialogues. 2 vols. 1792.
—— The Two Cousins. 1794.
Planché, Matilda Anne (Mrs. Mackarness). Example Better than Precept. 1867.
—— The Golden Rule. 1859.
—— A Trap to Catch a Sunbeam. 10th edn. 1850.
Polite Academy, The: or, School of Behaviour for young Gentlemen and Ladies. 1762. 3rd edn. 1765. 10th edn., with slight revision. n.d.
Pretty, Playful, Tortoise-shell Cat, The. n.d. [1800–25].
Pretty Book. The P. B. for Children. 1751.
—— The P. B. of Pictures for Little Masters and Misses; or, Tommy Trip's History of Birds and Beasts . . . to which is added the history of little T. T. himself, his dog Jowler, and of Woglog the great Giant. 1762. For Goldsmith's and Bewick's connection with the book, *see* Welsh, C., *op. cit.* sect. 1, *ante*.

Quarll, Philip. Adventures of. Attributed to the transcriber, Dorrington, Edward [?pseudonym]. 1727. [A book which was ed. and pirated frequently for children. Usually published anonymously.]

Rands, William Brighty. Lilliput Levee. Illustrated by Millais, J. G., and Pinwell, G. J. 1864.

Renowned History of Giles Gingerbread, The: a little boy who lived upon learning. 1769.

Richardson, Mrs. Original Poems Intended for the Use of Young Persons. On a plan recommended by the Rev. Dr. Isaac Watts. 1808.

Riley, George. Choice Emblems, Natural, Historical, Fabulous, Moral and Divine. 1772.

St. John, Percy Bolingbroke. The Arctic Crusoe. 1854.

—— The Coral Reef. 1868.

—— The Young Buccaneer. 1873.

Sandham, Elizabeth. The Adventures of Poor Puss. Two pts. 1809.

—— The Boys' School. A moral Tale. 1800.

—— Deaf and Dumb. 3rd edn. 1818.

—— The Happy Family at Eason House. 1822.

—— The History of Elizabeth Woodville. 1822.

—— The Orphan. n.d.

—— The School-fellows. 1818.

—— The Twin Sisters. n.d.

The Twin Sisters was translated into French. 2nd edn. 1824.

Savile, George, Marquis of Halifax. *See ante*, Vol. VIII, Chap. XVI.

Sewell, Anna. Black Beauty. 5th edn. 1878.

School Occurrences . . . among a Set of Young Ladies . . . By One of Them. 3rd edn. n.d. [about 1800].

Somerville, Elizabeth. Aurora and Maria; or, The Advantages of Adversity. . . . Brentford. 1809.

—— The Birthday. 1802.

—— The Village Maid. 1801.

Southey, Robert. The Three Bears, in The Doctor. *See ante*, Chap. VIII.

Sullivan, W. F. Young Wilfred; or, The Punishment of Falsehood. 1821.

T., B. A. Cobbler! Stick to your Last; or, The Adventures of Joe Dobson. 1807.

Tagg, Thomas. A Collection of Pretty Poems for the Amusement of children Three Foot High. By Thomas Tagg (*pseud.*?). [*c.* 1758.]

Thumb, Tom. T. T.'s Exhibition. n.d. [before 1800].

—— T. T.'s Folio, or, etc. 1768.

—— Tommy Thumb's Pretty Song Book. 2 vols.[?]. Only one copy extant, and that of vol. II only. 1744.

Top Book of All, The, for Little Masters and Misses. Containing the Choicest Stories, prettiest Poems and most Diverting Riddles; all wrote by Nurse Lovechild, Mother Goose, Jacky Nory, Tommy Thumb, and other eminent Authors. To which is added, A New Play of the Wide Mouth Waddling Frog, and a Prize Poem, to be learnt by Heart, with a Shilling at the End for every one that shall say it prettily without Book, and not miss a Word. This Book is also enriched with curious lively Pictures, done by the top Hands . . . . London and Salisbury, 1760.

Twelfth-Day. Gift, The, or, The Grand Exhibition. [? By John Newbery.] 1767.

Vaux, F. B. The Dew Drop. n.d. [1800–20].

—— The Disappointment. n.d.

—— Domestic Pleasures. n.d.

Ventum, Harriet. Charles Leeson. 1810.
—— Selina, or, The Village Tale. 1798.
—— Surveys of Nature. . . . 1802.
Visions in Verse, for . . . . Younger Minds. 1752.
Visits of Tommy Lovebook, The. Illustd. by Bewick. n.d. [before 1800].
Whim Wham, The: or Evening Amusement . . . . 1810.
Whimsical Incidents; or, The Power of Music. A Poetic Tale by a Near Relation of Old Mother Hubbard. 1805. Rptd. 1904.
Wiggins of Lee. *See* Dame W.
Wilberforce, Samuel. Agathos, and other Sunday Stories. 2nd edn. 1840. Rptd. with introduction by Mason, A. J. Cambridge, 1908.
Wilkinson, S. Village Rambles. 1806.
Young Gentleman and Lady, The, instructed in . . . principles, etc. 2 vols. 1747.
Young Gentleman's New-Year's-Gift, The . . . 1729.
Youthful Sports. New edn. 1804.
Youth's Friendly Monitor. 2nd edn. 1754.
Youth's Looking Glass. . .n.d. [1660?]. [The title was used for several similar works, especially in chapbook edns.]

V. Foreign Works

Many foreign authors, translated into English, have become—some for a generation or so, some permanently—an integral part of the nursery library, either by their influence or in themselves. They have also, in some instances, been identified with the work of eminent English artists, so that, though they are not originally native, they may be called English so far as their readers are concerned. The following are the chief instances of this kind of acclimatisation (arranged alphabetically, under authors).

Aesop, Fables of. There were many translations from an early period, from Caxton onwards. They were adapted for children by Brinsley, John (1624), Croxall, S. (1722), Dodsley, Richard (1761), and many others. Noteworthy editions are—1818 (illustd. by Bewick), 1848 (illustd. by Tenniel), 1883 (illustd. by Caldecott), 1886 (illustd. by Crane, Walter), 1894 (ed. by Jacobs, Joseph).
Andersen, Hans Christian. Danish Fairy Legends and Tales. Trans. by Peachey, Caroline. 1846.
—— Wonderful Stories for Children. Trans. by Howitt, Mary. 1846.
[The most popular editions are probably those illustrated by Stratton, Mrs. (1896, etc.), by Robinson, T. C. and W. Heath (1899, etc. translated by Lucas, Mrs. E. V.) and by Tegner, H. (1900, introd, by Gosse, Edmund).]
Asbjörnsen, P. C. and Moe, J. Popular Tales from the Norse. Translated by Dasent, Sir G. W. 1859.
Beaumont, Jeanne Marie Le Prince de. (Translated.) Moral Tales. 2 vols. 1775.
—— The Young Misses' Magazine. 2 vols. 2nd edn. 1767. Many edns. [Apparently not issued periodically in England.]
Berquin, Arnaud. The Children's Friend. Translated by the Rev. Mark Anthony Meilan. 1783. 24 volumes. The French edn. appeared in 1782, and was issued in London, in French, in the same year.
—— The Looking-Glass for the Mind. [Selections chiefly from L'Ami des Enfans.] 1792. Facsimile rpt. ed. Welsh, C. 1885.
Carové, Friedrich Wilhelm. The Story without an End. Trans. by Austin, Sarah. 1834.

D'Aulnoy, Comtesse (Marie Catherine La Mothe). The Diverting Works of. 1707. (Vol. IV. Tales of the Fairies.) Many edns., specially that ed. by Ritchie, Anne Thackeray, 1892.

Fénelon, François de Salignac de la Mothe. Adventures of Telemachus. 2 vols. 1742.

—— Instructions for the Education of a Daughter. 1707.

Genlis, Comtesse de (Stéphanie Félicité Brulart de Sillery). Adelaide and Theodore. 3 vols. 1783.

—— Tales of the Castle. 4 vols. 1785.

—— The Theatre of Education. 2nd edn. 4 vols. 1781.

Grimm, Jacob Ludwig Carl, and Wilhelm Carl. German Popular Stories. Illustd. by Cruikshank, George. 1823. Ed. by Taylor, E., pref. by Ruskin, J. 1869.

—— Grammer Grethel. . . . Trans. by Taylor, E. 1839. Bohn's edn. 1849.

—— Household Stories . . . newly translated. Illustd. by Wehnert, E. H. 2 vols. 1853. Illustd. by Crane, W. 1882.

—— Household Tales. Trans. and ed. by Hunt, M. Introd. by Lang, A. 2 vols. Bohn's Standard Library. 1884.

[Now usually issued (in selections) simply as G.'s Fairy Tales: under this title illustrated by Browne, Gordon (1894), Hassall, John (1901), Rackham, Arthur (1900), Stratton, Helen (1905).]

Hoffmann, Heinrich. The English Struwelpeter. 4th edn. 1848.

La Fontaine, Jean de. Any nearly contemporary translations of La F.'s fables appear to have vanished. The first extant English edn. seems to be Fables and Tales from La F. in French and English, 1734. The fables were so well known under Aesop's name that, so far as children were concerned, the existing English versions probably sufficed.

La Motte Fouqué, Friedrich Heinrich Carl de, Baron. Aslauga's Knight. Trans. by Carlyle, Thomas. 1827.

—— Sintram and his Companions. Trans. by Hare, Julius C. 1820. Illustd. by Sumner, Heywood. 1883. Introd. by Yonge, C. M. 1896.

—— Undine. Trans. by Soane, G. 1818. Trans. by Tracey, T. 1841. Illustd. by Tenniel, Sir John. 1845. Trans. and ed. by Gosse, Edmund. 1896.

Marmontel, Jean François. Moral Tales. Translated by a Lady [Roberts, Miss R.]. 1763. Trans. by Pilkington, Mrs. Illustd. by Bewick. 1799. Selected and ed. by Saintsbury, G. 1895.

Perrault, Charles. Tales of Passed Times, By Mother Goose. Written in French by M. Perrault and Englished by R. S[amber]. 6th edn. 1764. [1st English edn., 1729. *See* note 1, p. 417.] The Standard modern edn. is that ed., with introduction, by Lang, Andrew, Oxford, 1888. First French edn. (as a separate book), Paris, 1697.

Raspe, Rudolph Erich. Baron Munchausen's Narrative of his Marvellous Travels, etc. 1786. Many edns., especially 1810 (chapbook), 1889 (illustd. by Crowquill, Alfred), 1895 (ed. by Seccombe, Thomas).

Wyss, J. D. The Swiss Family Robinson. Translated. 7th edn. 1828. [The first English edn. is obscure: and edn. of 1849 is "a continuation."] Many edns., especially that ed. by Kingston, W. H. G. 1879.

The following foreign writers, though never assimilated nor influential to the same extent as those enumerated above, have also established themselves as favourites to more than one generation of English children.

Abbott, Jacob. Beechnut. 1853. The Beechnut Book, ed. Lucas, E. V. 1901. Rollo in Paris. 1854. Rollo on the Atlantic. 1854.

Alcott, Louisa May. The first introduction of her works to England cannot be fixed exactly. It was between 1870 and 1880. The chief are: Good Wives: Little Men: Little Women.

Fern, Fanny (*pseud.* Sarah Payson Willis). Fern Leaves from Fanny's Portfolio. 1853. Second series. 1854. Shadows and Sunbeams. 1854.

Hawthorne, Nathaniel. A Wonder Book for Girls and Boys. Boston, U.S.A. 1852. Tanglewood Tales. 1853.

Stowe, Harriet Beecher. Uncle Tom's Cabin. 1852.

Verne, Jules. *See* Reference Catalogue of current literature, issued annually (J. Whitaker and Sons).

Warner, Susan (*pseud.* Wetherell, Elizabeth). Ellen Montgomery's Bookcase. [1853.] Melbourne House [1877.] Queechy, 1852. The Wide, Wide World. 1851.

TABLE OF PRINCIPAL DATES

1700 Death of Dryden.
1702 Edward Bysshe's *Art of Poetry.*
1709 First English Copyright Act.
1712 J. J. Rousseau born.
1714–27 George I.
1717 David Garrick born (d. 1779).
1719 Death of Addison.
1720 Mrs. Elizabeth Montagu born (d. 1800).
1723 Blackstone born (d. 1780).
1727–60 George II.
1727 Death of Newton.
1728 Oliver Goldsmith born (d. 1774).
1729 Burke born (d. 1797).
1731 Cowper born (d. 1800).
1731 Death of Defoe.
1737 Edward Gibbon born (d. 1794).
1744 Death of Pope.
1745 Hannah More born (d. 1833).
1745 Death of Swift.
1748 Bentham born (d. 1832).
1749 Goethe born.
1750 The bluestocking parties begin.
1751 Sheridan born (d. 1816).
1751 *Encyclopédie*, vols. I and II.
1753 Dugald Stewart born (d. 1828).
1754 Crabbe born (d. 1832).
1756 Amory's *John Buncle.*
1756 Burke's *Sublime and Beautiful.*
1757–60 Pitt's first ministry.
1757 Blake born (d. 1827).
1758 Mrs. Carter's *Epictetus.*
1759 British Museum opened.
1759 Burns born (d. 1796).
1760–1820 George III.
1760 Rousseau's *Nouvelle Héloïse.*
1762 Wilkes's *The North Briton.*
1763 Rousseau's *Contrat Social.*
1764 Death of Hogarth.
1765 Horace Walpole's *Castle of Otranto.*
1765–9 Blackstone's *Commentaries.*
1766 C. Anstey's *New Bath Guide.*
1766 Malthus born (d. 1834).
1766 Lessing's *Laokoon.*
1768–71 *Encyclopaedia Britannica*, 1st edn.
1769 R. Cumberland's *The Brothers* produced.
1770 Burke's *Thoughts on the Present Discontents.*
1770 Wordsworth born (d. 1850).
1771 R. Cumberland's *The West Indian.*
1771 Walter Scott born (d. 1832).
1772 Coleridge born (d. 1834).
1773 Goethe's *Götz von Berlichingen.*
1773 Goldsmith's *She Stoops to Conquer.*
1774 Burke's Speech on American Taxation.
1774 Southey born (d. 1843).
1774 Goethe's *Sorrows of Werther.*
1775 Burke's Speech on Conciliation with the Colonies.
1775 Grattan enters the Irish parliament.
1775 Jane Austen born (d. 1817).
1775 Charles Lamb born (d. 1834).
1775 Sheridan's *The Rivals.*
1776 Bentham's *A Fragment on Government.*
1776 Death of Hume.
1776 Adam Smith's *The Wealth of Nations.*
1776 The American Declaration of Independence.
1776 Gibbon's *Decline and Fall*, vol. I.

1777–84 *Encyclopaedia Britannica*, 2nd edn.
1777 Hannah More's *Percy*.
1777 Clara Reeve's *The Champion of Virtue*, afterwards *The Old English Baron*.
1777 Sheridan's *The School for Scandal* and *A Trip to Scarborough* produced.
1778 Death of Rousseau.
1778 Death of Voltaire.
1778 Hazlitt born (d. 1830).
1779 *Olney Hymns*.
1779 Lessing's *Nathan der Weise*.
1780 Arthur Young's *Tour in Ireland*.
1781 William Pitt the younger and Sheridan enter parliament.
1781 Kant's *Critique of Pure Reason*.
1781 Rousseau's *Confessions*.
1782 Cowper's *Poems*.
1782 Mrs. Siddons begins acting at Drury lane.
1783 Crabbe's *The Village*.
1783 Fox's India Bill.
1783–1802 William Pitt the younger's first ministry.
1784 Beckford's *Vathek* written.
1784 Death of Johnson.
1785 Clara Reeve's *Progress of Romance*.
1785 Burke's speech *On the Nabob of Arcot's debts*.
1785 Cowper's *The Task*.
1785 Burke begins his attack on Warren Hastings.
1786 Burns's *Poems* (Kilmarnock edn.).
1786 Burgoyne's *The Heiress*.
1787 Sheridan's speech against Warren Hastings.
1787 Colman's *Inkle and Yarico*.
1788 *The Times* founded.
1788–97 *Encyclopaedia Britannica*, 3rd edn.
1788 Byron born (d. 1824).
1788 Goethe's *Egmont*.
1789 Blake's *Songs of Innocence*.
1789 Fall of the Bastille.
1789 Bentham's *The Principles of Morals and Legislation*.
1790 Burke's *Reflections on the Revolution in France*.
1791 E. Inchbald's *A Simple Story*.
1791 Boswell's *Life of Johnson*.
1791–2 T. Paine's *The Rights of Man*.
1791 Ann Radcliffe's *The Romance of the Forest*.
1792 T. Holcroft's *The Road to Ruin*.
1792 Pitt's speech on the slave-trade.
1792–4 Arthur Young's *Travels in France*.
1792 Shelley born (d. 1822).
1793 W. Godwin's *Political Justice*.
1794 W. Godwin's *Caleb Williams*.
1794 Blake's *Songs of Experience*.
1794 Ann Radcliffe's *The Mysteries of Udolpho*.
1794 Southey's *Wat Tyler* written.
1794–5 Paine's *The Age of Reason*.
1795 Carlyle born (d. 1881).
1795 Keats born (d. 1821).
1795 M. G. Lewis's *The Monk*.
1796 Burke's *A Letter to a Noble Lord*.
1796 Southey's *Joan of Arc*.
1796 Bage's *Hermsprong*.
1796 E. Inchbald's *Nature and Art*.
1796–8 Southey's *Ballads* written.
1797 Kotzebue popular on the London stage.
1797 *The Anti-Jacobin*.
1797 Ann Radcliffe's *The Italian*.
1797 Heine born.
1797–1814 Wordsworth's *Excursion* written.
1798–1805 Wordsworth's *Prelude* written
1798 Southey's *Holly Tree* written.
1798 Malthus's *Essay on the Principle of Population*.
1798 Wordsworth and Coleridge's *Lyrical Ballads*.
1799 Balzac born.
1800 Schiller's *Wallenstein*.
1800 Wordsworth's *Recluse* written.
1801 Chateaubriand's *Atala*.
1801 Southey's *Thalaba*.
1802 Cobbett's *Weekly Register* begins.
1802 Victor Hugo born.
1802 *The Edinburgh Review*.
1803 Jane Porter's *Thaddeus of Warsaw*.
1804 Death of Kant.

1804–6 William Pitt's second ministry.
1805 Southey's *Madoc.*
1805 Wordsworth's *Ode to Duty* written.
1805 Death of Schiller.
1805 Chateaubriand's *René.*
1806 "All the Talents" ministry.
1807 Crabbe's *The Parish Register.*
1809 *The Quarterly Review.*
1809 Charles Darwin born (d. 1882).
1809 Tennyson born (d. 1892).
1809 Chateaubriand's *The Martyrs.*
1810 Jane Porter's *The Scottish Chiefs.*
1810 Crabbe's *The Borough.*
1810 Southey's *The Curse of Kehama.*
1810 Mme. de Staël's *L'Allemagne.*
1811 Thackeray born (d. 1863).
1812 Browning born (d. 1889).
1812 Crabbe's *Tales.*
1812 Dickens born (d. 1870).
1812 Maria Edgeworth's *Absentee.*
1813 Hogg's *The Queen's Wake.*
1813 Southey's *The Life of Nelson.*
1814 Southey's *Roderick, the Last of the Goths.*
1816 Coleridge's *Christabel.*
1816 Peacock's *Headlong Hall.*
1817 Coleridge's *Biographia Literaria.*
1817 Peacock's *Melincourt.*
1817 Maria Edgeworth's *Ormond.*
1818 Peacock's *Nightmare Abbey.*
1819 Hope's *Anastasius.*
1820 Maturin's *Melmoth the Wanderer.*
1820 Southey's *Life of Wesley.*
1820–30 George IV.
1822 Peacock's *Maid Marian.*
1824–8 Godwin's *Commonwealth of England.*
1829 Southey's *Colloquies.*
1829 Peacock's *The Misfortunes of Elphin.*
1831 Peacock's *Crotchet Castle.*
1834–47 Southey's *The Doctor.*

INDEX

[Ff. after an entry implies that there are references to the same subject on at least two immediately succeeding pages.]

A Apple Pie, 428
"A was an Apple-pie," 408
A was an Archer, 411
Abington, Frances, 284
Absolute, captain, in Sheridan's *Rivals*, 294, 297
—— Sir Anthony, in Sheridan's *Rivals*, 294, 295
Acres, Bob, in Sheridan's *Rivals*, 294, 295
Act for the Encouragement of Learning, 347
Adam house, an, 419
Adams, J. Q., *n.* 294
Adams, parson, in *Joseph Andrews*, 158
Addison, Joseph, 2, 227, 309, 354, 366; *Cato*, 302
Adeline, in Mrs. Radcliffe's *Romance of the Forest*, 334
Advice to a Young Nobleman, 412
Aelfric, 406; *Colloquy*, 407
Aesop, 406
Africa, 124
Africans, the, 176
Aguecheek, Sir Andrew, in *Twelfth Night*, 294
Ahania, Blake's, 215
Aikin, Anna Laetitia. *See* Barbauld
—— John (1747–1822), 424, 426, 522
Ailesbury, countess of, *n.* 312
Ainger, Alfred, *Crabbe*, *n.* 162
Akenside, Mark, *Pleasures of Imagination*, 358
Alasi, prince, in Beckford's *Episodes*, 322
Albion, in Blake's *Jerusalem*, 220
Alcuin, 406
Aldeburgh, 155, 156, 158, 159, 161, 163
Aldhelm, 406
Alembert, Jean B. le Rond d', 392
Alexandrines, 272
Alfoxden, 110, 113
Algarotti, Francesco, *Newtonianismo per le Dame*, 395
Allen, *Allarum to the Unconverted*, 409–10
Alloway, 242
Alps, the, 106
Alscrip, in Burgoyne's *Heiress*, 304, 305
—— Miss, in Burgoyne's *Heiress*, 304
Alspice, in Morton's *Way to get Married*, 310
Alton, Miss, in Burgoyne's *Heiress*, 304
Altrive farm, in Yarrow, 264
Alvar, in Coleridge's *Remorse*, 457
Alvarez, Espriella, Don Manuel, in Southey's *Letters from Spain*, 180
Amanda, in Vanbrugh's *Relapse* and Sheridan's *Trip to Scarborough*, 296–97
America, 3, 8, 11 ff., 17, 22, 84, 131, 209, 210, 250, 261, 304, 421, 425
—— south, 66
—— United States of, 52 ff., 80
American colonies, 5, 25
—— constitution, 79
—— Declaration of Independence, 78–9
—— war of independence, 5, 6, 18, *n.* 29
Americans, the, 12, 17, 22, 58
Amhurst, Nicholas, 191
Amory, Thomas (1691?–1788), 315 ff. (main entry), 339; *Life of John Buncle*, 315 ff., *n.* 363, *n.* 364; *Memoirs of Several Ladies*, 315, 317
Andersen, Hans Christian, 429, 536
Angel of Death, 35
Angellier, Auguste, 127
Anglican clergy, 342
"Anna Matilda." *See* Cowley, Hannah
Annals of Agriculture, 80
Anne, queen of Great Britain, 411, 413
Annual Register, 161, 358–59
Anstey, Christopher (1724–1805), 174, 177, 190–91, 467; *New Bath Guide*, 191
Anstruther, Fife, 268
Anti-Jacobin, The, 44 ff., 174, 435
Anti-Jacobin Review, The, 435
Apollo press, 360
Arabian Nights, The, 178, 322

Arabian tales, 321, 415
Arabic language and literature, 321, 322, 393
Arber, Edward, *n.* 345, *n.* 375
Arblay, Frances Burney, Madame d', 319, 327, 381, 387, 392; *Evelina*, 405
Arcadia, 158, 318
Argus, Arabella, 522; *Adventures of a Donkey*, 425; *Juvenile Spectator*, 425
Argyll, Elizabeth, duchess of, 496
Ariosto, Ludovico, 173
Aristotle, 19, 148; *Rhetoric*, *n.* 18
"Arley," Della Cruscan poet, 196
Armour, Jean, 245
Armstrong, C. F., *Shakespeare to Shaw*, *n.* 297
Arnold, Matthew, 150, 178; *On Translating Homer*, 100
Ashburton, Devonshire, 44
Ashby-de-la-Zouch, 376
Ashfield, dame, in Morton's *Speed the Plough*, 311
Asia, 18, 210
Athanasius, 317
Atlantic, the, 210
Atlantis, 210
Attic eloquence, 15
Aubrey, Augusta, in Cumberland's *Fashionable Lover*, 293
Auchinleck, 260
"Auld Hornie," 234
"Auld Licht" clergy, 234, 235, 238
Auld Nick, in Burns's *Tam o' Shanter*, 242
Aulnoy, Marie Catherine, countess d', 416, 537
Austen, Lady, 93, 97, 99
—— Jane, 316, *Northanger Abbey*, 330;
Avondale, Lord, in Morton's *School of Reform*, 311
Aylmer, Brabazon, 353, 368
Ayrshire, 243, 260

B. B. (Lady Nairne), 257–58
Bab, Lady, in Burgoyne's *Maid of the Oaks*, 293
Babees' Boke, The, 408
Babes in the Wood, The, 427
Babylon, in Blake's poems, 218, 220
Back, John, 371
Bacon, Francis, viscount St. Albans, 12, 65
—— friar, 414
Bage, Robert (1728–1801), 326 ff., 504
Barham Downs, 326, 327
Fair Syrian, 326–27
Hermsprong, or Man as he is not, 323, 326–27
James Wallace, 326–27
Man as he is, 326, 327
Mount Henneth, 327
Bailey, J. C., *The Poems of William Cowper*, *n.* 94
Baillie, Lady Grizel, 255
—— Joanna (1762–1851), 166, 255; (main entry), 486, 501
De Montfort, 303
Fugitive Pieces, 255
It was on a morn, 255
Metrical Legends, 255
"My Bride he is winsome and bonnie," 255
Poverty parts Good Company, 255
Saw Ye Johnie Comin, 255
Tam o' the Lin, 255
Baker, Samuel (d. 1788), 373
—— Thomas, *The Yeoman of Kent*, 356
Balcarres, Fife, 255
Baldwin, Edwin (*pseud.* of William Godwin), 437
Balfour, John, 379
Ballad in Imitation of Martial, 193
Ballantyne novels, 326
—— press, 379, 515
—— James (1772–1833), 379
—— Robert Michael (1825–1894), 430
Ballantyne's *Annual Register*, 179
Ballard, Edward (d. 1796), 367
Ballards, the, 372, 373
Ballitore, Ireland, 1
"Balmquhapple," Fife, 267
Balzac, Honoré de, 320, 337
Banbury, 414
Bank of England, 43
Bannatyne club, 256
Barbadoes, 309
Barbauld, Anna Laetitia, born Aikin (1743–1825), 146, 380, 425, 522; *Easy Lessons*, 426; *Evenings at Home*, 426; *Hymns in Prose*, 426
"Bard, The," Della Cruscan poet, 196
Bardolph, Shakespeare's, *n.* 320
Barham, Richard Harris, 177; *Ingoldsby Legends*, 42
Barkiarokh, in Beckford's *Episodes*, 322
Barlow, Mr., in *Sandford and Merton*, 423, 424
Barnard, Lady Anne (Lady Anne Lindsay: 1750–1825), 486; *Auld Robin Gray*, 255; *Why Tarries My Love*, 256
—— Edward, 383
Barrett, Eaton Stannard (1786–1820), *n.* 331, 504
Barry, Spranger, 284, *n.* 287
Barthélémon, François H., 286
Basire, James, 200–1
Baskerville, John (1706–1775), 378
Bateman, Christopher, 367, 372
Bates, William, *Harmony of the divine attributes*, 350
Bath, 165, 192, 193, 313, 381, 385
—— knights of the, 312
—— colonel, in Fielding's *Amelia*, 293
—— Sir William Pulteney, earl of, 192, 274, 388, 390, 397
—— Thomas Thynne, 2nd marquis of, 165

Baxter, Richard, 370; *Call to the Unconverted*, 409; *Reliquiae Baxteriana*, *n.* 349; *Saints' Everlasting Rest*, 349
Bayle, Pierre, *Dictionary*, 354
Bayly, Lewis, *The Practice of Piety*, 409
Beaconsfield, Burke's residence, 158
Beattie, James, 228, 378, 388; *Essay on Truth*, 389; *The Minstrel*, 273, 389
Beaumarchais, Pierre A. C. de, *Figaro*, 291
Beaumont, Sir George, 144
Beaumont and Fletcher, 354
Beaupuy, Michel, 108
Beccaria, Cesare Bonesana, marquis di, *n.* 74, 78; *Crimes and Punishments*, 71
Becket, Thomas, 348
Beckford, William (1759–1844), 319 ff., 338, 339, 504
Azemia, *n.* 320
Dreams, Waking Thoughts and Incidents, *n.* 320
Episodes, *n.* 320
History of Extraordinary Painters, 320
Letters from Portugal, *n.* 320
Modern Novel Writing, *n.* 320
Vathek, 178, 319 ff., 336
Bedford, Francis Russell, 5th duke of, 33
—— Grosvenor, the elder, *n.* 170
—— Grosvenor, the younger, *n.* 170, *n.* 187, *n.* 191
Bee, The, 261
Belcour, in Cumberland's *West Indian*, 292, 293, 305
Belfast, *n.* 313
Belfield, in Cumberland's *Brothers*, 291
Belianis, Don, in *The Tatler*, 414
Belinda, in Miss Edgeworth's novel, 328–29
Bell, John (1745–1831), 360, 379
Bell's Weekly Messenger, 360
Bellamy, Daniel, the elder (b. 1687), 468
—— David, the younger (d. 1788), 468
—— Miss George Anne, *n.* 287
—— Samuel, 191
Belloy, Pierre L. B. de, *Le Siège de Calais*, 302
Belson, Mrs. *See* Elliott, Mary
Belvoir, Leicestershire, 158, 159
Benares, rajah of, 15
"Benedict," Della Cruscan poet, 196
Bengal, 267
Benlow (or Bruce), Mrs. Marinda, in Amory's *Memoirs of Several Ladies*, 317
Bensley, Robert, 284
Bent, William, 376
Bentham, Jeremy (1748–1832), 63 ff., (main entry), 150, 440
Anarchical Fallacies, 79
Book of Fallacies, 79
Chrestomathia, 80
Codification Proposals, 72
Constitutional Code, 79
Defence of Usury, 64
Deontology, 67
Fragment on Government, 64, 68 ff., 79
Influence of Time and Place in Matters of Legislation, 80
Introduction to the Principles of Morals and Legislation, 65, *n.* 71, 72, 77
Introductory view of the Rationale of Evidence, 67
Poor Laws and Pauper Management, 80
Rationale of Evidence, 67
Table of the Springs of Action, 67, 73
Theory of Legislation, *n.* 75
Works, 67
Bentham, Samuel, 64
Bentley, Richard (1662–1742), 377, 422
Bentley, Richard (1708–1782), 501; *Philodamus*, 303
Berenger, Richard, 401
Berinthia, in Vanbrugh's *Relapse* and Sheridan's *Trip to Scarborough*, 297
Berkeley, George, 63, 111
Berquin, Arnaud, 536; *L'Ami des Enfans*, 419, 424; *Le Petit Grandisson*, 424
Bertram, Sir Stephen, in Cumberland's *Jew*, 312
Betterton, Thomas, 284
Betty, W. H. W., *n.* 313, 497
Beulah, daughters of, in Blake's *Four Zoas*, 217
Beverley, in Sheridan's *Rivals*, 294
Bevis, in *The Tatler*, 414
Bewick, Thomas, 419
Bible, the, 54, 99, 200, 208, 209, 262, 360, 402, 409; *Corinthians*, 394; *Psalms*, 228, 262; *Revelation*, 218
—— society, 286
Bickerstaff, Isaac (d. 1812?), 496; *The Padlock*, 290
—— Isaac, of *The Tatler*, 413–14
Birch, Thomas, 395
Birmingham, 374, 376, 378
Black prince, the, 145
Blackbird, The, 259
Blacklock, Thomas (1721–1791), 258, 486
Blackstone, Sir William, *Commentaries*, 68, 69, 73; *Law Tracts*, 68
Blackwood's Magazine, *n.* 164
Blair, Hugh, *Sermons*, 361
—— Robert, *The Grave*, 269
Blake, William (1757–1827), 195, 200 ff. (main entry), 271, 276, 475–479
Africa, 215
America, 209, 210, 214
Auguries of Innocence, 221
Birds, The, 221
Blossom, The, 204
Book of Ahania, 214, 215

Blake, William—*Continued*
Book of Los, 214, 215
Book of Thel, 206, 207
Book of Urizen, 214 ff.
Chimney Sweeper, The, 204, 205
Clod and the Pebble, 212
Cradle Song, 206, 213
Crystal Cabinet, The, 221
Descriptive Catalogue, 222
Divine Image, The, 204
Dream, A, 204
Earth's Answer, 213
Echoing Green, The, 205
Europe, 204, 215, 218
Everlasting Gospel, The, 221
Fair Elenor, 201
Four Zoas, The, 216, 218
French Revolution, 209
Ghost of Abel, 220
Golden Net, The, 221
Grey Monk, The, 221
Holy Thursday, 204, 212
"How sweet I roam'd from field to field," 201
"I laid me down upon a bank," 213
I love the jocund dance, 205
I told my love, 213
Infant Joy, 206
Infant Sorrow, 212
Introduction, 213
Island in the Moon, 203
Jerusalem, 218 ff.
King Edward the Third, 202
Lamb, The, 205
Land of Dreams, 221
Laocoon, 220, 222
Laughing Song, 205
Little Black Boy, 205
Little Boy Lost, 212
Mad Song, 189, 202
Marriage of Heaven and Hell, 207 ff., 212, 221
Mary, 221
Memorable Fancies, 208
Mental Traveller, The, 221
Milton, 208, 218
Morning, 221
My silks and fine array, 202
My Spectre around me, 221
Night, 205
No Natural Religion, 207
Note on *The Canterbury Tales*, 222
On Homer's Poetry, 222
On Virgil, 222
Passions, The, 201
Poetical Sketches, 201, 204, 205
Public Address, 221
Schoolboy, The, 212
Smile, The, 221
Song of Liberty, 209
Song of Los, 214, 215
Song of Phebe, 203
Songs of Experience, 211 ff.
Songs of Innocence, 203 ff., 211, 212, 216, 218, 426
Spring, 205
Sunflower, The, 213
Tiger, The, 212
Tiriel, 206
To My Myrtle, 213
To the Christians, 222
To the Deists, 222
To the Muses, 201
Vala, 215, 216
Vision of the Last Judgment, 219
Visions of the Daughters of Albion, 210, 212
War Song to Englishmen, 203
When old corruption first begun, 203
Wild Flower's Song, 213
William Bond, 221
Lambeth books, 209, 214, 216 ff., 220
Pickering MS., 221
Rossetti MS., 211, 218, 221, 222, 475–76
Blamire, Susanna (1747–1794), *And Ye shall walk in Silk Attire*, 256; *Nabob*, 256; *What ails this heart of Mine*, 256
Blandish, Mr. and Mrs., in Burgoyne's *Heiress*, 305
Blois, 108
Bluebeard, 416
Bluestockings, the, 380 ff.
Blunderbore, giant, 415
Blunderhead family, in Anstey's *New Bath Guide*, 192
Bobadill, in Jonson's *Every Man in His Humour*, 313
Bolingbroke, Henry St. John, 1st viscount, 2 ff., 9 ff., 13, 29
Booth, Barton, 284
Boquet, M., *n.* 286
Borrowdale, 122
Boscawen, Mrs. F., 385, 392, 400 ff.
Boswell, Sir Alexander (1775–1822), 486–87
Ah! Mary, sweetest maid, Farewell, 260
East Neuk of Fife, 260
Jenny Dang the Weaver, 260
Jenny's Bawbee, 260
New Whig Song, 260
Paddy O'Rafferty, 260
Sir Albyn, 260
Skeldon Haughs or the Sow flitted, 260
Songs Chiefly in the Scottish Dialect, 260
Taste Life's Glad Moments, 260
Boswell, James, 43, 260, 361, 369, 381 ff., 404; *Life of Johnson*, *n.* 14, 280, *n.* 360, *n.* 381
Botany bay, 64
Bourne, Vincent, 93
Bowdler, Thomas, 288
Bowles, Caroline. *See* Southey, Mrs. Caroline Anne
—— William Lisle (1762–1850), 130, 164, 196–97, 468
Bamborough Castle, 197
Fourteen Sonnets, 197

Bowles, Caroline—*Continued*
Hope, 197
Influence of Time on Grief, 197
Sonnets, 191
Tynemouth, 197
Bowring, John, 67
Bowyer, William, the younger (1699–1777), 362, 516
Boyse, Samuel (1708–1749), 191, 366, 468
Bradshaw, William, 365; *Parable of the Magpye*, 365
Brahma, 215
Brahmanical religion, 20
Brailsford, H. N., *Shelley, Godwin and their Circle*, *n.* 305
Bramble, Tabitha, in Smollett's *Humphrey Clinker*, 295
Brand, Hannah (d. 1821), 501
Brash and Reid's *Poetry Ancient and Select*, 268
Breach, mistress, 368
Brent, Charlotte, *n.* 287
Breval, John Durant, *Remarks on several parts of Europe*, 356
Brice, Andrew (1690–1773), 516
Bridegroom Greets when the Sun gae's doon, 255
Bright, John, 35
Brightland, John, 277
Brindley, John, 357, 368
Bristol, 6, 17, 34, 147, 171, 172, 176, 313, 377, 378, 398, 400
Bristol Journal, 147, *n.* 148
British constitution, 9, 11, 13, 22, 30
—— empire, 14, 20
—— parliament, *n.* 23
—— theatre, 305
British Album, 196
—— *Magazine*, *n.* 287
—— *Poets*, 360
Bromion, in Blake's *Visions of the Daughters of Albion*, 210
Bromsgrove, 376
Brooks's club, 58
Broome, William, 272
Brothers, Richard, 180
Brown, Thomas, *Elegy on Mr. Edward Millington*, *n.* 372
—— William, 371
Browne, Sir Thomas, 2, 31; *Religio Medici*, 352
Bruce, Michael (1746–1767), 487; *Cuckoo, The*, 269; *Elegy on Spring*, 270; *Poems on Several Occasions*, 269
Brunton, Mary (1778–1818), 504
Brydges, Sir Samuel Egerton (1762–1837), 517
Bryskett, Lodowick, *The Mourning Muse of Thestylis*, 198
Buccleuch, Charles W. H. Scott, 4th duke of, 264
Buchan, David Steuart Erskine, 11th earl of, 243
Buckingham, George Villiers, 2nd duke of, *The Rehearsal*, 300
Bulstrode, Buckinghamshire, 385
Bulwer-Lytton. *See* Lytton, Lord
Buncle, John, in Amory's *Life of John Buncle*, 318, 327
Bunyan, John, 423, 522; *Book for Boys and Girls*, 412; *Divine Emblems*, 412; *Pilgrim's Progress*, 370
Bürger, Gottfried August, 335
Burges, Sir James Bland (Sir James Lamb), (1752–1824), 196, 468
Burgh, Thomas, 6
Burgoyne, John (1722–1792), 35, 501; *Heiress, The*, 304, 305; *Maid of the Oaks*, 293, 304
Burke, Edmund (1529–1797), 1 ff., (main entry), 38, 47, 53, 54, 58, 64, 103, 154, 157, 158, 160, 162, 289, 359, 399, 431 ff.
Address to the British Colonists, *n.* 6
Address to the King, *n.* 6, *n.* 23
Appeal from the New to the Old Whigs, 7, *n* 23 ff.
Letter . . . to a Member of the National Assembly, 7
Letter . . . to a Noble Lord, 7, 33
Letter . . . to . . . Sheriff's of . . . Bristol, 6, 15
Letter to William Smith, *n.* 28
Letters on a Regicide Peace, 28, 35, 153
Letters . . . on the proposals for peace, 7
Observations on . . . "The Present State of the Nation," 6, 8
On American Taxation, 6, 13, 24
On moving his Resolutions for Conciliation, 6, 12, 13, 23, 29
On Mr. Fox's East-India Bill, 18, 20, 21
Philosophical Enquiry into . . . the Sublime and Beautiful, 2, 3
Reflections on the Revolution in France, 5, 7, 23, 24, 153
Remarks on the Policy of the Allies, 7
Speech at the Guildhall, in Bristol, 6, 17
Speech on the . . . Nabob of Arcot's Private Debts, 7, 18, 21, 35
Thoughts on French Affairs, 7
Thoughts on the . . . Present Discontents, 6, 8, 10
To a peer of Ireland on the Penal Laws, 17
To Sir Hercules Langrishe, 17
Tracts relative to the Laws against Popery, 16, *n.* 27
Two Letters . . . to Gentlemen . . . of Bristol, 6, 17
Vindication of Natural Society, 2 ff.
Burke, Richard, brother of Edmund Burke, 6, 401
—— Richard, son of Edmund Burke, 6

Burke, William, 2, 6, 431
Burnet, "Theory," 366
Burnett, James. *See* Monboddo, Lord
Burney, Frances. *See* Arblay, Madame d'
Burns, Robert (1759–1796), 202, 224 ff. 257 ff. (main entry), 261 ff., 267, 268, 479 ff.
Address to Edinburgh, 240
Address to the Deil, 234, 235
Address to the Unco Guid, 240
Auld Farmer's New Year Salutation, 234, 236
Auld Lang Syne, 249, 252, 256
Author's Earnest Cry and Prayer, 234
Banks o' Doon, 254
Bard's Epitaph, 229
Birthday Ode, 229
Blue-eyed Lassie, 254
Brigs of Ayr, 240
Captain Matthew Henderson, 233, 242
Charlie he's my Darling, 253
Comin Thro' the Rye, 253
Corn Rigs, 226
Cotter's Saturday Night, 160, 228
Death and Doctor Hornbook, 234
Death and Dying Words of Poor Mailie, 236
Despondency, 228, 240
Dream, A, 237
Duncan Davison, 253
Epistle to a Young Friend, 240
Epistle to Davie, 228, 240
Epistle to Lord Daer, 240
Epistles, 258
Epistles to Graham of Fintry, 229
Farewell, The, 240
Fintry My Stay, 240
From Esopus to Maria, 229
Gloomy Night, 226
Green grow the Rashes o', 227
Guildford Good, 240
Halloween, 237, 238–39, 260
Handsome Nell, 227
Here's to the Health, 227
Holy Fair, 235, 237
Holy Willie's Prayer, 234, 235
How Lang and Drearie is the Night, 253
In Lamington Kirk, 230
Inscribed on a Work of Hannah More's, 240
Inscribed to the Hon. C. J. Fox, 229
Is there for Honest Poverty, 253
It was a' for our Richtfu' King, 249, 253
James Smith, 233
John Anderson My Jo, 253
John Lapraik, 233
Jolly Beggars, 227, 237, 240 ff., 247
Kirk's Alarm, 235
Lament, The, 228, 240
Lass of Cessnock Banks, 227
Lines on the Fall of Fyers, 229
Logan Water, 260
MacPherson's Farewell, 252
Man was made to Mourn, 228, 240
Mary Morison, 254
Mauchline Wedding, 237
My Father was a Farmer, 227
No Churchman am I, 226
O Leeze me on my Spinnin Wheel, 253
O Merry Hae I been, 253
O Tibbie I hae seen the Day, 227
O Wert thou in the Cauld blast, 254
Ode Sacred to the Memory of Mrs. Oswald, 229
Ode to General Washington's Birthday, 229
Ode to the Departed Regency Bill, 229
Ode to the Departed Year, 230
Of a' the Airts, 254
On a Wag in Mauchline, 230
On Captain Grose, 230
On Grizzel Grimme, 230
On Holy Willie, 230
On John Dove, Innkeeper, 230
On Tam the Chapman, 230
On the Death of Lord President Dundas, 230
On the Death of Robert Ruisseaux, 230
On the Death of Sir James Hunter Blair, 230
On the Late Miss Burnet of Monboddo, 230
On Willie Nicol's Mare, 230
Ordination, The, 235, 237
Poems (Kilmarnock, 1786), 225 ff., 243, 244, 378
Poems (Edinburgh, 1787), 226
Poet's Welcome to his Love-Begotten Daughter, 234
Poor Mailie, 233, 236
Prayer in the prospect of Death, 228
Rantin Dog the Daddie O't, 254
Red, Red Rose, 249, 253
Remorse, 228
Reply to a Trimming Epistle, 233
Ruined Farmer, 227
Scotch Drink, 234
Scots Wha hae, 253
Tam o' Shanter, 238, 240 ff., 248, 263
Tam Samson, 233
To a Louse, 237
To a Mountain Daisy, 237
To a Mouse, 236
To John Rankine, 233
To Ruin, 228, 240
To the Guidwife of Wauchope House, 240
Tragic Fragment, 228
Twa Dogs, 236, 240
Twa Herds, 235
Up in the Morning Early, 253
Vision, The, 228, 237
What can a Young Lassie, 253
Who is that at My Bower Door, 253
Willie Brew'd a Peck o' Maut, 254
Willie Simpson, 233

Burns, Robert—*Continued*
Works (1834–5), 262
Written with a Pencil at Taymouth, 229
Ye Banks and Braes, 254
Yestreen I had a pint o' Wine, 254
Burton, Richard, *pseud.* *See* Crouch, Nathaniel
Bury St. Edmunds, 155
Bute, John Stuart, 3rd earl of, 193, 386
Butler, Samuel, 273; *Hudibras*, 353
Butts, captain, 216
Byerley, Thomas (d. 1826), 517
Byrom, John, 274
Byron, George Gordon, Lord, 93, 102, 113, 120, 121, 129, 137, 160, 166, 175, 184, 185, 190, 198, 332, 334 ff., 339, 341, 457; *Cain*, 117, 220; *Childe Harold*, 145; *Siege of Corinth*, 141
Bysshe, Edward (*fl.* 1712), *Art of Poetry*, 275, 276, 283

Cadell, Thomas (1742–1802), 361, 362, 400
—— Thomas, the younger (1773–1836), 362
Cadmus, in *Dialogues of the Dead*, 389
Cagliostro, Alessandro, count, 324
Cain, Byron's, 220
Calais, 108
Callaghan, Sir, in Macklin's *Love à-la-mode*, 284
Calverley, C. S., 421
Calvinism, 48, 235
Calvinists, 22
Cambridge, 63, 130, 166, 191, 371, 374, 377, 384, 393
St. John's college, 106
Sturbridge fair, 377
University press, 377
Cambridge English Classics, 166
—— Richard Owen (1717–1802), 388, 405, 469
Cambridgeshire, 384
Cameron, Lucy Lyttelton (1781–1858), 530
Campbell, Alexander, *Albyn's Anthology*, 260
—— Thomas, 164, 166, 198
Campion, Thomas, 177, 178, 199
Canning, George (1770–1827), 44, 45, 47, 58, 193, 194, 435; *The New Morality*, 47
Canterbury, 393
—— archbishop of, 348
Capell, Edward, *Shakespeare*, 354
Carathis, in Beckford's *Vathek*, 321
Carlisle, 266
Carlyle, Alexander ("Jupiter" Carlyle), 243
—— Thomas, 31, 152, 230, 241, 252 ff.; *Life of Sterling*, 150
Carnan, Thomas, 418
Carnarvon castle, 145
Carnatic, the, 21
Carter, Elizabeth (1717–1806), 380, 388 ff., 393 ff. (main entry), 400, 402, 405, 518
Epictetus, 395 ff.
Examination of Mr. Pope's Essay on Man, 394
Ode to Wisdom, 394
Sir Isaac Newton's Philosophy Explained, 395
Memoirs, *n.* 395
Carter, Nicholas, 393
Cartesian philosophy, 63
Cartwright, Thomas, 36
Casimir, in Coleridge's *Zapolya*, 458
Catalogue of all the Books . . . since the Dreadful Fire, 375
—— *of Books* (term catalogue), 375
—— *of the most vendible Books*, 375
—— *Complete, of modern books*, 375
Catharine II, tsarina, 397
Cathcart, Lord, in Glover's *Boadicea*, *n.* 285
Catnach, James (1792–1841), 414, 514
Caustic, in Morton's *Way to get Married*, 311
Cave, Edward (1691–1754), 357, 394, 512
Cecil, in Kelly's *False Delicacy*, 291
Celesia, Dorothea (1738–1790), *n.* 290; *Almida*, 501
Centlivre, Susannah, 356
Cervantes, Miguel de, *Don Quixote*, *n.* 310
"Cesario," Della Cruscan poet, 196
Chamfort, Nicolas, 194
Chancery, court of, 349
Chantrey, Sir Francis Legatt, 268
Chapman, George, *Homer*, 100
Chapone, Mrs. Hester, born Mulso (1727–1801), 394, 518–19; *Letters*, 404, 421
Chapter books, 359
Charles I., king of England, 310, 344
Charlotte, in Cumberland's *West Indian*, 292
—— Augusta, princess, 183
Charon, in *Dialogues of the Dead*, 389
Châteaubriand, François Auguste, vicomte de, *Génie du Christianisme*, 120
Chatham, William Pitt, 1st earl of, 12, 13, 18, 57, 183, 389
Chatterton, Thomas, 200, 271, 276
Chaucer, Geoffrey, 179, 278, 282, 408; *Canterbury Tales*, 222; *Sir Thopas*, 240
Chester, 14
Chesterfield, Philip Dormer Stanhope, 4th earl of, 91, 192, 274, 288, 358; *Letters*, *n.* 308, 412
Chetwood, W., 350
Cheveril, in Holcroft's *Deserted Daughter*, 307
Chinese language, 423
—— room, Mrs. Montagu's, 385
Chiswell, Richard, 357

Choice Song-Books, 240
Christian era, 215
Christianity, 20, 54, 215, 216, 219, 221, 317, 318, 422
Christis Kirk, 237, 240
Churchill, Awnsham, 357
—— Charles, 38, 47, 87, 92, 272
—— John, 357
Churne, William, 415
Cibber, Colley, *Hamlet*, 285; *Love's Last Shift*, 356
—— Susannah Maria, *n.* 287
Cicero, M. Tullius, 2, 34; *In Verrem*, 18, 19, 303; *Orator*, 15
Cinderella, 422
Cinque ports, barons of the, 312
Circle of the Sciences, *n.* 407, 417
Clairmont, Mary Jane. *See* Godwin, Mrs.
Clarendon, Edward Hyde, 1st earl of, 13, 31; *History of the Rebellion*, 377
Clark, Mrs. Godfrey, *n.* 386
Clarke, Samuel, 527
Clarkson, Mrs., 133
Clavell, Robert (d. 1711), 375
Cleveland, Yorkshire, 193
Clifford, in Burgoyne's *Heiress*, 304, 305
Climenson, Emily J., *Elizabeth Montagu*, *n.* 363
Clive, Catherine, 284
"Clootie," 234
Cobb, James (1756–1818), 311, 501
Cobbett, William (1762–1835), 54 ff., 436; *Political Register*, 55; *Rural Rides*, 56; *State Trials*, 55
Cockburn, Alison, 255, 257
Cockerell, Thomas, 368
Cockermouth, 105
Coffey, Charles, *The Beggar's Wedding*, 241
Coldicott, H. R. S., "How Cowper got his pension, *n.* 101
Cole, Sir Henry ("Felix Summerly"; 1808–1882), 523; *The Home Treasury*, 429
Coleridge, Hartley, 140, *n.* 180
—— Samuel Taylor (1772–1834), 31, 79, 110 ff., 115, 124, 126, 129 ff. (main entry), 166, 170 ff., 179, 181, 186, 189, 191, 197, 222, 223, 276, 325, 337, 341, 342, 378, 455–56
Aids to Reflection, 153
Ancient Mariner, 112, 132, 135 ff., 143, 146, 176, 187, 188, 223
Anima Poetae, 143, 144
Biographia literaria, 135, 136, 139, 147, 149 ff., 195, 337
Christabel, 132–33, 140 ff., 145, 146, 187, 188, 221, 223
Conciones ad populum, 153
Constitution of Church and State, 153
Dejection, 115, 132, 135, 143
Eolian Harp, 132
Essays on his own Times, *n.* 154
Fall of Robespierre, 178
Fears in Solitude, 127
Friend, The, 135, 153, *n.* 154
Kubla Khan, 133, 137, 138, 187
Lay Sermons, 154
Letters, *n.* 134, *n.* 145, *n.* 154
Lyrical Ballads, 97, 112, 136, 166
Nightingale, The, 143
Ode to France, 127
Ode to the Departing Year, 137
Preliminary Treatise on Method, *n.* 147
Religious Musings, 137
Sibylline Leaves, *n.* 132
Spiritual Philosophy, 134
Statesman's Manual, *n.* 154
Table-Talk, *n.* 145
Three Graves, The, 146
This lime-tree bower, my prison, 143
Watchman, The, 153
Coleridge, Mrs. Sara, born Fricker, 131–32
Collier, Jeremy, 312
—— John Payne, 147
Collins, Arthur (1690?–1760), *Peerage*, 362
—— William, 86, 96, 137, 174, 177, 178, 274, 276, 282; *Ode to Evening*, 96, 198
Colman, George, the elder (1732–1794), 87, 299; *Man of Business*, 293; *New Brooms*, 312; *Ut Pictura Poesis*, 312
—— George, the younger (1762–1836), 306, 307 ff., 501
Battle of Hexham, *n.* 310
Heir at Law, 310
Inkle and Yarico, 309
Iron Chest, The, *n.* 310, 437
John Bull, 310
Mountaineers, The, *n.* 310
Siege of Paris, *n.* 284
Surrender of Calais, *n.* 310
Turk and no Turk, *n.* 309
Two to One, *n.* 309
Commons, House of, 3, 5, 9, 10, 14, 24, 33, 38, 55, 58
Condorcet, marquis de, 458; *Esquisse d'un tableau historique*, 81, *n.* 305
Conger, the, 359
Congreve, William, 274
Constable, Archibald (1774–1827), 379, 514
Contes de ma mère l'Oie, 415, *n.* 417
Conway, Henry Seymour, 13
Cooke, John (1731–1810), 360
Cookesley, William, 44
Cookson, Anne, 105
Cooper, Thomas, 357, 416
—— William, 371, 372
Copyright act, 346 ff., 350, 379
Corbet, Richard, 415
Cordier, M., *Pueriles Confabulatiunculae*, 407
Cork and Orrery, Mary Monckton, countess of (1746–1840), 404

Corneille, Pierre, 391
Cornhill Magazine, The, *n.* 101
Cornwall, 41
Corpus Poetarum Infantilium, 416
Corry, Isaac, 172
Cottle, Joseph (1770–1853), 378, 514; *Early Recollections*, *n.* 147
Cotton, Nathaniel, 206
Coucy, Raoul de, 302
Court Poems, 363
Coventry, Francis (d. 1759?), 504
Coverly, Sir Roger de, 162
Cowley, Hannah, "Anna Matilda" (1743–1809), 301, 469; *Belle's Stratagem*, 196, 304; *Bold Stroke for a Husband*, 304; *Runaway, The*, 303
Cowper, Ashley, 87
—— Harriet. *See* Hesketh, Lady
—— John, 88
—— Theodora, 87–8
—— major William, 88
—— William (1731–1800), 86 ff. (main entry), 160, 166, 168, 185, 194, 195, 198, 272, 274, 277, 279, 281, 286, 362, 401, 444–45
Absence and Bereavement, 88
Anti-Thelyphthora, 90
Boadicea, 93
Castaway, The, 101
Charity, 91
Colubriad, The, 98
Conversation, 91
Dog and the Water-lily, 98
Expostulation, 91
Garden, The, 96
God moves in a mysterious way, 90
Hark, my soul! it is the Lord, 90
Homer, 99 ff.
Hope, 91
Invitation into the Country, 93
Jesus! where'er thy people meet, 90
John Gilpin, 88, 97
Letters, *n.* 95
Oh for a closer walk with God, 90
"Old Tiney, surliest of his kind," 98
Olney Hymns, 90
On her endeavouring to conceal her Grief at Parting, 87
On receipt of my Mother's Picture, 87, 98
On the Loss of the Royal George, 98
Poems (1782), 92
Poplar Field, The, 98
Progress of Error, 91
Retirement, 91 ff.
Rose, The, 98
Shrubbery, The, 88, 93
Sofa, The, 93 ff.
Table Talk, 91
Task, The, 91, 92
There is a fountain filled with blood, 90
Time-piece, The, 96, 97
Tirocinium, 87, 97
To Mary, 89, 98
To Mrs. Unwin, 89, 98
Truth, 91
Verses supposed to be written by Alexander Selkirk, 93
Winter Evening, 96
Winter Morning Walk, 96
Winter Walk at Noon, 96
Yardley Oak, 274
Cowslip green, 402
Crabbe, George (1754–1832), 96, 123, 155 ff. (main entry), 461 ff.
Amusements, 168
Ancient Mansion, The, 166
Birth of Flattery, The, 162
Borough, The, *n.* 155, 162 ff., 168
Confidant, The, 164
Delay has Danger, 165, 168
Ellen Orfordn, 155
Epistle from the Devil, 157
Epistle to Mira, 157
Equal Marriage, The, 166
Farewell and Return, The, 166
Foes of Mankind, 157
Frank Courtship, The, 164
Gentleman Farmer, The, 164
Hall of Justice, The, 162
His Mother's Wedding-Ring, 166
Inebriety, 156
Library, The, 158, 160–161
Lover's Journey, 156
Midnight, 156
Natural Death of Love, *n.* 165
Newspaper, The, 161
Parham Revisited, 166
Parish Register, The, 156, 161 ff.
Parting Hour, The, 164
Patron, The, 158, 164, 167
Silford Hall, 166
Sir Eustace Grey, 163
Smugglers and Poachers, 165
Tales, 156, 164, 165, 167
Tales of the Hall, 165, 168
"To the Authors of the *Monthly Review*," 157
Village, The, 159 ff., 166
Widow, The, 163
Wish, The, 155
Works, *n.* 159
World of Dreams, The, 163
Crabbe, George, the younger, 166
—— Maria, 425
—— Mrs. Sarah, born Elmy, 155 ff., 161, 164
Cradock, Joseph (1742–1826), *Zobeide*, 293
Crashaw, Richard, 90, 352
"Crazy Castle," 193
Creech, William (1745–1815), 243, 245, 379, 515
Creole, in Miss Edgeworth's *Belinda*, 328
Crèvecœur, J. Hector St. John (1731–1813), 442
Critical Review, The, 375, 392
Criticisms of the Rolliad, 38
Crochallan club, 244

Croft, Herbert, *Love and Madness*, 225
Croker, John Wilson, 383
Cromek, Robert Hartley (1770–1812), 487; *Remains of Nithsdale and Galloway Song*, 259, 268
Cromwell, Oliver, 345
Crooke, Andrew, 352
Crossman, Samuel, 410, 523
Crouch, Nathaniel (1632?–1725?), 365, 523; *Winter Evening Entertainments*, *n.* 410
Crousaz, Jean Pierre de, 394
Croxall, Samuel, 191, 469
Cruden, Alexander (1701–1770), *Concordance*, 362, 512
Cruikshank, George, 429
Crump, James, 370
Cudworth, Ralph, 63
Cumberland, 256
—— Richard (1732–1811), 291 ff., 299, *n.* 301, 502
Brothers, The, 291, 292, 306, 308, 457
Choleric Man, The, 293
Fashionable Lover, The, 293
Jew, The, 312
Timon of Athens, 293
West Indian, The, 284, 292
Cundall, Joseph, 429
Cunningham, Allan (1784–1842), 258, 487
Bonnie Lady Anne, 268
Hame, Hame, Hame, 268
John Grumlie, 268
Maid of Elvar, 268
My Nannie O, 268
She's Gane to dwell in Heaven, 268
Sir Marmaduke, 268
Songs of Scotland, 268
Wee, Wee German Lairdie, 268
Wet Sheet and a Flowing Sea, 268
Young Maxwell, 268
Cunningham, Lady Elizabeth, 246
—— Thomas Mounsey (1776–1834), *Hairst Kirn*, 268; *Hillso' Gallowa*, 268
Cupid's Court of Salutations, 370
Curlicism, 362
Curll, Edmund (1675–1747), 318–19, 362, 513
Cutchery court, 13
Cynewulf, 406

Daffy's Elixir Salutis, 371
Daily Courant, The, 368
Dalrymple, Sir David. *See* Hailes, Lord
—— of Orangefield, 243
Dame and her Donkey's Five, 428
Dame Wiggins of Lee, 428
Dangle, in Sheridan's *Critic*, 301
Darton, William, 418
Darton and Clarke, publishers, 527
Darwin, Charles Robert, 83
—— Erasmus (1731–1802), 46, 191, 194 ff., 199, 273, 469; *Botanic Garden*, 194; *Loves of the Plants*, 46, 194, 195
Dashall, Dick, in Morton's *Way to get Married*, 310
D'Avenant, Sir William, 352; *Siege of Rhodes*, 312
Davies, Thomas (1712?–1785), 361; *Life of Garrick*, 369
Davis, Lockyer, 372
Dawson, Phoebe, in Crabbe's *Parish Register*, 162
Day, Isaac, 425, 531
—— Thomas (1748–1789), 523; *Sandford and Merton*, 423, 424; *History of Little Jack*, 424
De Quincey, Thomas, 272, 381; *Confessions of an Opium-Eater*, 163
Deal, 393, 397
"Declaration of the Rights of Man and the Citizen," 79
Declaratory act, 11
Defoe, Daniel, *Robinson Crusoe*, 357, 406, 414
Deism, 317, 318
Delacour, Lady, in Miss Edgeworth's *Belinda*, 327
Delany, Mrs. Mary, 381, 345, 392, 405
Delap, John (1725–1812), 502; *Royal Suppliants*, 303
Della Cruscan poets, 44, 174, 191, 195 (main entry), 197, 199
Demosthenes, 15
Denino, Fife, 269
Dennis, John, 276
Derwent river, 105
Devonshire, 38, 44
Dibdin, Charles (1745–1814), 311, 502
Dick, in Mrs. Trimmer's *Robins*, 421
Dickens, Charles, 318, 427
Diderot, Denis, 323, 326, 328; *Père de Famille*, 304
Dilly, Charles, 361, 362
—— Edward, 360
Divine Poems, 410
Dobson, Austin, *n.* 416
Dodgson, Charles Lutwidge ("Lewis Carroll," 1832–1898), 522–23; *Alice*, 429; *Sylvie and Bruno*, 429
Dodsley, James (1724–1797), 158, 358
—— Robert (1703–1764), 352, 357, 359, 363, 368, 369, 513; *Collection of Poems*, 358; *Select Collection of Old Plays*, 358; *The Toyshop*, 358
Dogberry, in *Much Ado about Nothing*, 295
Donald in Holcroft's *Deserted Daughter*, 307
Donaldson, Alexander, 348, 378
Doncaster, 186
Doon, river, 260
Dornton, in Holcroft's *Road to Ruin*, 306
Dorset, Catherine Ann (1750?–1817?), 523; *Lion's Masquerade*, 428; *Peacock at Home*, 428
Dorsetshire, 109
Douglas, Gawin, 224, 225

Dove cottage, 113, 114
—— Sir Benjamin and Lady, in Cumberland's *Brothers*, *n.* 292, 308
—— Dr. Daniel, in Southey's *Doctor*, 186
Drugger, Abel, in Jonson's *Alchemist*, 285
Dryden, John, 31, 45, 188, 189, 193, 222, 227, 350, 353, 354
Absalom and Achitophel, *n.* 40
All for Love, 190
Essay of Dramatick Poesie, 189
Fables, 190
Prefaces, 190
Troilus and Cressida, 353
Dublin, 1, *n.* 313, 318, 377, 379, 414; Trinity college, 2
Dudley, Louisa, in Cumberland's *West Indian*, 292, 293
Dudleys, the, in Cumberland's *West Indian*, 292, 293
Dumas, Alexandre, the elder, 339
Dumfries, 248, 260, 261
Dumfries Journal, The, 260
Dumfriesshire, 245, 268
Dumont, Etienne, 65, 66, 77, 440, 441
Dunbar, William, 224, 225
—— William, friend of Burns, 246
Dundas, Henry. *See* Melville, viscount
Dunlop, Mrs. Frances, 245, 246
Dunton, John (1659–1733), 354, 364–65, 370, 376–77, 513; *Life and Errors*, 362, *n.* 365
Dupely, in Burgoyne's *Maid of the Oaks*, 293
Durham, 270
Dutch paper, 419
"Dutch sublime, the," 139
Dyer, Sir Edward, 273

Early English Text Society, 408
East India bill, 7
—— —— company, 7, 18, 19
"Ebbaac, G.," 155
"Ebbare, G.," 155
Eblis, in Beckford's *Vathek*, 321, 322
Edgeworth, Maria (1767–1849), 316, 327 ff. (main entry), 337, 423, 504–5
Absentee, The, 329
Amie Inconnue, La, 330
Belinda, 315, 328–29, 330
Castle Rackrent, 329
Frank, 423
Harry and Lucy, 423
Helen, 164, 328
Moral Tales, 328, 423
Ormond, 329
Parent's Assistant, The, 423
Simple Susan, 330, 424
Edgeworth, Richard, 327
Edinburgh, 84, 166, 243 ff., 257 ff., 263, 348, 360, 374, 377, 378
Apollo press, 360
Royal society, *Transactions*, 85
St. Andrew's church, 257
University, 245, 269
Edinburgh Magazine, The, 268
Edwin, John, 284
Egerton, John, 372
Eldon, John Scott, 1st earl of, *n.* 175
Eleanor, Lady, in Mrs. Inchbald's *Every one has his Fault*, 308
Eliot, George, 162
Elizabeth, queen of England, 117, 388, 408
Elizabethan drama, 285
—— era, 275, 409
—— poets, 201, 202
—— public, 289
Ellena, in Mrs. Radcliffe's *Italian*, 334
Elliot, Charles, 361, 379
—— Jane, 255, 257
Elliott, Mary (Mrs. Belson), 424–25, 523
Aunt Mary's Tales, 425
Rambles of a Butterfly, 425
Tales for Boys, 425
Ellis, George (1753–1815), 39, 40, 44, 59, 434, 435; *Specimens of Early English Romances in Metre*, 191
Ellisland, Dumfriesshire, 245
Elmsley, Peter (1736–1802), 362
Elmy, Sarah. *See* Crabbe, Mrs.
Elton, Oliver, *Survey of English Literature*, *n.* 23
Elwina, in Hannah More's *Percy*, 303
Elysian fields, 119, 390
Emerson, Ralph Waldo, 169, 190
Emily, in Mrs. Cowley's *Runaway*, 303
—— in Mrs. Radcliffe's *Mysteries of Udolpho*, 334, 335
—— in Wordsworth's *White Doe of Rylstone*, 117, 118
—— Lady, in Burgoyne's *Heiress*, 304
Encyclopaedia Britannica, 84, 259
—— *Metropolitana*, *n.* 147
England, church of, 1, 185
English Poets, 360
—— *Post, The*, 368
Enitharmon, Blake's, 215 ff.
Ennui, in Reynolds's *Dramatist*, 311
Epictetus, 395 ff., *Enchiridion*, 396
Erasmus, Desiderius, 407
Erskine, Henry, 243
—— Thomas Erskine, Lord, 153
Estesian "proofs," 181
Esto Perpetua club, 38, 39
Eton, 191, 377, 383
Ettrick, 264
—— shepherd. *See* Hogg, James
Eugenius, in Sterne's *Tristram Shandy*, 193
Euripides, *Heraclidae*, 303
Eustace, Lord, in Mrs. Griffith's *School for Rakes*, 291
Evans, Mary, 130
—— Thomas (1742–1784), 362
Evelina, in Mason's *Caractacus*, 302

Ewing, Juliana Horatia (1841–1885), 430, 523–24
Examiner, The, 142

Fag, in Sheridan's *Rivals*, 294, 295
Faiel, Eudes de, 302
Falkland, in Godwin's *Caleb Williams*, 51, 324
Farley's *Bristol Journal*, 147, *n.* 148
Farquhar, George, *The Beaux' Stratagem*, 356; *The Twin Rivals*, 356.
Father's Blessing Penn'd for the Instruction of his Children, 411
Faulkland, in Sheridan's *Rivals*, 295
Faulkner, George (1699?–1775), 379
Fawkes, Francis, 191, 470
Fell, John, 377
Fellowes, Robert, *n.* 345
Felpham, Sussex, 216, 218
"Female dream," the, in Blake's poems, 215
Fenn, Eleanor, Lady, 524; *Cobwebs to Catch Flies*, 420
Fenwick, Mrs., 531
Fenton, Elijah, 272
Fergusson, Robert, 225 ff., 230–231, 232, 240, 244, 251; *Farmer's Ingle*, 228; *Hallow Fair*, 238; *Leith Races*, 238
Fichte, Johann Gottlieb, 151
Fiddes, Richard, *Body of Divinity*, 356
Fielding, Henry, 292, 319, 327, 366; *Amelia*, 290, 293, 308, 359; *Author's Farce*, *n.* 364; *Tom Jones*, 288
—— Sarah, 524; *The Governess or Little Female Academy*, 417, 422
Fife, 267, 269
Firouzkah, princess, in Beckford's *Episodes*, 322
Fisher, Payne, 351
FitzGerald, Edward, 163
Fitzpatrick, Richard (1747–1813), 39; *Lyars*, 41
—— T., 495
Fitzwilliam, William Wentworth Fitzwilliam, 2nd earl, 5
Flagellant, The, 172
Flapsy, in Mrs. Trimmer's *Robins*, 421
Flaubert, Gustave, 324
Flaxman, John, 201
Fleet, publisher, 417
Fleetwood, Charles, 494
Florentine academy, 195
Flowers of the Forest, The, 256
Flying Post, The, 368
Fogg, Peter Walkden, 277
Fonthill, 319
Foote, Samuel (1720–1777), 284, 287, 290; *The Cozeners*, *n.* 308; *The Minor*, 495
Foppington, Lord, in Vanbrugh's *The Relapse*, 285, 297, 313; in Sheridan's *A Trip to Scarborough*, 297
Forum, the (debating society), 264
Foscolo, Ugo, *Lettere di Jacopo Ortis*, 318
Foster, John (1731–1774), 277
Foulis, Andrew (1712–1775), 378, 515
—— Robert (1707–1776), 378, 515
Fox, Charles James (1749–1806), 2, 21, 38, 39, 57, 58 ff. (main entry), 158, 162, 439
—— George, 185
Foxe, John, *Book of Martyrs*, 360, 410
Frampton, in Mrs. Griffith's *School for Rakes*, 291
France, 1, 8, 24 ff., 28, 29, 33, 43, 47, 49, 53, 55, 79, 81, 108, 109, 130, 150, 209, 381, 415, 421, 424
Francis, Sir Philip, 19
Frankfort, 367
Frazer, J. G., *n.* 95
Freke, Harriot, in Miss Edgeworth's *Belinda*, 328
French, the, 32
—— academy, 392
—— Constituent Assembly, 79
—— dancers, 312
—— Directory, 29
—— empire, 114
—— *fabliaux*, 193
—— language and literature, 64, 66, 200, 247, 320, 322, 323, 327 ff., 362, 366, 368, 369, 384, 391 ff., 398, 424
—— National Assembly, 14, 23 ff., 29
—— National Convention, 53
—— octave, the, 240
—— plays, 311, 392
—— poets, 231
—— publicists, 47
—— revolution, 5, 7, 16, 17–18, 21, 23, 24, 28 ff., 44, 49, 53, 89, 108, 117, 129, 131, 153, 172, 211, 215, 248, 261
—— theatres, 293
Frere, John Hookham (1769–1846), 44, 45, 435
Friar, The, and the Boy, 370
Fricker, Edith. *See* Southey, Mrs.
—— Sara. *See* Coleridge, Mrs.
Froth, Lady, in Congreve's *Double-Dealer*, 295
Fulmers, the, in Cumberland's *West Indian*, 292

Gaberlunzie Man, The, 241
Gall, Richard (1776–1801), *Farewell to Ayrshire*, 258; *Now Bank and Brae*, 258; *Poems and Songs*, 258
"Galloway poet," the. *See* Nicholson, William
Gallus, Evaldus, *Pueriles Confabulatiuncúlae*, 407
Gally, Henry (1696–1769), 277
Galt, John, *Annals of the Parish*, 71
Gammer Gurton's Garland, 416
Gaping Wide-mouthed Waddling Frog, 428
Garrick, David, 284 ff., 292, 293, 300, 312, 314, 399 ff., 493 ff.; *Cymon*, 312

Garth, Sir Samuel, 272
Gascoigne, George, 275
Gatty, Margaret (1807–1873), 524
Gay, John, 98, 191, 274
Beggars' Opera, 241, *n.* 289
Eclogues, 40
Shepherd's Week, 159
Three Hours after Marriage, 356
Trivia, 356
Wife of Bath, 356
Gay, Joseph, 366
Gayville, Lord, in Burgoyne's *Heiress*, 304
Geddes, John, 247
Geneva, 65
Genlis, comtesse de, 424; *Adèle et Théodore*, 537; *Tales of the Castle*, 424
Gent, Thomas (1693–1778), 378, 514
Gentleman's Magazine, The, 357, 358, 375, 394
Gentûs, the, 19
George, saint, 414
George III, king of Great Britain, 5, 12, 15, 42, 58, 183, 289
—— IV, king of Great Britain, 55, 61
Georgian drama, 284 ff., 301
—— era, 314, 413, 414, 419
—— public, 289, 295, 296, 298
—— theatre, 297, 302
German drama, 46, 311
—— language and literature, 196, 332, 393, 395, 457
Germany, 113, 133, 151, 316, 335
Gesner, Salomon, 200; *Death of Abel*, 201
Gesta Romanorum, 406, 413
Gibbon, Edward, 288, 362; *Decline and Fall*, 78, 361
Gifford, John, 435
—— William (1756–1826), 42, 44, 183, 196, 435, 436; *Baviad, The*, 44; *Maeviad, The*, 44
Gilbert, Mrs. *See* Taylor, Ann
Gildon, Charles, 277
Gilfillan, Robert (1798–1850), 487; *O Why Left I my Hame*, 269
Gilliver, Lawton, 357
Gillman, James, 134, 142
Gillyflower, Matthew, 368
"Gingerbread, Giles," 368
Girondists, the, 173
Gladstone, William Ewart, 4
Glasgow, 261, 270, 378, 414
Glasgow Courier, The, 261
—— *Sentinel, The*, 260
Gleanings from an Old Portfolio, *n.* 368
Glen, William (1789–1826), 487; *Wae's me for Prince Charlie*, 269
Glencairn, John Cunningham, 14th earl of, 242, 246
"Glenkroden," river, 317
Glover, Arnold, *n.* 167
—— Richard, *Boadicea*, *n.* 285; *Leonidas*, 358; *Medea*, 198
Godwin, Mrs. Mary Jane (Mrs. Clairmont), 325
—— Mrs. Mary Wollstonecraft (1759–1797), 48 ff., 209, 324, 438–39; *Vindication of the Rights of Women*, 49, 50
—— William (1756–1836), 27, 48 ff. (main entry), 54, 109, 131, 209, 306, 323 ff. (main entry), 437
Caleb Williams, 48, 51, *n.* 310, 323 ff.
Cloudesley, 323
Fleetwood, 323
Juvenile Library, 427
Mandeville, 323
Political Justice, 48, 51, 81, *n.* 305, 323, 325
St. Leon, 48, 52, 315, 323, 324
Goethe, Johann Wolfgang von, 46, 150; *Faust*, 145; *Shakespeare als Dichter überhaupt*, *n.* 314; *Shakespeare als Theaterdichter*, *n.* 313
Goldfinch, in Holcroft's *Road to Ruin*, 306
Goldsmith, Oliver, 36, 180, 227, 228, 272, *n.* 279, *n.* 287, 290, 293, 294, 300, *n.* 417, 419, 427, 524
Deserted Village, The, 2, 159, 160, 400
Good-Natur'd Man, The, 288, 291
Present State of Polite Learning, The, 358, 366
She Stoops to Conquer, 293
Vicar of Wakefield, The, 2
Goodrich, Samuel Griswold, 429, 526
Goody Two Shoes, 417
Goose, mother, 417
Gordon riots, 30
—— Jane, duchess of, 243
Gothic art, 200
Gough, Richard, *Anecdotes of British Topography*, 371
Gow, Niel, 257
Graham, colonel, of Gartmore, 256
Grahame, James (1765–1811), 487; *Birds of Scotland*, 270; *Mary Queen of Scots*, 270; *The Sabbath*, 270
Grandison, Sir Charles, in Richardson's novel, 394
Grant, Mrs. Anne, of Laggan (1755–1838), 487
Eighteen hundred and Thirteen a Poem, 256
Letters from the Mountains, 256
O Where tell me Where, 256
Poems, 256
Grant, Mrs. Elizabeth, of Carron (Mrs. Murray: 1745?–1814), *Roy's wife of Aldivalloch*, 256
Grasmere, 115, 121
Grattan, Henry (1746–1820), 61, 62, 439
Gray, Thomas, 86, 102, 137, 156, 228, 274, 276 ff., 282–83; *Elegy*, 95, 159, 227, 278, 358; *Eton Ode*, 358; *Metrum*, 279
Great charter, the, 68

Great Berkhampstead, 87
—— Parham, 160
Greece, 63, 80
Greek chorus, 293
—— language and literature, 2, 64, 101, 200, 380, 393 ff.
Greeks, the, 34, *n.* 302, 402
Greene, Robert, 289
Grenville, George, 5, 8, 11 ff.
Greta hall, Keswick, 172, 187
Grey, Charles Grey, 2nd earl, 58
Grierson, Constantia, 191, 470
Griffith, Mrs. Elizabeth (1720?–1793), 502
School for Rakes, 291
—— and Farran, 418
Grime, in Holcroft's *Deserted Daughter*, 306–7
Grimm, Jacob Ludwig Carl and Wilhelm Carl, 423, 537; *German Popular Stories*, 429
Grosvenor, Richard Grosvenor, 1st earl, 44
Grote, George, 80
Growoll, A., *Three centuries of English booktrade bibliography*, *n.* 375
Grub street, 351, 364, 366
Grundy, Mrs., in Morton's *Speed the Plough*, 311
Guardian of Education, 422
Guest, Edwin, *English Rhythms*, 188
Gunnings, the, 287
Gurton, Gammer, 416
Guy of Warwick, 414
Guyon, Mme., 101
Guyse, John, 524
Gwyneth, Owen, in Southey's *Madoc*, 179

Hack, Maria (1777–1844), 524; *Fireside Stories*, 427; *Harry Beaufoy*, 427
"Hackney, Iscariot" (Richard Savage), 366
Hailes, Sir David Dalrymple, Lord, *n.* 302, 378; *Ancient Scottish Poems*, 225
Halévy, Élie, *Formation du radicalisme philosophique*, *n.* 76
Halifax, George Savile, marquis of, *A Lady's Gift: Advice to a Daughter*, 412
Hall, Robert (1764–1831), 442
Hamburg, 286
Hamilton archives, *n.* 320
—— Anthony, 321, 322, 339, 341
—— Elizabeth (1758–1816), 505; *Cottagers of Glenburnie*, 256; *My Ain Fireside*, 256
—— Gavin, 235
—— John (1761–1814), 258
—— William, of Gilbertfield, 225, 233
—— William Gerard, 5, 191
Hamlet, W. H. W. Betty as, *n.* 313
Hampshire, 54
Hampstead, 166
Hampton, 401
Handel, G. F., *Scipio*, 99
Hansard, Thomas Curson, 55
Hardinge, George (1743–1816), 433
Hardy, Laetitia, in Mrs. Cowley's *Belle's Stratagem*, 304
Hargraves, the, in Mrs. Cowley's *Runaway*, 303
Harleian library, 372
Harley, Mrs., in Kelly's *False Delicacy*, 291
Haroun, in Beckford's *Vathek*, 321
Harris, James (*Hermes* Harris), 277
—— John, 418
—— Thomas, 495, 496
Harry, Blind, *Wallace*, 225, 262
Harte, Walter (1709–1774), 517
Hartley, David, 111, 152
—— Elizabeth, 302
Harvey, Clarence, in Miss Edgeworth's *Belinda*, 328
—— Joseph, 418
Hastings, battle of, 38
—— Warren, 7, 15, 18 ff., 22, 28, 60, 87, 185
Haswell, in Mrs. Inchbald's *Such Things Are*, 308
Havard, William (1710?–1778), 308; *Regulus*, 384
Havergal, Frances Ridley (1836–1879), 524–25
Hawkesworth, John (1715?–1773), 502; *Account of Voyages*, 361
Hawkins, Sir John, 369
Hawkshead grammar school, 106
Hay-Drummond, Robert, archbishop of York, 389
Haydn, Joseph, 257
Hayley, William (1745–1820), 101, 190–91, 195 ff., 199, 216, 470–71, 502; *Triumph of Temper*, 195
Hayward, Abraham, 381
Hazlitt, William, 110, 125, 132, 142, 167, 176, 186, 190, 314, 318; *Spirit of the Age*, *n.* 167
Heberden, William, 369
Hebrew language, 200, 339
—— prophets, 35
Hebrides, 317
Hegel, Georg W. F., 133, 154
Hegelian philosophy, 63
Heine, Heinrich, 340
Heinse, Johann J. W., 335
Hell, 208
Helvétius, Claude Adrien, 78; *De l'Esprit*, *n.* 70
Henley, Samuel, 320, 322, 505
—— W. E., 178
Henry III, king of England, *n.* 333
—— Robert, *History of England*, 361
Henryson, Robert, 224, *Robene and Makyne*, 237
Herbert, George, 88
Hercules, in *Dialogues of the Dead*, 389

Herd, David, *Ancient and Modern Scottish Songs*, 225, 240
—— MS., 253, 259
Hermsprong, Bage's, 326–27
Heron, Robert (1764–1807), 517
Herrick, Robert, 352, 426
Herries, John, 277
Herringman, Henry, 353
Hertfordshire, 87
Hervey, James, *Meditations*, 359
—— Thomas (1699–1775), 438
Hesketh, Lady (Harriet Cowper), 87, 99, 100, 102
Hester, in Mrs. Inchbald's *To Marry or not to Marry*, 308–9
Hewlett, Esther, 425
Heywood, Thomas, 316
Hickathrift, 414
"Higgins, Mr, of St. Mary Axe," 48
Highwaymen, Lives of the, 390
Hildreth, Richard, *n.* 75
Hill, Constance, *Fanny Burney at the Court of Queen Charlotte*, *n.* 405
—— George Birkbeck, *n.* 14, *n.* 381
—— John (1716?–1775), 517
—— Joseph, 97
—— Thomas, 171
Hills, Henry, 365
Hindoos, the, 19
Hindu romance, 182
Hindustan, 13
Hindustani language, 267
Hinxman, John, 378
Hislop, Andrew, 261
Histoire Littéraire de la France, 191
History of the Sixteen Wonderful Old Women, 428
Hoare, Prince (1755–1834), 502
Hoares, the, 166
Hobbes, Thomas, 8, 36, 63, 352
Hofland, Mrs. Barbara (1770–1844), 525; *Clergyman's Widow*, 427; *Son of a Genius*, 427; *Theodore*, 427
Hogarth, William, "The Enraged Musician," 312
Hogg, Alexander, 360
—— James (1770–1835), 262 ff., 488
Bonny Kilmeny, 266
Cam Ye by Athol, 267
Fate of Macgregor, 266
Forest Minstrel, 267, 268
Gathering of the Clans, 267
Haunted Glen, 267
Lock the Door Lauriston, 267
M'Kinnon, 267
Mador of the Moor, 266
Mountain Bard, 264
O Weel Befa', 267
Pilgrims of the Sun, 266
Queen Hynde, 261
Queen's Wake, 264 ff.
Rise Rise Lowland and Highland Man, 267
Skylark, The, 267
Spy, The, 264
When the Kye comes Hame, 267
Witch of Fife, 265
Holcroft, Thomas (1745–1809), 131, 209, 299, 306 ff., 311, 326, 506
Alwyn, 325
Anna St. Ives, 323, 325
Autobiography, 325
Crisis, The, *n.* 306
Deserted Daughter, The, 306, 307
Duplicity, *n.* 306
Hugh Trevor, 325
Road to Ruin, The, 306
Holland, 22, 28, 355
—— Henry R. V. Fox, Lord, 192
Holy Alliance, the, 120
Home, Henry. *See* Kames, Lord
—— John, 502; *Douglas*, *n.* 302; *Siege of Aquileia*, n. 302
Homer, 88, 99 ff., 394; *Iliad*, 99, 355, 356; *Odyssey*, 356
Hood, Thomas, 177
Hooker, Richard, 31, 36
Hoole, John (1727–1803), 273; *Cyrus*, *n.* 290; *Timanthes*, *n.* 290
Hope, Thomas (1770?–1831), 506; *Anastasius*, 338, 339
Horace, 2, 91, 92, 101, 148, *n.* 151; *Odes*, 398
Horsley, Samuel (1733–1806), 442
Hotspur, in *Macbeth*, 314
Hour before Marriage, An, 293
Howard, John, 17, 307
Howell, Thomas, *A Dreame*, 239; *Devises*, 239
Howitt, Mary (1799–1888), 429, 525
—— William (1792–1879), 429, 525
Hoyden, Miss, in Vanbrugh's *Relapse* and Sheridan's *Trip to Scarborough*, 297
Huchon, R., *Un Poète Réaliste Anglais*, *n.* 157
Hudibrastic couplet, the, 273
Hudson, John, *Dionysius*, 377
Hughes, Thomas (1822–1896), 525
Huguenots, 393
Hull, Thomas (1728–1808), 502
Hume, David, 63, 78, 81, 82, 152, 154, 389; *History*, 359, 361; *Treatise of Human Nature*, 70, 71
Hundred Years' war, 310
Hunt, James Henry Leigh, 360
Hunter, Mrs. Anne (1742–1821), 488; *Adieu Ye Streams*, 256; *My Mother bids me bind my hair*, 257; *Poems*, 256
Hunting of the Cheviots, 237
Huntingdon, 89
Hurd, Richard, 147
Hurdis, James (1763–1801), 471
Hussey, Edward, 192
—— Thomas, bishop of Waterford, 6
Hutcheson, Francis, 70, 74
Hutchinson, Mary, 114
Hutton, William (1723–1815), 374, 376, 514
Hyder Ali, 20

Hyslop, James (1798–1827), *Cameronian's Dream*, 269

"I canna come every day to Woo," 260
"I saw a Peacock with a fiery Tail," 411
Ilchester, Stephen Fox, 1st earl of, 193
—— Elizabeth, countess of, 193
Imlay, Gilbert, 49
Immalee, in Maturin's *Melmoth*, 337
Impey, Elijah, 87
Inchbald, Mrs. Elizabeth (1753–1821), 247, 299, 306, 311, 325–26 (main entry), 502, 506
Every one has his Fault, 308
I'll tell you What, 307
Mogul Tale, The, 307
Nature and Art, 326
Simple Story, A, *n.* 308, 323, 325–26
Such Things Are, 307
To Marry or not to Marry, 309
Wives as they Were, 308
Inchbald, Joseph, 307
India, 3, 6, 7, 12, 14, 15 ff., 30, 267, 422
Ingelow, Jean (1820–1897), 429
Inkle, in Colman's *Inkle and Yarico*, 309
Ipswich, 155
Ireland, 1, 3 ff., 12, 16 ff., 22, 43, 379, 380
—— William Henry (1777–1835), 457, 502
Irish booksellers, 379
—— catholics, 7, 120
—— history, 16
—— parliament, 7, 62, 381
—— "revolution," *n.* 27
—— stories, 329
Irish trade, 6
Irishman, the stage, 284
Irwin, in Mrs. Inchbald's *Every one has his Fault*, 308
Isidora, in Maturin's *Melmoth*, 337
Istakar, in Beckford's *Vathek*, 320, 321
Isyphena, in Amory's *Memoirs of Several Ladies*, 317
Italian epic, 44
—— language and literature, 200, 384, 393, 398
—— poets, 149
—— theatre, 294
Italy, 334, 381
Item, in Holcroft's *Deserted Daughter*, 306–7

Jack and Jill, 335, 427
Jack of Newbery, 370
Jack the Giant Killer, 415
Jackson, Andrew, 362
Jacobinism, 1, 7, 28 ff., 34, 36, 131, 153, 172, 183, 421
Jacobins, 45, 108, 131, 153, 174, 183
Jacobite songs, 258, 268
Jacobitism, 258
Jacobs, Joseph, 525
Jamaica, 41, 245
James I, king of Great Britain, 240, 260,
—— II, king of Great Britain, 346
Janeway, James (1636?–1674), 525, *Token for Children*, 412
Jeake, Samuel, *Charters of the Cinque Ports*, 357
Jefferies, Richard (1848–1887), 525
Jeffrey, Francis Jeffrey, Lord, 165
Jehovah, 215, 220
"Jenks," pseudonym of William Beckford, *n.* 320
Jenyns, Soame, 388
Jephson, Robert (1736–1803), 502; *Count of Narbonne*, 303
Jerome, Don, in Sheridan's *Duenna*, 296
Jesus Christ, 205, 215, 217 ff., 361, 410
Jew, the, in Sheridan's *Duenna*, 296
Jewish religion, 54
Joanna, in Holcroft's *Deserted Daughter*, 306
Johnson, James, 488; *Scots Musical Museum*, 249, 255 ff.
—— Joseph (1738–1809), 209, 362
—— Lionel, *Postliminium*, *n.* 34
—— Michael, 376
—— Samuel, 3, 31, 35, 36, 43, 159, 160, 162, 272, 274, 277 ff., 288, 366, 369, 383, 384, 388 ff , 394, 399 ff., 404, 405
Dictionary, 359
Life of Milton, 93
Lives of the Poets, 360
London, 358
Rambler, 280, 394, 404
Shakespeare, 354, 390
Johnson's literary club, 383
Johnson, William, 360
Johnstone, Charles (1719?–1800?), 506
Jolly Beggar, The, 241
Jones, Sir William (1746–1794), 471; *From the Persian*, 199; *Ode in imitation of Alcaeus*, 199
Jonson, Ben, 188, 275, 294; *Miscellanies*, 200; "To my Bookseller," 371; *Underwoods*, 200
Jordan, Mrs. Dorothea, 496
Josephus, Flavius, 360
Judith, in Amory's *Memoirs of Several Ladies*, 317
Juigné, Antoine É. L. Leclerc de, archbishop of Paris, 209
Julia, in Morton's *Way to get Married*, 310
Julio, Don, in Mrs. Cowley's *Bold Stroke for a Husband*, 304
Jullien, A., *L'Histoire du Costume au Théâtre*, *n.* 286
"Junius," 38; *Letters*, 61
Juvenal, 45, 91

Kalilah, in Beckford's *Episodes*, 322
Kames, Henry Home, Lord, 277
Kant, Immanuel, 133, 150 ff.

Kantian philosophy, 63
Keach, Benjamin, *Travels of True Godliness*, 370; *War with the Devil*, 370
Keary, Annie (1825–1879), 525
Keate, George (1729–1797), 471
Keats, John, 113, 129, 143, 166, 189, 201, 213, 223; *Eve of Saint Agnes*, 145; *La Belle Dame*, 202; *Lamia*, 146
Kelly, Hugh (1739–1777), *False Delicacy*, 290; *School for Wives*, 291
Kelso, 379
Kemble, John Philip, 287, 290, 313, 502; *Coriolanus*, 303; *The Tempest*, 303
Kendall, Edward Augustus (1776?–1842), 525
Kennedy, Walter, 224
Kenrick, William (1725?–1779), 502, 517; *Duellist*, 293
Ker, John, of Kersland, *Memoirs*, 363
Keswick, 172, 178
Killigrew, Thomas, the younger, *Chit-Chat*, 356
Killychrankie, 240
Kilmarnock, 378
Kilner, Dorothy, 525–26; *Adventures of a Pincushion*, 420; *Jemima Placid*, 420; *Memoirs of a Peg-top*, 420
—— Mary Jane, 526
King, The, and the Cobbler, 370
King, Thomas, 284
Kinglake, A. W., 339
Kingsborough, Lady, 49
Kingsley, Charles (1819–1875), 430, 526
Kinnoul, Thomas Hay, 8th earl of, 389
Kippis, Andrew (1725–1795), 517
Kirkcudbrightshire, 258
Kirkman, J., *Memoirs of Charles Macklin*, *n.* 285
Kit-cat club, 354
Kiuprili, in Coleridge's *Zapolya*, 458
Knapton, James, 357
Kneller, Sir Godfrey, *n.* 353
Knight, Charles, *Shadows of the Old Booksellers*, *n.* 373
—— Joseph, *David Garrick*, *n.* 285
—— Richard Payne (1750–1824), *Progress of Civil Society*, 46
Knox, Vicesimus (1752–1821), 517
Kotzebue, A. F. F. von, 46, 311, 457
Kritchev, Russia, 64

La Fontaine, Jean de, 42, 193, 537
Lackington, James (1746–1815), 373, 374, 376, 513; *Confessions*, 362; *Memoirs*, 362, 373, 374
Laidlaw, William (1780–1845), 262; *Lucy's Flittin*, 267
Lake poets, 341
Lakes, the English, 334
Lamb, Charles (1775–1834), 45, 102, 130, 139, 222, 314, 316; *King and Queen of Hearts, The*, 427; *Poems*, 427, *Prince Dorus*, 427; *Wife's Trial, The*, 164
—— Charles and Mary, *Mrs. Leicester's School*, 427; *Poetry for Children*, 427; *Tales from Shakespeare*, 427
—— Sir James. *See* Burges, Sir James Bland
—— Mary Ann (1764–1847). *See* Lamb, Charles and Mary
Lambton, Lady Betty, in Kelly's *False Delicacy*, 291
Lancaster, 425
Lancastrian system of education, 147
Landor, Walter Savage, 169, 181; *Gebir*, 332
Lang, Andrew, 415, 526
Langland, William, 408
Langrishe, Sir Hercules, 6
Langton, Bennet, 369, 383, 405
Languish, Lydia, in Sheridan's *Rivals*, 294
Lansdowne, William Petty, 1st marquis of (earl of Shelburne), 65, 157
Laodamia, Wordsworth's, 119
Lapraik, John (1727–1807), *Jenny was Frail and Unkind*, 258; *Poems*, 258; *When I upon thy Bosom Lean*, 258
Lark, The, 227, 256
Laska, in Coleridge's *Zapolya*, 458
Last judgment, the, 219
Latin comedy, 294
—— hymns, 239
—— language and literature, 2, 60, 64, 93, 101, 200, 369, 380, 384, 393, 394, 398
Lauderdale, James Maitland, 8th earl of, 33
"Laura," Della Cruscan poet, 196
Laurence, French (1757–1809), 39, 434
Lavensforth, in Mrs. Inchbald's *To Marry or not to Marry*, 309
Lawrence, Polly, of Bath, 193
Leapor, Mary (1722–1746), 191, 471
Lear, Garrick as, 399
—— Edward (1812–1888), 428, 526; *Book of Nonsense*, 429
Lee, Harriet (1757–1851), 338, 506
—— Samuel, 365
—— Sir Sidney, *n.* 427
—— Sophia (1750–1824), 339, 506
Leeds, 376, 377
Leigh, George, 372
Leith, south, 269
Lemoine, Henry (1756–1812), 517
Lemon, Mark (1809–1870), 429
Lennox, Charlotte (1720–1804), 507; *The Sister*, 304
Lessing, Gotthold Ephraim, 133; *Laocoon*, 3; *Minna von Barnhelm*, 290
Lessingham, Mrs., 495
L'Estrange, Sir Roger, 346, 366
Lethe waters, 390
Letter from a Blacksmith, 238
Letters from a Tutor to his Pupils, 412
Leven, loch, 270

Lewis, Matthew Gregory (1775–1818), 177, 313, 316, 332, 335 ff., 457, 502–3, 507
Alonzo the Brave, 336
Ardinghello, 335
Monk, The, 335, 336
Robbers, The, 335
Lewis, William Thomas, 287
Leyden, John (1775–1811), 488
Cout of Keeldar, The, 267
Lord Soulis, 267
Mermaid, The, 267
Ode to Scottish Music, 267
Scenes of Infancy, 267
Licensing act, 345, 370
Lichfield, 376
Ligon, Richard, *History of Barbadoes*, *n.* 309
Lilliputian Magazine, The, 417
Lindsay family, 256
—— Lady Anne. *See* Barnard, Lady Anne
Lintot, Barnaby Bernard (1675–1736), 352, 354 ff., 362, 371; *Miscellaneous Poems and Translations*, 355; *Monthly Catalogue*, 375
—— Henry (1703–1758), 357
Lisbon, 172
Little Pretty Pocket Book, 417
Liverpool, 374
—— Robert Banks Jenkinson, 2nd earl of, 171
Lloyd, Edward, 87
Locke, John, 25, 63, 72, 150
Lockhart, John Gibson, 183, 337
Logan, John (1748–1788), 488; *Braes of Yarrow, The*, 269; *Cuckoo, The*, 269; *Runnamede*, 270
Logan Water, 260
London, 41, 49, 60, 64, 90, 106, 115, 144, 147, 164, 172, 200, 216, 291 ff., 298 ff., 307, 313, 316, 348, 360, 374, 376 ff., 384, 399, 402
Adelphi, the, 401
Aldermary churchyard, 414
Angel, sign, 371
Bible, sign, 368
Black Boy, sign, 371
Blackfriars, 365
Bolton row, 383
British museum, 327
Chapter coffee-house, 359
Charles street, 157
Cheapside, 368
Christ's hospital, 130
Clarges street, 383, 397
Cornhill, 157, 368, 371
Covent garden, 369, 372
—— —— theatre, 270, 287 ff., 292, 301, *n.* 306, 307, *n.* 313, 496–97
Cross Keys, sign, 355
Devereux court, 417
Devil tavern, 361
Dover street, 385
Drury lane, 362
—— —— theatre, 286 ff., 290, 292, 300, 301, *n.* 306, *n.* 313, 337, 437, 494, 496
Duck lane, 366, 371
Finsbury square, 373
Fleet street, 357, 375
"Globe," Paternoster row, 357
Gray's inn gateway, 368
Haymarket theatre, *n.* 287, 307, *n.* 308, 495
Highgate, 134
Hill street, 385, 388
Holborn, 364, 368
Homer's Head, Fleet street, 357
Hoxton academy, 48
Lambeth, 209
Leicester fields, 369, 404
Lincoln's Inn Fields theatre, *n.* 285
Little Bartholomew's Well-yard, 370
—— Britain, 366, 367, 371
Lombard street, 365
London bridge, 368, 371
Lower mews, 369
Middle Temple, 2
New Bond street, 357, 368
Pall Mall, 358, 368, 369
Paternoster row, 357, 360, 362, 368, 376
Peacock, sign, 375
Pelican, sign, 371
Pewter Platter inn, 364
Poultry, 361, 368
Prince's Arms, sign, 352
Ranelagh, 287, 390
Royal exchange, 157, 362, 368
Royalty theatre, 496
Russell street, 369
St. Dunstan's churchyard, 352
St. Paul's cathedral, 368
—— churchyard, 352, 359, 367, 375, 417
Shakespear's Head, sign, 354
Stocks market, 368
Strand, 354, 357, 360, 368
Swan tavern, 363
Temple, 88
—— bar, 361, 368
Threadneedle street, 309
Three-legs, sign, 368
Three Pigeons, sign, 368
Tully's Head, sign, 358
Vauxhall gardens, 390
Warwick lane, 357
Well-Close square, 496
Westminster abbey, 200
—— hall, 368
—— school, 87, 93, 171, 173, 363
York street, 372
London Gazette, The, 368
—— *Magazine, The*, 375
—— *Star, The*, 260
London, William, *Catalogue of the most vendible Books*, 375
Long, loch, 261
—— parliament, 344

Longman, Thomas (1699–1755), 357
—— Thomas Norton (1771–1842), 361
Looking Glass for the Mind, 424
Lord's prayer, 408
Lords, House of, 19, 33, 38, 88, 349, 363
Los, Blake's, 214 ff., 217
Lothian, 269
Louis XIV, king of France, 394
—— XVI, king of France, 32, 209
Louverture, Toussaint, 127
"Lovechild, Mrs.," 418, 420
Loveless, in Vanbrugh's *Relapse* and Sheridan's *Trip to Scarborough*, 296–97
Lovell, Robert (1770?–1796), 178
Loves of the Triangles, The, 46, 194
Lowe, John (1750–1798), *Mary's Dream*, 258
Lowther, Sir James, 105
Lucian, 367
Lucy, in Sheridan's *Rivals*, 294
—— in Wordsworth's poems, 125–6
Lukin, Henry, *Practice of Godliness*, 370
Lutwidge, in Miss Edgeworth's *Belinda*, 328
Luvah, in Blake's *Vala*, 215
Lyly, John, 289
Lyndsay, Sir David, 224, 225, 237
Lyttelton, George Lyttelton, Lord, 384, 387; *Dialogues of the Dead*, 389; *Life of Henry II*, 383
Lytton, Lord, 336, 430; *Money*, 92

M. P., *pseud.*, 420, 525
Macartney, George Macartney, earl, 388
Macaulay, Mrs. Catharine (1731–1791), 433
—— Thomas Babington, Lord, 185, 317
—— Zachary, 286
Macbeth, W. H. W. Betty as, *n.* 313; Garrick as, 314
Macchiavelli, Niccolo, 36
MacDonald, George, 429, 526
Mackenzie, Henry, *The Man of Feeling*, 227
Mackintosh, Sir James (1765–1832), 84, 85, 442; *Memoirs*, *n.* 59
—— R. J., *n.* 59
Macklin, Charles (1697?–1797), 285, 287, 290, 494; *Love-à-la-mode*, 284
Macky, John, *Journey through England*, 368
Maclehose, Mrs. Agnes ("Clarinda": 1759–1841), 245; *Talk not of Love*, 257; *To a Blackbird*, 257
Macleod, Mary, *The Shakespeare Story Book*, *n.* 427
Macleod, Norman, 526
Macnally, Leonard (1752–1820), 311, 503
MacNeil, Hector (1746–1818), 488
Come Under my Plaidie, 259
Dinna think Bonnie Lassie, 259
Donald and Flora, 259
Harp, The, 259
Mary of Castle Cary, 259
My Boy Tammy, 259
Scotland's Scaith, 259
Waes of War, 259
MacPherson, James, 252
Madan, Martin, 90
Madeline, in Keats's *Eve of Saint Agnes*, 145
"Maecenas of Hill street," 388
Maeterlinck, Maurice, 426
Maggie, in Burns's *Auld Farmer's Salutation*, 236
Mahrattas, the, 20
Mainwaring, Edward, 277
Malaprop, Mrs., in Sheridan's *Rivals*, 295, 296, 307
Malkin, Benjamin Heath, *A Father's Memoir of his Child*, *n.* 200, 201
Malta, 133
Malthus, Daniel, 81
—— Thomas Robert (1766–1834), 81 ff., 443; *Essay on the Principle of Population*, 82, 83; *Inquiry into the Nature . . . of Rent*, 83; *Principles of Political Economy*, 83
Manchester, Isabella, duchess of, 192
Mann, Sir Horace, *n.* 287
Manners, Lord Robert, 161
Mansfield, Sir James, 64
Mant, Alicia Catherine, 533
"Maps" (John Nicholson), 374
Marchant, John, *Puerilia*, 413
Marchmont, Miss, in Kelly's *False Delicacy*, 291
Marguerite, in Godwin's *St. Leon*, 324
Maria, in Sheridan's *School for Scandal*, 299
Marie-Antoinette, queen of France, 27, 173
Marlay, Richard, 404
Marmaduke, in Wordsworth's *Borderers*, 109
Marmontel, Jean François, 328, 537; *Les Incas*, 310; *Moral Tales*, 427
Marriot, Richard, 352
Marryat, Frederick (1792–1848), 430, 526
Martial, 193
Martin, William (1801–1867), 526
Martineau, Harriet (1802–1876), 429, 526
"Martinus Scriblerus" (George Crabbe), 157
Martyn, Henry, 423
Marzials, Sir Frank, 322
Mason, John (1706–1763), 277 ff., 283
—— William (1724–1797), 161, 503; *Caractacus*, 302; *Elfrida*, 293
Masson, Arthur, *Collection of English Prose and Verse*, 227
—— David, *Life of Milton*, *n.* 345
Mathew, Mrs., 201, 203

Mathias, Thomas James (1754?–1835), 196, 472
Maturin, Charles Robert (1782–1824), 331, 507
Albigenses, The, 337
Bertram, 195, 337
Fatal Vengeance or The Family of Montorio, 337
Melmoth the Wanderer, 337
Milesian Chief, 337
Wild Irish Boy, 337
Women, 337
Mauchline, 235, 238, 242, 243
Maurice, F. D., 150
—— Thomas (1754–1824), 472
Mayne, John (1759–1836), 488
Halloween, 239, 260
Logan Water, 260
Siller Gun, The, 260
Mazarin blue, 404
Melmoth, Maturin's, 337
Melville, Henry Dundas, 1st viscount, 18, 35, 39
—— Lewis, *n.* 320, 322
Mendez, Moses (d. 1758), 191, 472
Mercurius Librarius, 375
Mercury, in *Dialogues of the Dead*, 389, 390
Meredith, George, *Woods of Westermain* 95
Merlin, in *The Rolliad*, 38
Merry, Robert (1755–1798), 190, 195, 196, 472
Merry Beggars, The, 241
Merry Muses, The, 253
Mesmer, Friedrich Anton, 324
Metastasio, Pietro, 290, 398
Mexico, 80, 179
Michael, in Wordsworth's poem, 125
Middle Ages, 302, 413
Middlesex, 9, 202
Middleton, Conyers, 384
Miege, Guy, *Present state of Great Britain*, 368
Mill, James (1773–1836), 66, 67
—— John Stuart, 67, 78, 150; *Autobiography, n.* 71; *Utilitarianism, n.* 71
Millar, Andrew (1707–1768), 348, 352, 357, 359, 361
Millington, Edward, 372, 377
Milton, John, 14, 31, 86, 93–4, 100, 137, 147, 155, 174, 176, 189, 198, 200, 201, 208, 214, 222, 227, 228, 270, 273, 277, 279, 281, 282, 321, 422
Areopagitica, n. 344, 345
Paradise Lost, 218, 234, 278, 349, 353, 362, 368
Poems (1645), 352
Second Defence, n. 345
"Mira" (Sarah Elmy), 155, 156–57
Mirabeau, comte de, 66
Mister, Mary, 533; *The Adventures of a Doll*, 425
Mitford, William (1744–1827), 277 ff., 283
Modish, Mrs., in *Dialogues of the Dead*, 390
Moetjen's *Recueil de pièces curieuses et nouvelles*, 415
Mogul government, 18
Mohammed, 20
Mohammedanism, 20, 215
Mohammedans, 19
Mohawks, the, 289
Molière, J. B. P. de, 356; *L'Avare*, 307; *Le Misanthrope, n.* 298; *Le Mariage Forcé*, 293
Monboddo, James Burnett, Lord, 277
Monckton, Mary. *See* Cork and Orrery, countess of
Monkhouse, Mrs., in Amory's *Memoirs of Several Ladies*, 317
Monnet, Jean, 286
Montagu, Edward, 385, 388
—— Mrs. Elizabeth (1720–1800), 301, *n.* 363, 381, 383 ff. (main entry), 397, 399, 400, 403, 405, 519; *Dialogues of the Dead*, 389, 390; *Essay on Shakespeare*, 390 ff.
—— Lady Mary Wortley, 386
Montesquieu, Charles de Secondat, baron de, 180
Montgomerie, Alexander, 224; *Bankis of Helicon*, 239; *Cherrie and The Slae*, 239–40
Monthly Catalogue, The, 375
Monthly Chronicle, The, n. 416
Monthly Review, The, 157, 375, 392
Montoni, in Mrs. Radcliffe's *Mysteries of Udolpho*, 335
Moody, John, 284, 289
Moore, Edward, 359
—— John (1729–1802), 229, 507
—— Zachary, 193
Moorish wars in Spain, 310
Mordent, in Holcroft's *Deserted Daughter*, 307
More, Hannah (1745–1833), 288, 331, 388, 398 ff. (main entry), 405, 503, 519–20
Bas Bleu, or Conversation, 383, 386, 401
Bishop Bonner's Ghost, 402
Bleeding Rock, The, 400
Fatal Falsehood, The, 303, 401
Inflexible Captive, The, 302
Percy, 301, 302, 401
Preface to Tragedies, n. 287
Repository Tracts, n. 401
Sacred Dramas, 421
Search after Happiness, The, 399
Sensibility, 401
Sir Eldred of the Bower, 400
Thoughts on the importance of the Manners of the Great, 401
Village Politics, n. 401
More, Henry, 63
Morgans, the, 133
Morland, Catherine, in Jane Austen's *Northanger Abbey*, 331, 332

Morning Herald, The, 38
Mortimer, Mrs. Favell Lee (1802–1878), 526
Morton, Thomas (1764?–1838), 299, 306, 503
Columbus, 310
Cure for the Heartache, 311
School of Reform, 311
Speed the Plough, 311
Way to get Married, 310
Mosaic code, the, 215
Moseley, Humphrey (d. 1661), 352.353
Mossgiel, 242, 248
Mother Goose's Melody, 417, 534
Mother Goose's Tales, 417
Mother Shipton, 370
Motherwell, William (1797–1835), 489
Harp of Renfrewshire, 261
Jeanie Morrison, 262
Minstrelsy Ancient and Modern, 262
Poems Narrative and Lyrical, 262
Mountfort, Harriet, in Mrs. Griffith's *School for Rakes*, 291
Mulso, Hester. *See* Chapone, Mrs.
"Murphy," pseudonym of C. R. Maturin, 336
Murphy, Arthur, *Know your own Mind*, 298
Murray, Mrs. Elizabeth. *See* Grant, Mrs. Elizabeth
—— John (1745–1793), 362
—— John (1778–1843), 362
Museum, The, 358
Myers, F. W. H., 122

Nairne, Caroline Oliphant, baroness (1766–1845), 257, 498
Auld Hoose, The, 257
Caller Herrin, 257
Charlie is My Darling, 258
Here's to them that are Gane, 257
He's O'er the Hills, 258
Hundred Pipers, 257
Hunting Tower, 257
John Tod, 257
Laird of Cockpen, 257
Land of the Leal, 257
Lass of Gowrie, 257
Rowan Tree, The, 257
Wha'll be King but Charlie, 258
Will Ye no come back again? 258
Napier, Sir William Francis Patrick, 185
Napoleon I, emperor of the French, 30, 61, 114, 120, 127, 129; *Code Napoléon*, 29
Narcissa, in Colman's *Inkle and Yarico*, 309
Nares, Robert, 277
Necker, Jacques, 32
Needy Knife-Grinder, The, 45
Nether Stowey, Somersetshire, 110, 132, 140
New Conger, the, 359
Newbery, Elizabeth, 418
—— Francis (d. 1780), 418, 513
—— Francis (1743–1818), 418
—— John (1713–1767), *n.* 407, 415 ff., 513, 521
Newcastle, 375, 378
Newhaven, 257
Newman, John Henry, 90
Newton, Sir Isaac, 215
—— John, 89, 91, 93, 286
Nichols, John (1745–1826), *Literary Anecdotes*, *n.* 356, 362, *n.* 367, 371, *n.* 373, 512
Nicholson, John ("Maps," 1730–1796), 374
—— William (1782?–1849), 489; *Brownie of Blednock*, 269
"Nickie Ben," 234
Nicoll, Robert (1814–1837), 489
"Nipclose, Sir Nicholas," *The Theatres*, *n.* 312
Nithsdale, 268
Nobs, Dr. Dove's horse, 186
Nore, the, 43
Norfolk, 48, 52
Norland, Lord, in Mrs. Inchbald's *Every one has his Fault*, 308
Norman era, 408
North American Indians, 6, 18, 35
North Briton, The, 37
North End, 394, 405
North, Dudley, 156, 162
—— Frederick North, Lord (2nd earl of Guilford), 7, 11, 157
—— Roger, *Life of Dr. John North*, 364; *Lives of the Norths*, *n.* 367
Northcote, James (1746–1831), 98, 517
Norwich, 198
Nouronihar, in Beckford's *Vathek*, 321, 322
Noverre, Jean George, *Lettres sur les Arts*, *n.* 285
Nun in her Smock, 363

O'Brien, William (d. 1815), 503; *The Duel*, 293
Of a' the Airts, 258
O'Flaherty, in Cumberland's *West Indian*, 284, 295
O'Keeffe, Adelaide (1776–1855?), 425, 534
—— John (1747–1833), 247, 284, 503; *Wild Oats*, 311
Oliphant, Mrs., *Literary History of England*, 340
Olivia, in Mrs. Cowley's *Bold Stroke for a Husband*, 304
Ollendorf series, 413
Olney, 89, 90
Olney Hymns, 90
Oothoon, in Blake's *Visions of the Daughters of Albion*, 210
Opie, Amelia (1769–1853), 381, 507
—— John, 41, 399
Orc, Blake's, 210, 214

Ord, Mrs., 383, 405
Orford, Sir Robert Walpole, 1st earl of, 192
Orleans, 108
Orrery, Charles Boyle, 4th earl of, 350
Osborne, Thomas, 368, 373
Ossian, 199, 200, 204, 206, 208, 227, 271
Ossory, 381
Oswald, in Wordsworth's *Borderers* 109
Oswin, Sir, in Mrs. Inchbald's *To Marry or not to Marry*, 309
Ovid, 2
Oxford, 39, 44, 68, 121, 130, 131, 175, 371, 395
  Balliol college, 173
  Christ church, 173, 357
  Clarendon press, 377
  Peckwater quadrangle, 357
  Queen's college, 64
  Sheldonian theatre, 377
  University press, 377
  Vinerian professorship of law, 68
Oxford, Edward Harley, 2nd earl of, 385
—— Robert Harley, 1st earl of, 351
Ozell, John, 356

Paine, Thomas (1737–1809), 52 ff., 209, 438
  Age of Reason, The, 54
  Common-Sense, 53
  Crisis, The, 53
  Rights of Man, The, 53, 54, *n.* 305
Paisley, 261, 262
Paisley Advertiser, The, 261
Paley, William, 74, 81, 82
Palmer, John, 496
—— Mary (1716–1794), 517
Pangloss, in Colman's *Heir at Law*, 310
Pantisocracy, 131
Paris, 66, 391, 392
  Bastille, the, 108, 209
  Opéra-Comique, *n.* 286
  Rue St. Jacque, 367
  Théâtre de la Foire, *n.* 286
Paris academy, 303
—— peace of, 8
Parisian salons, 383, 389, 392, 403
—— scenery, 286
Parley, Peter, *pseud.*, 429, 526, 527
Parliamentary Debates, 55
"Paternoster Row numbers," 360
Paterson, Samuel (1728–1802), 372
Payne, Henry, 369
—— Thomas (1719–1799), ff., 369 ff., 373, 513
Peacock, Thomas Love (1785–1866), 315, 316, 340 ff. (main entry), 507-8, 534
  Crotchet Castle, 340–41
  Gryll Grange, 315, 340, 341, 342
  Headlong Hall, 203, 341
  Maid Marian, 341
  Melincourt, 340, 342
  Misfortunes of Elphin, 341
  Nightmare Abbey, 340, 343
Peblis to the Play, 237
Pecksy, in Mrs. Trimmer's *Robins*, 421
Pedlar, in Wordsworth's *Excursion*, 117
Peel, Sir Robert, 171
Peele, George, *Complaint of Oenone*, 198
Pegasus, 184
Pemberton, Henry (1694–1771), 277
Pendarves, Mrs. *See* Delany, Mrs.
Pennecuick, Alexander, *Truth's Travels*, 240
Pennington, M., *Memoirs of the Life of Mrs. Elizabeth Carter, n.* 395
Pennsylvania, 53
Pennsylvania Magazine, 53
"Penny Godlinesses," 370
"Penny Merriments," 370
Penrith, 105
Pepys, Sir Lucas, 405
—— Samuel, 353, 370
—— William, 403, 405
Percy, in Hannah More's play, 303
—— Thomas, 369; *Reliques*, 399
Perrault, Charles, 422, 537; *Histoires ou Contes du Tems Passé*, 415, *n.* 416–17
Petronius, in Cowper's *Progress of Error*, 91
Philadelphia, 52, 55
Philips, Ambrose, 159
Pilkington, Mrs. Mary (1766–1839), 427, 527
  Biography for Boys, 427
  Biography for Girls, 427
  Marvellous Adventures, 427
  Moral Tales (trans.), 427
Pindar, Peter. *See* Wolcot, John
"Pindarics," 274
Pine, William, 378
Pinkerton, Miss, 421
Pitt, William, the younger (1759–1806), 28, 33, 35, 37 ff., 43, 57, 58 ff. (main entry), 82, 131, 174, 439
Placids, the, in Mrs. Inchbald's *Every one has his Fault*, 308
Plagiary, Sir Fretful, in Sheridan's *Critic*, *n.* 301
Plain-man's path way to Heaven, 409
Plantagenet era, 408
Plato, 78, 111
Plautus, 293; *Aulularia*, 307
Pleyel, Ignaz Joseph, 249
Plutarch, 366, 389, 390
Political Eclogues, 38, 41, 45
Political Miscellanies, 38
Pollok, Robert (1798–1827), 489; *The Course of Time*, 270
Polly, in *The Beggar's Opera*, *n.* 287
—— in *The Little Pretty Pocket Book*, 417

Pompey's Ghost, 259
Poole, Joshua, 275
—— Thomas, 133
Pope, Alexander, 40, 86, 88, 92, 97, 102, 158, 166, 194, 197, 222, 227, ff., 239, 273, 281 ff., 355 ff., 366, 371, 395
Correspondence, 363
Dunciad, 156, 234, 354, 363
Essay on Man, 156
Homer, 99, 100, 272, 355 ff.
Letter to H. Cromwell, 276
Shakespeare, 354
Porcupine, Peter, 55
Porcupine, The, 55
Porter, Anna Maria (1780–1832), 338, 508–9
—— James (1753–1798), 438
—— Jane (1776–1850), 338, 509; *Scottish Chiefs*, 338; *Thaddeus of Warsaw*, 338
Portland, William Bentinck, 2nd duke of, 387
Portsmouth academy, in O'Keeffe's *Wild Oats*, 311
Portugal, 79, 172
Portuguese language, 393
Post Boy, The, 368
Postman, The, 368
Pote, Joseph (1703?–1787), 378
Powell, Stephen, 379
Praed, Winthrop Mackworth, 177
Pratt, Samuel Jackson (1749–1814), 438
Price, Richard, 23, 24, 79
—— Sir Uvedale (1747–1829), 517
Priestley, Joseph, 70, 71, 78
Prior, Matthew, 98, 191, 193, 273, 274, 351, 362, 363; "Noble lovely little Peggy," 387
Pritchard, Hannah, 289
Probationary Odes, 38, 41, 45
Progress of Man, The, 46
Protesilaus, in Wordsworth's *Laodamia*, 119
Public Register, The, 358
Puff, in Sheridan's *Critic*, 301
Pulteney, Sir William. *See* Bath, earl of
Puttenham, Richard, 275

Quarll, Philip, 414, 535
Quarterly Review, The, 45, 173, 179, 183, 436
"Queen of the Blues," 384
Queene Elizabethe's Achademy, 408
Queensberry, Charles Douglas, 3rd duke of, 351
Quick, John, 290
Quin, James, 285, 314

Rabelais, François, 318
Raby, earl, in Hannah More's *Percy*, 302–3
Racedown, Dorsetshire, 109
Radcliffe, Ann (1764–1822), 316, 332 ff. (main entry), 336, 338, 509
Castles of Athlin and Dunbayne, 332
Gaston de Blondeville, *n.* 333
Hazel Tree, The, *n.* 333
Italian, The, 334, 335
Letters, *n.* 333
Mysteries of Udolpho, 332, 334, 335
Poems, *n.* 333
River Dove, The, *n.* 333
Romance of the Forest, 333
St. Albans Abbey, *n.* 333
Sicilian Romance, 333
Rae, W. F., *n.* 294
Rahab, in Blake's *Four Zoas*, 217, 218
Ramsay, Allan, 159, 226, 231, 232 ff., 234, 237 ff., 244, 251, 255, 374
Evergreen, 225, 240
Gentle Shepherd, 247, 262
Tea-Table Miscellany, 241, 262
Vision, The, 239
Rapids, the, in Morton's *Cure for the Heartache*, 311
Reading, 417
Red Riding Hood, 416
Redding, Cyrus, *n.* 320
Reeve, Clara (1729–1807), 509; *Champion of Virtue* (*The Old English Baron*), 332; *Charoba*, 332; *Progress of Romance*, 332
Reform act, 55
—— bill, *n.* 64
Regeln für Schauspieler, *n.* 314
Regulus, 302
Reid, Thomas, 84–5
Religious Tract society, *n.* 401
Rembrandt van Rijn, 13
Renfrewshire, 261–2
Repository Tracts, *n.* 401
Resolution, ship, 161
Reynard the Fox, 370
Reynolds, Frederic (1764–1841), 503; *The Dramatist*, 311
—— Sir Joshua, 36, 158–9, 361, 388, 392, 399, 404; *Discourses*, 222
Rhine, the, 334
Rhodes, Hugh, *Boke of Nurture*, *n.* 409
Ricardo, David, 83
Rich, John, *n.* 285, 312
Richard III, Garrick as, 285; W. H. W. Betty as, *n.* 313
Richardson, Joseph (1755–1803), 39, 40, 432
—— Samuel, 362, 396, 405, 513; *Clarissa*, 293, 307, 394; *Pamela*, 359
Richmond, 287, 404
—— Charles Lennox, 3rd duke of, 40
Rickman, John, 185, *n.* 187
Ritchie, Leitch (1800?–1865), *Schinderhannes*, 336
Ritson, Joseph, *Northern Chorister*, 255
Rivington, Charles (1688–1742), 357

Rivington, Charles (1754–1831), 362
—— Francis (1745–1822), 362
Roberts, James, 357
Robertson, William, 84; *History of Charles V*, 361
Robespierre, Maximilien M. I., 457
Robin, in Mrs. Trimmer's *Robins*, 421
Robinson, Elizabeth. *See* Montagu, Mrs. Elizabeth
—— George (1737–1801), 363
—— Henry Crabb, *n.* 134, 147, 212, 218 ff.
Robson, Mary, 527
Roche, Regina Maria (1764?–1845), 510; *Children of the Abbey*, 510, *n.* 331
Rockingham, Charles Watson-Wentworth, 2nd marquis of, 5, 8, 58
Rogers, Samuel, 164, 166, 322
Rolle, John, 38
Rolliad, The, 38 ff., 44, 45, 47, 59, 434
Rollo, Norman duke, in *The Rolliad*, 38
Roman antiquities, 317
—— catholicism, 185, 326
—— law, 18
—— tragedy, 302
Romans, *n.* 302, 390, 402
Rome, 302
Romeo, W. H. W. Betty as, *n.* 313
Romilly, Sir Samuel, 66
Ronksley, William, 527; *The Child's Week's-Work*, 411
Roscius, the English, 312
Roscoe, Robert, 428
—— William (1753–1831), 527; *The Butterfly's Ball*, 419, 428
Roses, wars of the, 310
Rossetti, Christina Georgina, 527
—— Dante Gabriel, 337
Rothes, Lady, 405
Rothwell, John, 375
Rouen academy, 303
Rousseau, Jean-Jacques, 22, 30, 36, 78, 81, 89, 97, 103, 323, 326, 328, 337, 424; *Le contrat social*, 154
Rover, in O'Keeffe's *Wild Oats*, 311
Rovers, The, 46
Rowe, Nicholas, *Lady Jane Grey*, 356; *Shakespeare*, 354
Rowley, in Sheridan's *School for Scandal*, 299
Royal academy, 201
—— society, 369
Rubens, Peter Paul, 13
Ruddiman, Thomas (1674–1757), 378, 515
Ruddiman's Magazine, 258, 260
Ruffians Rant, The, 256
Ruskin, John, 31, 428, 429, 527
Rusport, Lady, in Cumberland's *West Indian*, 292
Russell, house of, 34
Russia, 65, 80, 397
Rutland, Charles Manners, 4th duke of, 158
Rydal Mount, 121
Rymer, Thomas, 317

S. S., *pseud.*, 420, 525, 526
St. Albans, 89
St. Andrews, 269
St. Asaph, 403
St. John, Percy Bolingbroke, 430, 535
St. Petersburg, 66
St. Pierre, Eustace de, in Colman's *Siege of Paris*, 284
Saint-Pierre, Jacques Henri Bernardin de, *Paul et Virginie*, 284
Sainte-Beuve, Charles Augustin, 98
Saintsbury, George, 202; *History of Criticism*, *n.* 392
Salem, grand jury of, 13
Samarah, 320
Samber, Robert, 416
Sampson, Elizabeth. *See* Inchbald, Mrs.
—— John, *n.* 204
San Domingo, 127
Sandford, Harry, in *Sandford and Merton*, 424
Sandham, Elizabeth, 425, 535
Sandwich, Edward Montagu, 1st earl of, 385
Sapless, Miss, in Morton's *Way to get Married*, 311
Sappho, 257
Saracens, the, 28
Sarcastic, Mr., in Peacock's novel, 342
Sarsnet, Mrs., in Holcroft's *Deserted Daughter*, 307
Satan, 117, 214, 217, 218, 220, 337
Savage, Richard, 272; *The Author to be Let*, 366
Saxon drummer, in *The Rolliad*, 38
Say, Samuel, 277
Sayers, Frank (1763–1817), 177, 178, 191, 196 ff., 472–73
Cyclops, 199
Dramatic Sketches, 199
Moina, 199
Starno, 199
To Night, 199
Schedoni, in Mrs. Radcliffe's *Italian*, 334, 336
Schelling, Friedrich Wilhelm von, 151; *System des transzendentalen Idealismus*, *n.* 151
Schiller, Johann Friedrich Christoph von, 46, 152, 335, 457
Das Ideal und das Leben, *n.* 144
Das Reich der Schatten, *n.* 144
Die Räuber, 457
Schlegel, August Wilhelm von, 149
Scogin's Jests, 370
Scot, Robert, 367
Scotland, 231, 233, 239, 256, 259, 265, 266, 348
—— church of, 269
Scot's Magazine, The, 256, 267, 268;

Scott, Alexander, 224; *Justing and Debait*, 237
—— Michael, 145
—— Sir Walter, 30, 121, 129, 145, 161, 166, 171, 175, 179, 189, 241, 243, 256, 260, 265, 266, 316, 326 ff., 332 ff., 337, 339, 342, 424
Lay of the Last Minstrel, 141, 145
Minstrelsy of the Scottish Border, 267
Waverley, *n.* 333
Waverley novels, 324
Scottish booktrade, 379
—— dialect, 225, 262
—— peasants, 228
—— verse, 224 ff.
Scottish Minstrel, The, 257
Scratch, Lord, in Reynolds's *Dramatist*, 311
Scripture paraphrases (metrical), 269
Seaman, Lazarus, 371
Secker, Thomas, 394 ff.
Sedaine, Michel-Jean, *Le Philosophe sans le Savoir*, 293
Sedgefield, Durham, 270
Sempill, Robert, *Habbie Simson*, 232–33
Senancour, Étienne Pivert de, *Obermann*, 318
Sententiae Pueriles, 407
Settle, Elkanah, *The City Ramble*, 356
Sewell, John, 371
Shackleton, Richard, 2
Shakespeare, William, 11, 14, 147 ff., 200 ff., 227, 282, 288, 312, 314, 321, 354, 356, 362, 392, 457
Coriolanus, 303
Hamlet, 150, 285
Julius Caesar, 391, 457
Lear, 285, 313
Lucrece, 200
Macbeth, 289, 313, 391
Othello, 391
Romeo and Juliet, 147, *n.* 287
Sonnets, 200
Tempest, 303
Timon of Athens, 293
Troilus and Cressida, 353
Venus and Adonis, 200
Winter's Tale, 458
Shaylor, J., *The Fascination of Books*, *n.* 420
Sheffield, 376
Shelburne, earl of. *See* Lansdowne
Shelley, Percy Bysshe, 49, 51, 113, 124, 129, 166, 174, 341, 457
Alastor, 224
Prometheus Unbound, 224
Queen Mab, 199
Revolt of Islam, 224
St. Irvyne, 331
Zastrozzi, 331
Shenstone, William, 227, 274, 277, 279, 283; *The Schoolmistress*, 273, 358
Sheridan, Richard Brinsley (1751–1816), 57, 60 ff., 277, 289, 293, 294 ff., 312 (main entry), 440, 457, 496, 498
Critic, The, 300, 301
Duenna, The, 296, 297
Ode to Scandal, 299
Rivals, The, 294, 295, 297
St. Patrick's Day, 295
School for Scandal, The, 297 ff., 301, 304, 305
Trip to Scarborough, A, 296
Sheridan, Thomas 277, 283
Sherwood, Mrs. Mary Martha (1775–1851), 409, 422, 527
Fairchild Family, 422–23
Henry Milner, 423
Infant's Progress, 423
Little Henry and his Bearer, 423
Susan Grey, 423
Sheva, in Cumberland's *Jew*, 312
Shirley, James, 353
—— John, *Lord Jeffrey's Life*, 365
Shropshire, 132
Shylock, Macklin as, 284
Sichel, Walter, *Life of Sheridan*, *n.* 301
Siddons, Mrs. Sarah, 287, 289
Sidgwick, Henry, *Methods of Esthic*, *n.* 73
Sidney, in Kelly's *False Delicacy*, 291
—— Sir Philip, *Arcadia*, 199
Simmons, Nevill, 376
—— Samuel, 349
Sinclair, Catherine (1800–1864), 528; *Holiday House*, 429
Sing, Cheyte, 22
Skinner, John (1721–1807), 249
Slaughden quay, 157
Slipslop, Mrs., in Fielding's *Joseph Andrews*, 295
Sloss, Duncan J., *n.* 208
Smellie, William, *Philosophy of Natural History*, 361
Smelt, Leonard, 405
Smith, Adam, 84; *Wealth of Nations*, 78
—— Charlotte (1749–1806), 510; *The Old Manor House*, 510, 331
—— James and Horatio, *Rejected Addresses*, 167
—— Ralph, 368
Smith, Robert Archibald, *n.* 257, 261
—— Samuel, 369
—— Sidney, 380
—— William, 173
Smollett, Tobias George, 292, 366; *Roderick Random*, 290; *The Reprisal*, 493
Sneer, in Sheridan's *Critic*, 301
Sneerwell, Lady, in Sheridan's *School for Scandal*, 298
Society for the Encouragement of Learning, 360
—— —— —— Suppression of Vice, 422

Solitary, in Wordsworth's *Excursion*, 117
Solomon, king, 259, 321
Somersetshire, 110, 112, 171
Somerville, Elizabeth, 535
Sophia, in Cumberland's *Brothers*, 292
Sophocles, *Oedipus*, 313
Sorbière, Samuel de, 367
Sotheby, Samuel, 372
Souter, Johnie, in Burns's *Tam o' Shanter*, 242
Southcott, Joanna, 180
Southerne, Thomas, *The Spartan Dame*, 350
Southey, Mrs. Caroline Anne, born Bowles, 173
—— Mrs. Edith, born Fricker, 172
—— Herbert, 172
—— Isabel, 172
—— Robert (1774–1843), 45, 130, 131, 134, 136, 139, 166, 169 ff., 190, 191, 195 ff., 222, 223, 276, 281, 325, 342, 378, 456–57, 463–64, 535
All for Love, 184
Amadis, 179
Ballads, 176, 177
Battle of Blenheim, 177
Bishop Hatto, 177
Book of the Church, 185
Botany Bay Eclogues, 176
Chronic of the Cid, 179
Colloquies, 185
"Come, for ye know me!" 179
Commonplace Books, 181
Cross Roads, The, 177
Curse of Kehama, 172, 181
Doctor, The, 181, 185–86, 187
Essays Moral and Political, 185
Expedition of Orsua, 180
Fall of Robespierre, 178
History of Brazil, 179
—— —— *Portugal*, 179
—— —— *the Peninsular War*, 184
Holly-Tree, 176
Inchcape Rock, 177
Joan of Arc, 175, 176, 178
Lay of the Laureate, 183
Life of John Wesley, 184
—— *of Nelson*, 179 ff., 184
Lives of the Admirals, 185
Letters, 173, *n.* 176, *n.* 189
—— *from Spain and Portugal*, 178, 180
—— *of Espriella*, 179
Madoc, 176, 178, 179, 191
Metrical Tales, 178
Minor Poems, 178
My days among the Dead are passed, 176
Ode to Horror, 174
Old Woman of Berkeley, 177
Oliver Newman, 184
Omniana, 179, 180
Palmerin, 179
Poet's Pilgrimage to Waterloo, 184
Queen Orraca, 177
Roderick, 176, 184
Sappho, 175
Story of the Three Bears, 186
Tale of Paraguay, 184
Thalaba, 177, 178, 179, 181, 199
Vindiciae Ecclesiae Anglicanae, 185
Vision of Judgment, 183
Wat Tyler, 173 ff., 178
Well of St. Keyne, 177
Southwell's *Notes and Illustrations on the Bible*, 360
Spain, 31, 80, 310
"Spanish Armada, The," in Sheridan's *Critic*, 301
Spanish language and literature, 398
—— (Peninsular) war, the, 114
Spectacle de la Nature, 424
Spectator, The, 162, 309, 351
Spence, Joseph, 358
Spenser, Edmund, 174, 198, 201, 228, 273, 279, 282
Spenserian stanza, 266, 273, 276
Spinoza, Baruch (or Benedict), 111
Spy, The (Hogg's), 264
Staffordshire, 415
Stamp act, 5, 8, 11
Stanhope, Charles Stanhope, 3rd earl (1753–1816), 433
Star chamber, 344, 345
Starkey, John, 375
Stationers' company, 346, 347
—— register, 348
Steele, Joshua (1700–1791), 277, 278 (main entry), 283
—— Sir Richard, 288, 354; *The Lying Lover*, 356
Steevens, George, 369; *Shakespeare*, 354
"Stella" (Esther Johnson), 367
Sterne, Laurence, 193, *n.* 320, 327, 384, 347
Tristram Shandy, 288, 227, 378
Stevens, George Alexander (1710–1784), 517
Stevenson, John Hall (1718–1785), 191 ff., 473
Crazy Tales, 193
Fables for Grown Gentlemen, 193
Makarony Tales, 193
Pastoral Cordial, 193
—— *Puke*, 193
Stevenson, Robert Louis, 430
Stewart, Dugald (1753–1828), 84, 244, 245, 443
Elements of the Philosophy of the Human Mind, 84
Outlines of Moral Philosophy, 84
Philosophical Essays, 84
Philosophy of the Active and Moral Powers, 84
Progress of . . . . Philosophy, 84
Stillingfleet, Benjamin (1702–1771), 381, 382

Stirling, 414
Stockport, 416
Stockwell in Cumberland's *West Indian*, 64, 292
Stothard, Thomas, 419
Stowey. *See* Nether Stowey
Strahan, William (1715–1785), 361
Strawberry hill, 378, 402
Streatham, 404
Streatham Letters, 405
Strickland, Agnes (1796–1874), 528; *The Moss House*, 427
Stuart, James, of Dunearn, 260
—— Lady Louisa, 386, 387
Styx, river, 390
Suard, Jean Baptiste Antoine, 392
Suckling, Sir John, 352
Suffolk, 155
Sulky, in Holcroft's *Road to Ruin*, 306
Sumatra, 308
Summerly, Felix, *pseud.* *See* Cole, Sir Henry
Surface, Charles, in Sheridan's *School for Scandal*, 297 ff.
—— Joseph, in Sheridan's *School for Scandal*, 299, 300
—— Sir Oliver, in Sheridan's *School for Scandal*, 298 ff.
Surrey, 202
Sussex, Lord, in Glover's *Boadicea*, *n.* 285
Swalle, Abel, 353
Swedenborg, Emanuel, *Memorable Relations*, 208
Swedenborgianism, 200
Swift, Jonathan, 17, 20, 31, 33, 91, 191, 207, 273, 289, 316, 347, 354, 366, 367, 380; *Gulliver's Travels*, 373
Sym and his Brudir, 237

Tacitus, Cornelius, 2; *Agricola*, 183
Talbot, Catherine (1721–1770), 391, 394 ff., 520
—— William Talbot, 1st earl, 33
Tam o' Shanter, 241–42
Tangent, in Morton's *Way to get Married*, 310
Tannahill, Robert (1774–1810), 261, 489
Bonnie Wood of Craigielea, 261
Braes o' Balquither, 261
Gloomy Winter's noo Awa', 261
Jessie the Flower of Dunblane, 261
Lass of Arrinteenie, 261
Loudon's bonnie Woods and Braes, 261
Poems and Songs, 261
Rob Roryson's Bonnet, 261
Tartars, the, 19
Tasker, William (1740–1800), 473
Tasso, Torquato, 174
Tate, Nahum, *Brutus of Alba*, 353; *Lear*, 285
Tatler, The, 351, 413
Taylor family, the, 426, 528
Taylor, Mrs. Ann, of Ongar (1757–1830), 426, 528
—— Ann, afterwards Mrs. Gilbert (1782–1866), 426, 483; *My Mother*, 425
—— Ann and Jane, 528; *Hymns for Infant Minds*, 425–26; *Original Poems*, 425; *Rhymes for the Nursery*, 426
—— Isaac (1759–1829), 426, 528
—— Isaac (1787–1865), 426
—— Jane (1783–1824), 426, 528; *Twinkle, Twinkle, Little Star*, 425
—— Jefferys (1792–1853), 426, 528
—— Jeremy, 2
—— Joseph, 528
—— Robert, 357
—— William (1765–1836), 198
—— William, bookseller, 357
Teachum, Mrs., in Sarah Fielding's *Governess*, 422
"Teachwell, Mrs.," 420
Teazle, Lady, in Sheridan's *School for Scandal*, 298 ff.
—— Sir Peter, in Sheridan's *School for Scandal*, 297, 299
"Temple of the Muses," 373
Tennant, William (1784–1848), 489
Anster Fair, 269
Cardinal Bethune, 269
Dingin doon O The Cathedral, 269
John Baliol, 269
Tangier's Giant, 269
Thane of Fife, 269
Tennyson, Alfred, Lord, 86, 123, 174, 175–76; *Enoch Arden*, 164; *Maud*, 167
Terence, 294; *Adelphi*, 293
Term catalogues, 375
Thackeray, William, bookseller, 371
—— William Makepeace, 338, 340, 427, 429; *Book of Snobs*, 328
Thames, river, 401
Tharmas, in Blake's *Vala*, 215
Thel, in Blake's *Book of Thel*, 206
Thelwall, John, 131
Theobald, Lewis, 354; *Richard II*, 350; *Shakespeare*, 354
Theocritus, 159
Thom, William (1798?–1848), 489
Thompson, Benjamin, 288
—— William, 191
Thomson, George (1757–1851), 228, 254, 256, 489; *Irish Airs*, 260; *Scottish Airs*, 249, 250, 255, 260; *Welsh Airs*, 260
—— James, 96, 159, 227 ff., 239, 267, 270, 348; *Castle of Indolence*, 273; *Seasons*, 274, 348
Thoresby, Ralph, 376
Thornberry, Job, in Colman's *John Bull*, 310
Thorpe, Isabella, in Jane Austen's *Northanger Abbey*, 331, 332

Thrale, Hester Lynch (Mrs. Piozzi), 387, 392, 404, 405
Thumb, Tom, 414, 416
Thunder, Harry, in O'Keeffe's *Wild Oats*, 311
Thurlow, Edward Thurlow, Lord, 87, 157, 158, 282
Tickell, Richard (1751–1793), 39, 434
Times, The, 171
Timur (Tamerlane), 20
Tiriel, in Blake's poem, 206
Tirzah, in Blake's *Four Zoas*, 217, 218
Titania, 415
Tobin, John (1770–1804), 503
Tocqueville, Alexis C. H. Clérel de, 29
Tom a Lincoln, 370
Tommy, in *The Little Pretty Pocket Book*, 417
Tommy Thumb's Pretty Song Book, 416
Tomy Lin, 255
Tonson, Jacob (1656?–1736), 352 ff., 362, 368, 369; *Miscellany*, 353, 355
—— Jacob (d. 1735), 354
—— Jacob (d. 1767), 354, 357
Tooke, John Horne (1736–1812), 443
Top Book of All, 416, 428, 535
Townley, in Sheridan's *Trip to Scarborough*, 297
Townsend, Joseph (1739–1816), 443; *Dissertation on the Poor Laws*, 82
Townshend, Charles, 11, 13
—— Lord John, 39
Toynbee, Mrs. P., *n.* 312
Trade books, 359
Traherne, Thomas, 426
Tremor, Sir Luke, in Mrs. Inchbald's *Such Things Are*, 308
Trimmer, Mrs. Sarah (1741–1810), 413, *n.* 416, 425, 529; *Fabulous Histories* (*The History of the Robins*), 419 ff.; *Familiar Introduction to the Knowledge of Nature*, 424; *Guardian of Education*, 422
"Trip, Tommy," 418
Tripoli, 80
Trojan horse, 272
Trollope, Anthony, 165
Trowbridge, Wiltshire, 164, 165
Trudge, in Colman's *Inkle and Yarico*, 309
Tucker, Abraham, 277
—— Josiah, 81
Tudor period, 408
Tunbridge, 385
Turner, Elizabeth, 529; *Cautionary Stories* (*The Daisy*, *The Cowslip*), 426
—— Mr. and Hannah More, 399
Tweddell, John (1769–1799), 473
Twelfth Day Gift, 417
Twineall, in Mrs. Inchbald's *Such Things Are*, 308
Twyn, John, 346
Tyke, in Morton's *School of Reform*, 311
Tyler, Miss, 171
Tyrol, the, 31
Tyrwhitt, Thomas, 277, 278, 283, 369
Tytler, James (1747?–1805), *Bonnie Brucket Lassie*, 259; *I hae laid a Herring in Saut*, 259
Tyton, Francis, 349

Underhill, Thomas, 349
Unwin, Mary, 89, 90, 93, 95, 98, 101
—— Morley, 89
—— William, 101
Urie, Robert, 378
Urizen, Blake's, 210, 214 ff.
Urry, John, *Chaucer*, 357
Urthona, in Blake's *Vala*, 215
Uttoxeter, 376

Vala, in Blake's poems, 217, 220
Vanbrugh, Sir John, 285; *The Relapse*, 296
Vapid, in Reynolds's *Dramatist*, 311
Vathek, in Beckford's novel, 321, 322
Vaughan, Henry, 205
—— Thomas (*fl.* 1772–1820), 311, 503
Vaux, F. B., 535
Venezuela, 80
Venice, republic of, 127
Ventum, Harriet, 536
Vergil, 2; *Aeneid*, 38
Verney, Ralph Verney, 2nd earl, 5, 6
Verres, Gaius, 19
Versailles, 24, 209
Vesey, Agmondesham, 381 ff.
—— Mrs. Elizabeth (1715?–1791), 381 ff. 386, 402 ff.
—— Sir Thomas, 381
Victoria, queen of Great Britain, 428
Victorian humour, 310
Vienna, 303
Village Politics, *n.* 401
Villanegas, Matilda de, in Lewis's *The Monk*, 336
Vincent, Mrs., *n.* 287
—— William, 173
Violetta, in Cumberland's *Brothers*, 291
Virginia, 13
Voltaire, F. M. A. de, 290, 293, 321, 322, 326, 328, 339, 342, 391
La Henriade, 88
Letter to the Academy, 392
Mahomet, 391
Semiramis, 391
Tancred, 390
Zaire, 391
Votes of Parliament, 368

Wakefield, Mrs. Priscilla (1751–1832), 419, 529
Instinct Displayed, 425
Introduction to Botany, 425
Juvenile Anecdotes, 425
Leisure Hours, 425
Mental Improvement, 425

Wales, 14
Walker, Hugh, 244
Wallace, Alfred Russel, 83
—— Robert (1697–1771), *Various prospects of Mankind*, 82
Waller, Edmund, 272
Walpole, Horace, earl of Orford, 102, 161, *n.* 170, 192 ff., *n.* 285 ff., 289, *n.* 302, 312, 378, 384, 388, 389, 394, 397, 404, 405, 511; *Castle of Otranto*, 232; *Letters*, *n.* 392, 402
—— Sir Robert. *See* Orford, earl of
Walsh, William, *n.* 276
Walsingham, Mrs., 386
Walton, Izaak, *Compleat Angler*, 353
Wanderer, in Wordsworth's *Excursion*, 117
Wanley, Humfrey, 372, *n.* 373
Warburton, William, *Shakespeare*, 354
Ward, Sir A. W., *George Crabbe*, *n.* 155, *n.* 156
Wardlaw, Elizabeth, Lady, 255
Warren, Mrs., in Holcroft's *Road to Ruin*, 306
Warton, Thomas, the elder, 147
—— Thomas, the younger, 39, 147
Washington, George, 210
Watson, James (d. 1722), 378, 515
—— James, *Choice Collection*, 225, 240
—— Richard, bishop of Llandaff (1737–1816), 109, 443
Watt, William, 489; *Kate Dalrymple*, 269; *Tinkler's Waddin*, 269
Watts, Isaac (1674–1748), 414, 425; *Divine and Moral Songs*, 204, 413; "Hush! my dear, lie still and slumber," 206; *Sapphics*, 198; *The Sluggard*, 416
Webb, Daniel, 277
Weber, Henry William (1783–1818) 517
Wedgwood, Josiah, 134
Weimar, 286
Wellington, Arthur Wellesley, 1st duke of, 185
Welsh, C., *n.* 417; *A Bookseller of the Last Century*, *n.* 418
Wendover, 5
Wesley, John, 89
Wesleys, the, 286
West Indies, 292
Westbury, near Bristol, 176, 178
Westminster Review, The, 67
Westminster scrutiny, 59
Westmorland, 114, 121, 123
Weston, 98
—— Thomas, 285
Whalley, Thomas Sedgwick (1746–1828), 474
Wharton, Thomas Wharton, 1st marquis of, 33
Whately, Thomas, 432
Whitbread's brewery, 42
White, Henry Kirke, 191
—— Thomas, 529; *A Little Book for Little Children*, 409, 411
Whitefield, George, 89
Whitehead, William, 39
Wickhambrook, Suffolk, 155
Wilberforce, William, 58, 286
Wilford, John, *Monthly Catalogue*, 375
Wilkes, John, 9
William IV, king of Great Britain, 157
Williams, Anna, 389
—— Caleb, in Godwin's novel, 51, 324
—— Sir Charles Hanbury (1708–1759), 190 ff., 474; *Works*, 192
—— Helen Maria (1762–1827), 196, 474–75
—— John (1761–1818), 517–18
Wilson, Alexander (1766–1813), 261, 489; *Watty and Meg*, 261
—— John ("Christopher North"), 270; *Noctes Ambrosianae*, 264
—— John, of Kilmarnock, 378
Wilson, Rev. T., 526
Wiltshire, 164, 198
Wimpole, 385
Windsor, 43
Winter Evening Entertainments, 410
Winworth, Lord, in Kelly's *False Delicacy*, 291
Wolcot, John, "Peter Pindar" (1738–1819), 434
Bozzy and Piozzi, 43
Instructions to a celebrated Laureat, *n.* 42
Ode upon Ode, 42
The Lousiad, 42
Wollstonecraft, Mary. *See* Godwin, Mary
Wood, Anthony, *Historia . . . Universitatis Oxoniensis*, 377
Woo'd and Married and a', 255
Woodbridge, 155
Woodward, Henry, 285
Worcester, 345
Wordsworth, Christopher, 106
—— Dorothy, 106, 109, 113, 114–15
—— John, 106, 114, 116
—— Mary, 114
—— William (1770–1850), 30 ff., 35, 86, 93, 96, 103 ff. (main entry), 129, 132 ff., 139, 143, 145, 149, 166, 167, 168, 179, 219, 222, 223, 274, 342, 378, 438 ff.
Affliction of Margaret, 122
Apology for the French Revolution, *n.* 27
Borderers, The, 109, 110
Composed upon an Evening, 120
Convention of Cintra, 31, 114
Descriptive Sketches, 107
Ecclesiastical Sonnets, 119
Evening Walk, An, 107
Excursion, The, 95, 110, 111, 113, 114, 117, 125, 128
Fountain, The, 122
Goody Blake, 112
Green Linnet, The, 122
Guilt or Sorrow, 109

Wordsworth, William—*Continued*
Incidents on Salisbury Plain, 109, 110
Laodamia, 119, 128
Leech-Gatherer, 115
Lucy, 122, 125
Lyrical Ballads, 97, 112, 136, 167
Michael, 117, 125, 128
Nutting, 124
Ode on Immortality, 113
Ode to Duty, 115, 116
Peter Bell, 146
Prelude, The, 96, 105 ff., 111, 113, 115, 125, 128, 135
Recluse, The, 111 ff.
River Duddon, 119
Ruined Cottage, The, 110, 116, 121, 128
Simon Lee, 112, 126
Skating-scene, 124
Story of Margaret, 110
There was a Boy, 123
Thorn, The, 146
Tintern Abbey, 95, 112, 125
Two April Mornings, 122
White Doe of Rylstone, 117
Yew-trees, 122
World, The, 358
Worthy, in Vanbrugh's *Relapse*, 296–7
Woty, William (1731?–1791), 191, 475
Wycherley, William, 353; *Country Wife*, 296; *Plain Dealer*, *n.* 298
Wyfe of Auchtirmwychty, 268
Wynn, Charles Watkin Williams, 171, 188

Xenophon, 397

Yarico, in Colman's *Inkle and Yarico*, 309
Yarrow, 264
Yates, Richard, 284
Yonge, Charlotte Mary, 430, 529
—— Philip, bishop of Norwich, 158
York, 346, 377, 384, 389, 406, 414
—— archbishop of. *See* Hay-Drummond
Yorkshire dales, 186
Young, Arthur (1741–1820), 444
Annals of Agriculture, 80
Political Arithmetic, 80
Tour in Ireland, 80
Travels in France, 80
The War in North America, 80
Young, Edward, 155, 277, 278; *Night Thoughts*, 358
—— Richard, *A short and sure way to Grace*, 370; *The Seduced Soul reduced*, 370
Young Man's Calling, 410

Zoas, the, in Blake's *Vala*, 215
Zola, Émile, 168
Zulkais, in Beckford's *Episodes*, 322

A Selection from the Catalogue of

G. P. PUTNAM'S SONS

Complete Catalogue sent
on application

The Cambridge History of English Literature

Edited by

A. W. Ward, Litt.D., F.B.A., Master of Peterhouse

and

A. R. Waller, M.A., Peterhouse

To be published in 14 volumes and two volumes supplementary to the History

Royal 8vo, of about 500 pages each, $2.50 net

Subscriptions received for the complete work at $36.00 net, payable at the rate of $2.25 on the notification of the publication of each volume. (Carriage additional.)

Vol. I. From the Beginnings to the Cycles of Romance.
" II. The End of the Middle Ages.
" III. Renascence and Reformation.
" IV. Prose and Poetry from Sir Thomas North to Michael Drayton.
" V. The Drama to 1642. Part I.
" VI. " " " " " II.
" VII. Cavalier and Puritan.
" VIII. The Age of Dryden.
" IX. From Steele and Addison to Pope and Swift.
" X. The Rise of the Novel: Johnson and his Circle.
" XI. The Period of the French Revolution.

Other volumes in active preparation and will be issued at frequent intervals

Professor W. W. Lawrence, Professor of English Literature, Columbia University. " The danger that a history of this sort may make the impression of a collection of heterogeneous chapters has been skilfully avoided. The various sections, while, of necessity, the work of different scholars, are written in a simple straightforward style, and the material well distributed and clearly worked out. The arrangement of the *apparatus criticus* is admirable.

" The editors and publishers of the series are to be congratulated on their opening volume. It can hardly fail to remain for many years one of the standard authorities on the history of literature in early England."

Month. " From every point of view, whether of interest, scholarship, or practical utility, we cannot hesitate for a moment in pronouncing that . . . it bids fair to prove the best work of its kind that has ever been produced. . . . Writing from a Catholic standpoint we cannot fail to commend the generally temperate and even sympathetic tone in which the religious questions of the Middle Ages are treated."

Chicago Tribune. " One of the most important events of the year in the world of letters."

Send for Descriptive Circular

New York **G. P. Putnam's Sons** London

A HISTORY OF ENGLAND

FROM THE EARLIEST TIMES DOWN TO THE YEAR 1900

Written by various authors under the direction of and edited by

C. W. C. OMAN

Deputy Chichele Professor of Modern History in the University of Oxford, author of "The Art of War in the Middle Ages," "A History of the Peninsular War," etc.

Seven Volumes. Octavo, Cloth. Each, net, $3.00. By mail, $3.20

I. England before the Norman Conquest

Being a History of the Celtic, Roman, and Anglo-Saxon Periods down to the Year A.D. 1066

By CHARLES OMAN, M.A.

All Souls College, Oxford

With 3 maps

II. England under the Normans and Angevins. 1066-1272

By H. W. C. DAVIS

Fellow and Tutor of Balliol College, author of "Charlemagne"

10 maps

III. England in the Later Middle Ages. 1272-1485

With Maps

By KENNETH H. VICKERS, M.A.

Professor of Modern History in the University of Durham, Author of "Humphrey, Duke of Gloucester"

IV. England under the Tudors. 1485-1603

By ARTHUR D. INNES

Sometime Scholar of Oriel College, Oxford. Author of "Britain and her Rivals," "A Short History of the British in India," etc.

With 5 Maps

V. England under the Stuarts, 1603-1714

By G. M. TREVELYAN

Fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge, Author of "The Age of Wycliffe"

5 Maps

VI. England under the Hanoverians. 1714-1815

By C. GRANT ROBERTSON

Fellow of All Souls, Tutor in History to Magdalen College, Oxford

Seven Maps

VII. England since Waterloo. 1815-1900

By J. A. R. MARRIOTT

Lecturer and Tutor in Modern History and Economics at Worcester College, Oxford Author of "George Canning and His Times"

With Maps

New York **G. P. Putnam's Sons** **London**

Uniform with "The Greatness and Decline of Rome."

Characters and Events of Roman History

From Cæsar to Nero (60 B.C.-70 A.D.)

By Guglielmo Ferrero

Author of "The Greatness and Decline of Rome," etc.

Authorized Translation by Frances Lance Ferrero

8vo. With Portrait. $2.50 net

Student's Edition. Cr. 8vo. $1.50 net

The book consists of a series of studies of the great men and great ladies of ancient Rome, and of critical moments and events in Roman history.

In the first, the distinguished author makes a study of "Corruption" in Roman history, of the tendencies that—and here a comparison is drawn with modern conditions in France and America—turned the trained energies of Rome, now become rich and powerful, from the devotion to politics and war that made it great, to luxury, and pleasure, and extravagance, and a dilettante devotion to arts and letters.

Certainly not the least notable of the studies in this volume is that of Nero. It is neither an attempt to rehabilitate the character of that incorrigible emperor, nor is it a lurid picture of him as the anti-Christ, but it leaves the reader with an understanding of the combination of circumstances which produced the man, and an explanation of the tendencies in Roman life that would have made it difficult even for a strong and determined ruler to hold his own.

Interesting, entertaining, picturesque, full of pregnant ideas, this volume of Professor Ferrero's is sure to find an absorbed audience that will be richly rewarded for the close attention they will give it.

New York **G. P. Putnam's Sons** **London**

Roman History

The Greatness and Decline of Rome

By Guglielmo Ferrero. **Authorized Translation** *5 Volumes. Each $2.50 net*

Student's Edition. Crown 8vo. Each $1.75 net. Per set $8.00 net

Vol. I. The Empire Builders **Vol. III. The Fall of an Aristocracy**
Vol. II. Julius Cæsar **Vol. IV. Rome and Egypt**
Vol. V. To the Close of the Reign of Augustus, A.D. 14

"His largeness of vision, his sound scholarship, his sense of proportion his power to measure life that has been by his observation of life that is—his possession of the true historical sense. . . . The translation is competent and more than that, and the history is good reading throughout. There are no dry pages."—*N. Y. Times.*

Outlines of Roman History

By H. F. Pelham, M.A., LL.D., University of Oxford

Crown 8vo. Fourth Edition. Revised, with maps and plans in Colors. Net $1.75

"It is much fuller than outline sketches are apt to be; the plan is well conceived and carried out, and the author shows throughout a rare power of grasping the most important points and exhibiting them clearly and in simple language."—*The Nation.*

Rome of To-Day and Yesterday: The Pagan City

By John Dennie

6 maps and plans, and 58 illustrations from Roman photographs. 8vo. Net, $3.50

Tourist's Pocket Edition. *Cloth, flexible, $2.50. Flexible leather, $3.50*

Tourist's Edition. Flexible leather, 8vo. Net, $4.50

"Rarely is so much excellent and instructive archæological matter presented in a style so lucid and so instructive."—*American Magazine of History.*

The Art of the Italian Renaissance

By Heinrich Wölfflin, Professor of the University of Munich

A Handbook for the Use of Students, Travellers, and Readers.

With over 800 illustrations. 8vo. Net $2.25

This book is designed for use as a handbook for students and all lovers of Renaissance Art. It will prove valuable for travellers in connection with the masterpieces which it describes; and the profuse and beautiful illustrations, with a careful explanation of the text, will bring Italy to those who wish to enjoy from their homes the wonders of the Italian Renaissance.

Italian Life in Town and Country

By Luigi Villari

No. 7. Our European Neighbors.

Crown 8vo. Fully Illustrated. Net $1.20. Library Edition. Octavo. With colored frontispiece and 48 half-tone illustrations. Net $2.25

"Signor Villari's book is animated, vigorous, and informative. It is written with the utmost care and with a thorough understanding of Italy's virtues and shortcomings."—*Chicago Record-Herald.*

Send for descriptive circulars

New York **G. P. Putnam's Sons** London

PR83 .C17 v.11
The Cambridge history of English
Princeton Theological Seminary-Speer Library
1 1012 00004 4661